

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/22/2025 15:14	Tonua Hamilton	help with housing stability aging in place home modifications	I contacted Dan Dugan regarding an ADU but I now realize I must modify my home first. What assistance can I seek for this? Architecture assistance and planning? Vetted contractors?
10/28/2025 16:30	Joseph Smith	Shut down the decorated house on 900 E	There's a house on 900 E near Bryan Avenue that is constantly over decorated for Halloween every year. Every year cars slam on their brakes in front of their house and almost cause accidents. I can't tell you how often I hear cars honking because someone slammed on their brakes to look at that house. Furthermore, it's incredibly dangerous for pedestrians. There's a crosswalk there with a light that people constantly ignore because they're staring at the house instead of driving safely. Tonight someone almost hit me and my dog while we were walking. It's really exhausting for people in the neighborhood to worry about their safety because of this vanity project. Would be great to see the city do something about it for once.
10/30/2025 13:52	Emily Mortensen	Question on city molasses-style gears	Inquiry about expediting process of building-use changes
10/31/2025 11:15	Janet Smith	Bryan Avenue road between 1700 E and 1900 E	Hi Dan, This is my second request for our road to be repaved. It's crumbling before our eyes. The construction project at the end of the street is probably not helping yet beautiful new home is being built. Blake and I won't be able to attend your town hall meeting for District 6 so we're letting you know our hopes before the meeting. Thanks so much for offering to take questions. Hopefully, we will be able to attend another Town Hall later on. Warmest regards, Blake and Jan Smith
10/31/2025 13:56	Betty Iverson	Oppose the Sugar House Park Hotel	Dan, I understand that the Sugar House Park Hotel now goes to the SLC Council for a vote. I hope that we can count on you to vote NO on this proposed hotel. Sugar House is already over run with development which has made traffic a nightmare especially in the 1300 E 2100 S area. A hotel is not needed and I know you have heard from many long time sugar house residents (like Judi Short) in the article who oppose the development. Please do not rubber stamp this and stand with the Sugar House residents who oppose this development! Check out this post on Nextdoor: https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fnextdoor.com%2Fp%2FYgQNDwh-6h74%3Futm_source%3Dshare%26extras%3DMjY4NTIzMzE%253D%26ne_link_preview_links%3D%26share_pla_tform%3D6%26utm_campaign%3D1761613079528%26share_action_id%3Da4e48d5f-99b4-4bbc-b588-ca1973671276&data=05%7C02%7CDaniel.Dugan%40slcgov.com%7Cebe34a03b8bc4f6ea34308de15be01a7%7C9fa2c952dd504b06ba6a4b9bd7adda03%7C0%7C0%7C638972103131999021%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZs b3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIlYiOiJwLjAuMDAwMCIsIlAiOiJXaW4zMilslkFOLjoiTWFpbCIsIldUljoyfQ%3D%3D%7C80000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=xR8EIVXAYAgFFpBOTx%2B4Ncg6Whge2FpPcNCENIzhuVI%3D&reserved=0 Betty Iverson
10/31/2025 13:59	Michelle Lundberg	Bad Roads	Hi Dan, An acquaintance of mine shared this marketing campaign from Dominos. It's pretty creative. It leads me to wondering how we get our road repaved. Do I need to call Dominos? I'm not republican but it leads me to wonder: if Trump can get private donors to pay for a new ballroom, then can we get creative to repave Belaire Drive? It's silly that you can have your road repaved/surfaced twice in 5 years and one of the steepest streets in SLC can't get resurfaced even though it's in terrible condition and hasn't been resurfaced in 15 years. Is the secret to resurfacing our road that I need to run for office? I think we can do better. What ideas do you have to help us get better streets in SLC soon? Have a great day! Michelle

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/31/2025 15:36	Anonymous Constituent	City Immigrant Statement	Hi, I'm calling to express my disappointment about the statement that the Mayor and City has said about the arrested of the illegal immigrant lady yesterday at the Airport. I can't believed that our government is picking side and will not enforce the immigrants law. I had been robs by an illegal immigrants before and they're causing such a problems. you guys don't understand! I have a lot of immigrant friends that they came here legally and did all the right things. but these illegal one I do not support and I can't believed that the city is picking their side. Bye
11/3/2025 16:31	Anonymous Constituent	Phone call to City Council	Hello I'm calling because over the weekend, The SLCPD has murder the illegal immigrants and I'm voting my voice to demand the bodycam to be release as soon as possible!
11/4/2025 12:43	David Vickery	Sugarhouse Hotel	Hi Dan I think the proposed Sugarhouse Hotel is a great idea. It will enhance the park to have a place to get a snack, a cup of coffee or a meal after a walk around the park. I hope you will support the planning commission's recommendation to modify the zoning and approve construction. I also hope you will support the Rio Grande Plan. I think it would provide enormous benefit to the city if implemented. There is no reason why it couldn't be completed in time for the 2032 Winter Olympics. What it holding back approval? As for the proposed sports district, I'm skeptical, despite all the hoopla. I'm old enough to remember back to the 1970's when another billionaire, named Adnan Kashoggi, was going to remake downtown with a massive development including 30 story office towers. It was to be called the Triad Center. It ended up as just a few modest buildings on the northwest corner of 300 West and North Temple. Regards David Vickery
11/4/2025 12:51	Tod Cracraft	Dilworth Tennis Courts Should Be Changed to Pickleball	Hi, I live near Dilworth School where the tennis courts are an eye sore and rarely used. What would it take to convince those in charge to convert that neglected recreation area into pickleball courts that would be a real benefit to our neighborhood? Does Salt Lake City have a say in it? Would you be agreeable to advocate for this needed and surely popular choice? I'm not pleased to drive by the torn and drooping tennis nets every day. Let me know what you think and what I should do to keep the ball rolling. Thank you, Tod Cracraft
11/4/2025 13:02	Dwight Barrett	Use of deadly force	I am sorry that an officer had to shoot and kill a man Wednesday. I am sorry the officer had to use deadly force. No one has a right to throw rocks at our police without consequences. I don't throw rocks at the police. I know better. If you throw rocks at the police you should expect to be shot or beat up etc. I support the use of deadly force and support the policeman who shot the man who was throwing rocks. Law and order must be maintained. Dwight J Barrett
11/5/2025 15:30	Brad Shelton	State Street Racing/Noise & Graffiti Concerns D4	Brad Shelton reached out to the Council Office to highlight the issue of the noise on State St. As a resident of Downtown he mentioned that the racing up and down the street is a real problem. He asked that there be a focus on noise ordinances. He also shared an image of graffiti on the old PSB.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/6/2025 15:00	John Woesteut	CIP Project Support Request	<p>Dan, You will eventually see that I have submitted a CIP again for Texas Street Replacement. I appreciate your reaching out last spring, our meeting and your support for the request last year. I am asking for your support again this year. I learned recently that successful CIP requests have the support of Community Councils. Nick Wilkinson has again submitted a CIP request for replacing Nevada Street. We spoke last year of doing these “new door” streets at the same time to benefit from economy of scale. We are seeking a letter of support from the Sugar House Community Council. The CIP program was initially explained to me as a program for citizens to make requests for projects outside of the City’s priorities for a given year. It quickly became clear that this is not the primary emphasis of the program. The vast majority of projects and funding are for City projects, not citizen/constituent requests. The ability for citizens to get projects funded is progressive, wise and sincerely appreciated. However, the funding for City projects over citizen projects is out of balance. Citizens get excited about the possibility of getting a project approved and then are reasonably disappointed to see only a small number of their projects approved. Please help me understand the current status and if it’s possible to increase the number of citizen requests. In my opinion, the funding available for citizen requests is inconsistent with the message about the CIP being for citizen requests. Thank you! John Woeste</p>
11/6/2025 15:18	Janine Bailey Sheldon	Yalecrest Park Local Historic District Petition (PLNHLC2025-00927)	<p>) Dear Dan, Thank you again for your willingness to hear my concerns regarding the apparent shutdown of the City’s legitimate LHD process by an apparently unauthorized and definitely unqualified person(s). Also, below is the letter I left with owners I was not able to contact in person. I am attaching it to document that we carefully emphasized that signing the petition was meant only as an expression of interest in hearing from the City (as opposed to the rumor mill) what the LHD designation entails for owners. Signing the petition was by no means a ballot. I did hear from people who signed and did not withdraw their signatures that whoever went door-to-door gathering withdrawals was trumpeting inaccurate information, making both me and the City out to be dishonest and untrustworthy. I am offended by this and would hope that the City would also value the opportunity to correct misinformation and tamp down speculation. Sincerely, Janine Sheldon</p>
11/14/2025 15:09	Kristin Phillips	Strongly oppose rezoning in my area	<p>Just to reiterate my previous email and a voice message I left. I do not want to rezone in my area. I think that will ruin the entire feel of this area. As it is so many apartment buildings and townhouses are going in just around the corner on REDACTED. The University has also added so many students that are contributing to congestion and noise in the area. I also am very disappointed with the Council regarding the goings on at the Senior Center. It is appearing like a shady situation and that doesn't look good for anyone. I would hope there will be lots of public meetings and explanations as to what the heck happened with this remodel. Thank you for your time.</p>
11/14/2025 16:51	Christian Mogren	mult-family housing	<p>the more affordable housing, be it multi family or not, the better. opponents are selfish and class-ist --Christian 84102</p>
11/14/2025 16:55	Justus Salazar	Fire Ordinance	<p>I think that fire ordinance is important for our state and cities during dry seasons. We are a desert state and have many fires per year, but I think we are too strict on policy when it comes to Professionals doing fireworks during the 4th and 24th of July. Citizens should not be allowed to light off fireworks in high risk areas but if it is a Professional Company that the City and the Fire Marshalls are involved and on hand at the event I don't see a problem with it. (The drone event at Jordan Park was such a waste of time and dumb. It lasted 10 min and families took their kids down to watch the fireworks and got a drone show that was a waste of time).</p>
11/14/2025 20:04	Jonathan Boxer	Wildlife interface	<p>I am in favor of it. Please adopt it.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/14/2025 22:12	Scott Rosenbush	Feedback on Proposed Fire Safety Rules to Reduce Wildfire Risk	<p>Dear Council Members, Please accept and consider my comments on the Proposed Fire Safety Rules to Reduce Wildfire Risk in the Wildlife / Urban Interface (WUI). I have serious concerns about the impact of the legislation proposed for adoption. I respectfully request that the City amend the proposed language to minimize impact on the affected property owners – including my family. This would not be the first time that Salt Lake City has modified language proposed by another authority to make it in the best interests of the City and its residents. My specific requests and recommendations are in bold below. This ordinance, and the creation of the property maps have put a target on the backs of these property owners – including me. You may be aware that homeowners’ insurance rates have increased substantially in the last few years. Some neighbors report being cancelled by their carriers without notice. In order to prevent unintended consequences of this ordinance – that is, wholesale cancellation of insurance – I request that you specifically add language that prevents insurance companies from discriminating against the mapped properties if they are in compliance with the ordinance. The proposed ordinance includes a definition of an Insurance Services Office (ISO). However there is no further description of this office anywhere in the ordinance. It does not describe how it would be created, how it would operate, or who it would be responsible to. As a result I request that this Definition be removed from the ordinance. 202 Definitions: INSURANCE SERVICES OFFICE (ISO). An agency that recommends fire insurance rates based on a grading schedule that incorporates evaluation of fire fighting resources and capabilities. It seems that permits would be required under this ordinance (Sections 105.2 sub sections 7, 12) even if only interior alterations are being proposed. That should be beyond the reach of this ordinance. Please remove Sections 105.2 sub sections 7, 12. RESPONSIBILITY comes with Authority. Sections 107.1.1 and 108 absolve the City for any errors by its employees. I understand that employees are human and humans make mistakes. However a property owner should NOT be held responsible for errors made by City employees. Responsibility comes with Authority. I request that these two sections be removed from the ordinance: 107.1.1. Approval as a result of an inspection shall not be construed to be an approval of a violation of the provisions of this code or of other ordinances of the jurisdiction. Inspections presuming to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of this code or of other ordinances of the jurisdiction shall not be valid. 108 Issuance of a certificate of occupancy shall not be construed as an approval of a violation of the provisions of this code or of other pertinent laws and ordinances of the jurisdiction. Certificates presuming to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of this code or other laws or ordinances of the jurisdiction shall not be valid. Thank you for your attention and action to address these concerns. Respectfully, Scott Rosenbush</p>
11/15/2025 9:31	Greg BERGMANN	Ramifications to Property Owners Are Unclear	<p>Based on the information provided, there is no reasonable way I can determine the impact of this proposal on my property. Specifically, will this obligate property owners to modify their property even if new construction is not contemplated? Is this designation likely to impact home insurance rates? My property does not actually abut wildlands, why is it included?</p>
11/15/2025 12:26	Erin Moore	Support missing middle housing rezone	<p>I support this rezone! It is an important part of bringing more kinds of housing opportunity to our city! Please pass this rezoning.</p>
11/16/2025 19:26	Nathab Pyper	Missing middle housing	<p>Hello, I'm in full support of the act of changing zoning rules around SLC. My wife and I are renting in SLC and are trying to find our first home to purchase. As you know, it's very expensive and I think it's at least in part because of the lack of a "missing middle". We need more variety in the density of our housing around SLC. I also believe that a more walkable, efficient and robust community starts with mixing small commercial spaces for local business into a neighborhood. Thank you!</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/17/2025 10:26	Rosalie Mae	Nov 18, 2025 Public Hearing Comment - RMF-35 and RMF-45	I would like to share my support for the proposed changes to the RMF-35 and RMF-45 zoning districts. After reviewing the study, including history and proposed changes, I feel that the changes are needed to better address the need for affordable housing in Salt Lake City. It is a hot topic in the state currently, and I believe by changing/relaxing the restrictions on multi-family housing that are not large apartment buildings, more units will be able to be built. I support the proposed changes, and thank you for your time in considering these updates that will increase available housing in Salt Lake City.
11/17/2025 16:33	Lee Killian	Comment on Map of Wildland Urban Interface Parcels	This comment pertains to the proposed Map of Wild Urban Interface - Avenues Planning Community. The large residential parcel located at REDACTED (parcel number 09-33-403-004-0000, Lot 4, Federal Heights Plat F) has not been designated as a WUI parcel despite it having a residence, having a high fuel load, and being adjacent to wildland parcels. Consequently, many parcels adjacent to this large residential parcel have been designated as WUI parcels, including our parcel at REDACTED, even though they are not adjacent to wildland parcels and do not have the same wildfire risk. As our parcel is not adjacent to a wildland parcel, our greatest fire danger is likely from the large residential parcel located at REDACTED and not from fire spreading from a wildland parcel. We propose that our parcel not be designated as a WUI parcel for this reason and be treated the same as other parcels not adjacent to wildland parcels. Thank you, Lee Killian Co-owner

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/17/2025 16:36	Scott Rosenbush	Feedback on Proposed Fire Safety Rules to Reduce Wildfire Risk	<p>Dear Council Members, Please accept and consider my comments on the Proposed Fire Safety Rules to Reduce Wildfire Risk in the Wildlife / Urban Interface (WUI). I have serious concerns about the impact of the legislation proposed for adoption. I respectfully request that the City amend the proposed language to minimize impact on the affected property owners – including my family. This would not be the first time that Salt Lake City has modified language proposed by another authority to make it in the best interests of the City and its residents. My specific requests and recommendations are in bold below. This ordinance, and the creation of the property maps have put a target on the backs of these property owners – including me. You may be aware that homeowners’ insurance rates have increased substantially in the last few years. Some neighbors report being cancelled by their carriers without notice. In order to prevent unintended consequences of this ordinance – that is, wholesale cancellation of insurance – I request that you specifically add language that prevents insurance companies from discriminating against the mapped properties if they are in compliance with the ordinance. The proposed ordinance includes a definition of an Insurance Services Office (ISO). However there is no further description of this office anywhere in the ordinance. It does not describe how it would be created, how it would operate, or who it would be responsible to. As a result I request that this Definition be removed from the ordinance.</p> <p>202 Definitions: INSURANCE SERVICES OFFICE (ISO). An agency that recommends fire insurance rates based on a grading schedule that incorporates evaluation of fire fighting resources and capabilities. It seems that permits would be required under this ordinance (Sections 105.2 sub sections 7, 12) even if only interior alterations are being proposed. That should be beyond the reach of this ordinance. Please remove Sections 105.2 sub sections 7, 12. RESPONSIBILITY comes with Authority. Sections 107.1.1 and 108 absolve the City for any errors by its employees. I understand that employees are human and humans make mistakes. However a property owner should NOT be held responsible for errors made by City employees. Responsibility comes with Authority. I request that these two sections be removed from the ordinance:</p> <p>107.1.1. Approval as a result of an inspection shall not be construed to be an approval of a violation of the provisions of this code or of other ordinances of the jurisdiction. Inspections presuming to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of this code or of other ordinances of the jurisdiction shall not be valid.</p> <p>108 Issuance of a certificate of occupancy shall not be construed as an approval of a violation of the provisions of this code or of other pertinent laws and ordinances of the jurisdiction. Certificates presuming to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of this code or other laws or ordinances of the jurisdiction shall not be valid. Thank you for your attention and action to address these concerns. Respectfully, Scott Rosenbush</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/17/2025 16:38	Jim Jenkin 1/2	Comments on Ordinance: RMF-35 and RMF-45 Multi-Family Zoning District Text Amendment	<p>Comments on Ordinance: RMF-35 and RMF-45 Multi-Family Zoning District Text Amendment To: Salt Lake City Council members From: Jim Jenkin, Chair, GACC Land Use Committee 14 November, 2025 It is the stated goal of Planning Initiatives to protect the existing character of the neighborhood. In a Local Historic District the character of the neighborhood is defined by the Historic District. If this were not so, there would be no District. Such Districts are composed of a gestalt of historically contributory properties, and the pattern of private and public green space. This character is neither anchored nor dependent on single historic structures but on the aggregate of all contributing structures to that District. Properties zoned RMF-35 exist within the Avenues, Central City, South Temple, and University Historic Districts (per SLC Zoning Map). The Avenues is particularly vulnerable in the large number of houses zoned RMF-35 that present as SR-1A. This is due to the broadbrush nature of the original RMF-35 zoning. While my viewpoint is necessarily Avenues-centric, significant historic character is a part of the civic and community identity across the City, and, once lost, can never be replaced. I submit that the proposed ordinance as currently crafted fails to protect the character of the community in Local Historic Districts in the following ways: 1. The proposed ordinance limits protections from height and setback encroachment to only Federal Registry properties. Registry properties compose an extremely small subset of contributory historic structures, and individual listings are discouraged by the Secretary of the Interior in existing Historic Districts. Limiting protections to Federal Registry properties is appropriate outside of Local Historic Districts. Within Historic Districts the character of the neighborhood is composed of a gestalt of contributory Historic properties, therefore, encroachment protections should be extended to all contributory structures in a Local Historic District. 2. Planning Staff contends that sufficient protection will be afforded to contributory structures by the process of review by the Historic Landmarks Commission (the Commission). While one cannot fault Staff for supporting the established process, this Observer, as well as others, finds this statement unsupportable, in that: a. The majority of applications for contributory and non-contributing structures are reviewed at Staff level and thus relatively few are forwarded to the full Commission. For example, at ~225 A Street is a two-and-a-half story flat roof residence that was administratively permitted in ~2010 by recent Planning Staff as a story-and-a-half residence, and in contravention of the Capitol Vista Overlay. b. The historic pattern of Commission decisions has been to allow non-contributory structures to be built to the full capacity of the existing zoning.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/17/2025 16:38	Jim Jenkin 2/2	CONTINUED!! Comments on Ordinance: RMF-35 and RMF-45 Multi-Family Zoning District Text Amendment	I have verified this statement with a recent former member of the Historic Landmarks Commission. Summary: The Character of existing Local Historic Districts cannot be maintained under the proposed ordinance as written and the proposal should be defeated. Deficiencies in the proposal will also result in loss of tree coverage, green space, and the possible disruption of private solar generation by height encroachment. Affordability: Local Historic Districts provide an important pool of naturally occurring affordable housing which, while unquantified, is easily established by observing advertised rental rates (even though the choice properties rent word-of-mouth). Under current conditions (construction cost estimates around \$350,000 per unit for “affordable” designs) new construction will not result in affordability in the desired “missing middle”. Remedies: The listed deficiencies in the Proposal could be addressed by an Amendment requiring the setback and step-back protections afforded to Registry Properties be extended to all Contributory Structures in Local Historic Districts, and by directing the Planning Department to undertake a survey to identify properties that are SR-1 within RMF-35 zoned areas. A survey for structures exceeding RMF-35 was undertaken by Planning Staff in the formulation of this proposal, but no survey was undertaken to identify areas of SR-1. As an example, Second Avenue between F and G presents entirely as SR-1, exempt for an historic LDS facility. Therefore a survey for SR-1 properties within the RMF would correct oversites in the original downzone. Respectfully Submitted, Jim Jenkin Chair, Greater Avenues Community Council (GACC) Land Use Committee; former Chair, GACC; former Member, Salt Lake Transportation advisory Board (2 terms). landusecom@slc-avenues.org I thank and acknowledge members of the Land Use Committee for their contributions to this document.
11/17/2025 16:40	Kathryn Lindquist	zoning changes	Dear City Council Members: Regarding proposed zoning changes to allow higher density in neighborhoods with existing single-family lots, please consider that having a set-back from the street of anything less than 20 feet makes the sidewalk unsafe for pedestrians and young kids on bikes. It makes the streets claustrophobic as well. Neighborhoods lose their distinctiveness. Kids on bikes or scooters and parents pushing babies in strollers need space to waver and pass each other. Thank you for considering this, Kathryn Lindquist
11/17/2025 16:42	Lauren Cole	RMF-35 and RMF-45 Multi-Family Zoning District Text Amendment	Good morning, Attached please find written comment from Wasatch Advocates for Livable Communities (formerly SLC Neighbors for More Neighbors) in strong support of the RMF-35 and RMF-45 Multi-Family Zoning District Text Amendment. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, -- Lauren Cole Policy Manager Wasatch Advocates for Livable Communities

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/18/2025 10:35	Thomas Smart 1/3	East Central Community Neighborhood Watch Unrelated Persons Living Together	<p>Dear council member Lopez-Chavez, liasons Fullmer and Johnson, and others, I am writing with regard to the proposal before you that would relax or eliminate the current occupancy limits governing nonrelated persons sharing single-family housing. From a policy perspective, I believe this proposal is counterproductive to the goal of making housing accessible and affordable to permanent residents and families. Its adoption will tend to make the housing market even more attractive than it already is to absentee landlords and Airbnb hosts, fostering an increase in transient occupancy at the expense of housing stock available to those hoping to put down long-term roots in our neighborhoods. Additionally, it will lead to a decrease in the quality of life for those of us who have already made those long-term commitments. My personal experience may be instructive. I purchased my home in the University Gardens neighborhood 28 years ago. I was fully committed to living here, close to downtown, the U and the foothills that I love, and I paid off my mortgage on an accelerated schedule. At the time, the house to my north was owned and occupied by a married couple of university professors, wonderful people. They eventually moved away because of the party culture that sometimes disturbed the neighborhood peace, a culture that was far tamer then than it has become today, but it still drove them away. That house sold to an absentee landlord who has repeatedly overpacked it with a rotating cast of "dude-bro" students. They tend to party incessantly. At 3:00 a.m., one of their parties was so out of control that I was finally attempted to sleep in my car in the garage on the opposite side of the house with earplugs in and white noise playing at full volume on my phone. I never did get to sleep that night. On another occasion, some of the tenants came over to introduce themselves and let me know they would be having a small gathering the next day. At 9:00 a.m. the next morning, they started blasting extremely loud music from an outdoor sound system, drinking heavily from illegal kegs. I would estimate at least 150 people showed up, packing both the front and back yards, the public right of way on two streets, and even climbing onto the roof. I observed several intoxicated people urinating on my fence right outside my window. I observed an intoxicated young woman urinate in my driveway in full view of the street and sidewalk. After several neighbors called, the police responded, but the party resumed as soon as they left. After the police responded a second time, the tenants I had met the day before came over to ask if it was me who had called the police. They said I should know enough to expect that kind of behavior as they're "teenagers in college, and that's what teenagers do" (i.e., admitting to underage drinking and telling me to expect more of the same). These are just two of the more egregious incidents, but I have been a victim of that type of behavior at that house over and over again, and I believe continuing violation of the occupancy rule has been a primary reason why this supposedly single-family residence has become a notorious party house.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/18/2025 10:35	Thomas Smart 2/3	CONTINUED!! East Central Community Neighborhood Watch Unrelated Persons Living Together	<p>When I tried to bring these problems to the attention of the property manager, he essentially called me a liar. After the police responded to a recent incident and then left, the tenants yelled at me loudly by name to "f*ck off."</p> <p>That's the house to my north. The one to my south was owned and occupied by a very well-known public servant and his young family when I first moved into my house. They moved to a school district that could better accommodate their special-needs son, but hung onto the house and rented it out to seven U of U football players. They partied loudly and constantly, drank with their many friends at all hours on the front porch, parked their seven giant SUVs illegally on the city parking strip and even in my driveway, threw trash in my bushes even when their own garbage cans were closer at hand, and in many other ways made my life a living hell. During one of their all night parties, one of them could be heard screaming threats to rape a woman all along the block as she fled to get away. Presumably several people, including me, called the police, which led to 12 police cars showing up. A few days later, the football coach showed up at my door to apologize. The homeowner told me he would evict the offenders, but he never did and nothing ever changed. Eventually that owner sold the house, and it has changed several times since then. Almost all the owners have been absentee landlords renting the place out to a far greater number of people than the current ordinance allows. There have even been people living in multiple tents pitched in the backyard, and for several months there were even two people and their two barking dogs living in a camping trailer in the driveway. For the last several years, that property has operated as an Airbnb with seven or more people living in it. Many of these tenants have been unbearable, partying constantly at all hours. The front porch is where many of them have gathered daily to drink and smoke vast quantities of pot, play loud music, and even shoot off aerial fireworks toward the street. It's understandable that they want to "live" on the porch, because inside the house they are packed in like rats, which must be miserable and is certainly dangerous. Early one morning on a game day, they set up a powerful PA system and blasted music out to the street. I recorded the sound pressure levels for 21 seconds at an average of 114 dB and a peak of 132 dB. My window was rattling so hard I thought it would break. Then they started using a microphone over the PA to yell obscenities at random people passing by. I have observed a recent tenant visiting dozens of cars, sometimes 4 or 5 in the space of a couple of hours. They park nearby; he gets into the passenger seat for anywhere from 30 seconds to a few minutes; then he returns to the house and they drive away. More than once I have been able to see the exchange of a ziploc bag and cash. You can draw your own conclusions about what that means. Speaking of cars, tenants of that house have parked their cars in my driveway (sometimes overnight) or blocking my driveway more than 100 times, without exaggeration.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/18/2025 10:35	Thomas Smart 3/3	CONTINUED!! East Central Community Neighborhood Watch Unrelated Persons Living Together	<p>Sometimes I have had to drive down the sidewalk and out their own driveway to get out of my own house. After one late night / early morning unruly party was shut down by the police, tenants presumably from that house cracked eggs into my mailbox on two different occasions (a felony under federal law), and threw eggs and rotten bananas at the side of my house. And they began harassing me repeatedly by name, even though we had never been introduced. This behavior is extremely intimidating. The elderly neighbor to the south of this offending house (two doors south of me) has also been repeatedly victimized by their unruly and often illegal behavior, but has confided in me that he is too frightened to take any action. By city policy, aside from the people camping in a trailer in the driveway, which they were able to observe directly, zoning enforcement was unable to gather evidence or do anything about these occupancy problems. For example, to my understanding, zoning was forbidden from simply knocking on the door to interview tenants. Finally, a zoning officer was able to get the needed evidence by looking through the front window and seeing multiple mattresses laid out on the living room floor. I believe he issued a warning. Things have become somewhat quieter for me since then, and since the police have broken up multiple parties in the house to my north, (The party house across the street has also been a huge problem for many years, and the house directly west of me has recently started to party hard on occasion, but I won't bore you with those details.) That said, I believe things may be headed in the wrong direction again, and I know that neighbors even closer to the U than me have recently been living through horrific problems. However, at least in theory, we homeowners and permanent residents have the tool of the current occupancy limits to try to address these issues. But if the city decides to enable and even encourage this kind behavior by removing the current occupancy limits, I think I'm done with this neighborhood. I hate to think that my own house will almost inevitably sell to an absentee landlord and become part of the problem after I'm gone, but I have to put my own peaceful existence first. Instead of turning this neighborhood into all flop- and party-houses, I would hope that you strengthen enforcement of the existing ordinances and adopt new policies that encourage people to buy permanent housing for their families and work to knit a closer fabric of community where they choose to live. When people ask me where I live, I half-jokingly say "the University Slums." Let's make it the "University Gardens" again. Let's make policies that encourage the U of U to take proper care of the ever-expanding number of students it admits, help local people and families achieve homeownership and a peaceful life in the communities they love, and encourage people like me (and believe me, there are many) to not just give up. Respectfully, Thomas T Smart East Central City Resident</p>
11/18/2025 20:41	Anonymous Constituent	Ale Puy	He is a great representative for the Fairpark community