

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name     | Subject  | Description  |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 9/3/2025 10:49   | Tamra Burton     | Comment to City Council                            | Tamra Burton with Asset Management Services called about increased sewer rates and their properties. The rates at this property have increased significantly under the new rate structure. The constituent inquired about potential solutions, including a separate irrigation meter. Austin connected with Ms. Burton and requested assistance from Public Utilities to work with the constituent directly. Ms. Burton also intends to attend Tuesday's Council meeting to provide comments.  |
| 9/3/2025 16:34   | Michael McIntosh | PLNPCM2025-00320, File Z 20250132                  | <p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is J. Michael McIntosh and I live at REDACTED, Salt Lake City , 84108. I am writing to formally express my strong opposition to the proposed Zoning Text Amendment (Case #PLNPCM2025-00320), which was scheduled for a public hearing on September 2, 2025. I am deeply concerned about this city-wide amendment that would apply to all public schools. My primary objections are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excessive Building Height: The proposal to increase the maximum building height for schools to 125 feet is a dramatic change that will negatively impact residential neighborhoods. This is an extreme height that is out of scale with our communities.</li> <li>• Drastically Reduced Setbacks: The minimum distance from property lines would be cut in half, allowing these large structures to be built as close as 30 feet from homes on the side and 50 feet in the rear. This will directly impact sunlight, privacy, and the overall character of my neighborhood. This proposal sets a dangerous precedent by giving away local control over fundamental development standards like height and setbacks. I urge you to deny this petition and uphold the zoning laws that protect our quality of life and the character of our neighborhoods. I live near Bonneville, Clayton and East and I am concerned about a building of this scale being constructed so close to my home." Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Michael McIntosh</li> </ul> |
| 9/4/2025 10:51   | Kadee Nielson    | Phone call to City Council                         | Caller would like to talk to Victoria about the proposed homeless housing in Rose Park. She is very against it and thinks there are better places then in their neighborhood. Transfer to Vili.  |
| 9/4/2025 12:23   | Marianne Errebo  | SLCPD Pride Parade                                 | <p>On 8 June 2025, I looked down from my fourth story balcony onto West Temple and the line-up for the Pride Day parade. And in that line I saw no less than a Salt Lake City Police car displaying a large Pride flag. Wait, what?! You mean that now Salt Lake citizens' tax money is going to a police department to promote special interest groups?</p> <p>Shouldn't the only flags that our Salt Lake Police Dept. display be the US or Utah flag... flags representing all citizens of Salt Lake City? Should I expect to see a Black Lives Matter flag next? Who, in the world, was responsible for this lack of good taste in the Salt Lake City Police Department, a department that is otherwise commendable in so many ways? It calls into question the credibility and dignity that should be afforded our police force. I urge you to investigate this and I look forward to your reply. Yours truly, Marianne Errebo</p>  |
| 9/4/2025 13:48   | Heather Wilkins  | Drug use in Salt Lake City                         | So I don't feel this problem bring us into thriving in our city. These homeless need to be put into mental hospitals and pulled off the streets for everyone's benefit.  |
| 9/4/2025 15:46   | Traci Johanson   | Phone call to City Council                         | Caller is upset about the increase in the public utilities bill for her business. She understands the need to increase prices but this is too much. Her sewer bill went from \$40 to \$240 and the water for her sprinkler system, that she is required to have, went from \$6 to \$33. Her business won't last with the continued increase. She contacted Public Utilities and they told her it was for the next year and the Council set it, not them. Information given to Austin.  |
| 9/5/2025 10:43   | Karen McCreary   | (EXTERNAL) Semi Trucks on our neighborhood streets | Constituents are concerned about large semi-trucks commuting often on the roads in the neighborhood.   |

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name          | Subject  | Description  |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 9/5/2025 13:40   | Anonymous Constituent | Comment to City Council                              | <p>Hi Council Members, I spoke with Traci Johanson with Card Signs at 2100 South 900 West. This is a follow up to the call and comment provided earlier. Ms. Johanson is frustrated about the new increased sewer rates. She said her company does work for the Police Department, and are only allowed to increase their costs by 3% per the contract. So she's frustrated the utility rates have increased by more than this amount and does not think that it's fair. She states it was more affordable for her when the stabilization fee was in place last year. She wants to stay informed the next time rates are considered. I encouraged her to sign up for Public Utilities' newsletter, follow them on social media, and I let her know I would sign her up for her Council Members' newsletter to stay engaged. She appreciated the call back but remains frustrated. Passing her comments along for your awareness. Thank you</p>   |
| 9/5/2025 16:39   | Bernie HART           | Light ... we need light ...                          | <p>Dear Council, I find it interesting that the people who pretend they have the backs of the people they represent, and the homeless, make decisions that will have a major impact on their quality of life behind closed doors. The Council Member representing the District housing the latest "new and greater, Big Beautiful ... can't fail ... homeless shelter" is the same Councilperson who blocked my emails when I suggested that the Salt Lake City Council was exhibiting a high degree of III (Intentional Institutional Ignorance) when discussing homelessness. The other party to this Big Beautiful Project, the Homeless Oversight Committee, has always been reluctant to provide any information on how well "supportive mental health and addiction programs" work. The programs that will be at the center of how the shelter impacts the lives of the homeless and the nearby community. You all, and Mr Niederhauser and Mr Shumway, tell us how great this will work and then refuse to talk about it. Shame on you all. III doesn't get it ... humm.... I like to say it ... "Intentional Institutional Ignorance" only survives behind closed doors and in the dark. Now, tell me again, how much you all care about the homeless. No. don't tell me... start showing us. You all have been telling the people you represent, and the homeless, that you care and have the answer, and our jails are overflowing, overdose deaths are up, and the number of homeless in Utah increased last year ... by how much ... Please open the door, then look for the light switch, flip the switch, and then start the conversation ..... Bernie</p> |
| 9/5/2025 16:53   | Jordan Anderson       | Public Comment on Proposed Homeless Shelter Location | <p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is Jordan Anderson, and I am a resident of North Salt Lake. I would like to share my concerns regarding the newly approved homeless shelter location. I respectfully ask why this site was chosen so close to residential neighborhoods, especially considering it is only about three miles from Spectrum Academy (a school for children with special needs) and just a few miles from an elementary school. I believe these factors make the location concerning for families in the area. As someone who has volunteered with the Road Home, I have seen firsthand the struggles individuals experiencing homelessness face, including mental health challenges, most of them carry a sharp weapons, and some of them even a sex offenders. I understand the importance of providing services and shelter. However, safety is also a critical concern, particularly when it comes to children and schools. I would encourage the Council to consider alternative locations, such as areas closer to the prison or other sites further from residential neighborhoods and schools. This could better balance the need for services with the safety and peace of nearby communities. Thank you for your time and consideration. Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss further. Sincerely, Jordan Anderson North Salt Lake Resident</p>  |
| 9/8/2025 16:35   | Ron Nelson            | Phone call to City Council                           | <p>A Constituent in D2 Calling to leave a comment to Victoria and would like a contact back in regarding of the construction to fix the pipe line in North temple because he believed all these are benefits the new apartment and not all the other resident, he would like to discuss.</p>   |

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name     | Subject   | Description   |
|------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 9/9/2025 11:02   | Evelyn Bossart   | Phone call to City Council                            | The constituent is calling and would like speak to Chris and prefer to have Chris calling her back in regarding of the sewer bill that she's unable to pay and she needs Chris taking an action right away, Tucky let her know that Olivia will be in contact with her asap.  |
| 9/9/2025 13:55   | Emily Sloan-Pace | DCC follow-up response to SEG Parking Garage Proposal | <p>. Response from the Salt Lake City Downtown Neighborhood Community Council As representatives of the downtown community, we strongly oppose Smith Entertainment Group's (SEG) request to override Salt Lake City's ordinance requiring ground-floor activation. Constructing a private, vehicle-oriented garage with no meaningful pedestrian design undermines the city's vision for a vibrant, inclusive downtown — and betrays the community values this ordinance was designed to protect. This project is not a neutral development. It uses taxpayer dollars to build an elites-only parking facility that serves corporate convenience rather than public need. In doing so, it breaks faith with the agreement SEG made with the City: that public support would yield public benefit. Instead of enriching shared spaces for residents, workers, and families, the proposal directs resources toward an exclusive structure that contributes nothing to daily downtown life. SEG has proven it can create immersive experiences for arena visitors and entertainment district patrons. Yet when it comes to public-facing infrastructure, its strategy prioritizes ticketholders while neglecting the broader community. The very ordinance they now seek to sidestep exists for good reason: to ensure that every new development “promotes future downtown growth that is safe...and encourages downtown living.” That vision is already visible in places like Copper Common, Artspace, Granary, and the Post District — where thoughtful design turned inactive frontage into dynamic, human-scaled gathering spaces. Utah Jazz President Jim Olson's claim that “this corner is not adaptable to street activation and habitable space” dismisses both precedent and creativity. Cities across the country have balanced service needs with vibrant street life. From screened loading bays to flexible retail modules, proven design strategies exist.</p> <p>What's lacking is not adaptability of the site, but SEG's willingness to treat the community as more than a backdrop for private events. Approving this exemption would send the dangerous message that Salt Lake City's ordinances are optional whenever private interests press hard enough. It would sacrifice safety, vitality, and inclusivity for a facility that walls off public life while consuming public dollars. For these reasons, we urge City leaders to hold firm to the ordinance and require SEG to honor both the letter and spirit of its agreement with the community. Our streets, sidewalks, and public funds should serve all Salt Lake residents — not just those with privileged access behind garage doors. [Delivered by Emily Sloan-Pace, Vice Chair DCC]</p> |