

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/16/2023 12:52	Diane Whittaker	Housing initiatives	<p>Why is the council voting on the affordable housing proposals that allow fourplexes to be built in R1 zones? Every R1 council district has strongly opposed this yet it remains in the proposal. Are you all trying to get voted out of office? And obviously the council is trying to push this through before a new council is formed in January. This is an outrage. Diane Whittaker</p>
11/16/2023 13:01	Cindy Cromer	Princeton v. Laird Avenues	<p>Dan-I am going to stick with the data and avoid expressing my frustrations with this Planning Commission. Both applications involved meticulous preparation by your constituents. Both had positive recommendations from staff. Both had unanimous positive recommendations from the Landmarks Commission. The applicants did have strikingly different presentations. On Laird, it was a visually wonderful tour of the street. For Princeton, Paula wisely rebutted the arguments in the Commission's decision on Laird. Other speakers did the same, talking about the policies cited erroneously in support of the negative recommendation on Laird. The public comment was overwhelmingly positive at both hearings. I did talk to one person in the audience last night who was opposed but she didn't speak. (She is very frustrated about getting her house fixed. Aren't we all?) It is worth looking at how individual members of the Commission voted on the two proposals, considering that the quality of the applications and the prior recommendations were so similar. Laird opposed to the District: Bitton, Ghent, Tuttle, Christensen, Santos-Rivera supporting the District: Scheer, Burrows, Barry, Gayle Princeton opposed to the District: Bitton, Ghent, Tuttle supporting the District: Scheer, Burrows, Barry, Christensen, Santos-Rivera At multiple times, members of the Commission indicated that the application for Princeton had met all of the requirements. The public comments rebutted the bases for the negative recommendation in Laird. Christensen and Santos-Rivera flipped their votes. I will stop at this point, remembering Thumper's words in Bambi, "If you can't say something nice, don't say nothing at all." Onward, cindy c. _____ From: cindy cromer Sent: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:13 PM To: dan.dugan@slcgov.com <dan.dugan@slcgov.com> Subject: Princeton Ave. Dan-I left shortly after 9:00 when the Commission had voted on the community benefit. Short version: 5 in favor of the District; 3 opposed. Your constituents were amazing. The presentation on Laird Avenue was full of pretty pictures. Paula focused instead on how the Princeton application met all of the requirements. And other people kept it up, confirming the planning staff's analysis. Several members of the Commission said that the proposal met all of the requirements. Yet 3 voted against it. I will compare the votes on the 2 applications tomorrow. Some Commissioners flipped. It's like going to Vegas with this Planning Commission. c</p>
11/16/2023 13:14	Terry Marasco	NW Small Area Plan	<p>All, what appears as a preference for the Plan (away from conservation and preservation) contradicts the Salt Lake Master Plan. Despite the recommendation from Council V, Petro of a new zoning for the area, what you will encourage is more development that depreciates the area and the Jordan River, and importantly the residents in the area. The council needs to keep in front of them the City's Master Plan for guidance. Additionally, I have been in close contact with many citizens along 2200W and have to assume their inclination is what I have outlined above. Best, Terry Marasco Salt Lake City, Utah</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/16/2023 13:18	Amy Hawkins 1/2	Concerns with West Temple rezone and lack of Ballpark Community Engagement Documented - Item B7 from Nov 7 Meeting	<p>Hello City Council Members and Planning Staff, I am writing in regards to the “Ordinance: Rezone and Master Plan Amendment at Approximately 1720 South and 1734 South West Temple” Petition No. PLNPCM2023-00106 & PLNPCM2023-00380. This was heard as item B7 at a Public Hearing to accept public comment on Tuesday, November 7, 2023 at 7 p.m. at the Salt Lake City Council Formal Meeting, and therefore appeared in the Tuesday, November 7, 2023 Salt Lake City Council meeting materials packet, pages 209 – 238. I am writing for two reasons: I was surprised not to see the letter from the Ballpark Community Council about this project included in the Salt Lake City Council meeting materials packet, and I was surprised not to see any mention that the applicant formally presented their rezone proposal to the Ballpark Community Council at our monthly meeting on April 6, 2023. Planning Staff were in attendance at our Ballpark Community Council Meeting on April 6, 2023. We noted this presentation at our meeting in the letter that I read aloud to the Planning Commission at their July 26, 2023 meeting, and I was told our letter would be included in the Planning Commission’s folder (see below and attached). I am confused because I am comparing the absence of these two pieces of information to item B5 from the same Formal Meeting, “Ordinance: Rezone at 2157 South Lincoln Street,” a proposal that also went before the Planning Commission on July 26, 2023, was presented to the Sugar House Community Council, and had a Public Hearing to accept public comment on Tuesday, November 7, 2023 at 7 p.m. at the Salt Lake City Council Formal Meeting, and therefore appeared in the Tuesday, November 7, 2023 Salt Lake City Council meeting materials packet, pages 127 – 178. On page 142 of the Tuesday, November 7, 2023 Salt Lake City Council meeting materials packet, the presentation and discussion of the “Ordinance: Rezone at 2157 South Lincoln Street” proposal at the Sugar House Community Council is noted in the description of “Public Process”, and the meeting presentation is noted again in the “Project Chronology” section on page 150. Is it standard practice to include noting Community Council presentation meetings in the City Council report? We are disappointed to observe that the Ballpark Community Council meeting where an analogous presentation happened for the Rezone of 1720 South and 1734 South West Temple was not mentioned or described in the City Council meeting packet in either the description of “Public Process” (page 220) or the “Project Chronology” (page 223). In addition, the hyperlinks to the PC Minutes, PC Staff Report, and “PC Meeting of July 26, 2023 Time 1:56:05 to 2:31:04 (Click here)” are not functional on the copy of the City Council meeting packet posted online for the South West Temple proposal. In contrast, the hyperlinks are functional for the equivalent documents for the Rezone at 2157 South Lincoln Street project on page 142. Furthermore, we were surprised to not see the letter from the Ballpark Community Council included in the staff report.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/16/2023 13:18	Amy Hawkins 2/2	CONTINUED!! Concerns with West Temple rezone and lack of Ballpark Community Engagement Documented - Item B7 from Nov 7 Meeting	<p>I read the letter into the record at the July 26, 2023 Planning Commission meeting. I was informed by Kelsey Lindquist in writing (see screenshots below) that the Ballpark Community Council letter was added to the Planning Commission's folder for their July 26, 2023 meeting. My understanding is that these were the same things Judi Short from the Sugar House Community Council did: read a letter into the record that night (at 1:13:10 in the recording of the Planning Commission meeting from July 26, 2023: https://www.youtube.com/live/X_87avCjAKM?si=sQCbbkapkpfRForY&t=4390) and submit it to the Planning Commission's folder for their July 26, 2023 meeting. Judi Short's letter on behalf of the Sugar House Community Council was included the Tuesday, November 7, 2023 Salt Lake City Council meeting materials packet, page 170. We are disappointed that the Ballpark Community Council letter, which also describes our public meeting, was likely not part of the Salt Lake City Council Members decision-making process on this rezone. In fact, we did host a conversation with city planner Diana Martinez and the property owner, Larsen Sequist at our April 6th Ballpark Community Council Meeting, which we held over Zoom. Our meeting had 82 attendees and that portion of the meeting lasted about 15 minutes. In the letter, we discuss concerns with structural disparities that are not described in the meeting packet (page 213), which notes that "Concerns cited include no development proposal, parking issues, the 2016 downzone was intended to maintain the core of neighborhood homes." Some of the structural disparities we noted, and why we requested the Salt Lake City Council to wait to consider a rezone as part of a more comprehensive neighborhood planning effort, which is currently underway, included: "We have 2.4 park acres for our 6,000 neighborhood residents and our tree canopy coverage, measured by census block, matches the lowest category in the city: 1 – 11%. The results of that are the health disparities that you would expect: a noticeable, measurable heat island. When the surface temperatures were last measured by census block in Salt Lake City, Ballpark's surface temperature matched the highest in the city: 118 – 121 degrees Fahrenheit. Our childhood asthma rates are higher than the city's average." We hope to see these documents and our public meeting included in a revised Staff Report. We also hope in the future to work with Planning Staff to see that our community engagement is included in future planning reports. Sincerely, Amy J. Hawkins, PhD Chair, Ballpark Community Council facebook.com/BallparkCC/</p>
11/16/2023 16:38	Kelly Stevens	Salt Lake City	<p>What are you trying to do to your citizens? Develop super high density unaffordable housing. Case in point the ridiculous townhomes on third avenue that are currently in the market for 1.5 million. Over building ugly apartment complexes to the point where rents are dropping and it's more difficult for small landlords like me to rent out actual modestly priced apartments. That doesn't make any sense. Take away driving lanes for cars to make room for non-existent bikers. AND add poorly constructed speed bumps ALL OVER THE CITY to make it impossible to get around. The speed bumps along 11th Ave were at least constructed properly. The situation in Sugar House is so ridiculous that they are halting construction over the holidays so people can get around. Wow! Thank you! But this was another time with a competent mayor. You're making the city uninhabitable. The pro-development, anti-car mentality might sound good on paper but it doesn't work for the people who live here. Kelly Stevens</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/17/2023 12:21	Keenan Peters	Speed Bumps in the 15th and 15th area	Hey Mr. Dugan. First of all, I'd like to say I like what you've done lowering the speed limits in the 15th and 15th area. I think it creates a safer space for walking, biking and general pedestrian usage. The speedbumps being put in on 13th south are also a good addition. I have lived on Harrison Avenue, between 15th East and 16th East my whole life and people speed on this street like it's their profession. The interaction of 16th East and Harrison is one place where I think there is potential for someone to get hurt. Due to it being a common route for kids to take while walking to Uintah Elementary school and the amount it's used by walkers, I think it would be beneficial if it were turned into a 4 way stop or a raised crosswalk was put in. By breaking up the long straight street of Harrison between 17th east and 15th east it would make our community a little bit safer. If you have any questions feel free to reach out here through email or by phone through call or text. Thank you for your time, Keenan Peters
11/17/2023 12:25	Chris and Jeri Storbeck	Proposed University of Utah Baseball Facility ** Attachment 1	Mr. Dugan, Many people in your district have concerns about the proposed expansion of the University's baseball facility. I've sent a letter to the Mayor that identifies some of the potential problems. I've attached that document for your use. As our representative, please act on our behalf to oppose this expansion at Sunnyside Park, and to encourage the university to locate this facility elsewhere. Thank you, Chris Storbeck
11/20/2023 16:44	Jeffrey Campbell	Affordable housing incentives	Please, our infrastructure can't handle the traffic and parking problems we already have. More people in the eastside neighborhoods will only exacerbate the already existing problems. More people is NOT the answer. Salt Lake City is already overflowing. Put a stop to it.
11/20/2023 16:49	Kevin Childress	Affordable Housing Incentives	I am against this program of allowing 3 plex, 4 plex, row housing, and cottages being zoned for what are now single family areas. Adding high density housing to areas currently zoned for single family and duplexes in Salt Lake City will lead to parking problems which we are already experiencing. Half of the parking on 15th east has been removed to make a bike path, adding to the number of cars parking on the streets feeding into 15th east. In addition the city passed zoning to allow people to add apartments on their property. Again adding to the parking problems and overcrowding of the neighborhoods. Many of the people who live in these areas are drawn here due to the culture and homes in the area. I believe high density housing should be limited to areas of non single family housing. Kevin Childress
11/20/2023 16:57	Gary Bergera	IMPORTANT 400 North and I-15 Underpass	Dear Members of the City Council: I write in regards to the possible development of an underpass at 400 North and I-15. I understand that this may be a state matter. I also understand that the state may invite your input. I ask that you not recommend opening up 400 North at I-15 and developing an underpass here. I live on 400 North, about a block and half east of I-15. As many of you know homeless camps and crime are factors we who live here have to deal with. Opening an underpass at I-15 and 400 North would create many more problems than it would solve. Camping and camp-related trash would proliferate. Illegal activities (drug use, public urination, public defecation, public solicitation, etc.) would occur. You need only consider what happens on the Folsom Trail and on the Jordan River Trail (at North Temple) to envision the kinds of problems an underpass would generate. Please, for the sake of those of us who live adjacent to the proposed underpass, oppose this plan. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely,

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/22/2023 23:59	Garland Monroe	High cost of housing.	I relocated to Salt Lake City from the Midwest. The Chicago metropolitan area to be exact peri did so because of the abundance of jobs you have in the city and state. however, upon arriving here, I soon became homeless because of the astronomical cost of renting an apartment in this city. . through hard work and dedication. I did find an apartment Rick. But I want to tell you. It was extremely difficult to maintain an apartment and provide other essentials for myself. I have seen. New apartment buildings going up all around me. I just hope that the people in this area can afford to live in. These apartments. not everyone can afford. \$1213114100 for rent. that's ridiculous salaries do not match. What it takes to live in an apartment in Salt Lake City. The city needs to satisfy so many units for low-income working people. I consider myself part of the working poor I work every day, but trust me, I am poor.
11/28/2023 10:47	Nigel Swaby	400 N and I-15 opening ** Attachment 2	Councilmembers, Here's a link to a letter regarding the community's feeling about building an opening under I-15 at 400 North. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1HEOayBLQEuTzar9AwcP1OwVBkPVeo21H9Ryo4xS4mhY/edit?usp=sharing We'll be getting a report from Smart Growth America by Dec. 2 that will likely match these concerns. I think it's smarter to look at 1000 N for real connectivity. Nigel
11/28/2023 10:54	Taylor Olney	400 Underpass	Chris, I just sent this but my work email said it was blocked. I wanted full transparency and wanted to make sure you got it. Hey Ale My name is Taylor, we have met a few times before. Both in and out of the politics arena. I know I do not live within your district but I read your statement today in support of some type of underpass at 400N. I have got to say that I am very disappointed in how you have responded to this issue. Your only example given for support was a pickleball club saying they like the idea. Aren't there pickleball courts at the rec-center already? Is it too personal to ask where those members live? Have you walked and talked to the neighbors that will be directly impacted daily by this decision or are you only going off of what the club has said? I have talked to almost every neighbor on this street and the screaming majority is very against any type of underpass. In all of our eyes, the cons out way the pros. Who will take care of this? Who will clean it? If there were to be illegal activity under the freeway, free from the weather. Who will patrol it? Living less than a block from this area, I believe I will have to be the "hall monitor" of whatever goes here. NeighborsWorks has been actively against this and the Fair Park Community Council has also voted against this. Please do a survey of the people nearby before making an official statement and taking an official stance. I will gladly go door knocking with you if you would like to coordinate a time. We are going tomorrow if you would like to join.
11/28/2023 11:19	Emily McKenzie	900 west and 1300 south	I don't know whose idea it was to add bike lanes and make it so you don't have a separate right turn lane, but it was not thought through. It has caused way more congestion and some mornings all the way down to Glendale middle school. Then you have people who want to turn left and drive up the left turn lane as if it's a lane. Causing a hazard. Then buses that need to turn right have to go into the left turn lane to clear the barriers. If there is a car in the left lane then the bus has to wait for the light to change and the cars to move, causing more back up. It is a nightmare. Especially in the morning when people need to get to work. Sometimes the only option is 1300 south because of a train or all the way to 400 south. This did not help. This isn't what we need on the west side. Little projects like this. We need more and better grocery stores. More bridges and help with our pollution. Not this. I love living in Salt Lake but we on the West Side get neglected or get crazy projects like this that don't help. Please look into it. Thank you Emily McKenzie Glendale Resident

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/28/2023 16:44	Shane Franz	Comment regarding affordable housing	<p>Hello, I wanted to offer my comments regarding the affordable housing issue. I am a property owner/investor and have several rental properties in Salt Lake City. One thing that makes it hard for my tenants to afford rents are high property taxes. There seems to be an unwavering appetite to increase property taxes and "everyone just damn well better have it". I've had many tenants leave due to the increases I've had to pass along. (An older veteran, a PhD student, and others.) It seems that I'm being penalized for fixing up the properties and making the apartments nice to live in. Similarly, derelict properties have much lower taxes. Why not just tax based on square footage, rather than some arbitrary value someone assigns? The taxes are amongst my highest single expense, behind mortgages. Nearly 10% of the rent goes to paying high property taxes. Another thought. It seems that SLC has proposed (and implemented) a strategy to build more apartments in an attempt to flood the market and lower rents. While this could have a temporary effect, it ignores a bigger issue. People grow financially by being able to own their homes. This could even be accomplished by buying an apartment as a condominium. As it is, SLC is making other investors rich by implementing housing investments, vs. allowing individuals to build equity. (Some of those investors are even sovereign, foreign wealth funds.) Do we really want this? I would propose that we only allow building units that can be sold to individuals and used as homes. If there is a way to take new buildings and convert those to condominiums all the better. Thank you, Shane Franz</p>
11/28/2023 16:45	Shane Franz	Comment regarding affordable housing - Part 2	<p>On additional comment. Another issue that seems to really serve to keep rents high are arcane preservation laws. A key case-in-point are historic windows. I've been pestered by the City about windows that have been replaced on buildings I own and the windows were changed long ago. I had an inspector threaten to "force" me to change the windows back to historic windows. I had a bid to do so for my four-unit building, and it was \$45,000. Imagine the rent increase I would have to force the tenants to burden to recover a \$45k investment in windows. I wish to point out that I do have one building with original windows, which I personally worked to restore. The windows are still drafty, don't function very well, and the whole building could benefit from replacement to modern windows. The old windows are not at all energy efficient, which goes in sharp contrast to our City's energy conservation goals. And to top it off, SLC shows their lack of care for the avenues historic character by authorizing new rowhouses that look like 1970's bank buildings or Starbucks drive throughs (eg. the new construction about 900 E 3rd Avenue.) We really need to think about some of these laws and make some adjustments.</p>
11/30/2023 11:02	Keiko Jones	P.S. to my email re: 400 N underpass	<p>Council Member Puy: I've read the SLTrib article about pickleball courts. Glendale and PG residents want pickleball courts, and they are getting them in their neighborhoods. Good for them. Have you talked to any Guadalupe or Jackson residents? Do you truly understand what their concerns are? Have you offered any solutions to their concerns? You have NOT talked to me or any of my neighbors. Please stop trying to please people in Glendale and PG (wider "constituents" in your district) by sacrificing outside of their areas. If they want it, they should have it in their neighborhood. There is no such demand from the neighborhoods (especially under the freeway) that are closest to the impacted area. Please stop using "Westside" to make it sound like every neighborhood on the west side. As long as you try to build what someone wants on someone else's sacrifice, you are taking an easy way as a politician (like what UDOT is doing). Being a good person is much harder than that. I am so very disappointed with you. I'm sorry I probably sound harsh. Ale, I'm much older than you, and I do not want you to use the condescending language you used before toward me. You seem to think being an "elected official" carries a lot of weight, but please try to remember you are a civil servant. You represent everyone, not just people who agree with you. Keiko Jones</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/30/2023 15:05	Melissa Lichtenstein	Rezoning in Sugarhouse Business District	Melissa called because she is concerned because a developer purchased old Wells Fargo in Sugarhouse business district – 1095 E 2100 S. She says they have submitted a site plan and are proposing new zoning for the area that would allow the building to be 300 feet tall, taller than anything else in the area. She is concerned that this will add too many cars and traffic in the area. She says that riding trax is not feasible and people in the area still use cars and contribute to the traffic. She would like to encourage CMs to come to the area to look at the new street design or drive around Brixton apartments to see that people who are close to trax still use cars. She had additional concerns about trax not being able to take people where they need to go reasonably. I told her I would share her concerns with Council Members and encouraged her to attend the Council meeting when the rezoning item she mentioned has a public hearing
11/30/2023 15:46	Anonymous Constituent	A safe place to rest your head	I think its important to remember that the homeless problem has increased significantly. and alot of the increase are people that are disabled and senior citizens. Theses are human beings vunerable people . This is wrong and if you have the voice or power that can change what is going on then you should
11/30/2023 17:36	Anonymous Constituent	Affordable housing	It is becoming near impossible to find an apartment for a reasonable price, for a single person with 2 cats. I live in and work in the downtown area. All the new places being built up are unaffordable, and most don't allow pets. I rent in an older building, and still, my rent has gone up from \$650 to \$1100 in the last 7 years. The new places want more than that for just a studio apartment. Please, make some sort of law that protects long-time renters from being charged more every year. NYC did it. SLC can, too.
11/30/2023 17:53	Maun Alston	NYTimes.com: Here's How Houston Is Fighting Homelessness — and Winning	Houston has been way ahead of nearly every US city experiencing homelessness for many, many years. I'm enclosing the link you can use to access the article. It's all about Housing First and having capacity. Thank you. Maun R. Alston Check out this article from The New York Times. Because I'm a subscriber, you can read it through this gift link without a subscription. Here's How Houston Is Fighting Homelessness — and Winning Houston demonstrates what should be obvious: that a wealthy society doesn't have to accept as inevitable throngs of people sleeping on sidewalks. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/11/22/opinion/homeless-houston-dallas.html?unlocked_article_code=1.CU0.BVzg.h3NnAPr6NiA3&smid=em-share
12/1/2023 11:29	Lynn Pershing	City transmittal of Laird Heights Local Historic District to City Council	Good morning City Councilman Dugan and D6 Liaison Barton The Laird Heights LHD was supported by a whopping 84% of the property owners who returned voting ballots. The tally results have been sent to the Mayor's Office for approval. It is unclear what the required pathway through City Department/Offices is for LHD submissions, before it reaches the Mayor's desk and final transmittal by the Chief of Staff. Can you inquire about 1. Mayor Office receipt of the SL Recorder's Office report on Laird Heights LHD ballot support? 2. What City department/offices must approve the Laird Heights LHD submission? 3. Where is it now? 4. The anticipated time required for a transmittal letter to be sent to City Council Staff so that the issue can be added to a City Council Agenda? Many thanks for your help in this matter. -- Lynn K. Pershing, Ph.D.
12/1/2023 14:02	Anonymous Constituent	Redistricting R1 Zones	Please, please do not redistrict R1 Zones into multi-family housing. Provide incentives for families to move into the Ballpark, Central City and Rosepark Neighborhoods. We need housing for families which offer a permanance to neighborhoods. Instead of looking at disrupting our land, look at ways the city could solve this problem using city-owned land. I'm so tired of going to meetings, offering comments and being ignored by the city council. Remember who you work for, the citizens of Salt Lake City who have repeatably told city planners and the council that we DO NOT WANT R1 neighborhoods re-zoned.
12/1/2023 17:06	Karen Haynes	Support for the zoning change	Hello, My name is Karen and I just want to vote my voice to support the rezoning of the ward property. go ahead and do it and get it done. Thank you!

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/1/2023 17:12	Joy Waldron	Rezoning by the Mcgilla School	Hi I've lived here in SLC for over 60 years and I'm calling to say that I am so in favor of the rezoning by the Mcgilla school, This building has been good to the neighborhood and I am totally in favor of the rezoning. It's been sitting there for so long. Thank you for your consideration. Thank you!
12/1/2023 17:15	Kara Cope	Fleet Block	Hi, I want to reiterate the fact that you claim you're listening to your constituents and the family members of the murdered police victims. Clearly you aren't. I don't understand how hard it is for you to understand that the murals need to be preserved or redone permanently in that same space. You keep asking the same question and getting the same answer and then you ask the same question again. That tells me that you're not listening. You won't be happy unless everything is apartments with unaffordable stores and restaurant chains at the base of them. Your council and your leadership are a disgrace to Salt Lake City. Wise up and listen to what your constituents are telling you to do. Preserve the murals; it's that easy. Regards, Kara Cope
12/1/2023 17:16	Aleyzia Grant	Fleet block	Hello I am emailing you in regards to the fleetrock building Ive been following up on this building since I've heard it was in process of possibly getting tore down and I've brought it to the council attention about turning that into a center for giving back to the kids / community I have many ideas I would love to share
12/1/2023 19:29	Robert Spigle	Affordable Housing Incentive	The proposal by Mayor Mendenhall ostensibly for affordable housing is ludicrous. Passage of the proposal would not help affordable housing in Salt Lake City at all. Instead it would simply increase profits for developers. Incentives are useless. Every housing development in Salt Lake City should require some percentage of the available units be affordable to minimum wage workers. And yes this should be retroactive to existing structures (otherwise developers will just scrape a lot and call it an "upgrade" to an existing structure). Fines should be substantial enough that scoff-laws do not profit. Rent control should be re-established as legal in the City of Salt Lake. At the very least any business that accepts tax incentives should be required to meet these requirements and be subject to subsequent fines for non-compliance.
12/1/2023 21:35	Anonymous Constituent	housing	make housing affordable!
12/3/2023 16:28	Anonymous Constituent	Rent Costs	i have two single Mom daughters. 5 little kids between them. one is the working poor. she even has an autistic son but cant qualify for any help whatsoever! she cant afford the rent in her mobile home anymore so my single son had to move in with her or she would have been on the street where many single Moms will be soon. when she moved into the mobile home community tje rent was like \$500 a month for the piece of cement her home sits on. she now has to come up with \$1,200 a month which she cant. its ridiculous tjat it has gone uo that much! when the economy keeps going up but incomes dont, what are they supposed to do? something has to give or we are going to have tons of empty apartments and tons of homeless Moms and children! people are suffering that have good jobs and should be able to make it! My husband and i arw having to buy food for most of our adult children which has put a huge strain on us. My hisband is 68 and should be able to retire but now he cant because most of pir savings is now gone trying to keep our kids and grandkids from being homeless. They need to fix the qualifications for help to coincide with yhe prices of everytng ridiculous! p Please help!!! There are so many suffering from this awful economy!
12/4/2023 10:00	Anonymous Constituent	affordable housing	Housing is to expensive it toke me 2 months to find something affordable and it's a shared space it's been like this for the past two years working a 9-5 40 hours a week is barely enough to afford housing it's making it impossible to safe money because i have to spend 60% of my income for somewhere to live I have a full time job yet I almost became homeless cuz moving and finding a place to live is just to damm expensive we need to fix this if middle class citizens can harlev afford housing
12/4/2023 16:56	Julie Foster	Multi Family RMF-30 Zoning District	Hello, I'm calling to totally against the Proposed Changes to RMF-30 Zoning. This is a big NO to this. You will put the rocks into that area and it will be breaking the resident's home and if that happens I will sue you. And I don't need my taxes to rise up. This is for the Dec 5 public comments and This is represented by Alejandro Puy. It's a big No! Goodbye.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/4/2023 17:05	Gabieal Orion	Againt RMF-30 ZoningDistrict	Hello, I'm calling because I am not supporting the RMF-30 Zoning because this area is a residential single-home area and our land is built on land. we are on the sand if you build an apartment or a condominium it will do no good to the people's houses. The traffic is getting worse and everything is getting worse already. There's no privacy and you can't build this because there will be a lot of angry people. I can't make it to the meeting but this is my comment. If you need to, you can give me a call. thank you, Bye!
12/4/2023 17:07	Anonymous Constituent	Mcgillis school	Hello I'm calling in regarding of PLNPCM2023-00172 TAG 1380 Zoning - the Mcgillis school and I approved this. Thank you
12/4/2023 17:11	James Roberts	McGillis	Hello, I'm calling to support the zoning change on the McGillis School and the property at 721 S 200 E I am for this zoning change on this. Thank you
12/4/2023 17:14	Susan Toronto	McGillis school and church	Hello, I'm in favor of the zoning for the McGillis school and the church on 721 S and 1200 E my backyard is right behind the church, and McGillis school has been a good neighbor and I'm pretty much in favor of this. Thank you
12/4/2023 17:17	Diana Telfer	Petitions PLNPCM2023-00496 & PNLPCM2023-00639	To Whom it May Concern, I reside at REDACTED. I am in favor of McGillis' proposed general plan and rezoning changes to allow McGillis School to use the property located and 711 and 721 South 1200 East. Diana
12/4/2023 17:18	Dominique Salazar	PLNPCM2023-00172 TAG 1380 Zoning	Good Afternoon, My name is Dominique Salazar, I live at REDACTED. I am opposed to the rezoning of the 3 properties located at 1380 S 900 W, 1361 S. 1000 W, and 1367 S. 1000 W. We do not need to rezone this to a Low Density Multi-Family Residential. We do not need to add more traffic in front of our elementary schools where kids already have a difficult time crossing. Also, with this already being a busy area and the changes made to the intersection of 900 W and California Ave. traffic is backed up bad enough as it is with more wrecks, we do not need to add more traffic, especially with the proposed 20+ units. This is not just adding a couple houses on the property this is adding a large number of people, cars and traffic. Parking is limited as it is and with the additional housing/ unites will cause a bigger issue then we already have. With the recent fire of the property at 1380 S. 900 W dose need to be tour down and rebuilt but not with more housing. I already have a difficult time getting out of my driveway and by rezoning these properties opens the area up to the opportunity for developers to by out house and build large apartment units which we do not need. Thank you Dominique Salazar Account Manager
12/4/2023 17:21	Eric Herschthal	preserving Police Brutality murals at Fleet Block	Dear Mayor Mendenhall, I'm writing for clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Eric Herschthal

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/4/2023 17:22	Leverett Woodruff 1/2	Comments regarding the December 5 vote on rezoning Fleet Block	<p>Good afternoon, I would like to submit a public comment regarding the upcoming vote on rezoning the Fleet Block. The families of police brutality victims who are memorialized on the Fleet Block buildings have expressed their desire to preserve their loved ones' portraits. They have also expressed their strong wish that Fleet Block be transformed into a space that serves the community, with resources to connect unsheltered, low income, and otherwise vulnerable Salt Lake residents to affordable housing, food assistance, shower, restroom, and laundry facilities, help with job searches and skills training, physical and mental healthcare, and other basic needs, as well as preserving the murals. I want to lift up and add my voice to these calls for a community space at Fleet Block and urge you to focus any redevelopment efforts on making such a community resource space a reality. Salt Lake City government has stated that you have prioritized listening to the families' wishes and incorporating them into redevelopment plans for Fleet Block. The Fleet Block Rezone website currently has the below response to the FAQ question, "Is there a way to build in protections into the zoning for the murals as the space transitions into a "Form-Based Urban Neighborhood"? Could the City include requirements to preserve the murals in the sale agreement of the property?" It is too soon to tell. Since Salt Lake City owns a majority of the Fleet Block, as well as the buildings where the murals are located, there may be options. However, the walls where the murals were painted are hard to save because of the material, and future development is an unknown component. City officials have heard the public's input about the significance of the murals, and value the important community messages that the murals create. In light of balancing the unknowns with the interests of the involved, the Council requested a future conversation to discuss various options to create a memorial space, or how to incorporate the murals into the design, if it is possible to save them. Options may include: • designating a part of the property as a park, • requiring any future property owner to memorialize or integrate a memorial into a public space, or • establishing a public area for art and social expression. However, the email sent by the City Council on Thursday to announce the December 5 planned rezoning vote contradicts this and clearly states that saving the murals is not an option: We know the murals have served as an important memorial for the families who have lost loved ones. While a timeline is not set, the future development will lead to the demolition of the existing buildings, which include the murals. However, a memorial space will be included in the future of the block and commissioned artwork will be included in the public open space on the southeasternmost of the block.</p>
12/4/2023 17:22	Leverett Woodruff 2/2	CONTINUED!! Comments regarding the December 5 vote on rezoning Fleet Block	<p>Sending out two different messages through different venues is not a good-faith effort to respect and respond to the community's wishes, especially the wishes of those most impacted by the future redevelopment of Fleet Block and who have put a lot of time and effort into cleaning up and caring for the space. I urge you to ensure that any redevelopment proposals include the option of renovating the building that hosts the murals as a community resource space like the families of those memorialized there have asked for. To completely take that option off the table is not honest engagement with your constituents' expressed wishes, and will make it harder for the city government and constituents to work together with mutual trust and respect in future.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration. -- Regards, Levy Woodruff</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/4/2023 17:24	Jim Pechmann	Affordable Housing Overlay Plan	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members: It is a terrible idea to allow single-family homes to be replaced with triplexes and four-plexes in neighborhoods which are not designed for this level of population density. Furthermore, a likely result of this change in the zoning laws is that real-estate developers will outbid young families for most houses in residential neighborhoods that come up for sale. The developers will then replace these houses with four rental units in order to maximize their profits. Salt Lake City should instead be doing all it can to help its residents own their own homes. Home ownership is an important key to long-term financial stability for most citizens. Also, people who own their own homes will generally take better care of them than absentee landlords, and are more invested in their communities. Please remove this proposed zoning change to residential neighborhoods from the Affordable Housing Overlay Plan. Sincerely, James C. Pechmann Judith B. Pechmann
12/4/2023 18:54	Dan V Carvajal	Affordable Housing Incentives Proposal	Dear Councilmember Mano, As a resident of your district, I am writing to express my support for the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives. As you know, a lack of housing is creating a generational challenge for our city and we need to create affordable housing in neighborhoods throughout the city. Nearly every day, I think about the cost of housing for myself, current, and future generations. We must do more. These incentives are another important policy that would, over time, increase the supply of affordable housing in neighborhoods like ours. I believe that the Affordable Housing Incentives need to be passed so that all types of households can benefit from living in our city. I hope you'll vote in support of these essential incentives. I appreciate your attention and your service to our city. Thank you, Dan Carvajal
12/4/2023 18:56	Kayla Harris	ADU Amendments/D5	Hello again Darin! I'm writing ahead of tomorrow's affordable housing incentives vote. As you know, a lack of housing is creating a generational challenge for our city and we need to create affordable housing in neighborhoods throughout the city. These incentives are another important policy that would, over time, increase the supply of affordable housing in neighborhoods like ours. I believe that the Affordable Housing Incentives need to be passed so that all types of households can benefit from living in our city. I hope you'll vote in support of these important incentives. I appreciate your attention and your service to our city. Thank you, Kayla
12/5/2023 8:46	Alex Taft	Please vote for Affordable Housing Initiatives	Darin, I am hoping you will support the initiatives. I am pleased with the council's progress on encouraging more housing in the city. By the way, the 800 E neighborhood streets project is fantastic. Thanks Alex Taft
12/5/2023 12:59	Tim Funk	Congratulations/D6	Hi Dan, Congratulations on your outstanding city council election victory. A wise Utah Attorney General told me once that any victory of one vote or more was outstanding. And every vote in addition was cause for true and continuing celebration. Three opponents and you whipped 'em proper. Considering all the signs and literature it is even more impressive. The mayor too. Haven't seen any voter cross analysis but I bet you helped each other. Good job. By the way the new speed bugs in the neighborhood are a real pain in the axle. Just like they are supposed to be. I am greatly encouraged every time I see one of the fast moving drivers on 1300 South or 2100 East take a giant bump. Slows everything right down. Just as it is supposed to. Happy Holidays to you and yours. We promise to bug you soon into the New Year. Best regards, Tim Fink Crossroads Urban Center
12/5/2023 13:01	Sue Wiesley	Lowered speed limits/D6	One of your delivered promises, according to your flyer, was lowering the speed limit to 20 mph. You accomplished that goal. Unfortunately unless there is enforcement of this new speed limit, lowering the speed limit didn't accomplish anything.
12/5/2023 13:46	Dave Brach	FW: (EXTERNAL) affordable housing incetives	As a resident of your district, I am writing to express my support for the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives. Please vote to approve! This will be a very important tool for owners and developers in the ongoing quest to provide housing opportunities and increase density in the single family residential neighborhoods. I appreciate your attention and your service to our city. Dave Brach & Cari Pinkowski & Georgia Brach

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 13:51	Catherine Mortimer	FW: (EXTERNAL) Support for Affordable Housing Incentives	Dear Councilmember Puy, As a resident of your district, I am writing to express my support for the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives. Anything we can do to alleviate the growing housing costs, east west divide, and usher in a cultural conversion to a more communal life for city dwellers. As you know, a lack of housing is creating a generational challenge for our city and we need to create affordable housing in neighborhoods throughout the city. These incentives are another important policy that would, over time, increase the supply of affordable housing in neighborhoods like ours. I believe that the Affordable Housing Incentives need to be passed so that all types of households can benefit from living in our city. I hope you'll vote in support of these important incentives. I appreciate your attention and your service to our city. Thank you, Catherine Mortimer
12/5/2023 13:52	Elliott s. Hansen	Neighborhood bar conditional use permit	Dear Ms. Hall, Mayor Mendenhall, Councilman Mano, SLC Council members, and ELPCO council members, I urge you to support the Bloq 11 Barcade conditional use permit on 11th E & Kensington. I have lived in this area and would welcome a neighborhood bar that acts as a third place for the community to gather. (Third places are those areas besides work and church/school where a community can interact with each other.) I've traveled a lot for work, and witnessed a strong community in places where people from different walks of life can interact, break bread together, and share a drink. Conversely, cities that cluster all of the bars downtown usually end up with an area that is diluted and only friendly to tourists. Please support Bloq 11 and help the neighborhood become a more welcoming, walkable, and livable place. Thank you, Elliott Hansen
12/5/2023 13:53	Erin Sanders	SLC public art	To whom it may concern, We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards,

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 13:54	Carrie Reilly	Police Brutality Murals and adjacent space	<p>Dear Mayor: We hope this email finds you well. We appreciate your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, we are writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, we are curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, we are interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. Thank you for your time and attention to these concerns. As members of the community, we believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. We look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Chris, Carrie, Finn, and Cameron Reilly</p>
12/5/2023 14:06	Rae Duckworth	Urgent Inquiry Regarding Preservation of Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals	<p>Dear Mayor, We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. With Love, -- Rae Duckworth</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 14:08	Becky Condas	Urgent Inquiry Regarding Preservation of Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals	<p>Dear Mayor Mendenhall, We hope this email finds you well. I wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preservation of the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Becky Condas</p>
12/5/2023 14:09	Sarah Simkins	Urgent Inquiry Regarding Preservation of Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals	<p>Dear Mayor, We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Thank you for your time, Dr. Boyd Simkins & Sarah Simkins</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 14:09	Stephanie Matalone	Urgent Inquiry	<p>We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Stephanie Matalone</p>
12/5/2023 14:10	Rachel Blackmer	Preservation of Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals	<p>Dear Mayor, We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city. Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of the preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements. Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Rachel Blackmer</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 14:11	Ann Scarborough	Preservation of Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals	<p>Dear Mayor and Council Members, We hope this email finds you well. We wanted to express appreciation for your commitment to preserving local public art, as outlined in your platform. However, I am writing to seek clarification on recent developments concerning the Salt Lake Police Brutality Murals in the heart of our city.</p> <p>Given the significance of these murals in reflecting community concerns, I am curious about the apparent conflict between your platform and the actions taken. Could you provide insight into the decision-making process that led to the current stance on preserving these murals? Furthermore, considering the importance of preserving the murals, have there been discussions on exploring its potential for serving the community's vital but basic needs? This could involve repurposing the space to address essential community requirements.</p> <p>Additionally, I am interested in understanding the estimated cost of restoring the building and the murals. Knowing this information would help the community better grasp the financial considerations involved and potentially explore collaborative efforts to fundraise or support the restoration process. I appreciate your time and attention to these concerns. As a member of the community, I believe transparency and open dialogue are crucial in addressing such matters. I look forward to your response and the opportunity to contribute to a constructive resolution. Best regards, Ann Scarborough</p>
12/5/2023 14:15	Jan Hemming	Comments about the Affordable Housing Incentive Plan for your consideration 12.5.23	See attached comment. ** Attachment 3 - 4 pages
12/5/2023 16:47	Rebecca Duston	U.S. health needs	In addition to comments made in person at hearings, I would like to add my thanks for the city's past support and future consideration. Early intervention -- mental health treatment for children, families, and caregivers -- can reduce the impacts of poor parents/caregiver mental health or children and reduce the likelihood of long-term mental health challenges for children. Thank you!
12/5/2023 18:42	Marlene Little	1380 South 900 West	i am against rezoning 1380 South 900 West. We have apartments going empty. We would go from one empty house to 30 empty sardine can apaerments. Andrew Johnston said he would like to get rid of people that are like me that owns a single house and drives a car. my family has been here 93 years. I am not planning to leave any time soon. that is way too high density. I do know very few people want to live on 900 West. i lived it. I was raised at 1533 South 900 West. Riley Circle was the closest house near my parents when I bought it 20 years ago. we need housing for families with many children. a 4 bedroom house should be the minimum. Many of our neighbors have had to move to find homes. Please remember in your planning that it was a Cannon home. Thank you
12/5/2023 18:42	Jame Alfandre	Fleet Block Comment	<p>I'd like this comment to be read during the public comment period: I'm James Alfandre, a board member of the Granary District Alliance. I support rezoning the Fleet Block to a Form Based zone, however, I believe it could be short sighted at this time to specify where the open space will be located for a couple of reasons:1.Large transmission lines along 800 S are a large barrier to development on the north side of the fleet block, which could be a logical place for open space 2.Open space could be implemented towards the middle of the block, providing a preferred condition found at Pioneer Park and 300 South, except extending this preferred condition around the majority of the open space. Having open space adjacent to 300 W (a 6-lane road) will create a harsh human experience and could limit the effectiveness and usefulness of this portion of open space.</p> <p>This proposed open space is critical to our neighborhood, and I just want to make sure it is done correctly and don't see the need to call out a specific location at this point in the process. Thank you!--James Alfandre</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/5/2023 20:13	Anonymous Constituent	ADU's in R1-7,000 single family homes/D6	<p>Hello Gail , thank you for your question. Earlier this year, in an effort to increase the housing supply in our city, the City Council adopted an updated ADU ordinance allowing ADUs in more parts of the city. City ordinance allows ADUs on properties located within zoning districts that allow residential uses, such as R 1-7000. Additionally, in 2021, the State Legislature passed a law allowing "internal" ADUs statewide. This means ADUs within an existing structure must be permitted. The City's Planning Division produces an ADU Handbook, which may help answer any additional questions you might have. You will also find contact information should you have any further questions. https://www.slcdocs.com/Planning/Guides/ADU_Handbook.pdf My home is in R 1-7000, single family homes. So why are ADUs being allowed in this zone?</p>
12/5/2023 20:30	Cameron Williams	1380 South 900 West	<p>I am the homeowner 2 lots North of 1380 s 900 w. My home is a American Icon, as are many houses in my neighborhood/ This rezoning would drastically aler the neighborhood and bring more traffic right next to California & 900 w which can't handle it. The house at this location burned down Sat Dec 2nd mysteriasly. Then Monday the house was tore down. Then the meeting to change the zoning was the day after. 3 days from burn to rezoning seems very odd</p>

Attachment 1

1328 E Michigan Ave
Salt Lake City, Utah
84105

Mayor Erin Mendenhall
PO Box 145474
Salt Lake City, Utah
84114-5474

Ms. Mendenhall:

As longtime residents of a neighborhood close to Sunnyside Park, and frequent users of the park and the nearby Salt Lake County Sports Complex, we have serious concerns about future development along Guardsman Way. Of particular concern is the planned expansion of the University of Utah's baseball facility. Expansion of the facility will require ceding public land for the primary benefit of the University. Also, an expanded facility will negatively impact traffic and available parking along Guardsman Way.

The University of Utah has regularly expanded into areas of public land. Examples of this expansion include using State of Utah property for the Safety Office, Golf Center, and pre-school facility. The University's football practice fields along Guardsman Way are located on Division of State Lands and Forestry. The University makes a concerted effort to maintain greenspace on its property, while continuously encroaching on public greenspace. Reducing public park land is not in the best interests of area residents.

The proposed facilities will negatively impact local traffic. Traffic along Guardsman Way is already excessive. Rowland-Hall St. Marks School parents and teachers; University of Utah busses, student, and faculty members; Valley Mental Health users, VA hospital patients and staff, and users of the Salt Lake County Sports complex are all heavy users of Guardsman Way. It is common for morning traffic to back up 2 blocks at the intersection of 900 South and Greenwood Terrace. A recent fatality at the intersection of Sunnyside Ave. and Guardsman Way indicates this area is already dangerous.

Guardsman Way is periodically closed by the University on football game days. At those times the University restricts access to parking spaces at the Sports Complex that are located on city property. Further, Sports Complex ice facilities are often in high demand for university and club hockey practice and games, affecting the proposed use of that parking for a ballpark. The planned use of Rice-Eccles Stadium parking lots for baseball games is simply unrealistic.

Our concerns about this proposed expansion are shared by many of our neighbors. Our streets are already congested by fan parking on game days, and by student parking at other times. While we appreciate being in a vibrant part of Salt Lake City, the continued construction along Sunnyside and Guardsman Way has negatively impacted our living conditions. Further development, like a Rowland-Hall high school, will probably happen regardless of our concerns. In the case of the University's planned baseball field, the city should oppose expansion at the current location. The University has other options, and they should use one.

Sincerely,

Chris and Jeri Storbeck

November 28, 2023

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter to express community concerns about the development of a 400 North connection under I-15 during its proposed expansion process. In the latest draft EIS for I-15 expansion, the question of increased east/west connectivity was purposely left out pending further community engagement on the idea. That process was completed last week. While the findings from Smart Growth America haven't yet been released, they shared with me what I'm hearing from the community; tunneling under I-15 at 400 North isn't wanted.

Fairpark Community Council's executive board is interested in ways to minimize I-15 as a barrier to our community and feel there may be other points within the I-15 EIS scope that could make better connections. There are specific reasons 400 North is a poor connection point. First, tunneling under the freeway won't remove the additional barrier of frequently used train tracks at 500 West. Secondly, the engagement process for such a large, impactful endeavor was far too short and reached less than 100 people. The residents who live closest to the proposed project are highly opposed to it.

Though we continue to be opposed to expanding I-15, we recognize it is likely to happen. With that consideration, we are interested in reviewing specific proposals to improve east/west connectivity within our community. So far, UDOT has not provided any and that has driven skepticism about the 400 North idea. A vote was taken in our May public meeting about this idea and the community present unanimously opposed it. As this process has continued with no clear direction from UDOT, that vote from the community becomes more and more validated. Let's take an additional connection point between 300 North and 600 North off the table and look to other possibilities to reduce the barrier I-15 presents.

Best regards,

Nigel Swaby
Chair



From: [Jan Hemming](#)
To: [Council Comments](#); [Dugan, Dan](#); [Young, Sarah](#); [Wharton, Chris](#); [Mano, Darin](#); [Puy, Alejandro](#); [Petro, Victoria](#); [Valdemoros, Ana](#)
Cc: [Hill, Taylor](#)
Subject: (EXTERNAL) Comments about the Affordable Housing Incentive Plan for your consideration 12.5.23
Date: Tuesday, December 5, 2023 1:26:43 PM

Caution: This is an external email. Please be cautious when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear City Council members:

Special note to Taylor Hill: Please include these remarks in the official City Council comments section and the official city record. In the past, some of my remarks have been excluded.)

Tonight you will pass the city's first Affordable Housing Incentive Plan. When that vote is taken I strongly urge you to include a legislative motion or action that will trigger a thorough, transparent, comprehensive and data-driven review of the AHI on affordable housing in Salt Lake City one year from today — or sooner if possible. I say sooner because important information like this often gets lost in the busy holiday season.

Cindy Cromer and Judi Short — both former members of the Salt Lake City Planning Commission — urged such action in their public remarks at the AHI Public Hearing October 17. I've included excerpts from their testimony as well as others who spoke that evening. Except for one individual, everyone who spoke at the hearing URGED the city council to consider modifications in the current AHI plan. Those have all been rejected. Our only fallback is to request government transparency and the acceptance of our request for an annual report.

May I also address another disturbing trend. A month or so ago Darin Mano was addressing a City Council Work Session urging that Salt Lake City be seen as a welcoming, equitable and kind city. **I can say without hesitation that many homeowners no longer feel welcomed in this city.** Neither do seniors. Or families with school-aged children. Or those who would like to live their retirement years here. Why? Because members of this council have repeatedly labeled homeowners as “privileged.” When we challenge city policies we are shamed and accused of spreading lies, hysteria, and false rumors, even though our comments are always measured and fact-based.

These aforementioned groups are now minorities in Salt Lake City. The hope is that in 2024 this council and this administration will begin to show respect for those who identify with these minority groups — as you have in the past with other marginalized, minority groups. ***That you will begin to express and discover what is good*** about homeownership, homeowners, families, seniors, children, retirees and single family neighborhoods rather than scorn, ridicule, marginalize, disparage and attack them. These same attacks — including those along the lines of race (white), age and homeownership — were recently leveled by a member of the Planning Commission. Can someone please change the tenor and tone of the harmful messages this city is sending? Who will be the first to adopt the language of civility and respect for ALL city residents in this City Council? In this City? Will someone please step up?

Here are the excerpts from the October 17 Public Hearing that are worth considering as you

prepare to approve the AHI:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. **Cindy Cromer, former member, Salt Lake City Planning Commission.** "The possibilities for unintended consequences here are significant. We are changing so many ordinances at the same time without assurance that the strategies will get us where we want to go. This proposal is an experiment. One we need to monitor carefully. ***I urge you to plan for tracking the results of these strategies and to move quickly to address unintended consequences.***
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. **Judi Short, former member, Salt Lake City Planning Commission, Sugar House Land Use Committee.** "I hope there are enough controls in the language that the developers won't ride roughshod over our neighborhoods. In this proposal you've included many things "by rights" with no community input or only planning director input. Everyone sitting here today knows they can drive down any street in the city and identify everything that was done by an over-the-counter permit. They may hit the footprint; they may fill the whole footprint, but there's no style or charm to the building be it a house or an apartment. They add nothing to the city except for units. The 400 South corridor is a good example. Please work on some controls and training for the permit counter. Don't just focus on units. Get quality units so we're not building tomorrow's slums. And trust me. You'll hear from us if this doesn't work. **We expect written reports – not just a simple "42 ADUs were built this month."** How many are owner-occupied. How many are owned by out-of-state investors. Some real data."
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->3. **Lynn Schwartz, Sugar House Land Use and Housing Committee.** We need more affordable housing. Is this the best way to achieve the results we want? I'm afraid the answer as far as the changes that pertain to single family zone is no. **If you want to encourage the disappearance of owner-occupied family-sized dwellings this is the way to do it.** If an owner-occupied dwelling in an R-5000 or 7000 lot is demolished to be replaced by a tri-plex, quad-plex, or 3 or 4-unit row house those will be small units and most likely rentals. A city lives or dies when it accommodates a *diversity of housing ownership types*. This proposal pushes Salt Lake City to a greater majority rental-city than it already is. **It makes ownership among all its other benefits – accumulation of generational wealth and a more stable populous – even harder to achieve.**
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. **Merrilee Morgan, Chair, Greater Avenues Community Council.** A fifth-generation Utahn. As developers choose to develop along transportation routes, I ask you to consider historic districts – whatever community they may be in and do what you can to preserve those because they represent all of us. We are losing our tax base. We are losing single family homes. We are losing families because we don't care about our children. **Anytime we destroy our inventory of single- family homes we deny our first-time homes buyers, second-time home buyers the right to build generational wealth.** I invite you to take this into consideration as you look at plans for future development and think about the longevity of our city and who is moving here and who is raising their family here.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->5. **Kimball Young, former member, Salt Lake City Planning Commission and chair, Foothill Sunnyside Community Council.** Council districts 1, 2 6 and 7 representing the west and east sides of the city each have more than 50% of housing and owner-occupied dwellings. The middle of the city — Districts 3,4 and 5 average 34% owner-occupied.

Just how important are owner-occupied single-family homes to us here in the city? **Single family homes are where families with children live. Homeowners directly pay property taxes. Homeowners are more involved and vote. Owner-occupied housing is generally better maintained. Owner-occupied neighborhoods have lower crime and safer streets.** How does the city gain and maintain owner-occupancy dwellings? The city should require home ownership of all new housing replacing single-family homes.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->6. **David Leta, Vice-Chair, East Bench Community Council.** **This proposal is a one-size fits all proposal. And what you get with one-size fits all is a bunch of poorly-dressed neighborhoods that don't fit.** What you need, in our opinion, is a much more tailored and nuanced approach to affordable housing. What we oppose are the changes in single family residences. We are not opposed to duplexes and twin homes as well as second detached dwellings.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->7. **Lynn Pershing, former chair, Yalecrest Neighborhood Council and president of KEEP Yalecrest.** The AHI has three fatal flaws. **Affordability.** The incentive is not affordable; it's just density. Density does not equal affordability. New construction is always more expensive than new habitat. Second. **Sustainability.** The AHI's incentives is in direct conflict with existing sustainable policies including zero waste landfill. Demolition followed by new construction adds multiple tonnage of waste to our landfill independent of recycling efforts. New construction materials emit CO2 for 60 years. In contrast, older existing buildings no longer emit material-based CO2. The most sustainable building is the one that already exists. **Housing Choice.** A diverse city requires diverse housing choices in size, cost and ownership. The vast majority of habitable units in Salt Lake City today are rentals.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->8. **Janet Hemming, chair, Yalecrest Neighborhood Council** If this Council approves the AHI it is hoping that two things are true – we can zone our way to more affordable housing by allowing more height, fewer parking spaces and more diverse housing types. Adding density will make housing more affordable. One is wishful thinking. The other is simply false. Even the experts agree. Watch the exchange between one of the largest affordable housing developers in this city and the Planning Commission last March. What you will hear is “this is not a panacea. The going will be slow.” (Cited research from 4 prominent research/university centers) shows that **density actually increases housing and rents – without exception. Another flaw – that every single-family home in Salt Lake should be a target for demolition. This is only going to generate more rentals in a city with the lowest number of single-family housing in the region.**

<!--[if !supportLists]-->9. **Paula Harline, Yalecrest resident.** Cited the transmittal from Blake Thomas to the city council on August 7 containing feedback gathered from neighborhood councils throughout Salt Lake where concerned residents cited the same thing: 1. concerns about 3-4 unit buildings blocking views and destroying neighborhood character. 2. Surprise changes to neighborhoods such as sudden demolitions, lack of parking; 3. non-resident and out-of-state property developers and owners who don't live in or care about the neighborhood; 4. affordable housing that isn't affordable; 5. zoning changes that should be tried out as a smaller pilot program rather than as a city-wide experiment. Thomas also cited meetings with developers. It seems like they have gotten everything they wanted in the AHI: 1. “by-right”

processes – zoning allowing them to build without discretionary approval; 2. eliminating neighborhood discussion about any change; 3. form-based zoning districts; 4. change the density limits in single family districts; 5. parking reductions, 5. incentives which would allow them to construct more units. **In. simple comparison: the developers have gotten everything they wanted and the neighborhoods have not.**

Respectfully,

Janet (Jan) Hemming
Chair, Yalecrest Neighborhood Council