

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/10/2024 10:22	Aziz Abuzayed	Ceasefire in Gaza	I hope the city council gather up enough courage to call for a ceasefire with 30,000 murdered Palestinians including 12,000 children. 7 of whom are my relatives.
1/10/2024 17:01	Gloria No lastname	Code Blue	Why in the world doesn't your mayor open up some of the empty motels, churches etc and make room for these poor homeless people. We all knew winter was coming. She needs to plan ahead. She also needs to stop giving building permits for new homes that nobody can afford and start building homes or apartments that people can afford. That would help the homeless in a huge way!
1/10/2024 23:54	Melissa Murphy	ruining the soul of Sugarhouse	Please do not allow Sugarhouse to become a mini downtown with skyscrapers. The roads are too narrow to support all of these insanely large projects that have already been approved. Sugarhouse is looking like a corporate wasteland of conformity when it used to have personality and small businesses.
1/11/2024 12:52	Cori Herbig	(EXTERNAL) Mars, Inc. and Nature's Bakery Announcement	<p>Dear Members of the Salt Lake City Council, I'm writing to introduce myself and to make sure you saw the exciting news we announced this morning – Mars is bringing a new Nature's Bakery facility to Salt Lake City! Nature's Bakery, a part of the Mars, Incorporated family of brands, produces on-the-go snacks, like Whole Wheat Fig Bars, Oatmeal Crumble Bars, and Brownie Bars, that are plant-based, dairy-free, nut-free and non-GMO Project Verified. The brand will invest \$237 million in Salt Lake City, Utah to develop a cutting-edge baking facility that will span 339,000 square feet and create over 190 new jobs. The facility is scheduled to be fully operational in July 2025, with the capacity to bake 345 million twin packs per year. The new facility reflects Nature's Bakery's commitment to innovation and quality, as well as its confidence in Utah's business environment. Read more about our announcement in today's press release, available here: https://www.edcutah.org/recent-news/mars-announces-237-million-baking-facility-in-salt-lake-city. Mars is especially excited that this investment will bring a deeper connection to Salt Lake City and the broader Utah community. We proudly employ over 620 Associates in Utah already at our 21 VCA®, BANFIELD® and BluePearl™ pet hospitals and two CAMP BOW WOW® locations. Another Mars brand, TRU FRU, is headquartered in West Valley City. Nationwide, Mars employs over 70,000 Associates at facilities in 49 states, including 36 factories and over 2,000 veterinary service facilities. Over the past five years, Mars has invested over \$4.8 billion in the United States. As construction begins in Salt Lake City, I look forward to introducing you to Mars and building a close partnership. Please feel free to reach out anytime with any questions or concerns you may have. Thank you. Sincerely, Cori Menkin Herbig</p>
1/12/2024 10:04	Gary Reimer	Comments re Carrigan View Phase II Development Plans D6 **attachment 1: 4 pages	s Eric and Dan, Attached please find our comments regarding the proposed development. In summary, we very much support what is being proposed and we appreciate the thought has gone into the planning which considers the issues brought on by the popularity of the trailheads on our street. Attached are two photos that illustrate a couple of the points we make in our comments. Thanks again for your planning and for soliciting our input. Best regards, Gary & Nikki Reimer 801-231-8040 (mobile)
1/12/2024 10:47	Julie Hall	Sugar house high rise/D6	Mr Dugan, Thank you for your service in my district. I'm writing to let you know that we are very opposed to the high rise proposal across from Barnes and Noble. Sugar house is already so congested and has beautiful views. Please don't change zoning to allow for it. Thank you, Julie Hall

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/12/2024 10:49	Jan Hemming	Traffic concerns at new Rowland Hall Campus on Sunnyside/D6 **attachment 2: 2 pages	<p>Jon: I've attached a letter sent from the Yalecrest Neighborhood Council last month to Diana Martinez in the Planning Division regarding Rowland Hall's new campus design plan. Our primary concern is how the new campus will be a trigger-point for higher traffic volumes on Sunnyside Avenue — impacting auto and pedestrian safety. The letter describes the issue and is supported by the entire YNC board. Headmaster Mick Gee explained at an informal community meeting Tuesday night, that the school has donated \$100,000 to the city toward traffic mitigation. We applaud the school for making this commitment. Let me underscore the general goodwill that Yalecrest feels toward Rowland Hall as it embarks on this ambitious campus project. The school revealed that a traffic study conducted last summer in connection with this new campus plan "failed." And, as a result of the study the school enlarged the pick-up and drop-off entrance three-fold. That may solve one aspect of the traffic situation but how cars get into and out of Rowland Hall using Sunnyside Avenue is a greater issue. Planning Director Nick Norris told us last year that Sunnyside Avenue is the most unregulated street — of its size — in Salt Lake. You probably know about the serious, life-threatening accidents that occurred on Sunnyside last year. Given that the campus will attract a higher volume of cars — on a street notorious for speeding — is of deep, deep concern to us. Also, 83 students who reside in Yalecrest attend Rowland Hall. In good weather, these students might attempt to cross the busy 4-lane Sunnyside thoroughfare. Think: hoodies that block vision, running and stumbling on the road, trying to out-race a car, a bicycle malfunction, etc. We hope we can work proactively with your department to devise some type of traffic "island" that prevents left hand turns from the campus onto Sunnyside (signs are ineffective), regulate those driving west to east on Sunnyside as they attempt left hand turns into the campus, as well as have proactive plans for student pedestrians. Let me emphasize that this is not a "Yalecrest issue" — but should be seen as a problem that you and the entire city wants to solve, especially a city committed to a Vision Zero policy. I've copied Josh Stewart from Yalecrest who is on the Transportation Advisory Committee, Mick Gee, Rowland Hall headmaster, Robin Carbaugh, former YNC chair who resides on Sunnyside Avenue, City Councilman Dan Dugan, and the YNC board. I look forward to hearing from you. Respectfully, Jan Hemming Chair Yalecrest Neighborhood Council</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/12/2024 10:52	Kelly Hannah	HBV SLC, LLC re-zoning petition	<p>Good afternoon, Dan! It was great to meet you yesterday at the Newsmaker Breakfast and thank you for your work on the City Council! It is valued and appreciated! I mentioned I would forward my comments to you regarding the Harbor Bay Ventures petition to amend the zoning code for their proposed development in Sugar House. While I live and work in districts 5 and 7, respectively, here are my sentiments as a citizen of our Great Salt Lake City: "My name is Kelly Hannah and I own and operate a local, independent real estate brokerage, eightline real estate, in the streel level commercial space of the Urbana on Eleventh Condominiums located at REDACTED. The zone is CSHBD2. I purchased the property in 2011 after a detailed review of the Sugar House Master Plan, related land use maps and zoning ordinances, and my real time and place experience walking through the Business District. I found the plans and codes to be well written and to account for sensible and balanced growth, which played a substantial role in my decision to purchase the property. I am writing to urge the Sugar House Community Council, the Salt Lake City Council, and other decision-makers to deny the petition by HBV SLC, LLC to amend the zoning code. While there are a host of reasons this proposed amendment should be denied, my core concern is that the developer is effectively asking for a spot approval zoning exemption. Whether the proposed new zone is called a "Sustainability Zone" or by any other name, this parcel specific request should be denied. Beyond the egregious act of granting the developer of this parcel an effective exemption from the current code, the granting of this petition would create a disastrous precedent for the future growth and development of Salt Lake City, in whole. I purchased my office space with a dream to grow my local, independent real estate brokerage in the heart of Sugar House. Integrated with the community. Integrated with the master plan. And, it is working. I have helped hundreds of people purchase and sell their homes, including a handful whose homes would be, both literally and figuratively, in the shadow of this "zoning exempted" development, if granted. Lastly, which I must also note, my street level office space is less than 200 feet north of the subject property, and I would contend that the granting of this singularly focused petition would create hardship for my business, my real estate, and my personal well being. Please deny the petition."</p> <p>Kelly Hannah</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/12/2024 14:10	Stephen Miller	Unacceptable street snow management/D6	<p>Mr. Dugan, It is currently 11:00 AM on 1/11/2024, and the streets of our neighborhood (Arcadia Heights) have remained completely unplowed. There are two specific issues here: #1) The majority of the neighborhood is made up of priority 1 streets. This is a new low in Salt Lake City's poor history of snow removal to not even plow the priority 1 streets. I narrowly avoided a major accident at the intersection of 2100 S and Foothill because 2100 S was still completely unplowed. Even if the streets department doesn't care about our other priority 1 streets, surely 2100 S should be considered arterial enough to deserve attention. #2) I have attempted to have this problem rectified in the past but will again bring it up: our street, Glen Oaks Drive, is the only non-dead-end road in the neighborhood not designated as a priority 1 street. It is an extremely steep grade, and between dangerous to impossible to drive it unplowed. While it is certainly not arterial by any stretch, it is no different than any of the other priority 1 streets in the neighborhood by that character. Not only does leaving it unplowed create a consistent dangerous situation winter after winter, it's also wildly inefficient to have a snowplow come plow every other street in the neighborhood except for ours, skip Glen Oaks Drive, and then have to return just to plow it alone later on. What can be done to change the priority designation of Glen Oaks Drive? Something needs to be done to improve the snow management situation in the city. We are not Las Vegas, or Phoenix, or Los Angeles, where it would be understandable to be unprepared for major snowfall and the street management that is required for that. Salt Lake City should be prepared for snowy roads every single year. Sincerely, Stephen Miller</p>
1/16/2024 13:12	Gloria Soriano	thoughts on homelessness project	<p>My name is Gloria Soriano, I am a senior in high school. I currently live in Glendale. Over the years I've seen that the homelessness issue we in this country have gotten more and more out of hand, especially with all the hardships we had over the years, the main one being covid19 and that taking a big impact on us. Its sad seeing all these people out on the streets and finding out how a lot of unhoused people are veterans, when the government should at least be helping them somehow since they fought for this country. When I looked at the 23-24 winter plan to help homelessness, I was glad on seeing the resources that will be provided to them for this winter season, since winters in Utah can be brutal. I read about how salt lake city county added over 600 beds, and how food will be provided 24/7. I read a bit about the Microshelters and I thought that was an idea. It was nice seeing all the recourses that unhoused people can get this winter season.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/16/2024 13:16	Tiffany Shult 1/2	General comment	<p>Hello City Council members, My name is Tiffany Shults, and I am writing to you today to address one of the gravest concerns that requires your immediate attention. As of today, January 13th, 2024, there have been 23,843 Palestinian men, women, and children who have lost their lives due to the relentless siege on Palestine by the Israeli military. This loss of life has occurred in 99 days. Half of Gaza is at risk of starvation. The list of heartbreaking atrocities continues to build daily: - Only 6 ambulances remain in operation in the Gaza Strip, due to the targeting of life-saving transportation by Israeli forces. - Only 9 hospitals remain in operation in Gaza, without necessary medical supplies or electricity, according to Palestinian Health Minister Mai al-Kaila. - 344 Palestinians have been killed in the West Bank since October 7th. 90 of those are children. Most recently, 18 year old Khaled Ahmed Zubaidi succumbed to injuries after being severely beaten by Israeli forces during a raid on the town of Zeita in the West Bank. - 5,835 Palestinians have been taken hostage by Israeli forces since October 7th. - The Palestinian Health Ministry reports that on the 99th day of the siege, 23,843 Palestinian men, women, and children have been killed. 60,317 Palestinians have been wounded in Israeli attacks since October 7th. This equals to one in 20 Palestinians are dead, wounded, or missing. - Recent bombings in Rafah, where over 1 million displaced Palestinians are seeking shelter after being forced from their homes, resulted in the death of 14 Palestinians in one residential home that was struck by Israeli forces. Only women and children were in the home. One victim was a two-year-old girl, who died holding a loaf of bread in her tiny hand. - Hala Khreis, a Palestinian woman in Northern Gaza, was shot by an Israeli sniper while holding the hand of her grandson and waving a white flag as they walked along an evacuation route that had been declared safe by Israeli forces. - Omar Shakir, Human Rights Watch's director of Palestine and Israel, has stated that the humanitarian situation in Gaza is "catastrophic." More people in Gaza could die as a result of disease and starvation than those that have been killed by the military attacks. "Scores of bodies are piling up every single day. The majority of them are civilians. They include thousands of children." - UN humanitarian relief director Martin Griffiths states that Israel's campaign in Gaza has been waged "with almost no regard for the impact on civilians." - Al-Aqsa Martyrs Hospital, one of the last functional hospitals in Gaza, has run out of fuel, endangering the lives of thousands of civilian patients. - The daily death toll of Palestinians in Gaza surpasses that of any other major conflict in the 21st century, according to Oxfam. Those that survive bombings are at extremely high risk of death to starvation, cold, and disease. - At least 146 UNRWA staffers have been killed in Israeli bombings, the highest ever death toll for any UN agency in a war.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/16/2024 13:16	Tiffany Shults 2/2	CONTINUED! General comment	<p>- Journalist Mustafa Thuraya was killed in a targeted attack by Israeli forces, as well as Hamza Dahdouh, son of Al Jazeera's Gaza bureau chief Wael Dahdouh. More than 100 journalists have been killed in Gaza since October 7th. More journalists have been killed in 3 months of Israel's way than in all of World War II or the Vietnam War. - 13 year old Issa Tariq al-Souri, a seventh-grader who loved football and was so shy that he struggled to look his teachers in the eyes, was killed while taking shelter in the Greek Orthodox Church. Issa was an only child. - Israeli military has targeted the Palestine Red Crescent society headquarters three different times since October 7th. As a critical support to Gaza's healthcare system, the damage to medical equipment stored inside has added to increased and prolonged human suffering in Gaza. - An unidentified baby girl was taken from her home in Gaza by an Israeli army captain back to Israel. The soldier has since died from war wounds, and the whereabouts of this innocent baby remain unknown. The targeting of civilians, hospitals, sacred and safe places of worship, journalists, and life-sustaining necessities must come to an immediate end. South Africa has charged Israel with genocide in the International Court of Justice. I stand with South Africa in this charge. And I am not alone. We the people demand that the our representatives, who are our voices, call for an immediate ceasefire in Palestine. We demand that the Unites States government end the financial aid to Israel, as well as the supplies of ammunition and war equipment that is allowing these atrocities to continue. We are not willing to stand idly by with blood on our hands. If any representative does not call for an immediate ceasefire, then we will ensure they will be removed from their positions for failure to represent the people of the United States, of Utah, and of Salt Lake City. We the people hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness. - We hold these truths not only for our fellow Americans, but for all men, women, and children around the world. We demand that the Palestinian people be given their rights for life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. And we demand that you call for a ceasefire, now. You are our voice, and this is not an ask. This is quite simply our requirement of you. Call for an immediate ceasefire. Thank you,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Tiffany Shults</p>

January 10, 2024

Gary and Nikki Reimer



Salt Lake City, UT 84109

RE: Carrigan View Phase II Planned Development

Dear Mr. Daems,

Thanks for taking the time recently to discuss the planned development at the north end of Lakeline Drive. We are responding to your request for comments. Our house is at the end of Lakeline Drive, immediately adjacent to the current trailhead and the proposed new road and parking lot.

Summary

In summary, we very much appreciate and support the currently contemplated development as it has been described in the plans on the SLC website, and as further explained to us by Dan Dugan (our City Council representative), the open space planner we met with, and you:

- An extension of Lakeline Drive beyond the current cul-de-sac to a gated community of three homes situated on the downhill (south) side of the new road, which ends in a new cul-de-sac; and
- An addition of a parking area for 8 to 12 cars situated in the north side of the new road, to service the needs of hikers and those visiting the Bonneville Shoreline Trail and East Bench Nature Preserve.

This development, done right, offers an opportunity to complete the development of Lakeline Drive, preserve public access to the foothills in ways that consider the nearby homes, and rectify many of the negative impacts currently existing. We compliment and thank the developer, the planning staff and Mr. Dugan for recognizing the current problems and thoughtfully addressing them in the plans.

Current Situation

It is safe to say that no one is more impacted than us and our neighbors by the current trailhead situation and the proposed development. We have lived on the west side of the cul-de-sac at the north end of Lakeline Drive since we built our house in 1993, 30 years ago. We are the last house on Lakeline before the current undeveloped space. Our front yard is immediately adjacent to the Bonneville Shoreline / East Bench Preserve trailhead

formalized by the City several years ago, and is a few yards west of the park earlier installed at the Jack's Peak trailhead. Yet a third trailhead was installed a block or two down the street a couple of years ago.

The trailheads are a great asset to the community. We respect their value to the East Bench residents near and far, and we enjoy them ourselves. Yet, they have brought significant traffic and problems to our street. The main problems to date have been parking congestion at busy times (blocking our mailboxes and crowding out our visitors) and especially for the Reimers, dogs off leash. Meager signage citing leash requirements and other rules in fine print are generally ignored by visitors to the area.

For our house in particular, dogs off leash are a significant problem. The open space in the preserve and the undeveloped land beyond the cul-de-sac understandably offer an irresistible attraction to dog owners. A significant portion of these people completely ignore the City's leash laws, which is not really a problem in the open space but is a big problem on the street. Unfortunately, most of the current parking for the trailhead is on the street immediately in front of our house. People roar up in their cars, let their dogs out off leash, and often ignore them while they get ready for their walk or talk on their phones. We routinely clean up dog droppings on our front lawn and have even had to clean them up on our front porch! Dogs have lifted their leg on the planter by our front door. People treat our end of the street like a giant dog park.

This has to stop. We have appealed for enforcement and for clear street signs announcing the leash laws and the penalties for non-compliance. We hope we can get these installed.

Comments on New Development

- **The street extension and the new homes**
 - We support this. When we built our house 30 years ago we expected more homes beyond the existing cul-de-sac, and we are aware of the small area master plan history and how the developer was eventually limited to three home lots despite plans for many more. It is only fair that the developer be allowed to complete this, despite any opposition that may be put forth by those unaware of this history.
 - **We very much appreciate that the homes are to be situated together on the downhill side of the new street, where they will be less noticeable to the users of the trails and to the existing homes.**
 - We are not opposed to the street gate as long as it is not too loud when operated. It won't be far from our bedrooms. We assume it will not impede pedestrian traffic.

- **Suggestions/requests:**
 - Post the lower speed limit (20 mph) starting at the current cul-de-sac, along with possibly speed bumps on the new street. This would be appropriate here given the street gate and the number of hikers, and it would help to cut down on noise for the two nearby homes (ours and our neighbors) bordering the new street.
- **The relocated trailheads and parking area to serve them**
 - Because the lot will be right across from our yard, we have some specific comments.
 - **First, the proposed off-street parking area is a critical and much needed aspect of the development.** It is very welcome and should relieve most of the parking problems in the present cul-de-sac.
 - It will also move most of the dog-walkers (who drive up with their dogs) out of the current cul-de-sac into the new parking area, away from front yards. It cannot be overstated what a nuisance this is to our homes at the end of the street.
 - **Suggestions/requests:**
 - We understand that the lot is to be located on the north side of the new street, and not immediately adjacent to us. We very much appreciate this.
 - To be fair to the new homes, we shouldn't just move the dog problem to them. If possible the parking area should be organized in a way that directs/funnels hikers and dog walkers out of the lot directly to the trails and keeps the dogs off the street and out of front yards. Perhaps the lot could be fenced, and / or there could be a fence leading from the lot between the trail and the new road until it gets past the last home. Fencing around the lot may not work as it may interfere with a snow plow. But anything to keep dogs off the street would be good.
 - The leash laws should remain in effect. We further request that a couple of street signs are posted on Lakeline from the new trailhead that was installed down the street to the new development, warning people that the leash laws are to be obeyed and indicating the penalties. Please see the attached sign that Sandy City uses at Dimple Dale Park.
 - Again, dogs off leash unofficially on the trails are not a bother. The concern is on the street and in neighborhood front yards.

- We assume the parking lot would be paved. Gravel would be loud due to tire noise and would create dust. Again, our home and our neighbor will be adjacent to this lot.
- The lot should be lit but not too brightly, and patrolled. We often get people parking late at night at the end of Lakeline and this lot, being more secluded, will be an attraction.
- It would be nice if some small trees could be planted shielding the parking area and street from the two affected homes.
- We understand that Parcel A, which is contiguous to our home and our neighbor, is to remain undeveloped, providing a buffer between us and the new street, parking lot and trails. We appreciate this. In any case there is a water line junction there which would make any change costly.
- Finally, we hope that this would be the end of any further development. Specifically, we would be opposed to any additional “park” infrastructure other than the parking lot. In our view the development should be minimalistic in nature. Providing off-street access and parking is all that is needed. (Frankly, the current park at the end of Lakeline is not well maintained, if at all. Installing more park infrastructure and then not maintaining it looks worse than just letting the natural landscape be).

Thank you for your consideration of neighborhood concerns and for your good planning. Again, we appreciate the thought that has gone into these plans. We hope that this is the final development to take place on Lakeline and that it brings resolution to the existing problems in the best possible way for everyone. All of the neighbors at the end of our street share these concerns.

We would be happy to discuss further the above, and we look forward to the completion of the development.

Sincerely,

Gary and Nikki Reimer



December 14, 2023
Yalecrest Neighborhood Council
Re: SLC Planning Division Project PLNPCM2023-00836

Senior Planner Diana Martinez:

The board of the Yalecrest Neighborhood Council wishes to comment on project PLNPCM2023-00836 – Rowland Hall Middle and Upper School Design Review -- on Sunnyside Avenue. We have received feedback from local Yalecrest residents whose homes border the school on Sunnyside Avenue, are within a quarter to half-mile radius of the school, as well as other nearby residents.

The issues most frequently mentioned to us include:

1. **Height extension from 30 to 65 feet.** Architectural designs seem to indicate that the impact of the additional height will be somewhat ameliorated since that section of the new school will be located on the far western side of the property below a natural slope in the hillside. Nonetheless that section will still rise above the main two-story addition and be a physical barrier on the northwest horizon. The 2011 Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CCR) called for preservation of the NW corridor view. They should be reviewed and honored.
2. **Sunnyside Avenue entrance and traffic concerns.** Several months ago, an executive in the planning division told us that Sunnyside Avenue was the least regulated street *of its size* in Salt Lake City. Traffic volumes have increased significantly in recent years and will only continue to rise. It is a busy and active thoroughfare. This summer there were three major traffic accidents on Sunnyside Avenue – two hit and runs with critical injuries to two individuals that required hospitalization, and a two-car collision resulting in one car being flipped on its roof. Two other schools are within yards of Rowland Hall – East High School and the Pingree Autism Learning Center adding more students, more drop-offs and more auto congestion to the immediate area. Rowland Hall serves about 1,030 students – 400 of which are in high school; East High about 1,900, and Pingree 350.

Locating the main school entrance off Sunnyside Avenue will add more car traffic – especially during morning commutes -- and could lead to potential traffic problems. Human nature shows that cars will be tempted to make left hand turns coming out of Rowland Hall across oncoming traffic on Sunnyside Avenue -- a potential prescription for disaster. Even with signage prohibiting left hand turns, high school students with cars will be part of the traffic mix.

Adding to the severity of potential problems is the slope of Sunnyside Avenue near that location – where eastbound cars will be coming up a hill. Cars turning left out of Rowland Hall will be moving from a standstill, making it difficult to get quickly and safely into the eastbound lane and avoid fast-moving cars coming up the hill. This must be carefully studied with possible adjustments to prevent accidents. We'd like to see

alternative plans for ingress and egress from the main entrance as well as traffic safety measures.

3. **Scale and mass.** There are some nice architectural features in the new Rowland Hall proposal especially the high ceiling glassed entryway, which is quite striking. However, the mass of the two angular buildings or spokes that jut west from the main center will be in stark contrast to the village-like feel of the existing McCarthy Campus, home to the Beginning and Lower School.

The design team may have faced constraints regarding the size of the land, the classroom and operational needs, as well as financial considerations. These could have pre-determined the degree of their creativity. Taste can be an individual matter, but the proposed new buildings look very industrial – especially when matched against the more creative character of the McCarthy Campus.

We hope that the design team and school will consider our comments and use them in a constructive way to address community concerns and seek common ground.

Respectfully

Yalecrest Neighborhood Council
Janet (Jan) Hemming, Chair
Stuart Bevan, Co-Vice Chair
Eric Povilus, Co-Vice Chair
Jennifer Evans, Secretary-Treasurer
Eric Dyer, At Large
Marguerite Henderson, At Large
Tim Ermish, At Large