PROJECT E: TREES ADJACENT TO TOP OF WALL (LOCATION VARIES)
- Removing trees (historic and non-historic) that add pressure to the walls, and their roots, that grow into the stone masonry, is critical to the long-term maintenance and preservation of the retaining walls. And the walls are important for the long-term preservation of the park. (When the walls fail they will never be replaced kind due to cost. They will likely be replaced with timber and concrete crib walls that will never last as long as the stone walls here - so currently the case.)
- These trees pose a great threat to the integrity of the retaining wall. Their removal should be a priority to prevent further deterioration.
- Preserving this 1930’s originally built Civilian Conservation Corps rock and morter wall should be a priority. This area is located within the Yakcrest National Historic District and contributes to that designation. It’s unique, nearly 100 year old, historic value cannot be replaced if it is allowed to crumble into disrepair. It is a ‘draw’ for this particular trail in the city. It should be stabilized and preserved for future generations to appreciate and enjoy.

PROJECT B-2: FILL TO COVER EXPOSED WALL FOUNDATION
- Constructing a materials sensitive to the slope and integrity of this entrance to the park should be a priority.
- Entrance to the area should be removed if the boardwalk-bridge plan is adopted. If so, is that included in the project’s estimated cost?
- Please do not build a bridge and boardwalk. This would have a huge impact on the natural character of the park and undo crucial remediation efforts that were undertaken after the oil spill. There are already enough places to cross the creek. If accessibility improvements are undertaken on the south entrance only a small portion of the path would be inaccessible.
- Seems critical for basic sustainability.
- Please prioritize historic preservation and not very busy nature of the park)). Thanks

PROJECT G-1: CONSTRUCT WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE ROUTE AND BOARDWALK
- I am not in favor of the boardwalk proposal. This is a small Rustic park and that type of infrastructure seems like overkill (financially, environmentally it does not fit with the rustic and not very busy nature of the park) Thanks
- If I’ve looked at all the plans for the boardwalk- it still looks cumbersome.
- It’s not clear from the material presented whether or not the existing high trail (s/1 stars) will be removed if the boardwalk-bridge plan is adopted. Please prioritize historic preservation and environmental impact with this project.

PROJECT F-1: CRIB WALL REPAIRS
- Improves trail stability. There is often erosion on the trail that narrows the width of the trail and presents a trip hazard.
- If this maintenance work isn’t done it will only increase in cost later.
- Structural integrity seems important.
- In the past few years many people walk or run the full loop who currently cannot do so. A new stairway would enable many people walk or run the full loop who currently cannot do so due to the steep section of the trail.
- Seems critical for basic sustainability.
- These trees pose a great threat to the integrity of the retaining wall. Their removal should be a priority to prevent further deterioration.

PROJECT C: TIMBER & CRIB WALL REPAIRS
- The steep cross slope on much of the South side of the river can make walking and running treacherous, especially when there is mud, snow, or ice. It can also gradually worsen erosion effects on the trail.

PROJECT D: ADDRESS CONCENTRATED RUNOFF
- We live in the house above this trail. We have watched the slope down to the trail erode over the 3.5 years we have lived here. There is almost no vegetation left and several trees have fallen on to the trail. We have called the city several times to try and get someone to look at the problem. With each storm more and more dirt continues to slide on to the trail.

PROJECT A: ADDRESS RUNOFF
- This steep section of the trail is probably the most difficult to navigate in mud, snow, or ice. A new stairway would enable many people to walk or run the full loop who currently cannot do so during much of the year. I think a stairway would also help manage long-term erosion.
- This area is steep and uneven, even for those without disabilities. Much more important to build these stairs than replace the others further north on the trail.
- ADA accessibility is important.

PROJECT G-4: CONSTRUCT NEW STAIRS
- The steep cross slope on much of the South side of the river can make walking and running treacherous, especially when there is mud, snow, or ice. It can also gradually worsen erosion effects on the trail.

PROJECT A-2 / B-3
- These walls seem unnecessary and the historic walls should be maintained (they are really amazing).
- Seems critical for basic sustainability.
- Preserving this 1930’s originally built Civilian Conservation Corps rock and morter wall should be a priority.

PROJECT G-2: CONSTRUCT ACCESSIBLE ENTRANCE AT BONNEVIEW
- Improving accessibility near the south entrance to the park should be a priority. Having even a small loop that is entirely wheelchair accessible would be a big improvement.
- Along with other nearby ADA improvement, make these two test cases and track usage and satisfaction of those who need ADA accommodations. Then iterate/improve before implementing other ADA priorities further NE in Miller Park.

PROJECT D: ADDRESS Runoff
- Stop runoff damage, safety
- These are beautiful walls that support the slope and integrity of this entrance to the park should be a priority.
- These walls seem unnecessary and the historic walls should be maintained (they are really amazing).
- Seems critical for basic sustainability.
- Preserving this 1930’s originally built Civilian Conservation Corps rock and morter wall should be a priority.