

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/4/2023 13:09	Elizabeth Boucher	300 North Pedestrian Bridge	Hi Chris - I live in Marmalade and bike down 300 North often. I frequently encounter stationary trains and have to take an alternate route to get to my destination. Will you please send me an update on the expected completion date of the 300 North pedestrian bridge? It seems to be moving at a much slower pace than other infrastructure work around the neighborhood. This is a mere inconvenience for cyclists but is more importantly a safety issue for students at West High and West Side neighborhood children. Thanks sincerely for your support of our neighborhood on the City Council - Elizabeth McKnight Center Street Resident
10/5/2023 14:13	Patricia Callahan	Yalecrest Crime	Hi Dan—Susan Horvath states on ND a few days ago an e-bike was stolen out of their backyard shed. They live 1500 East just north of Harvard Avenue. She’s an Ob/Gyn physician. I read daily complaints about crime in Salt Lake City including in District Six. It seems to me residents want politicians to acknowledge crime is a growing issue. Stating that truth may also prompt folks to be even more vigilant. I chuckle at the new bike lanes. Even if a person can physically & safely ride a bike, nowhere to keep it safe. People lock their bikes in their own locked garages & they still get stolen. Best, Patricia
10/5/2023 16:57	Amber Rasband	Land Use...unhoused	Land use possibilities for unhoused people on the westside: The old Raging Waters/7 peaks site: Use partial space for something like a city regulated community RV site similar to a KOA campground, make it affordable, limit days for parking, charge a nominal daily parking/ rental fee, for water hookup/waste, cite tow If violation. You asked and asked what we feel like as Westside residents should do with the spot, splash pads, JRP extensions, park, ice ribbon...there will be some sort of construction at some point even though the demolition looks slow going. Doesn’t this land need to be used for recreation anyway since site used federal funds? Why not support those who seem to live in their rolling homes, not a free parking, but a place they can keep their home while they go to and from work. Seems like there’s plenty of RV’s already parking here (as noted yesterday 10/04/2023) without permission/zoning. City could treat it like a pay lot. Thanks for any concern for addressing this option.
10/6/2023 10:00	Brandee Burnam	More Affordable Housing- Home Ownership not Renting	Ana, Thank you, to you Chris & Alejandro for hosting the Homeless Initiative Update last night. Thank you Ana, for emphasizing that our city, and even more so our neighborhood, that you represent Central City needs more for sale affordable family sized housing opposed to renting. We need a better balance for many reasons, one that comes to my mind as someone focused on crime and safety is those people who tend to have long-term plans to stay in one place, are invested in the area, and community, that take time to get to know their neighbors make it safer when you have a network of people around you that can communicate with, watch, report criminal activity and hopefully deter & prevent crime rather than suffering the results of issues after the fact. People invested in home ownership, gaining equity, and ensuring the success of the area they are in make neighborhoods cleaner, safer, and more economically stable. Central City wants those on all levels of government from the City Council to the Federal government to know we need support and funding for affordable for sale/ownership housing, and we hear this time and time again at our neighborhood council meetings and from many we talk to in our neighborhood through our meetings and events. Please let us know within Central City what we can do as a council to better ensure this which I have mentioned here. What action, steps are necessary to get this message clearly conveyed.
10/6/2023 11:38	Lara Galindo	Gentle Density	I strongly oppose multiplexes invading my neighborhood in east Sugar House. Please wait until after the election to try to push this terrible idea into code. —Lara Novell
10/6/2023 11:44	Carol Butler	Delay zoning decisions!	Mr. Dugan, Please delay zoning decisions until after the election. Housing density belongs downtown! Carol Butler
10/6/2023 11:46	Marguerite Henderson	No to gentle density	Dan, What are you trying to do to our historic neighborhoods? Such as Yalecrest! Stop it! No! You’re going to lose this ridiculous battle! WE DO NOT WANT THIS! Marguerite Henderson

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/6/2023 11:48	Jim Defa	Zoning Changes/D6	DAN MY name is Jim Defa I live at 905 s military Dr and I do not want zoning charges that will allow for increased density in our neighborhoods. Please provide me your position in this matter. Jim
10/6/2023 11:56	Aaron Benson	Campaign marketing	Dan, I recently received a text from a campaign of one of your opponents in the upcoming race, scaremongering about the initiatives to allow up to 4-family housing development throughout the city. I want you to know that your support of upzoning in SLC is the reason I plan on voting for you in the upcoming election. Please continue to support smart, market-oriented methods to improving the housing crisis we face. I direct a small team at a bank headquartered downtown, and despite staffing with highly-trained (my staff all have Master's or PhD degrees) personnel, I can't pay them enough to afford housing in the city. Thank you, Aaron Benson
10/6/2023 12:02	Timothy Ermish	Affordable Housing Issue	Dan I am a resident in your district in the Yalecrest district. I strongly oppose, allowing demolition of single-family homes for triplexes and four Plexes in the historic district eliminating single-family home zoning is a mistake that will impact generations to come. Timothv Ermish
10/6/2023 12:04	Jon Dunn	4- plexus	I stand with you on this matter. Such a change would destroy the integrity and character of our city. Shame on your opponents for even thinking of such a thing.
10/6/2023 12:05	John Hinckley	Against four plexes	Sneaky. We are absolutely against zoning allowing 4plexes. We are not impressed with your timing. We vote no!!!! John and Joann
10/6/2023 12:07	Diane Banks	Zoning change	Do you really support changing zoning to allow 4 plexes in all residential neighborhoods?
10/6/2023 12:21	Gary Nicholas	High density housing proposition. We are totally against this idea!	High density housing proposition. We are totally against this idea!
10/6/2023 12:22	Linda Harrison	Opposition to high density housing	You are alienating an entire area of your district by your liberal stance on housing
10/6/2023 12:24	Matthew Cobb	NO to zoning changes	I DO NOT support zoning changes that allow 4 plexes in single family neighborhoods!! There is no such thing as gentle density, it all leads to over crowding and worsening of our already horrendous street conditions. I live on 1300E in the Harvard/Yale area, both my wife and I are against this. You can put lipstick on a pig but it's still a pig! NO to zoning changes!! Matthew Cobb
10/6/2023 12:28	D. boyd Wagstaff	Zoning changes	I am opposed to the proposed zoning change that will allow greater density city wide. I am very disappointed in what the city is doing to streets, zoning, density and homelessness. D. Boyd Wagstaff
10/6/2023 12:31	Karen Cahoon	Zoning Creep	In my opinion, the City has been ruined in the last 4 years. 1. Speed bumps in beautiful residential areas. 2. Alteration of streets including planter bump outs that are hazardous and not aesthetically pleasing. 3. Dramatic increase in signage. Why pay money for a sculpture in a round about if you're just going to surround it with signage that is just more visual pollution and doesn't enhance the sculpture. The speed bumps have increased signage that is just visual pollution. 4. No setback requirements for cheaply built, expensive to rent apartment complexes. No open space requirements. 5. Stupid and ugly parks in the center of streets, that interrupt traffic and parking. when the City can't even take care of Liberty Park properly. 6. ignorance of the desires of Community Councils. As I understand it, the Yalecrest Council voted against the speed bumps on 13th South. 7. Please do not make any more decisions before the election. 8. I am very much against multi-unit housing in single family areas and as a result I am not voting for Erin or any other Person that is in office now. It appears that you are all in the pockets of developers.
10/6/2023 12:32	Kevin Childress	4 plexes	Dan Is it true you are in favor of 4 plexus in currently what is zoned for single family ? If so what is your logic for this? What do you think is the upside and downside of this decision? Thanks Kevin

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/6/2023 12:35	Dori Tidwell	Zoning creep	I for one would like to not change our zoning laws to accommodate for 4-plexes in single family neighborhoods. This would destroy our neighborhood and the family community where kids and families can safely walk on sidewalks without fear of over crowding with too many cars on the road. This would be a mistake just like turning foothill into basically a freeway has been a mistake. My nephew was hit by a car turning on to foothill, my neighbors son was also hit by a car doing the same, my daughters friend was hit while crossing foothill to get to foothill village. Please do not make our neighborhood into income properties and add congestion to the streets that make it unsafe for people to walk and bike in this community. I would ask you to care about pollution from more cars and people not being able to safely walk around in the neighborhood. Would you have wanted the zoning to change in this way when you had two daughters roaming the neighborhood? Please do not lose sight of why people move to this area and why you found this area to be a great place to raise your family. Thank you, Dori Tidwell
10/6/2023 12:37	Margo Thurman	Hearings on Zoning Overlay	. Hi Dan, Please hold off the hearings on Zoning Overlay - wait until after the election. Thank you very much. Margo Thurman
10/6/2023 12:39	Jeffrey Slatter	Density housing	If you push this proposal through before the election and you are lucky enough to win again I will personally lead a recall drive to get you out of office. Listen to your district!
10/6/2023 12:42	Shauna Bell	Zoning	Have you polled your constituents? I am personally against putting high density housing in single family dwelling neighborhoods.-- Shauna Bell
10/6/2023 12:43	Jeffrey Campbell	Density	Please hold off on adding more people to to our east side neighborhoods. We're full!!!!
10/6/2023 12:44	Jon Dunn	FOURPLEXES	ABSOLUTELY NOT! If it's your desire to destroy the character and charm of our city, Fourplexes in neighborhoods is sure fire means of accomplishing your goals. That is a shortsighted solution to the housing issue. There are many areas of downtown the would meet your housing needs without destroying existing neighborhoods.
10/6/2023 12:45	David Morrow	Proposed overlay map/D6	Vote no on the proposed overlay map. The City is going in the wrong direction.
10/6/2023 12:48	Sylvia Hartley	Zoning creep	I am strongly opposed to zoning that allows more density in our old historic neighborhoods. I hope you will not push for this with the hearings before the election. Sylvia Hartley
10/6/2023 12:49	David FAWSON	Zoning overlay	Dan, I am not in favor of any zoning changes that allow for any more incrochmenton single family neighborhoods. Please do t allow fourplexes or higher in our neighborhoods! Dave Fawson I voted for you last election.
10/6/2023 12:51	Steve Whipple	4-plexes in single family neighborhoods	Councilman Dugan, I do not support a zoning overlay that would allow 4-plexes in single family neighborhoods and would increase water usage, reduce family housing, and potentially reduce public school enrollment. I am asking you to wait until after the election to make decisions that will alter our neighborhoods for generations to come. Steve Whipple

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/6/2023 12:53	Amy Reid	Proposed Local Historic Districts in Yalecrest	<p>Hi Dan, I am a resident at REDACTED in Yalecrest. We've met a few times. My husband and I were the applicants for our Local Historic District and I've been advising Paula Harline on the Princeton LHD. I am a third year graduate student at Utah State in the Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning Department and a Research Historian working for Kirk Huffaker Preservation Strategies. I'm very concerned with Salt Lake City's approach to historic preservation in Yalecrest. As you know, the entire neighborhood is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. As development and affordable housing pressures mount, it is more critical than ever to have a long-view perspective and protect key areas of our city. The small section of Yalecrest from 1300-1500 east on Harvard, Princeton and Laird is remarkably historically intact. These streets are loved by residents all over the valley and tell a collective story. As a property owner, I view myself as a steward of history. By allowing duplex and fourplex construction in this area you will decimate the character and livability (privacy, sunlight, etc). I support the push to allow for ADUs. It makes a lot more sense to allow for basement apartments and over the garage dwellings. It does not make environmental sense to tear down and rebuild. A lot of research has been done on the environmental benefits of maintaining older homes over demolishing and rebuilding. Developers are the ones who benefit in this scenario. Since the Planning Commission already gave an unfavorable recommendation on the Laird LHD, I'm calling on you as our councilman to lobby your colleagues to see past the black and white viewpoint on affordable housing many seem to be taking, and protect this small area of historically significant homes for our city and state. History belongs to all residents. In a time of great growth and change we need visionaries who understand how the intangibles of history and beauty greatly benefit our lives. We need someone with vision who can protect important areas from developers who have so much power in our state. I think we both know anything built in these few blocks will not be affordable and in the process we will be destroying history, beauty and the environment. Thank you for your consideration and I look forward to hearing from you. Best, Amy</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/6/2023 12:54	Alice Rathofer	Constituent Message	<p>Dear Counselman Dugan, I have just learned of your vote to change the zoning on a lot on 1600 East and to look at changing single-family housing zoning. These two votes I find quite disturbing and really not what District 6 needs as far as housing. One major concern is traffic on the neighborhood streets that would increase with multi-family housing zoning. Parking of vehicles on the neighborhood streets would increase and this would be problematic especially during winter snowstorms and would inhibit snow removal. Some of the neighborhood streets are narrow and really cannot accommodate more vehicles using and parking on the streets. I live on Skyline Drive and the amount of traffic has increased 10 fold since I purchased my property 30 years ago. This is no longer a quiet neighborhood as far as traffic is concerned with trucks and other vehicles making noise as early as 0630H. There is still noisy traffic well into the night happening past midnight. Clearly adding multi-family housing would only increase this traffic, parking, and noise issue exponentially. This would destroy our neighborhoods. By adding multi-family housing to long existing single family housing neighborhoods you would change the entire dynamic and feel of these neighborhoods. This would make them less attractive to purchasers who want a quiet neighborhood in which to raise their families. Thus lowering the value of the existing homes in the neighborhoods which would in turn lower the taxable value of these existing homes. This would, also, affect the sale of older homes which would be demolished and have your multi-family housing built on lots that may or may not be large enough to accommodate this kind of structure. I can see someone buying my property to put in a multi-plex building, adding more off street parking and removing the house and \$100,000.00's in landscaping including removal of the 18 large established healthy trees in my backyard just to make space for a building that takes up the entire lot. You should realize that this would increase the radiated heat from a large parking area and the lack of lawn and trees. With the drought and the lowering of the Great Salt Lake levels Salt Lake City and the State of Utah needs to stop encouraging more people to move here where there is not enough water to go around now. Adding more housing, especially in well and long term established neighborhoods will not solve the low-income housing needs of the city or state.</p> <p>Bottom line...this is a really, really bad idea. Sincerely, Alice Rathofer</p>
10/6/2023 12:56	Nancy Alcabes	Zoning overlay	<p>Good Afternoon Councilman Duggan, I'm writing with regard to the consideration of a zoning overlay. I live in District 6 and am opposed to the aforementioned initiative. It feels like many things that are going on in the neighborhood are happening without sufficient education and constituent input. This is one such initiative. I urge you not to pass this initiative lest we end up with more than just new speed bumps without notice and input. Whether I support the speed bumps or not, isn't the point. It's that it seemingly just happened. Zoning changes are too important not to be undertaken slowly and carefully. Thank you. Sincerely, Nancy D. Alcabes</p>
10/6/2023 12:57	John Hinckley	Zoning overlay	<p>. Hi Dan - I'm very concerned about the talk I'm hearing about zoning overlay. I don't believe now is the time to consider this. You and I are neighbors and such a proposal would create many many problems for our area. I encourage you to put the current homeowners above the developers or the political agenda of over zealous government officials or employees. I would hope you're not in favor of such a proposal. I would like to hear back from you but won't hold my breath. I've communicated with you before and have never gotten a response - although you or your staff say, "you always get back".</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/6/2023 12:59	Matt Keane	How do you know what the rental rates are if the city has no idea how many rentals there are	The city has NO idea how many rental units there are in Salt Lake there are literally dozens of them not on your books right in my little part of Yalecrest. These are private rentals and you are not privy to the rent rate so you do keep making these claims ? As an owner of rental units in salt lake since 1995 I'll ask you again how do claim to know what rent rates are ??? I've lived here my whole 53 years and you newcomers that think you no better are a real insult. You want to give a gift to the rich to pay for a few under cost units ... You suck ! I own my own real estate brokerage and bozos like you need up stay in your lane !!!!
10/6/2023 13:02	Rose Gacnik-Flores	Zoning Hearings	Dear Councilman Dugan: Could you tell us when and where the hearings are scheduled on a zoning overlay that would allow 4-plexes in single family neighborhoods? Thank you. Your constituents, Rose Gacnik and Robert Flores
10/6/2023 13:03	Christena Gates	Density	. Please don't rush the density as my representative. We have enough density in our neighborhood with all the condos by Donner Way and Kennedy Drive. Plus we also have apartments!!!! We don't need more traffic on Kennedy as well as Foothill Drive with more condos or apartments behind the old Red Robin restaurant...Our vote is NO more density above Foothill Drive.
10/6/2023 13:06	Rob Macintyre	Zoning changes	Dear Mr Dugan, I wanted to take an opportunity to voice my opposition to any zoning changes allowing multi unit buildings to be built in single family home neighborhoods. I realize that Salt Lake has an issue with housing and especially affordable housing, but my opinion is that multi unit dwellings belong in the more urban centers of the city, not in the established single family home communities that are currently existing. I feel that there is ample room to add these types of facilities in parts of the city that need some revamping before thinking of rezoning areas not zoned for them already. Thanks for your consideration, Robert MacIntyre
10/6/2023 14:35	Ralph Woodward	Thank you!	Thank You! Dear Mayor Mendenhall and City Council Members: We of the Salt Lake Children's Choir wish to express our sincere appreciation for the grant award of \$1,750 we recently received from the Salt Lake City Arts Council. We realize that municipal expenditures have to be carefully managed and monitored, and we are grateful that the decision was made to assist us in our decades-long endeavor to contribute to the education and artistic development of young people--as well as the general cultural climate of our community. In addition to being financially essential, such support helps provide the necessary motivation to continue our efforts into the future. We applaud your efforts to serve us all in this great city and are pleased to be a part of efforts towards the betterment of our community. With deep appreciation, Ralph B. Woodward, Founder-Director
10/6/2023 16:11	Sue Wiesley	Affordable housing	I am very concerned with the parking issue that comes with density housing. In my neighborhood of 15 th & 15th we have parking issues. We have people that can't park in front of their houses due to the bike lane. So they park on my street. There are people living on 17S who park on my street because they don't want their cars hit. We also have college students. Do I even need to say how cars that situation brings? Where will people park? Most households have at least one car. No that's the 50s. If you have 2 adults living in that house, there are 2 cars. And if you have kids driving. So please tell me. Where are we all to park? Sincerely Susan Wiesley

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/7/2023 7:36	David Leta 1/2	Proposed Affordable Housing Incentives	<p>The Board of the East Bench Community Council (EBCC) has reviewed the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives (AHI) that are being recommended by the SLC Planning Commission (Commission) to the Salt Lake City Council (Council) for adoption. While the EBCC agrees that there is a need for more affordable housing throughout Salt Lake City, and that all districts within the City have a shared responsibility to make such housing more available, we also think that it is equally important to preserve the character, micro-culture and owner expectations of the various diverse neighborhoods within the City. Moreover, not all neighborhoods have equal access to mass transit or to connector and arterial roadways. Increasing density, without appropriate infrastructure to support this higher density, is inviting failure. Our concerns center on the Single-Family Incentives. Most of the residences and lots within the EBCC district currently are zoned as "single family," namely, R-1/5,000, R-1/7,000, R-1/12,000, and FR-1, FR-2, and FR-3. The AHI proposes to allow various types of non-single family dwellings within these historically single family neighborhoods, including: (a) Two-family, twin, or duplex homes; (b) 3-4 unit buildings – triplexes or fourplexes; (c) Townhouses, or single family attached units, as sideways rowhouses or rowhouses in groups of 3-4; (d) A second detached dwelling when an existing dwelling is maintained; and (e) Cottage developments, which are single family homes in groups of two to eight that are generally arranged in a courtyard layout. We oppose expansion options (b), (c) and (e) above in these neighborhoods, especially because there is inadequate infrastructure for vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists to support the higher density that would result. The city has four districts that generally allow two-family or duplex homes in addition to single family homes. These are the R-2, SR-1, SR-1A, and SR-3 zoning districts. We believe that a more reasonable, measured and accommodating approach, at this time, would be to only allow expansion options (a) and (d) above within the current "single family" zones, and, in addition, include the following restrictions to the extent those restrictions do not exist in the AHI proposal : (1) at least one of the homes on the lot would have to be "owner occupied," unless the owner is the representative of an estate, LLC, partnership or trust, or a lender that acquires the property through a foreclosure sale or deed in lieu of foreclosure, (2) existing set-backs and height restrictions would have to be maintained, unless adjoining property owners, including those immediately above and below the subject property, consent to a variance, (3) existing parking requirements would be relaxed to permit on-street parking, and (4) one of these units must be designated as an affordable unit and meet the affordability requirements (See 21A.52.050.H.1.c.4 and Table 21A.52.050.G in Attachment A). This modification has the following advantages over the current proposed AHI:</p> <p>(i) it increases the stock of affordable housing, but not to an extreme;</p>
10/7/2023 7:36	David Leta 2/2	CONTINUED!! Proposed Affordable Housing Incentives	<p>(ii) it is more consistent with the existing character, culture, and expectations of current owners; (iii) it prevents existing neighborhoods that are primarily owner-occupied homes from becoming primarily tenant properties with absentee owners, and (iv) while increasing the burden on infrastructure, it does not create an excessive burden. Thus, we urge the Council to reject the AHI proposal in its current form, but support approval of a modification to the AHI as indicated above</p>
10/7/2023 15:33	Camilla Flint	Affordable Housing Incentives	<p>I support streamlining approval processes for smaller projects. We live in the fairpark neighborhood and have had an empty house behind us for some time in poor condition. It was finally torn down, but has yet to be replaced. As soon as that can be approved and a new house there that people can live it, I expect the litter and dumping that we have had in our backyard to decrease dramatically. We also wish to expand on our home. It is getting too small for our family, but we cannot afford anything bigger in the city and we don't want to have to move. If we could get permitted to tear down a prior addition that is not in great shape and build a new larger addition on, it would enable us to stay in the city. Camilla</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/9/2023 11:10	Bernard HART	What can the City Council do?	<p>Hi All, An observation: A number of the campers that the current Mayor and SLCPD are moving all around the city were homeless when Rocky Anderson was Mayor. Yup. Mayor Anderson solved the homeless problem, but there are as many chronically homeless individuals in shelters and camping now as when he was the Mayor who ended homelessness in our city. And after Mayor Mendenhall's years on the City Council and as the Mayor of Salt Lake City, the number of chronically homeless in Salt Lake City is exactly the same as when Mayor Anderson was Mayor in 2008. Mayor Mendenhall and her main Homeless Advisor were also on the City Council when the Council approved the new, latest solution that wasn't, the now in place shelter system. The numbers indicate that nothing has really changed in the last 20 years. Same number of chronically homeless in the City, a number of whom were campers during former Mayor Anderson's administration. I wonder how many times these forever homeless individuals were moved by SLCPD and how many citations they received and how many "let us help you" programs they were in and how many outreach people they talked with and how much time they spent in jail and in detox? Total cost to taxpayers: About \$1.8 Million per long time homeless individual over a period of 20 years x 20/30 individuals. About \$36 - \$54 Million Dollars total to meet the needs of 20 -30 longtime campers over 20 years. Yup..real data...54 MILLION....and this does not include Op Rio Grande funding. And because nothing has really changed, we can expect the same or increased levels of expenditures for long-time campers over the next 20 years. That is unless we change something. https://www.cato.org/blog/evidence-calls-housing-first-homelessness-strategy-question And between Mayors Anderson and Mendenhall we had one Mayor who used creative bookkeeping practices to make himself look good and then bragged about how Salt Lake City solved its homeless problem....and another who created the current, non-solution/solution, the shelter system that was needed to solve the homeless problem that the two previous Mayors had already solved. Hmmm. I know there are Council Members who would like to see something better for their community. There are things the Council can do that it is not currently doing, initiatives that might actually improve the situation. I would like to talk about those things. How do we make it happen? Bernie</p>
10/9/2023 11:15	Donna Williams	Reaffirm your commitment to America's closest ally, Israel.	<p>Dear Council Member Alejandro Puy , Israel is once again under attack. On October 7th, Hamas, the Iran-backed terror group controlling Gaza, launched an unprecedented surprise attack on Israel. Since the attack began, reports have confirmed at least 700 Israelis have been murdered, 100 people have been kidnapped, almost 2000 people have been wounded, with hundreds in critical condition, and more than 5,000 rockets have been fired against Israeli towns and civilians. As your constituent, I am asking you to reaffirm your commitment to the U.S.-Israel relationship and to reassert the importance of bipartisan support for the State of Israel. The United States and Israel share an unbreakable bond based on shared values. A secure and thriving Israel is paramount to America's national security. From cooperation on technological and medical advancements, to security collaboration, a thriving, multidimensional U.S.-Israel partnership benefits Americans from all walks of life. Israel is under constant threat, both from terrorists such as Iran's proxies Hamas and Hezbollah, and from anti-Israel activists who question Israel's right to exist. This latest string of violent attacks highlights the precarious situation Israel faces and the need for America to stand with Israel against the many challenges she faces. The vital relationship between the U.S. and Israel must be celebrated and closely safeguarded, both in times of peace and crisis. Your support for the Jewish state is of paramount importance to millions of Americans across this country. Thank you for supporting a safe and secure Israel.</p> <p>Sincerely, Donna Williams</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2023 13:06	James Webster 1/2	CIP for Miller Bird Refuge	<p>My name is James Webster, the CIP applicant for restoration of the Miller Bird Refuge creekside path, stabilization of WPA rock walls listed in the Living New Deal historic register and elimination of an upper path with non-code compliant stairs that are impassible during the winter. This pathetic afterthought was never included on the 2014 construction documents. Restoration of the CIP designated creekside path will enable ADA compliance as was the cas from 1987 until the demolition of this path by Public Lands. In 1987 the city retained MNG, a Portland landscape architectural firm to design and supervise the construction of pathways and a new steel bridge to enable park visitors to circumnavigate the Bird Refuge as no pathway existed along the Military Dr or East side and trespassing through private LDS Church property has become a problem. Pathway construction involved Crib-Lock concrete retention along sections of Red Butte Creek and the Diestel Rd or West side path. About 850' of timber walls with Manta Ray anchors capable of retaining 50,000 lbs of lateral hydrostatic pressure. MNG also designed an automated athletic or golf course irrigation system that operated flawlessly for 27 years as can be confirmed by Kyle Shields of the Parks Department. MNG worked in close co-operation with SLC Engineering, SLC Public Utilities and SLCo Flood control. It was determined that the maximum five-foot wide Red Butte Creek channel was to be perpetuated according FEMA and hydrological engineers with the county. The maximum flow in the IRS Refuge had been restricted to less than 170 CFS (cubic feet/second) by the 30" culvert under 800 South. At this outlet, in 1888 Flood Control director Neil Stack designed a 4' high retention wall given a scouring/erosion problem that also served to further stabilize the creekside path. This wall replaced a Crib-Lock structure. Adjoining neighbors contributed native plants such as the Netleaf hackberry, Wood's Rose, Alder, and Saskatoon serviceberry along the WPA rock wall to stabilize footings and discourage burglaries. For 35 years residents had volunteers to provide maintenance as supervised by Lee Bollwinkle and other Parks staff. Residents who had established the Miller Park Committee crafted a master plan for long-term maintenance, improvements and were asked by Florence Reynolds, PE with SLC Public Utilities to draft the city's Following the Chevron oil spill of 2010 the Miller Park Committee and park users participated in numerous public engagement discussions mentored by the city's ombudsman Robin Carbaugh. During this discussions and subsequent exchange with Parks staff residents became aware of a intent to demolish the creekside path. This was strongly opposed by the community as over 150 concerned park visitors sugned various petitions demanding the perpetuation of the existing pathway loop. Notable signatories were Sen. Garn, County Councilman Randy Horiuchi, SLC School Board Chair Laurel Young, and Utah ABA President and Regent W. Eugene Hansen. The community presented these petitions to Mayor Becker. It was the intention of the Miller Park Committee that had been in contact with Chevron officials that some form of good-will funding could be established for long-term Bird Refuge maintenance.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2023 13:06	James Webster 2/2	CONTINUED!! CIP for Miller Bird Refuge	<p>Instead, the city decided to pursue a \$1,000,000 "settlement" with Chevron Oil. Subsequent to the clean-up power washing it was clearly evident that the bird habitat had been Re-established and no structural damage to the Red Butte Creek riparian corridor had occurred. This was verified by EPA oil monitoring stations mandated by the state and consulting geo hydrologist Richard White, PE. Public Lands hired Baltimore based Biohabitats and a local partner Design Workshop to literally destroy the Bird Habitat notwithstanding overt violations of the city's own Riparian Ordinance and federal Migratory Bird Laws. This plan, known as "Miller Bird Refuge and Bonneville Glen Restoration" called for the demolition of retention walls and the creekside path, removal of the perfectly functioning automated irrigation system (that was deemed "antiquated" by Public Lands), the arbitrary 400% widening of Red Butte Creek in violation of FEMA and SLCo Flood Control standards, importation of large boulders from Willard to construct 53 check dams ("drop and pool riffles"), removal of unique stands of native Gamble oak on LDS property (opposed by Elder L. Tom Perry who cancelled this completely unnecessary demolition), the clear-cut of over 85 century-old Black locust trees and the removal of the native plants neighbors had contributed. The construction document approved by city departments in December 18, 2913 include demolition of retention for oaths and native trees, a new residential irrigation system and the arbitrary equally spaced check dams. HOWEVER, the construction of an upper path that involved excavation of WPA stone wall footings and the addition of 6 tiers on top of the 1987 timber wall are NOT indicated on these documents. This unauthorized alteration of the wall MNG had designed and violated the bonding required by SLC Engineering. This wall was NEVER designed, intended nor approved for this arbitrary loading has been subsequently displaced by the upper path and hydrostatic pressure. This afterthought apparently resulted from PL's epiphany that once the lower or creekside path had been demolished park visitors had no means of access along the Military Dr. side. According to SLC Engineering, and is verified by the absence of any engineering design on the contract documents, no means of anchoring this wall are apparent nor is there any indication that a competent architect or engineer has anything to do with this. Go all of the above reasons, and with the assistance of Lewis Kogan, RLA in Parks I submitted the CIP. It was approved by Mayor Erin Mendenhall then in the Council. To date, PL has never initiated any contact with me as the applicant and with the exception of stabilizing the WPA stone wall the 12 "projects" PL proposes have absolutely no relevance to my CIP application and Mayor Biskupsky's 8-year old grant. For your reference I hold two masters degrees in landscape architecture, architectural history and urban planning from Harvard and MIT. My experience in riparian trail design, environmental approval and slope stabilization over a 44 yr professional career qualifies me a a critic of PL's incompetence misallocation of Chevron and CIP funding. Riparian Overlay Ordinance.</p>
10/10/2023 13:07	Roma Riddle	Miller Bird Park	<p>One reason I bought my home in Yalecrest more than three decades ago was because of its proximity to Miller Park. I have always enjoyed walking through particularly in the summer months because it is much cooler and i especially enjoy being near the water. I ask you to please restore the lower creek side trail. Miller park does not need redevelopment but rather restoration. I am baffled as to why the recommendations of Jim Webster, applicant of the CIP grant, have been disregarded when clearly he has more knowledge of the issues the park faces and how to address them than anyone else in the room. Roma Riddle resident of Yalecrest</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2023 13:49	Eva Recinos	Affordable Housing	<p>Good evening. I received the flyer about housing and it seems like a very good idea. I have been here where I rent for 3 years. I am a single mother of 2 children.. Recently at the beginning of September they increased us, well in my case, \$450 and before I paid \$850, my budget doesn't have more, and I have to see where I will come up with the additional \$450. This increase is abusive and impudent on the part of the person in charge of the apartments since it is not a good area where we are, we do not have green areas, the parking lots do not have numbers when it snows, they do not clean, and she increase like that out of nowhere I sent an email to the state upset with this abusiveness of people they told me that they were going to give me some source of information and the month already started and nothing and the truth is I am interested in that project and I hope to qualify because this increase in rent is not good, the truth is, the economy and low salaries are difficult. I hope they are taken into account and there is some source of information about apartments that you can provide me with! Thanks and happy night!</p>
10/10/2023 20:18	Anonymous Constituent	affordable Rents, abd affordable homes	<p>rents exceed the 1,800 per month limit, many adults struggle to eat, and pay utilities. most poeple don't have partners or spouse to share cost of living. those of us lucky to be in homes, pay 150% more in property taxes to supply city needs in school systems, but bever get a break. our utilities go up with price in demand of resources. Don't the leaders in Sakt Lake City realize, you can build many more apartments, but if the rents aren't affordable you just wasted land for homes with yards poeple really want. poeple don't nessesarily want green space to share with hundreds of others. poeple, want privacy, and respect.</p>