| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name            | Subject   | Description   |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| 12/4/2024 11:39  | Michael Parker          | UIP Letter for LHM Support<br>**Attachment 1 - 1 page | Council member Petro, As we discussed yesterday, UIP as a nonprofit consortium of our community's leading<br>civic and philanthropic leaders is proud to support the City and LHM's efforts to enhance and invest in the<br>westside, which will lead to tangible benefits for the core issues behind homelessness, housing instability,<br>economic displacement and broader human suffering. We would ask this is added to the record with the vote.<br>Thank you for your leadership, Michael  |
| 12/4/2024 16:38  | Lily Collins            | Train Horn Issues                                     | Hi I'm calling in because I'm not able to sleep at night for like 2-3 months now because of the train horns, I get<br>about 2-3 hours to sleep and I am up at 6 am everyday and I'm going insane because of the train, it's been causing<br>the problem in my sleep and causing the problem with my work life and causing the problem with my brain. When<br>are these train horn problem will be resolve? So the people in the Wasatch front can get some sleep for god sake.  |
| 12/4/2024 16:40  | Melanie Pehrson Pehrson | Responds to Train Quiet Zone Update                   | When will this closure be implemented and how long will this closure last?  |
| 12/6/2024 12:32  | Brad R Christensen      | Closing 1000 W Crossing                               | Somehow it had escaped my attention that it was on the table to close the 1000 W crossing until I read articles<br>about it today. To abandon access and deprive an isolated neighborhood of one of its few connections is not an<br>acceptable solution to the quiet zone problem in my opinion. And for up to two years? It is crazy to me, honestly, I<br>can't see how councilmembers Petro and Puy in particular might find "relief" in closing one of only four streets that<br>connect Fairpark and Poplar Grove. This is a failure, not a solution, and will no doubt exacerbate issues the nearby<br>community has fought hard to minimize, this smacks of the same sort of thinking that led to the closure of the<br>adjacent Madsen Park and the Jordan River trail a block and a half away. If there's a problem on the west side, it's<br>cheaper and easier to just remove the amenity than fix the problem It's a troubling pattern, one that has<br>impacted this particular area way to much lately.  |
| 12/9/2024 11:30  | Cindy Cromer            | Why a "troika" for leadership is worrisome            | Chris-Thank you for attending the Greater Avenues meeting last Wednesday and mentioning that the City Council<br>is considering a reorganization of leadership for positions on the Council and the RDA. The Council can certainly<br>change its own organization under Policy and Procedures. I don't know enough about the RDA's governance to<br>know whether the Council has the authority to make that change unilaterally without a public process. As I said at<br>the Avenues meeting, I consider the proposal worrisome for the following reasons: -the inefficiency of having such<br>a major leadership position turn over every year -the potential for competition with the Mayor's office and -the<br>inequity of making the position of chair so time-consuming that some members of the Council could not assume it<br>because of other responsibilities. Since my remarks last week, I've also thought about the possible changes in<br>membership on the Council coming up in a year. Based on what I am hearing, 2 members of the Council have said<br>that they will not run for re-election. That means that we start 2026 with AT MOST 5 experienced members of the<br>Council, possibly fewer, maybe 3. Back to the issue of equity: Under the proposal, one of these experienced<br>Council members would have to step up to the lead position. It is not a matter of 1 out of 7 having the time to do it;<br>the horizon is that 1 out of 5 would need to be ready. And it couldn't be the same as the Council member who<br>served in 2025. The proposal requires that in the year 2026, one out of at most 4 Council members has to be ready<br>to step into what I view as a full-time job. That's how I see the proposal over the next 15 monthstoo few people<br>available. In short, I do not think that now is the time for an experiment with the organization of leadership.<br>Respectfully, cindy c |



December 3, 2024

Salt Lake City Council Members,

We write today in strong support of the Larry H. Miller Company's proposed investment in Salt Lake City's Westside. As leaders of the Utah Impact Partnership, we see this as a critical opportunity to accelerate positive change in our city.

Three key points for your consideration:

First, the scale of this investment is unprecedented for the Westside. It will create immediate opportunities for housing, jobs, and economic development in an area that has historically received limited private investment.

Second, the Larry H. Miller Company has consistently delivered on their commitments to our community. Their track record includes successful mixed-income housing developments, job creation initiatives, and strategic partnerships with local organizations. This isn't speculative—it's proven performance.

Third, this investment complements the city's broader development goals. As downtown Salt Lake City continues to grow with new sports and entertainment venues, the Miller Company's Westside investment will help ensure these economic benefits extend throughout our community.

The Miller Company and Miller family have demonstrated their commitment to addressing our city's challenges through direct action. Through the Utah Impact Partnership, they've helped pioneer new approaches to homelessness, affordable housing, and economic mobility. Their investment strategy consistently balances business growth with community benefit.

We urge the Council to support this proposal. It represents exactly the type of private investment our city needs to address our housing shortage, create economic opportunities, and strengthen our communities.

Sincerely

Clark Ivory Chair, Utah Impact Partnership

Michael Paliter Executive Director, Utah Impact Partnership

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name            | Subject                                       | Description   |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| 12/9/2024 16:35  | Anne ruth Ruth Isaacson | Title 18 Feedback                             | SLC Community Council Title 18 Contributors RE: Title 18 Feedback Dear SLC Community Council Members and<br>Title 18 contributors, Thank you for your ongoing efforts on Title 18. Based on listening to your sessions on this<br>topic, and my own experience on how vacant properties have negatively impacted my own neighborhood, my<br>thoughts and recommendations for some meaningful measures to make owners of vacant properties properly<br>maintain them are as follows: 1) Small claims court thresholds are so low, many developers see these low<br>fees/fines as preferable to the cost of maintaining secure, safe, clean buildings & landscapes. 2) Penalties for non-<br>compliance should be relative to the property value and offer a pain threshold high enough so that it is a clear<br>financial benefit to comply. 3) Extension Requests on property approvals, not "permitted" within the 1-year<br>approval period, and not maintained within SLC guidelines, should be declined. 4) Severe penalties, against<br>owners of neglected properties, must be made when vacant properties become the burden of the Police and Fire<br>Departments. 5) A tally should be kept of non-compliant properties, resulting in a rating scale for owner property<br>maintenance compliance. This rating should be considered during the approval process for design reviews,<br>planning reviews and permit approvals. Safe, vibrant and secure communities are the result of people investing in<br>those communities, living there, making them better and maintaining them. When properties are left vacant and<br>neglected by owners, it shows a lack of care or investment in the community, and invites vandalism, crime and fire<br>risks. Please make Title 18th strong enough to stop these multi-year blights, and crime magnates perpetuating<br>within our communities. Sincerely, Anne Ruth Isaacson |
| 12/9/2024 16:41  | Bernie Hart             | We need to be having a different conversation | Hi All, Interesting session. The Miller Group claims they are "helping" the homeless, yet the number of people who are sleeping on the street is going up. The folks that spoke about Scientology and the Kingston Family said both those groups told their followers they had an answer. The Catholic Church, The LDS Church and every homeless service provider says they have an answer. Residential treatment programs are being shut down on a regular basis. Our jails were talked about as a place that could "help" people in trouble. Not happening. Suicides are up. And nothing ever seems to change. We have become experts at pretending we are doing good. How do we create a different conversation? The least we should expect from our community leaders is an honest conversation about where the most vulnerable amongs us can go to get the help that will actually help them. That is the conversation I would like to have. Someone please cc this to The Miller Group. Bernie  |
| 12/9/2024 16:44  | Bernie Hart             | New effort The numbers will tell the story    | Wayne and Katherine,, Good morning. I've heard the toughest shelter to deal with is the Women's Shelter on 700S.<br>We will set up this morning between the sidewalk and street. Numbers: We told our group that we would be<br>meeting in front of the shelter this morning. We will measure how many folks travel with us to the shelter. This will<br>give us a base number to work with as we start traveling around the city. We will measure the number of women<br>that join us each day for the next week. We will then record any increase during future visits. We will create a<br>research project that monitors the effort. If the Shelter is willing, we will compare the numbers of participants to<br>existing shelter programs. We will over time monitor the number of long term residents levels of participation. We<br>can monitor time outside for current residents who are service and housing resistant. Do they move into housing?<br>We will monitor the current Homeless Oversight's Board and Salt Lake County's response if the program is<br>successful. Then we will start collecting data on I expect Obiwan type numbers, Bernie  |
| 12/9/2024 16:46  | Tiona Todoruk           | Please put garbage cans back on the street    | Hello, Why have you removed the garbage cans from walking areas with take out restaurants. If you want a clean city, make it convenient for people to throw out their trash. Benches are unnecessary with the planters. Thank you Tiona   |

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name    | Subject   | Description   |
|------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| 12/10/2024 9:28  | Ambreen Khan    | Statement on immigration                            | Hello Ale and SLC council members, I hope you are doing well. As you know, I am a resident of District 2 and an<br>immigrant myself. I am writing to urge you to issue a statement and declare SLC as a sanctuary city in response to<br>Gov. Cox's brazen statement calling for escalations in deportations. Our migrant workers keep our city and state<br>running, and they deserve to live in dignity without fear. I urge you to denounce Gov. Cox's statement and be vocal<br>in your support for our undocumented neighbors. Thank you! Ambreen  |
| 12/10/2024 9:29  | Frederick Jenny | Comment for the Council                             | Honorable Salt Lake City Council, I am writing to you all today to make sure my voice is heard on a few very important things that our city, county, and state can be working on. You all know who I am, but what you might not know about me is that I am an environmentalist, author, cyclist, and urbanist. My current passion is the Rio Grande Plan, a cilizen-led proposal to bury the rails beginning at 1300 South and re-emerging at 600 North, rerouting the tracks to the historic rail corridor along 500 West and utilizing the iconic Rio Grande Depot as Utah's Central Station. While yes, I know that there are differing opinions on where to bury the tracks—or even if burying the tracks is the best way to ease the socioeconomic divide of East and West Salt Lake County residents—or how to minimize stalled cars polluting our air at ever longer train stops, or if utilizing the historic Rio Grande Depot as Utah's Central Station Would enhance the FrontRunner and Trax experience and thus increase ridership. Those points can be debated for years as they have been since 1999 when the choice was made to build Salt Lake Central Station. It's my opinion we cannot keep studying the same thing repeatedly—we must move forward with the Rio Grande Plan. This leads to my second concern: the Green Loop. The Green Loop is a beautiful concept that will transform downtown SLC, but it will die on the vine if it is not properly deployed and properly funded. The way to get at least part of the Green Loop completed is to fund it completely or partially under the Rio Grande Plan. The 500 West street will need to be reconstructed after the Rio Grande Plan rai hox is installed. That gives the opportunity to aid in the Mayor's plan without disrupting the Rio Grande Plan and it allows for the cost of the Green Loop (along 500 West—at a minimum) to be covered by federal and state funds. This all leads to the completion of a major goal of SLC RDA: revitalization and expansion of the Granary and Depot Districts. It's not just the central downtown area |
| 12/10/2024 15:12 | Bernie Hart     | We may have a problem and the problem may not be us | Chief Brown, During the incident involving our work with the homeless and the staff at the homeless shelter on<br>700S, your officers and staff at the facility claimed that we had no right to set up our program in the area between<br>the sidewalk and the curb. They both claimed that use of the area was restricted to uses approved by VOA. This<br>morning I asked Salt Lake City departments for information about the parcel and was told there appeared to be no<br>existing agreements on record that disallowed the public from using the space. So, unless someone can provide<br>us with the proper documentation, we will be there in the morning. Thanks, Bernie Hart   |

| Date/Time Opened | Contact Name     | Subject         | Description   |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---|
| 12/10/2024 17:03 | Grace Cunningham | General Comment | I am the project coordinator for a local grassroots non-profit called Powerful Moms Who Care. We work with    |
|                  |                  |                 | homeless/low-income mothers and their kids and we would like to see family supportive housing built in SLC-   |
|                  |                  |                 | specifically the ballpark neighborhood. Family supportive housing (FSH) should include case management, 3-4   |
|                  |                  |                 | bedroom units and childcare. Please reach out with any suggestions. Powerful Moms Who Care would like to work |
|                  |                  |                 | in tandem with the city on this.  |