

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/20/2025 13:38	Catherine Greene	the median adajacent to Highland H.S.	Has there been any talk of improving the median ajacent the north side Highland HS? I have lived near this for 27 years and its the same weeds year after year. I feel the kids standing at the bus stop could benefit from some beautification of this area. They can take it in and see how wonderful plants and trees are. Currently it is so unattractive. That is probably without debate. Thanks for considering this. Cathy Flowers-Greene
8/20/2025 16:29	Terry Allen	District 4 Comment	I'm calling in because I received the newsletter from my council member Eva, District 4 and I saw that you're going to improve the intersection and put more speed bumps on the road on 700S and 400E area. you know this is so unnecessary things to do. I've lived here my whole life and you know what's the problems that you do really need to taking of? it is HOMELESS issues. The city need to focus on homeless people. they are out of control. They always seems to have money for their cigarette and sodas and drinks but they are causing the problems. they got a free meal everyday and after they're done eating, they left their trash and mess everywhere. I've talked to my neighbors and some homeless using their hose to shower in front of their lawn. some got them pooped in front of their lawn. I'd like my council member to focus on this problems rather than the speedbumps. Thank you.
8/21/2025 13:46	Carol Steffens	Request for Different Music at Liberty Park / D5	Dear City Council Members and Liberty Park Administration, I am writing to express concern about the loud and inappropriate music being played at the Liberty Park carousel area designated for toddlers and young children. I walk frequently at Liberty Park and often notice the blaring rock music—often with volume levels not suitable for a space meant for very young children. The music not only overpowers the natural ambiance of the park but also, disrupt what should be a playful, gentle environment where parents can relax with their children. As a resident of Salt Lake City, I appreciate the city's efforts in providing family-friendly spaces, and the carousel area is clearly intended as one of them. However, the current choice of music undermines that goal. Many families, myself included, would prefer age-appropriate music at a reasonable volume—tunes that contribute to a calm, atmosphere and are considerate of children's sensitive hearing and developmental needs. I respectfully request that the city review the music selection and sound level policy for the carousel area. Implementing a playlist of children's songs or soft instrumental music would greatly enhance the experience for young visitors, their families and the general public. Thank you for your time and for your continued commitment to maintaining a welcoming environment for all residents. The park looks the best that I have seen it in a long time. We residents appreciate the city's efforts in helping to create a safe, lovely space for all of us to enjoy. I look forward to seeing a positive change. Sincerely, Carol Steffens
8/22/2025 16:36	Heather Wilkins	Bikes riders after 19pm. Vaping	About 200 smoking vaping loud all over main Street congregating for what reason? This destroyed my quality of air and my peace. It's disgusting.
8/22/2025 16:40	Heather Wilkins	From Aug 21 2015 riot on Cleveland Ave	When do we ever get peace or safety from adults vandalizing during rioting. This is a blatant disrespect of our properties and after 11:40. Yet the police do absolutely nothing.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/26/2025 16:38	Chris Olsen	Trails, Comments, Hello, and Thanks	<p>Dan, Thanks for coming over following my public comment last night. Great to meet my council person! Jill Love is a friend and encouraged me to attend (cc'd). I really do have 30 years biking in these foothills. I supported/posted my own \$80 signs in support of Ralph Becker's efforts (quickly stolen), attended the original open houses, worked with Kristen Riker's team, joined SLC Trails Alliance, joined Trails Utah as a board member for a few months, etc. I have become very frustrated with the lack of progress and that an outsized special NIMBY interest can hold all parties hostage. Everywhere else trails are being created with huge success – Mueller, Parley's, Herriman, and more?! Bikers are disorganized, armchair-quarterback, and break rules. SLCMTB is a 3000+ member group and the MTB Enthusiasts of Utah Facebook group has 24,000 members. Note also that Utah NICA (high school racing) had 7600 kids registered in 2024 (plus parents). All could be a source of formal support for trails? I want to keep this email short but will attach several files. I am full of constructive opinions (most attached) and would love to share with the new Director of Public Lands, Kim Shelley. However, I sense the burden lies with you and Chris with all lower levels eager to proceed. Perhaps I could help you and Chris get unstuck somehow? The East Bench could be a safe place to restart? Kindly forward this email to any and all you think would be interested. Thank you for what you do, for saying hello, and for any consideration. If you could kindly send a reply to let me know the email made it that would be great. If you have limited time go for the summary and bullets in the 4-page PDF for digestible thought points. Cheers, Chris Olsen</p>
8/29/2025 8:39	Brittany Haslam	Increased Sewer Fees	<p>Concerns about the city moving away from determining sewer usage based on average winter use, resident expects water fees to increase as a result</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/29/2025 9:53	Melissa CLYNE	Public Input for Zoning Amendments on the Sugar House Hotel Lot	<p>Dear Amanda, et al: I join with my neighbors and the other residents of Sugar House who are against the proposed towering high-rise hotel, a commercial project that won't only encroach on, but also incorporate Sugar House Park into its own use and financial benefit. Sugar House Park is the only cherished, welcoming, and well-used green space on the County's East Bench (above 1300 East and north of the I-80). Current Salt Lake City and County representatives literally ripped up the former Sugar House Master Plan to enable recent Mixed-Use zoning, approved by the City Council on July 8th, just-in-time to allow for consideration of this monstrosity, with ill-regard to any former environmental, traffic, water easement, or pedestrian studies of the intersection of 1300 East and 2100 South. Concern for building shadows and mountain views isn't even on the table. Has the property owner and/or developer conducted any Lidar assessment to provide the City with necessary data for the crucial fortification and longevity of the proposed infrastructure on that corner? Would the local businesses and residents be required to endure any lengthy construction project along this portion of 2100 South, in addition to the disruption anticipated for the east side of this block due to the impending Highland High School expansion? Didn't we learn from the previous proposal that there are water channels under the proposed property, which is/has caused other problems under the CBD block between the freeway, 1300 East, and 2100 South? According to our SHCC – Land Use & Zoning representation who has literally allowed every other confounding development to proceed by keeping the community ill-informed and unprepared over the past 15 years, “The developer of the Sugar House Hotel project, located at 2111 South 1300 East, is requesting a General Plan Amendment change to the Sugar House General Plan for the subject property, from Mixed Use – Low Intensity (MULI) to Business District Mixed Use – Town Center Scale (BDMU-TC). This project is the only developable parcel on the west side of 1300 South in this block.” The developer has likely been working with the City and a particular individual; who's development interests and backroom dealings have overridden the community's concerns for all of the CBD development in Sugar House that has occurred over the past 10 years! I'm sure you who read this think I'm speculating. However, at the public open house for the Wells Fargo development, he told me to "expect it"! Meaning the WF building and other structures. So many times, we have heard the now existing development located in the heart of Sugar House, “won't creep eastward.” The developer's request of a General Plan Amendment will do this and more. Why can't we, the Sugar House community that remains and cherishes the value of this green space, as well as all who use the park who live across the SLValley, appeal to Governor Cox? Let's take a page from those in NYC regarding Central Park who have had the same ruthless developer zealots on their curbsides. New York City acquired the land through eminent domain, the law that allows the government to take private land for public use with compensation paid to the landowner. Surely, this is one solution that could be explored further before allowing the developer creep east up and along 2100 South! Surely, the State of Utah can afford to protect Sugar House Park for the people of Salt Lake Valley! Melissa Clyne 33-year Resident</p>
8/29/2025 22:36	Anonymous Constituent	Regarding the Proposed Delta Center Parking Garage	<p>Parking has been in the news a lot this summer. First, the increased rates for downtown meters, then the closure of the Plaza Hotel for its impending demolition (to then be turned into the parking lot), and now this. Will the new Salt Palace also have its own separate garages? Do we really need all of these parking garages when we could use this funding to incentivize public transit to lessen the need for parking in the first place? Not to mention that it would lessen pollution and improve air quality, something we are always fighting in this city. To imagine downtown with even more sprawling parking lots than it already has (I'm looking at you, Park Place lot at S Temple and 300 W) seems like such a waste of valuable real estate. I would love to see a version of SLC that chooses a lot more investment in public transit and a few sensible, centralized options for downtown parking that are tall instead of wide - and for goodness sake, topped with solar panels. Uncovered parking is such a waste in our sunshine-abundant city.</p>
8/30/2025 7:35	David Waldman	Delta Ctr Parking Garage proposal	<p>The Canopy should be made up of solar PHOTOVOLTAIC panels to insure we are making clean renewable energy where able.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/30/2025 8:16	Jenny Starley	Proposed Delta Center Parking Garage	I urge conditional approval of the proposed Delta Center parking garage. While event access is important, this project must prioritize neighborhood character and small businesses. Please require active ground-floor uses, strong landscaping, bike and transit infrastructure, and pedestrian-friendly design. Without these commitments, the garage risks becoming a car-dominated barrier rather than a community asset.
8/30/2025 15:24	Anonymous Constituent	Richmond Park	The park is completely trashed. Garbage everywhere. Discarded clothes, human waste, drug refuge, and piles and piles of garbage scattered everywhere. Big bonus today is a lady, who has sat in the center of the park for days on end, is now going door to door and taking mail. There is absolutely zero reason this park should look and smell like literal human shit. Drugs are being sold on 600 South in front of the park. Take care of this one and for all. The people who live here don't feel safe, our property is being stolen, again, and we live around a dump.
9/2/2025 8:40	John Hinckley	Formal Opposition: Zoning Case PLNPCM2025-00320 & File Z 20250132	Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is John Hinckley and I live at REDACTED, Salt Lake City, UT 84108. I have lived in this city and neighborhood for over 50 years and I'm deeply concerned about the future of our neighborhoods." As a concerned citizen I believe the city should be put development questions in the hands of people who understand development not people who primarily only know how to spend taxpayer monies, and disregard how hard people work to support themselves and their family in this city. I am writing to formally express my strong opposition to the proposed Zoning Text Amendment (Case #PLNPCM2025-00320), which is scheduled for a public hearing on September 2, 2025. I am deeply concerned about this city-wide amendment that would apply to all public schools. My primary objections are: • Increased Building Height: The proposal to increase the maximum building height for schools to 125 feet is a dramatic change that will negatively impact residential neighborhoods. • Reduced Setbacks: Decreasing setbacks (from 50 ft to 30 ft on the side and from 100 ft to 50 ft in the rear) will allow these large structures to be built much closer to residential homes, impacting sunlight, privacy, and neighborhood character. • Exemption from Historic Review: The removal of historic preservation review for new school construction is a significant loss of local control and a threat to the historic integrity of our communities. This proposal sets a dangerous precedent by giving away local control over development standards like height and setbacks. I urge you to deny this petition and uphold the zoning laws that protect our quality of life and the character of our neighborhoods. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, John Hinckley

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/2/2025 8:41	Sara Gillins	Our Future Home on 200 West and the Proposed School	<p>Dear Mayor and Council Members, Hi, I'm Sara Gillins. Through my Instagram page, SaltCitySara, I've loved sharing and celebrating the local businesses, events, and neighborhoods that make Salt Lake such a great place to call home. I'm reaching out today not only as someone who cares about this community, but also as a resident of 200 West, excited to start building a future with my fiancé in our home. My fiancé and I recently got engaged, and we've been looking forward to turning his house on 200 West into our first home together. We love the quiet, historic feel of this neighborhood, and it's exactly the kind of place we pictured starting our lives. That's why it's so discouraging to learn that this plan could mean years of construction right outside our door. Instead of building our future here, we'd be living with constant noise, dust, and disruption - not the environment anyone hopes for when starting a family. We're also worried about what this project would mean long term. Adding traffic and congestion to a residential street creates safety concerns, especially for families with kids. And the idea of major social issues from West High spilling directly into the neighborhood makes us uneasy about raising a family here.</p> <p>Through SaltCitySara, I spend my days highlighting the best of Salt Lake - the local businesses, events, and neighborhoods that make this city special. I want to keep doing that from a home base in Capitol Hill. But if this project goes forward, we may not have that option. I know community voices matter in shaping the future of Salt Lake, which is why I'm speaking up. I'm asking you to vote NO on these zoning changes and help keep this neighborhood a place where families can grow and feel at home. Thank you for your time and for listening.</p> <p>Sincerely, Sara Gillins</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/2/2025 8:42	Alexander Dulwick 1/2	Concerns about the Proposed PL Zone Ordinance and West High (PLNPCM2025-00320/0321)	<p>Dear Council Members, I'm writing to you today as a concerned resident about the proposed zoning ordinance related to the West High School project. I live right across the street, so I'm deeply invested in the outcome. However, my biggest concern is how this ordinance would set a worrying new precedent for all public lands across our entire city. While everyone agrees West High needs and deserves an upgrade, this specific plan is not the right way to do it. It overlooks community feedback and, more importantly, creates city-wide risks that are too significant to ignore. Here are the key issues as I and many of my neighbors see them:</p> <p>1. This Sets a Concerning Precedent for All of Salt Lake City The most important thing to know is that this ordinance doesn't just apply to West High. It changes the rules for all Public Lands (PL) zones. This means that thousands of residents who live near parks, schools, and other public lands in every district could be impacted by this decision down the road. As Planning Director Nick Norris explained, the city already has very limited say over school construction due to state law, our only real control is on "basic setbacks and height." This ordinance would give away that final piece of local oversight. It feels like we would be handing the school district a blank check to build whatever it wants, wherever it wants, without the basic neighborhood protections we all count on.</p> <p>2. The Plan Violates the Promise of the Capitol Hill Master Plan Our neighborhood is supposed to be protected by the Capitol Hill Master Plan, a promise made by the city to maintain the character of our community. The plan is incredibly direct on this point, stating a key policy is to: "Prohibit the expansion of institutional uses associated with the West High School campus into the residential neighborhoods." (Source: Page 5, Capitol Hill Master Plan, Adopted Nov 9, 1999) There is no ambiguity in that language. The plan's stated goal is to prevent exactly what this zoning change would allow: an expansion of the school's functional footprint directly into our residential blocks via new curb cuts and access points. While some call this 'redevelopment,' it is a clear violation of a policy written to protect this exact neighborhood from this exact type of encroachment. We are simply asking you to uphold the city's own official plan.</p> <p>3. The Immediate Impact on the West High Neighborhood In my neighborhood, the direct result of this would be a 9-12 story building constructed in a historic district. It's completely out of scale with the surrounding homes and goes against the goals of the Capitol Hill Master Plan. It's a clear example of the kind of conflict that could happen city-wide if this ordinance passes.</p> <p>4. The Reality of Traffic and Parking in Our Neighborhood On a practical level, the plan for traffic and parking just doesn't seem realistic for our neighborhood. Funneling all of a major high school's traffic onto 200 West, a small residential street, is a recipe for daily chaos and safety concerns. Anyone who drives on 300 or 400 West during drop-off times knows that even those huge boulevards are already overwhelmed. This new plan would have the least amount of parking of any high school in the district, forcing students and staff to compete for already scarce street spots, which is a growing issue with all the new apartments in the area. The new curb cuts would also direct a constant stream of cars right into our quiet blocks, changing the entire feel of the neighborhood.</p> <p>5. The Long-Term Impact on Residents and Our Homes Beyond the daily traffic, we're really worried about the long-term impact on our lives and homes. A construction timeline of four to seven years means nearly a decade of constant noise, dust, and disruption right outside our front doors. For most of us, our home is our biggest investment.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/2/2025 8:42	Alexander Dulwick 2/2	CONTINUED!! Concerns about the Proposed PL Zone Ordinance and West High (PLNPCM2025-00320/0321)	<p>The combination of living next to a massive construction site for years, followed by the permanent traffic problems, will almost certainly hurt our property values and dramatically lower our quality of life. It's hard to imagine being able to stay, and many of us feel we would be forced to sell and leave a neighborhood we truly love if this plan goes through. 6. The Plan Overlooks Strong Community Feedback It is crucial to note that this proposal comes to you with a negative recommendation from the city's own Planning Commission. Their decision wasn't arbitrary; it was based on serious, unresolved flaws in the proposal. Commissioners were deeply concerned about making a permanent, city-wide rule for what one called "a moving target that we have no idea what it is." They felt the plan was too vague to justify such a sweeping change. The 125-foot height limit was described as "excessive," with a commissioner noting that this number, driven by one site, would become a "vestige that will float forward into all future [projects]"—a dangerous precedent for other neighborhoods. Finally, they cited the direct harm to residents, specifically "a reduction in setbacks from the side yard from 50 to 30 ft and a rear yard... setback reduction from 100 ft to 50 ft," which would create "potentially negative impacts to the neighborhood." Their official motion to recommend denial was based on these specific, well-reasoned concerns. 7. There Is a Better, Community-Supported Path Forward The public input on this issue was remarkably clear and factual. During the school board's public hearing, only a single person spoke in favor of the current plan ("Option 3"). In contrast, speaker after speaker voiced their passionate support for "Option 1," which focuses on preserving and renovating the historic school. As one resident stated plainly, "I'm an Ogden person, I love Ogden High, I would love to see what we did at Ogden High here... I'm a number one option person." This sentiment was echoed by many who feel that "history is important" in a young city like ours and were deeply disappointed that the plan saves only a small portion of the facade. The school board is pushing forward with a plan that the community has already factually and overwhelmingly rejected. This decision is about more than just one school. It's about how we want our city to grow and whether we value community input and neighborhood character. I believe we can provide an excellent future for West High's students without sacrificing the integrity of our neighborhoods or giving up essential city oversight. Please listen to the Planning Commission and your constituents. I'm asking you to please vote NO on both ordinances and help guide this project toward a solution our whole city can be proud of. Thank you for your time and for your service to our city. Respectfully, Alex Dulwick</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/2/2025 8:43	Colin Kingsbury	Formal Opposition: Protecting Neighborhood Design & Historic Character (Zoning Case PLNPCM2025-00320)	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is Colin Kingsbury, and I live on REDACTED, UT 84103. I am writing to formally express my strong opposition to the proposed Zoning Text Amendment (Case #PLNPCM2025-00320).</p> <p>While I support the improvement of our schools, I believe this petition goes too far by compromising the fundamental design standards that protect our neighborhoods. My opposition is centered on the following TWO critical concerns: • • • SLCS D had • FOUR options for the West High rebuild. Only ONE option included moving West High to the East Side of 300 W. This option was the cheapest and is the worst for generations of students to come. Of the FOUR designs only this design causes harm to • the existing neighborhood. Without a proper design review process, there is nothing to prevent the construction of monolithic, incompatible structures that clash with our community's fabric. This will • cause irreversible harm to our neighborhood's aesthetic and historical integrity. • • • • • TRAFFIC - This design includes entrances to multiple parking lots on 200 N and 200 W. These streets can not accommodate this amount of traffic. On 200 W, there are two lanes separated by an island. In order to get to the parking entrance for the school, the driver must drive through a residential neighborhood. Alternatively, by keeping all parking lot entrances on 300 W, drivers can easily access the school from a street capable of handling that volume of traffic. I urge you to deny this petition. We should not be forced to choose between supporting our schools and protecting the character of our neighborhoods. The City Council must uphold the zoning laws that ensure new development enhances, not harms, the communities it serves. Please encourage SLCS D to re-evaluate these options. Picking the cheapest option makes the neighborhood worse and provides a worse school for countless Don't be the villains of a Pixar movie. Sincerely, Colin Kingsbury</p>
9/2/2025 8:44	Jack Weis	Delta Center Parking Garage	<p>The Delta Center parking garage sounds like a good idea, and we shouldn't get in the way of it getting built! That said, I am opposed to diverting our tax money to buy shiny trinkets for billionaires. We should get equity in the Jazz / Mammoth in proportion to the money we are kicking in for this, or tell Ryan Smith to pay for it himself. We all know the handful of foodservice jobs this will create for us isn't going to come anywhere close to making this thing pay for itself. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/sports-jobs-taxes-are-new-stadiums-worth-the-cost/</p>
9/2/2025 9:10	Jim BROWN	Proposed development agreement with Jazz Arena Investors	<p>Hi Chris, As much as like to see the city slowdown in the entire growth because it's too big already, I suppose if you're going to keep the huge box (Delta center) you have to have parking. I'd actually rather see the entire sports industry move to the west desert or farther and put in something less congestive. I also know my taxes would rise so we really have a dilemma between affordability and having a nice place to live. Slow it down wherever you can please. Jim Brown</p>
9/2/2025 9:16	Sue Baca	Proposed development agreement with Jazz Arena Investors	<p>Please include a map showing where it will be placed.</p>
9/2/2025 9:18	Ronda Landa	Proposed development agreement with Jazz Arena Investors	<p>I like the idea of a 7 story parking garage. It is definitely needed in that area. Regards, Ronda RONDA LANDA SVP • Commercial Sales Fidelity National Title National Commercial Services Utah</p>
9/2/2025 9:27	Leah Schaefer	SEG Parking Proposal	<p>Why do they need a parking garage? There is a lot of parking at the Gateway, the Salt Palace and around the area. I don't particularly oppose how they've designed it, but it doesn't seem necessary. Couldn't they help make the other parking better or more easily accessible instead?</p>
9/2/2025 13:08	Scott Arnold	Z 20250132 PLNPCM2025-00320	<p>Please oppose zoning change to allow building much larger schools. This new proposed ordinance will definitely clash with the look & feel of our historic neighborhood. On behalf of myself & our neighbors > vote against this!</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/2/2025 13:21	Emily Sloan-Pace	DCC response on SEG Delta Center Parking Garage	<p>Response from the Salt Lake City Downtown Community Council, As representatives of the downtown community, we strongly oppose Smith Entertainment Group's (SEG) request to override Salt Lake City's ordinance requiring ground-floor activation. Constructing a vehicle-oriented garage without meaningful pedestrian design undermines the city's vision for a vibrant, inclusive downtown. SEG has demonstrated its ability to create immersive experiences for arena visitors and entertainment district patrons, yet it has not shown the same commitment to public spaces that serve residents and workers. Their investment strategy prioritizes ticketholders while neglecting the broader community. The ordinance exists for a reason. As outlined in the City's planning framework, downtown development must "promote future downtown growth that is safe...and encourages downtown living." This vision is already realized in places like Copper Common, Artspace, Granary, and the Post District—where thoughtful design has turned inactive street frontage into dynamic, human-scaled gathering spaces. Utah Jazz President Jim Olson's claim that "this corner is not adaptable to street activation and habitable space" is both inaccurate and dismissive of decades of urban design principles championed by Salt Lake City. Cities nationwide have successfully integrated active ground floors into developments with complex service needs. Solutions like screened service bays, flexible retail modules, and reconfigured loading access prove that logistics and livability are not mutually exclusive. To declare this site "not adaptable" is to abandon the creativity and civic responsibility expected of a group benefiting from public funding and a privileged position in our city. Residents, business owners, and visitors deserve streetscapes that energize—not isolate—the downtown experience. Granting this exemption would set a troubling precedent, signaling that city ordinances are negotiable when convenience is at stake. It would also weaken efforts to attract housing, businesses, and cultural life by making downtown less safe, less welcoming, and less consistent for pedestrians. For these reasons, we urge the City to uphold its ordinance and require SEG to meet the same standards as every other stakeholder committed to the long-term vitality of Salt Lake City's downtown. Our community cannot afford a future shaped by exceptions that erode the principles we've worked hard to build. (Sent by Emily Sloan-Pace, Vice Chair DCC)</p>
9/2/2025 13:29	Beth Noyce	Proposed Delta Center Parking Garage	<p>Please approve the parking garage but do not waive the requirement for active ground-floor uses along street-facing sides. The area would become less walkable without enforcing this requirement. My disabled sister, who lives in a Gateway-area apartment, needs more walkability, not less. Thank you.</p>
9/2/2025 18:11	Anonymous Constituent	Richmond Park	<p>In the last 72 hours Richmond Park had gotten as bad as it had been in several years. They're had been a group camped in front of the Wasatch Community Garden and harassing people trying to access the garden. Which they paid to have access! They've stolen chairs so they can be comfortable. This afternoon the owner of the chairs attempted to retrieve them and was threatened and pushed out. This group had been paying all day every day for 3 fucking days. There are also 20 other people camped in the park besides this group. In the last 72 hours my doors to my home have been checked to see if they are open. Every single day! Mail had been stolen. Again. There is human waste everywhere. Garbage everywhere. Needles are being thrown into people's yards. A neighbor had yard decorations vandalized and broken. Cars are being checked. This is just the last 72 hours. It cannot continue. This neighborhood and it's residents shouldn't bare the brunt of bullshit because Taufer Park closed. Figure this out. Now!!!!</p>
9/2/2025 20:18	James Ogilvie	SHooting at the No Kings demonstration	<p>Perhaps I have missed an update but an innocent bystander was killed by a vigilante "peace keeper" at the No Kings demonstration. Have charge been filed? What is the disposition?</p>