

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/16/2023 9:16	Cindy Cromer	Another fire in the histoirc district/D4	another fire in the historic district heading over now to see how far the damage spread; one of 5 vacant buildings within a block of my properties I am completely done with the City's failure to do anything. The building next to me isn't completely boarded after being empty for 18 years. c Massive fire breaks out at abandoned 3-story building near downtown Salt Lake City   KUTV
8/16/2023 10:40	Laura Erekson	Artist Career Empowerment/D5	Dear Darin Mano, I wanted to thank you for the Salt Lake City Arts Council Artist Career Empowerment Grant that will enable me to realize a project I've wanted to do for some time now as well as further my career as a visual artist. I will be holding a tactile art exhibit with extremely textural paintings made for the viewer to experience through touch as opposed to sight. A portion of these works will be composed using collected objects and plant matter gathered by students of the Utah School for the Deaf and Blind. In conjunction with this exhibit, I will hold an experiencing art through texture workshop with blind and visually impaired students of the community. The exhibit will be held at the art warehouse Sugar Space in District 2 of Salt Lake City on Friday November 3rd 6pm - 9:30pm. The purpose of this exhibit is to bring an otherwise marginalized and overlooked population of the community to the forefront of the art scene. Not only will they be involved in the creation process of the show, but they will be able to "see" the paintings as they see the world—with their hands. Viewers who are not blind or visually impaired will be given the option to experience the exhibit with a blindfold and rope guiding them through the works. Thank you for your support and I hope to see you at the event! Best, Laura Erekson
8/16/2023 13:54	Julie Sanders	Ivory Homes proposed Development at 675 N. F Street	Whom it May Concern. I live in SLC on Tomahawk Drive. I have lived in my home for over 15 years and have seen many changes in SLC during this period of time. Some changes are good and some are not so good. I strongly feel that the addition of the Ivory Homes Development falls into the not so good change for the following reasons. 1. The area is not large enough to accommodate the number of proposed homes especially with ADUs. They will be crammed together. What about parking? snow removal? can fire trucks get in the proposed streets? 2. The proposed walking trails are a joke. 3. Our current roads can barely handle the car, bike, walking and scooter traffic now. What will happen with an influx of people? I can't speak about water and sewer... 4. These will be expensive homes. That is NOT what is needed in SLC. We need moderately priced homes for families. Families are needed to support the public schools. 5. There is no benefit to the community from this project except for the income that taxes will provide. 6. Living on Tomahawk, I am well aware of fire danger. This proposed development would add to that fear. SLC is known for the Avenues. The houses are different. They have character. This proposed development does not fit in. By allowing this you are slowly ruining one of the best areas of this city. Thank you for your time and consideration, Julie Sanders

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/16/2023 16:36	Kate Jarman-Gates	In Support of Sanctioned Camping	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council and Mayor Mendenhall, I recently heard about your efforts, including the budget allocation, to create a sanctioned campground for the unsheltered in our city. When I heard about it I gasped with joy. This is exactly what we need! Thank you, thank you, thank you! In District 1, I see a lot of the impact of the unsheltered on themselves, myself, and my neighborhood. Recently I've decided to make friends with the unsheltered when I see them, and find out what they need in their own words. Every one of them so far has said that they have big plans for getting back into four solid walls, and then shared the dreams they had for once they got there. Many of them have said their biggest wish once they get back to normal life is to go back out and serve the community, to help their neighbors both sheltered and unsheltered. Can you imagine what a difference that would make, if we turned our entire homeless population into a workforce for good in our city? Especially a workforce that has direct experience with homelessness. They would make skilled caregivers and liaisons for other people without permanent homes. And every single one of them said to start that all they need is a sanctioned place they can set up camp. That would help them redirect their energy towards improving themselves and the city, rather than draining it just to survive. They said they hate having to be out in public because they know it annoys and even scares people, though they try to be as considerate as they can. One said, "I would be happy to move my camp somewhere and get out of the way! But where can I go? There is no where. Please stop asking me to do something I physically cannot do." They also mentioned that more consistent help from case workers, legal help (not just for criminal convictions, but also for cases where they are victims or discriminated against), places to bathe and shower, public toilets and drinking water, rides to doctor appointments and other amenities, and public cell phone charging stations would be the next things they need to move from surviving to thriving (in addition to sanctioned camping or secure housing with support). Please, please expedite this sanctioned camping ground, or supported housing, as our unsheltered friends have wisely requested. I'm doing what I can to support the cause, so please feel free to reach out for any support you need from me or my neighbors. I will happily volunteer at a sanctioned campground or to help our friends get on their path to security in whatever capacity I'm most qualified for. With compassion, Kate Jarman-Gates P.S. I also know that this is a county-wide problem. In fact, I'd argue it's a nationwide systemic problem that one sanctioned campground can't fix. But I also know, from personal experience, that small moves like this can have a positive, immeasurable ripple effect. Just because we can't predict it, doesn't mean it won't make the difference we need. Let's not make perfect the enemy of progress. Let's make a sanctioned campground to directly help people NOW. And then we can look at the whole picture of ending homelessness, including getting our county friends on board.</p>
8/16/2023 16:59	Stephen Bitteker	Salt Lake's air quality	<p>Hi. I'm intersted in knowing more about what the City Council and Mayor are doing to keep the city's air clean. Are you working with State officials in making certain nearby refineries are using the lastest emissions filtering technology? The concern stems from breathing problems since moving here in 2022 as well as a peculiar odor in my hair and on clothes after spending time outdoors withtin the city limits.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/17/2023 13:52	Colette Ruff	Petition in support of the Northpoint small area plan	<p>To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to petition for the support of the Northpoint small area to be changed from agriculture to M1. I have spent an enormous amount of time in that area in the last 5 years and am astounded that the city has taken so long to change up the property. I became aware of this situation in 2022 when I heard that the city was not allowing residents to sell their properties because of a technical issue. The city has also allowed outside community voices to sway their decision instead of doing what's right by everyone, especially the land. It is commendable that you have given everyone an opportunity to have their say and voice their concerns about the property. However, it is inevitable that this area is turning into an industrial business district and it will only increase with the dust, tractors, and loaders that are changing the landscape of what used to be beautiful farmlands into an area of concrete businesses that I see every time I drive on 2200 West. It will benefit the city greatly to change the variance to M1, increasing property taxes paid to the city and allow those that do not wish to continue seeing their property and the area being taken over by businesses, to move on and start their lives elsewhere. Thank you so much for your time and know you will make the right decision for all those involved at the upcoming meeting this week. Sincerely, Colette Ruff</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/19/2023 10:00	Shawn Winter	No sanctioned Camp in SLC.	<p>Dear City Council Members I am writing as a concern citizen who has lived in SLC for 15 years. It is a great place to raise kids and enjoy the outdoors. As a citizen of slc I am completely against a sanctioned camp for the homeless in our cities borders. I know the homeless problem is not you guys fault and anyone who says it's your fault is full of it. We have countless reports of homeless people being shipped to our city from communities around Utah and Wyoming. Even the mayor has been told to her face that other cities mayors send their homeless to us. Then those same mayors turn around and blame SLC for how we handle the situation. Ever night our shelters are full and every winter we have to spend thousands of dollars on winter shelters. Why is the burden on us (SLC) to provide resources or even a camp to people who are not from our community? Well enough is enough. We have no obligation to give homeless a spot of land to squat. Also,, we do have a growing issue on our hands, and it is time that we engage with some type of intervention until more affordable housing comes online. First off, I am all for the housing first program. It was successful in the past and still can be. But we need to do something now, and the ideas I have may not be the most popular; however, I ask you to consider them. As mentioned, our emergency shelters are full every night. Why can't we transport some of the homeless to other CoCs in the state? The latest annual data report from workforce services shows that both Mountainland and balance of the state CoC are only at 55% and 61% utilization of their year-round emergency beds compared to 85% of SLC Coc . What's wrong with sending the homeless that live on our street to these shelters? They are not allowed to camp on public property, but when I hear people say "where can they go?" we could have them sent to other CoC shelters. You know that those other CoCs are doing the same to SLC so why not send them back? The second idea is to conduct a Rio Grande-like operation to remove all the drug dealers and drug users from the streets. Many people feel unsafe because they see people using drugs right in the open. It's only a band-aid for the problem, but drug use and selling is still illegal, no matter if you are homeless or not. Anyways, again, I am against a sanctioned camp in the SLC limits. And I will sue if one is decided to be put up. Don't let half of the people who are talking during the city council meetings fool you. They are homeless, and of course they want a camp, but they do not pay the property taxes of this city, and most of them can't even vote in our elections. You should listen to the people who actually vote and contribute to this city. We already are paying a lot for the size of Salt Lake City compared to the rest of the state. Thank you for your time. PS. I have sent this letter to several members individually and appologize for the redudancy. I am just new to this whole process and wanted to make sure I got my concerns to the right people</p>
8/21/2023 10:39	Eileen Brown	(EXTERNAL) IVORY HOMES	<p>We are AGAINST Ivory Homes Planned Development -- Avenues project. Keep the S-1 zone. We do not need more expensive massive homes. Ivory has twisted their claims as far as "affordability, trails, open spaces", etc. Adding ADU to each of the 21 units will add enormously to the long term traffic up and down the Aves., not to mention the short term noise, pollution, congestion and damage to roads caused by heavy construction trucks going up and down for many months. What a nightmare. Ridiculous location for this development in the first place, project should never have been approved, but rather kept as a park for the next generations. We will never get back the beautiful trees and open space. Suggestion: Develop some empty office/public buildings downtown into more affordable housing....and I mean affordable, with rents no more than \$1,500 mo. for average 2 bedroom. Subsidized by Ivory. Eileen and Jim Brown</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/21/2023 15:45	Jan McKinnon	(EXTERNAL) Ivory's Planned Development/Parking!	<p>Dear Aaron This is my second email to you regarding the Planned Development by Ivory Homes. I have grave concerns about the design and especially with what looks like nine homes facing Capitol Park Avenue. As you may not know, Capitol Park Avenue is a private road owned by the HOA's of the Meridian and Capitol Park Avenue. We pay to have the road maintained but also have always prohibited parking on the road. With the front door of these nine residences facing Capitol Park Avenue, I suspect the owners are going to try and park on a road posted as "No Parking" and they will be ticketed or towed. There are a number of reasons why cars are not allowed to park on Capitol Park Avenue. The main reason being is that it isn't as wide as a normal city street and cars parked on the road inhibit travel of cars driving through the neighborhood and would also create difficulty for emergency vehicles responding to an emergency. Parked cars on the road during the winter would make it impossible for our snow plows to clear the snow from the streets. Capitol Park Avenue HOA members were required to provide at least three enclosed parking spaces when the house was built. In addition, they have double wide driveways that are deep enough to accommodate several guest cars if needed. The Meridien has underground resident parking and two large guest parking lots above ground. The lack of parking in the Ivory Homes Development in general is a concern. The overflow parking will flow to F Street and 13th Street. In the winter F Street is treacherous and if cars are parked on both sides of the road, it is inevitable that there will be many cars that slide into the parked cars. It's happened before with a few cars parked on the street but you add 5-10 more cars and it would be much worse. This is an easy problem to solve. Require Ivory Homes to build a development using the SR-1 Zone they were awarded by the City Council. Ivory Homes still makes money, homes with yards provide housing for families keeping our Ensign elementary school populated, the development under these standards would fit in with the surrounding neighborhood, and a beautiful foothills lot would be creatively developed. Right now it looks like Ivory is trying to retrofit an existing plan onto this steeply, sloped lot. It just won't work. Everyone wins if Ivory is held to the SR-1 Zone. Thank you. Jan McKinnon</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/21/2023 15:47	Judy Rose 1/2	(EXTERNAL) Ivory Homes Proposed Development at 675 North F Street / D3	<p>To Whom it may concern: With respect to: Ivory Homes Proposed Development at 675 North F Street Please see below my concerns about the Ivory Home proposal development: Not Compatible with Established Development in the Avenues The houses proposed by Ivory are at least twice the size of most houses in the SR-1 zone of the Avenues and packed far more closely together: 10 feet between large, 90 foot long buildings. No Public Benefit: A Planned Development allows a relaxation of zone requirements in exchange for one or more of a set of prescribed public benefits. Ivory's proposed development provides no public benefit. Ivory's Proposal Does Not Meet the Criteria for a Planned Development: A Planned Development is required to produce an "enhanced product". Ivory's proposal is not an enhanced product. • Ivory claims that a Planned Development is required to add ADUs. This is not correct. The City law allows ADUs for any qualifying home. • Ivory also claims they are "preserving open spaces" and "creating trails". These claims are also both untrue. Sidewalks, which are needed regardless, do not constitute a trail and most of the open space they claim to be preserving was mandated by the city for a public-access park as a condition of the rezone. Ivory should build something closer to the SR-1 zone granted by the City Council. Approval of this Planned Development would make a mockery of the Planned Development process. Not Affordable: There is nothing affordable about Ivory's proposed development. Their large houses will sell in the millions and the ADUs will rent at high Avenues market rates. Unreasonable: Neighbors who live adjacent to this proposed development purchased their homes with the understanding new buildings would comply with FR-3. Times change. We recognize more housing is needed. Neighbors understand that the City has rezoned this to SR-1, but the Planned Development takes this way beyond what neighbors feel is reasonable. Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs). These are permitted by the City, but have to date only been created one at a time by individual owner occupants. Ivory is proposing to build an entire subdivision where every unit (21) has an ADU. This will add enormously to the number of vehicles, plus there is concern these units may become disruptive short-term rentals. An Experiment: Ivory describes the creation of a subdivision of ADUs as an "experiment -- the first of its kind in Utah". Is this really the right location for such an experiment? A site that only borders one public road. Maybe it makes sense in a walkable part of the city, but not here. Soil Removal: This is a highly sloped foothills lot. Ivory proposes to build 21 large, 90 feet long homes against the grain of the hillside. How many thousands of truckloads of soil will be trucked out through our steep and narrow Avenues streets so Ivory can overbuild this lot? Setbacks and Building Coverage: Ivory's proposal radically reduces setbacks and increases building coverage to allow oversized homes on shrunken lots.</p>
8/21/2023 15:47	Judy Rose 2/2	CONTINUED!! (EXTERNAL) Ivory Homes Proposed Development at 675 North F Street / D3	<p>It is the Planned Development that would allow Ivory to ignore the rules of the SR-1 zone. They want to cut one front yard setback (lot 10) from the required 20 feet to approximately 2 feet No Yards: Houses with no yards are less likely to attract families with young children and will not support enrollment in the Ensign school. Parking: Ivory has provided insufficient parking. They have provided only four guest parking spaces for 42 residences. They have provided nowhere to store plowed snow in the winter. We have estimated that around 40 cars from this development will park on neighboring streets, principally F Street and 13th Avenue. Parking on Capitol Park Avenue: Ivory fronts 9 homes onto Capitol Park Avenue, a private street posted as No Parking. Ivory residents and guests will nonetheless park there illegally, causing disputes and friction between neighbors. Fire: Ivory's development, Capitol Park and Northpoint sit in an area designated as at high risk of wildfire. There is concern that F Street would become a choke point in the event of a wildfire. Thank you for your consideration, Judy Rose</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/21/2023 15:49	Cindy Van Klaveren	(EXTERNAL) Re Ivory Project on 675 N F Street / D3	To Whom It May Concern, Last evening, Ensign Elementary received a special award to recognize the largest educational growth in SLC School District for 2022-2023, among non-Title 1 schools. This honor underscores the excellent quality of education in our neighborhood school. Isn't it a shame that Ivory's current plan will not attract families with children as the design has no open space nor back or side yards? This development could have drawn a dozen or so such families who might have benefited from the excellent neighborhood school. Ensign would have welcomed those children with open arms. This is indeed an opportunity lost. Cindy Van Klaveren
8/23/2023 10:37	Linda Bailey	Your Park Strip Violates the City Ordinance #21A.48	We have been the owners/residents for 45+ years in our Rose Park home. My husband and I are both retired and I was extremely upset to have the above-mentioned note left on our porch. We replaced the lawn in the park strip with beautiful red rocks for water conservation (said rocks were at a cost of a little over \$500), a lot of money for retired folks. Several of our retired neighbors have done the same thing and I can tell you, it looks 100% better than the yards around here that have 1 to 2 feet high weeds that are not dealt with at all. In an effort to keep our park strip as is and to save water we would request that we should not be punished for our efforts. Please take this into account and we appreciate your efforts in this regard. Warmest regards, Linda & Glen Bailey
8/23/2023 10:40	Margaret Adams	Parking Strip Ordinance	Hello, I would like to attend a public meeting for district 1 about the parking strip city ordinance. I don't think we should be required to have vegetation and waste water on that, and I also do not want us to be required to have trees on our parking strips. they can damage all sorts of things like the sidewalks and sewer lines and I just think we should focus on saving water and preventing damage to the things I just mentioned. Please let me know if we can do a public meeting. I would like to attend, thank you. Margaret Yun
8/23/2023 10:43	Matthew Yun	parking strip ordinance	I want to urge you to hold a public meeting in District 1 regarding parking strip ordinance. I don't think residents should be required to have vegetation in their parking strips. We should save water. We live in a desert. Watering vegetation is a waste of money. I also oppose parking strips being required to have a tree planted. Trees have deep roots and break through the sidewalks, curbs, driveways, water lines, gas lines. If the city requires trees, the city will be responsible for damage repair caused by trees.
8/23/2023 11:39	Christopher Mead	Parking Policy/D4	Hi there, I live at REDACTED. The city needs to do better and be aggressive when people park across driveways (this happens a lot in busy areas west of the U). The current policy of a slow response simply just to ticket is insufficient. Everywhere else I've lived they just tow when the actual driveway is being blocked. It's good revenue for the city and a strong disincentive when it comes to this very anti-social behavior. Thanks, Chris
8/23/2023 16:33	Margaret Miller	(EXTERNAL) Fwd: Ivory Homes Development in the Avenues / D3	Please DO NOT approve this development. I have been an Avenues resident for 20 years. The houses are small and very close together, much moreso than other neighborhoods where I have lived. Salt Lake needs more affordable housing. These houses will not be affordable. I have lived near Ivory Developments before and they have been normal sized houses and blended in with the neighborhood. I have heard this is an "experiment" for Ivory. The proposed houses with ADU's will be jammed into a small area with no open areas, no parking, no play areas and nowhere for the resident's pets. Why impose this kind of development on an already overcrowded area? F Street is very steep and slippery in the winter and will not accomodate all the street parking that this development will add. Please DO NOT approve this development. Margaret Miller

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/25/2023 12:09	Robert Taylor	Northpoint Small Area Plan	<p>I was made aware that my neighbor 2 doors east from me is trying to get a rezone of his property which is currently AG-2 to M1. We live on a dead end street that is only 15' wide with no curb and gutter. I live at 2074 west 2670 North. While I don't want to dictate what others do with their land this is a horrible idea and everyone I've talked to strongly opposes putting in storage units in our neighborhood. Is that even legal? Jon Schrek from the development group OCC was out measuring the road yesterday. 😞 Please help us to combat this</p>
8/28/2023 9:12	Trevor Ovenden	(EXTERNAL) Message in support of Affordable Housing Incentives / D5	<p>Hello Council Member, I'm reaching out to you today to voice my support for the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives, specifically the incentives that would apply to single family zoning districts. The Planning Commission received quite a few comments in opposition to this proposal, and you've probably heard similar concerns from your constituents. I would posit that most of these concerns are unfounded and stem from misinformation, incorrect assumptions, and many homeowners' discomfort with the possibility of less affluent people living near them. It's likely that the most commonly heard concern regarding the proposal is that that large numbers of well-maintained single-family homes in established neighborhoods would be demolished to be replaced with shoddily constructed and poorly-maintained multifamily buildings. This scenario is extremely unlikely. The income restrictions imposed by the incentives make it very difficult if not impossible in most situations for a developer to do this and make a profit. Anyone who takes the time to do some very basic calculations would realize single family homes are simply too expensive for this strategy to be economically feasible. Another common concern is that the City lacks the ability to ensure that the affordability restrictions are enforced. Those who voice this concern are likely unaware that the proposal includes an annual reporting requirement that provides the City an opportunity to ensure compliance every year. Additionally, it is likely that many projects will use funding from sources with more even rigorous reporting requirements that ensure that the units are maintained as affordable. There seems to be a lot of fear that that this proposal will dramatically change our neighborhoods, but the reality is that there probably won't be many opportunities for these types of projects to pencil in single family zones. Concerns that neighborhoods will be negatively impacted by creating affordable housing at this scale are unreasonable and perpetuate the discriminatory housing policy that has significantly contributed to the housing crisis we are facing today. This proposal is very well thought out and incentivizes more housing to be built in our City in a way that is compatible with existing development. It also creates a new path for people to create additional legal units within existing buildings provided the units meet the affordability requirements and building code, and a parking space is provided for each unit. The Planning Division receives inquiries from people hoping to do this almost daily. The lack of affordable housing in our area is a crisis, and anything we can do to facilitate the development of more housing is step in the right direction. Please schedule this item for a work session and public hearing as soon as possible. Thank you for your time. Trevor Ovenden</p>



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/28/2023 13:38	Mark Segal	Park Strip Watering/D3	<p>Dear Mr. Wharton, I was recently made aware of the "park strip" requirement to maintain a minimum of 33% vegetation along with an automatic sprinkler system for this area. As a resident of Salt Lake City, I feel this is a huge waste of water, a precious commodity. I am confused why the city is asking all of us residents to conserve water and on the other hand, requires that we waste it on the park strip areas? Why does the park strip ordinance impose huge fines if we chose to create landscaping that is not a waste of water? We need to reverse this requirement and find a more sensible and cost effective way to keep our city beautiful. At a minimum there should be a means to vote on such issues and not quietly post them for comment on a city blog that the vast majority of SLC residents never see. I understand and commend the city for having requirements to keep our city beautiful, yet the means to achieve this needs to be updated to reflect our current environmental situation. The use of city water in my opinion should be focused on public parks etc.. where the maximum number of residents can enjoy spending time outdoors in a green environment. I understand the beautiful trees that line our streets also need water. Yet there are many properties where this does not apply that are forced to waste huge quantities of water just to keep our city ordinances simple and "across the board". With this kind of outdated approach, our resources are bound to dry up much earlier than we think. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Mark SEGAL SLC 84103</p>
8/28/2023 13:43	Michael Bastiani	Park Strrip/D3	<p>Dear Mr. Wharton, I was recently made aware of the park strip requirement to maintain a minimum of 33% vegetation along with an automatic sprinkler system for this area. As a resident of Salt Lake City, I feel this is a huge waste of water, a precious commodity. I am confused why the city is asking all of us residents to conserve water and on the other hand, requires that we waste it on the park strip areas? Why does the park strip ordinance impose huge fines if we chose to create landscaping that is not a waste of water? We need to reverse this requirement and find a more sensible and cost effective way to keep our city beautiful. At a minimum there should be a means to vote on such issues and not quietly post them for comment on a city blog that the vast majority of SLC residents never see. I urge you to conduct a public meeting in District 3 on this issue. Residents should not be punished for water conservation efforts. The city should focus on water wasters. And since many residents do not know what water saving measures are allowed, you and the mayor should form a special water-wise group to inform the public. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Michael Bastiani</p>
8/28/2023 13:46	Bethany Steinberg	Zero Scaping/D3	<p>. Dear Mr. Wharton, I was recently made aware of the park strip requirement to maintain a minimum of 33% vegetation along with an automatic sprinkler system for this area. As a resident of Salt Lake City, I feel this is a huge waste of water! I am confused as to why the city is asking residents to conserve water and on the other hand, requires that we waste it on the park strip areas. Why does the park strip ordinance impose huge fines if we choose to create landscaping that is not a waste of water? We need to reverse this requirement and find a more sensible and cost-effective way to keep our city beautiful. Currently, 1/2 the water used to keep our anemic stretch of grass alive on our extension ends up on the pavement or in the air. At a minimum, there should be a means to vote on these issues and not quietly post them for comment on a city blog that the vast majority of SLC residents never see. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, David and Bethany Steinberg</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/29/2023 13:32	Trevor Ovenden	Message in Support of Affordable Housing Incentives	<p>Hello Council Member, I'm reaching out to you today to voice my support for the proposed Affordable Housing Incentives, specifically the incentives that would apply to single family zoning districts. The Planning Commission received quite a few comments in opposition to this proposal, and you've probably heard similar concerns from your constituents. I would posit that most of these concerns are unfounded and stem from misinformation, incorrect assumptions, and many homeowners' discomfort with the possibility of less affluent people living near them. It's likely that the most commonly heard concern regarding the proposal is that that large numbers of well-maintained single-family homes in established neighborhoods would be demolished to be replaced with shoddily constructed and poorly-maintained multifamily buildings. This scenario is extremely unlikely. The income restrictions imposed by the incentives make it very difficult if not impossible in most situations for a developer to do this and make a profit. Anyone who takes the time to do some very basic calculations would realize single family homes are simply too expensive for this strategy to be economically feasible. Another common concern is that the City lacks the ability to ensure that the affordability restrictions are enforced. Those who voice this concern are likely unaware that the proposal includes an annual reporting requirement that provides the City an opportunity to ensure compliance every year. Additionally, it is likely that many projects will use funding from sources with more even rigorous reporting requirements that ensure that the units are maintained as affordable. There seems to be a lot of fear that that this proposal will dramatically change our neighborhoods, but the reality is that there probably won't be many opportunities for these types of projects to pencil in single family zones. Concerns that neighborhoods will be negatively impacted by creating affordable housing at this scale are unreasonable and perpetuate the discriminatory housing policy that has significantly contributed to the housing crisis we are facing today. This proposal is very well thought out and incentivizes more housing to be built in our City in a way that is compatible with existing development. It also creates a new path for people to create additional legal units within existing buildings provided the units meet the affordability requirements and building code, and a parking space is provided for each unit. The Planning Division receives inquiries from people hoping to do this almost daily. The lack of affordable housing in our area is a crisis, and anything we can do to facilitate the development of more housing is step in the right direction. Please schedule this item for a work session and public hearing as soon as possible. Thank you for your time.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
8/31/2023 10:32	Ashlee Mason	Construction/Traffic	<p>I live in Fairpark but work downtown and wasn't sure who to contact. I rarely write letters to my representatives, but for the first time in a while, I feel I have to voice my opinion. It is not clear to me who at the city thought it was a good idea to do so many construction projects in the downtown area at once, but it is getting UNBEARABLE. I commute from Fairpark to the address above, and both main traffic arteries (200 S and North Temple) are reduced to one lane each and have been hell on my car's alignment. I am furious with the city's planning of this. We're not cattle, and shouldn't be shuffled into nearly impossible situations like this for years on end. I'm a longtime Democrat, but Mayor Mendenhall might not get my vote if she runs again, because this is a disaster. Sorry, but I had to let someone in government know. Also, 200 S and State St. seems like a hazard. It's unclear from the signage if we are just not allowed to turn left from the Gallivan Center driveway, or if we're allowed to proceed east on 200 S. The cones are arranged to make it look like there is right hand turning only, but I and others have proceeded to go straight. I've seen two close calls re vehicle collisions. Finally, this isn't related to the construction, but since I have you, I think something should be done about the intersection on 400 W and 300 N in Fairpark. I've seen near misses and actual accidents occur there regularly, and I find it dangerous to drive through. It should probably be a prominent four way stop (like the one on 1000 W and 500 N, with the hanging stop signs so no one misses them). One time, my husband and I were in an Uber, and the driver drove right through the stop sign traveling south. It scared the hell out of us, and I just don't want anyone getting hurt. Thank you for listening.</p>
8/31/2023 16:01	Aleyzia Grant	Fleetblock	<p>Good evening I'm emailing you in regards to your building I've been trying to turn that into a place that gives back to the community and most of all are young youths! It would be a honor if you took it into consideration I look forward to hearing from you!</p>
9/2/2023 10:37	Sarah Simkins	SLC Police Brutality Murals	<p>I am disappointed in the many ways the current mayor and city council have refused to hear the impacted communities on many issues. "Listening" and hearing are two different things. There are many families and community members that have pleaded for the fleet block space to remain a safe space that provides a place for mourning and much needed resources for those living in that area. A city that creates such a place is a city that values all of its people, not just the privileged. The current zoning would allow for all those community needs to be met. We don't need another luxury apartment or city building. We need a collective safe space for the community to aid in healing, crucial conversation, and distribution of much needed resources to those living without their basic needs being met. Thank you, Sarah Simkins</p>
9/4/2023 4:57	Amanda McLearn-Montz	Proposal on New Zoning Fleet Block	<p>Hello City Council, As a constituent and resident of Salt Lake City, I strongly support increased affordable housing in our city. I understand this will require new zoning laws and changes to certain neighborhoods. However, the murals of Breonna Taylor, Bernardo Palacios, and other lives lost too soon should be protected and not removed in this process. These murals are sacred places for families and the community, and they are a special place to gather. Our community needs special places to gather, remember, and celebrate the lives of those we lost. Please preserve this space and find other ways and places to rezone to provide affordable housing. Thank you, Amanda McLearn-Montz Lower Avenues, Salt Lake City</p>
9/4/2023 11:43	Roger Carrier	no warehouses in wetlands	<p>I love Salt Lake City, and I am very concerned about over-development that impacts the environment</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 8:24	Dorothy Pappas Owen	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	<p>As former chair of the Westpointe Community Council I have been very involved in advocating, participating, and now implementing this plan for the past 5 years. I regret that all of the problems and concerns voiced by residents during this period have come to pass. The one positive outcome of this unfortunate situation is that there now no question that such concerns are real and must be resolved. . The remaining question is whether the Council’s actions now will change anything. Not to be redundant, but we do not need another warehouse district. Nor do we want development that impedes the City’s goal of saving the Great Salt Lake and the surrounding areas. There has been much talk that development in this area will bring “good paying jobs.” Within the last month members of the Westpointe Board went door to door to the new businesses along 2200 West seeking their involvement in the community by inviting them personally to the annual Night Out event. We also used this opportunity to explore recruitment of needed employees from the local area. None voiced interest in such employment outreach and only one, a recent Australian firm, voiced support for such community engagement efforts and actually showcased their product at the Night Out. This e outreach experience was enlightening and disappointing. These businesses, for the most part, did not see themselves as part of the community and did not provide “high paying jobs.” Westpointe has had two additional opportunities to have local residents access such employment—neither has been successful to date—one was the new Utah State Prison and the other is with the new Salt Lake City Waste Treatment Plant. If government is unable to commit to this goal then why does the City believe private businesses will? . In conclusion, please do not support the current proposed Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Be bold and do something positive for the area. Coming up with a plan that “makes everyone unhappy” is not an indicator of a sound planning strategy What you do needs to be something future City Council will be glad you did rather than lament about past missed opportunities that makes their job even more difficult (sound familiar?) Thank you</p>
9/5/2023 8:29	Lynn Schwarz	Northpoint	<p>Northpoint It is almost inconceivable that of the three choices for use of this area, the highest, best use that was chosen was light manufacturing, with a probable utilization as distribution and fulfillment facilities. This choice, in the face of a dire need for space for housing, in the face of a Great Salt Lake in desperate trouble, and in the face of pollution so severe that it shortens people's life spans, choosing a use that will probably add a significant number of trucks to the area, was your preferred choice. Talk about adding insult to the injury of the Inland Port. In this time of our housing crisis any proposed land use should be for housing as a first choice, and any other use should prioritize a use with the potential for generating the least pollution as possible, especially on the West Side. A use such as a Great Salt Lake Shoreline Heritage Area would be a preferred second choice. A light industrial use should not even be considered a viable use.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 8:34	Rebecca W Davis	Opposition to Creation of Northpoint District Master Plan as Written	<p>I am in opposition to the creation of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan as currently written because it will greenlight millions of square feet of new warehouse construction in Salt Lake City on currently undeveloped Great Salt Lake wetlands and uplands. The Master Plan has no limitations on warehousing or distribution uses which is unacceptable. The health of Salt Lake City's citizens is too important to allow more warehouses to be built which will result in thousands of diesel trucks servicing these warehouses creating more water, noise, air and light pollution in Salt Lake City and surrounding communities, along with traffic congestion. These warehouses are in addition to warehouses being built as part of the Utah Inland Port which allows for 152 million square feet of new warehouse development. This is not the best use for this area which is home to a nearby residential community. The health and well-being of these residents as well as the rest of the citizens of Salt Lake City and Salt Lake County must be considered with reasonable restrictions being placed on the Northpoint District. The survival of the Great Salt Lake and its wetlands which are critical to the survival of migrating birds is more important than more warehouses and the problems they cause. Thank you.</p> <p>Rebecca Davis</p>
9/5/2023 9:04	Danial Rubenstein 1/2	2200 W Construction	<p>I am a Veteran who served our country with my heart and soul. I'm still dealing with many issues related to my service to this beloved country that I love so very much. I genuinely need peace in my life. Please consider this letter as it is written with the pain I have endured. I have no choice but to leave the home I have loved for 22 years. I have lived at 2680 N. 2200 W. for the last 22 years. I very much love living here. Unfortunately, now with the decision of the city council to allow the North Point Distribution project on the west side of 2200 West to move forward. My life has been completely turned upside down. Since last February of 2022, living here has been unbearable. In the beginning, 300 semi-trucks drove up and down this small 2-lane road daily, with 40-foot side loaders bringing in backfill to start the project moving forward. This was the beginning of the issues I now face, and it's only getting worse. In April, road construction began for the Gas line. The city allowed work to start at 12 am until 7 pm. With no regard to the residents living on 2200 W. I have to wake up at 5 am to start my day. I'm dealing with loud noises, lights, large trucks heavy equipment, and compactors shaking my home 18 hours a day. I can't sleep and cannot stay in my home because it is unbearable. My employer was ready to terminate me because I was late to work because of the unexpected delays with the construction, and I was getting no sleep and was unable to complete tasks at my job because I'm so exhausted. I am a carpenter by trade and need to be aware of the environment around me. I deal with many situations if I'm not aware of my environment, I could place myself in danger and the many co-workers who trust in me to be alert. I'm thrilled the gas line is now completed past my home. The city engineer told me that if I thought the gas line was terrible, wait for the sewer line to be installed, Quote. (it's only going to be worse). Rather than lose my job because of no sleep and being late, I have resorted to sleeping in the back truck at the job site I'm currently working on in Heber. I sleep there better and know I will have no delays because of construction leaving my home. I have been reduced to sleeping in my vehicle. I never thought I would ever have that reality in my life. To make matters worse. I was offered a job at the airport that would have paid me substantially more money. I wanted and needed this job so badly. I knew that I would not be able to sleep in my vehicle at the airport. I had to turn this opportunity down. I am responsible for keeping the ditch on my property clear of debris. The trucks are destroying the ditch. I'm responsible for it.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 9:04	Danial Rubenstein 2/2	CONTINUED!! 2200 W Construction	<p>I can not maintain my property or the ditch for fear of being run over by the trucks. I can no longer put my garbage cans on 2200 West as they have been knocked over several times, Creating a massive mess for me to clean up. The post office will no longer deliver mail to me as it has been deemed dangerous for the postal worker. I have lived here for 22 years. This project has destroyed my quality of life. I can no longer rest in peace in my own home. Please allow me to move forward from this hell. I need to be able to work, sleep, love, and enjoy life. This whole situation is entirely unbearable. I do not know how much longer I can endure this. I welcome any council member to spend the night at my home. You will then understand the hell that you have created for me. I'm confident it was not intentional. Sometimes decisions have unexpected results. But the results are authentic for any resident who lives on 2200 West. The homeowners farther east will not understand. They are worried about the wildlife in the area. I'm worried about my own life. Please approve the M-1 with distribution zoning change. Please release me and others from this hell. Allow me to be no longer held hostage in this horrible situation. It is not too late to fix this problem. Please make this right for me and many other homeowners feeling this pain to move forward from this nightmare. I am very sad to have to leave my home of 22 years. I need to make a new dream for my future.' Thank you for allowing me your time to express the pain I am enduring.</p>
9/5/2023 11:42	Neal Zimmerman	Public art along I-80 wall facing 200 south - D2	<p>The enormous concrete wall and underpasses of I-80 lining 200 South seem like an ideal place for public murals. I'm imagining the city doesn't exactly own this infrastructure but it would sure be nice to add something positive to this massive barrier that adds so much pollution and noise to our neighborhood</p>
9/5/2023 13:40	Rae Duckworth	Fleet Block	<p>Greetings, My name is Rae Duckworth and my cousin is Bobby Ray Duckworth. He is beautifully represented on the building that occupies Fleet Block, also known as Salt Lake City Police Brutality Murals. Below are statements of opinion for you, my elected council officials. The last presentation was disappointing to see, as being part of some of the conversations surrounding this sacred space in our neighborhood, we comfortably recognize this block's purpose. This safe space has united people in grieving, mourning, and pain. This safe space has provided the community with a sacred ground to hold important, heavy discussions that we know our current City is not having internally. This safe space has aided families of police violence by creating a safe community's environment. It is highly encouraged to continue this theme for safety in this block. This block does not need to be rezoned. The current zoning prioritizes the people who live in that neighborhood. The following are ideas you should prioritize in your decision making. The community living in that neighborhood needs safe shelter, safe food, safe water, and safe resources. If you are not building a structure with a roof, to house people in a lower tax bracket than yours, you are failing. If you are not building an easily accessible fridge and garden, for everyone to enjoy, including those who are unsheltered, you are failing. If you are not building access to fresh water, without labeling fountains for "People of Colour", you are failing. If you are not building a central station with resources that could have provided safety for the victims of police violence, then you are not listening to the people who put you in your elected seat, then you are failing. "Change will not come if we wait for some other person or some other time. We are the ones we've been waiting for. We are the change that we seek." - Former President Barack Obama With Love, -- Rae Duckworth Operating Chairperson of Black Lives Matter Utah Chapter</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 13:41	Jenica Wilcox	Rezoning fleet block	I'm writing in hopes of convincing you to keep the community space for families affected by police violence. Please enhance and improve, don't remove this important space. Our city, our state needs to embrace and improve. Jenica Wilcox
9/5/2023 13:42	Nathan Jones	Fleet Block City Council Public Comment	Hello, My name is Nathan Jones. I am a concerned citizen who cares about the well-being of our unhoused population and disenfranchised fellow humans. Below are my public comments and statements of opinion for you, my elected council officials. The last presentation was disappointing to see, as being part of some of the conversations surrounding this sacred space in our neighborhood, we comfortably recognize this block's purpose. This safe space has united people in grieving, mourning, and pain. This safe space has provided the community with a sacred ground to hold important, heavy discussions that we know our current City is not having internally. This safe space has aided families of police violence by creating a safe community's environment. It is highly encouraged to continue this theme for safety in this block. This block does not need to be rezoned. The current zoning prioritizes the people who live in that neighborhood. The following are ideas you should prioritize in your decision making. The community living in that neighborhood needs safe shelter, safe food, safe water, and safe resources. If you are not building a structure with a roof, to house people in a lower tax bracket than yours, you are failing. If you are not building an easily accessible fridge and garden, for everyone to enjoy, including those who are unsheltered, you are failing. If you are not building access to fresh water, without labeling fountains for "People of Colour", you are failing. If you are not building a central station with resources that could have provided safety for the victims of police violence, then you are not listening to the people who put you in your elected seat, then you are failing. In short, if you do not build resource centers aimed at rebuilding and caring for this community and instead gentrify the area to absorb profit, YOU ARE FAILING. Stand with those who need strong leadership and maintain a safe space for this block. With respect, Nathan Jones
9/5/2023 13:46	Nicole Denison	No Port	Salt Lake County Council Members: The people of this valley have spoken time and again against widening of roads, gondolas and other damaging commercial projects. These acts benefit a few greatly and affect many who call this beautiful place home adversely. We live here for the connection to nature, we endure bad air days to continue our connection to these mountains, rivers, canyons and valleys. Please halt consideration of another wound on our land. Respectfully, Nicole Denison
9/5/2023 13:47	Kathy Van Dame	Undeveloped GSL wetlands & uplands...	Dear SLC Council, Undeveloped GSL wetlands & uplands are disappearing from the Earth. These naturally productive areas must be preserved for future generations of humans & our companions. Please do not allow new development on any wetlands & uplands within the area of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Thank you for your work for SLC & our Earth Peace, Kathy Van Dame
9/5/2023 13:47	Susan D	No to new warehouse district	Please vote no to a new warehouse district. It will pour more pollution into neighborhoods and cause ecological destruction on the South shore of Great Salt Lake.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 13:48	Jonathan Constance	General Public Comment - Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, RE: Northpoint Small Area Master Plan I would like to add my voice to the call to limit industrial development in the areas around the Great Salt Lake and elsewhere in Utah. As a current resident of North Salt Lake and longtime Utah native, I continue to grow in appreciation for the beauty and uniqueness of our state. However, air pollution is a major threat to our quality of life along the Wasatch front. This has become a top concern and a consistent topic among my friends and neighbors. Please consider preserving natural habitats around the lake and our mountains by restricting further development. This is especially important when the impact of development will both destroy wildlands and exacerbate pollution. Sincerely, Jonathan E. Constance, Ph.D
9/5/2023 13:49	Susan Fleming	No new warehouse on Great Salt Lake wetlands and uplands!	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, Please do NOT to create yet ANOTHER warehouse district in Salt Lake City! I understand you will be discussing adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. As written, this plan will give the go ahead to millions of square feet of new warehouse construction in Salt Lake City on currently undeveloped Great Salt Lake wetlands and uplands. We have a HUGE pollution problem in Salt Lake City and it is growing worse. My own eyes sting when I go out of doors and I have to use an indoor air filter. The wetlands are very, very fragile and we need them to help save the Great Salt Lake and to attract migratory birds. The larger picture of our environmental catastrophe is MUCH more important than short term profits. We are ruining all that our wonderful state offers by overbuilding and creating more emissions. This new warehouse district will pour more pollution into neighborhoods and cause ecological destruction on the South shore of Great Salt Lake. Sincerely, Susan F. Fleming
9/5/2023 13:50	Nicola Nelson	Turning into California	Utah politicians hate California, yet they are making laws and promoting developments that will increase air, soil and noise pollution. A generation from now we will be looking to California to help us solve the problems we created for ourselves. Nicola Nelson
9/5/2023 13:51	Karin t KIRCHHOFF	northpoint warehouse	Please do not infringe on any more of the wetland area of the GSL. There has been too much destruction of the edges of the lake and wetlands. Karin Kirchhoff
9/5/2023 13:51	Ann Carter	Another inland port	Inland ports are such a bad idea. A few people benefit financially and the rest of the community suffers. Why do we keep making the same mistakes that compromise health and safety and have no respect for the environment! Vote NO!! Ann carter



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 14:22	Jay Griffith	Please Vote No To The Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Here's why.	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, First, thank you for your public service. It is not an easy thing you do. Earlier this year The New York Times published a piece written by the renowned Terry Tempest Williams. She noted BYU Ecology Professor, Ben Abbot's January report on the health (or impending possible demise) of our Great Salt Lake. All the science indicates—even with the blessing of a very wet year—a 5-7 window to save the lake. And within that time frame the need to urgently employ every remedy and prevent every detrimental action possible. In light of the local, statewide, and international importance of our Great Salt Lake, allowing a new warehouse district so near its borders would gravely set back the health of the lake even more. Here's why: • It will create much more air, light, and noise pollution in critical avian and wildlife habitats as well as neighborhoods causing even more ecological destruction on the South shore of Great Salt Lake. • The plan allows for millions of square feet of new warehouse space facilitating thousands of additional diesel trucks daily, with their noise, pollution, wear on roads, and added congestion. • Just the construction of such an enormous facility contributes to water, noise and light pollution, as well as traffic congestion. • With nearly 17,000 acres of land in Salt Lake City zoned for warehouses, this is the largest zoning district in the city in terms of acreage, while also one of the least restrictive in permitted and conditional land uses. There are no limitations on warehousing or distribution uses in the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. How can this be? • Given the dire condition of our lake and the huge negative impact on wildlife and people that allowing such massive warehouses to be built so close to it would cause, I strongly urge you to vote no to this plan. It is a master plan that spells disaster for our lake. This is also personal. I have kids, grandkids, and friends who live in neighborhoods that would be negatively impacted by this kind of development. They already live in compromised areas of health and wellbeing due to pollution.
9/5/2023 14:23	Janet Utt	Protect the wetlands.	No more warehouse and trucking in the area. Kicks up a lot of dust.
9/5/2023 14:25	Skylar Casey	Concerned about Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	Concerned about Northpoint Small Area Master Plan
9/5/2023 14:27	Diane Warsoff	Do NOT approve the warehouse district!	I am a resident of Salt Lake City - I live in District 6, and Dan Dugan is my city council member. I am appalled that the Council would be considering anything to assist in the expansion of the inland port. I strongly oppose this action. Please consider the impact on the west side of our city before making this decision. Please consider the thoughts of your constituents, who pay your salaries before making your decision. And Councilman Dugan, it would be nice if you were to respond to your constituents. I have written to you multiple times with no response.
9/5/2023 14:27	Hank Duffy	North point small area master plan	I am against the proposed Northpoint warehouse district.
9/5/2023 14:29	Megan Barfuss	Please DON'T Create New Warehouse District	THIS IS A BAD IDEA. The Great Salt Lake needs protection NOW. Allowing more development nearby is irresponsible, please do not do this.
9/5/2023 14:34	Polly Wiessner	strong opposition to the creation of another warehouse district	Dear Salt Lake City Council, Please do not create another Warehouse District in Salt Lake City. It will just increase the air pollution in the city contribute to damaging the Great Salt Lake, harm the migratory birds and cause destruction on the south shore. As pollution and ecological damage increases, property values will decline. The risk of air pollution to pregnant women and children his high and if such development continues, many families will leave the city on the grounds of health risks. The long term economic value of this area will depend on efforts in research park, technology, and tourism, not on a polluting inland port. Please, think of the future of our city and its inhabitants!

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 14:50	Austin Scott	Stop Northpoint Warehouse Development	Good day Council Comments Team, I'm writing to voice my opposition to the Northpoint Warehouse Development project. We should not further degrade our air quality with polluting warehouse development, when dust from the drying Great Salt Lake is increasingly threatening to human health. The area is called home to a variety of important wildlife and is an important ecosystem to the state. Please do not proceed with allowing the development of this area
9/5/2023 14:51	Kathy Schockmel	Against Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	The last thing the Salt Lake valley needs is millions of square feet of new warehouse space which will generate more pollution from diesel trucks, noise and light pollution, water pollution and more traffic. As it stands now, nearly 17,000 acres of land in Salt Lake City are zoned for warehouses and it's the largest zoning district in the city in terms of acreage, while also one of the least restrictive in permitted and conditional land uses. There are no limitations on warehousing or distribution uses in the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan - and as a lifelong Utah resident, I want to let the City Council know that this is totally unacceptable.
9/5/2023 14:52	Sarah Maulden	Northpoint Development Comment	I am a resident of Salt Lake County since 1994. I am writing to express concern about proposed additional warehouse and/or "inland port" development at Northpoint in SLC (Northpoint Small Area Master Plan).. I am concerned this development could have adverse impacts on our air quality, disrupt the wetlands ecosystem, and negatively impact residents in the vicinity (increased noise, traffic, and pollution). I am also concerned there are no limitations on warehousing or distribution uses included in the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Please consider whether other solutions could be developed that would protect our air quality and minimize environmental impact. Thank you for your consideration.
9/5/2023 14:53	Christine Barker	Northpoint	No Northpoint Small Area Master Plan
9/5/2023 14:54	Julie Miller	Stop the Northpoint rezoning proposal	Please end your consideration of rezoning the Northpoint warehouse district to accommodate more trucks and warehouses that will result in more ecological degradation on the South shore of The Great Salt Lake. More warehouses on the west side of our city will have negative impacts on water, noise and light pollution, as well as more air pollution due to increased diesel truck traffic. And, what about the increased negative impacts on the residents on that side of the city?
9/5/2023 14:55	Stephen Erickson	Oppose Northpoint Small Area Plan	I urge you not to adopt the proposed Northpoint Small Area Plan. Salt Lake City does not need another large warehouse district to augment and compete with the massive subsidized warehouse area under the jurisdiction of the Inland Port Authority. The Northpoint Small Area Plan would allow destruction of a residential neighborhood, and bring additional traffic and air, light and noise pollution to the larger West Side Community. The Plan runs counter to the City's professed commitment to protect the wetlands and uplands on the fringes of the UIPA Jurisdictional Area from further pollution and degradation.
9/5/2023 14:56	Mary McConaughy	No to Northpoint	I do not support warehouses in this area. We need to do more for our communities environment. If we do this it only tells the legislators that the inland port is ok also.
9/5/2023 15:03	Kimberly Hall	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.	I would like to make my opposition known concerning the Northpoint Project. I have been biking and spending time in the area that will be developed for over 40 years and c'mon....it's all just getting eaten up and developed. I know citizen's voices are rarely considered but please know that I am in opposition to another warehouse complex. Thank you.
9/5/2023 15:04	Larry Hardebeck	Proposed new warehouse district in SLC	I urge you to NOT approve another warehouse district in the Salt lake City area. I believe that this will just further increase the pollution in the area and detrimentally affect the wildlife habitat. As far as I can tell there is already more than enough land set aside for warehouses.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:05	Gunseli Berik	I oppose Northpoint warehouse development	Dear members of the SLC City Council, I am unable to attend the September 5 meeting. I would like to register my strong opposition to expanding warehouses near the Great Salt Lake. I would like the Council to restrict the zone for warehousing to protect air, water and noise pollution in our city.
9/5/2023 15:07	Eileen Vestal	Northpoint Inland Port	Council Members---The proposed building of the Inland Port on natural wetlands of the Great Salt Lake is a glaring step backwards. The wetlands are struggling, as the Lake is, to even survive! This will hasten the demise of the whole ecology of the region. I am committed to working to make the Lake and wetlands surrounding it healthy again. To NOT do this spells the demise of Salt Lake City! I am not exaggerating to imagine poisonous dust covering the city so often that soon there will be hundreds of new homes on the market every day! Who will want to move into a city with poison air? The Inland Port is short-term thinking, mainly of profit. Please think long-term about the health of ALL the people in the Salt Lake Valley; VOTE NO!!!
9/5/2023 15:08	Susan Furca	Northpoint	As I live outside SLC limits, this may not carry any weight, but your actions affect ALL in the area - not only residents of nearby areas, but many other species that have lived here for millennia before humankind took over the land. There's already been so much damage done to the Earth here and so many others imperiled or even extinguished that we must put the brakes on before it's too late. Please don't approve yet another warehouse district that will have devastating effects on wildlife, nesting and migrating birds in particular.
9/5/2023 15:10	Angela Wade	Please do not adopt the Northpoint Plan as written	I hope you listen to each voice. I think before we build new, we need to live what we teach our youth and children and use what we have already. Before we keep hurting ourselves by overusing our resources we have to renew, reuse and reconstruct. Our legacy is already not as we would hope for our children after we are gone.
9/5/2023 15:11	Helene Cuomo	NO to the adoption of Northpoint Master Plan	PLEASE do not make this area, which is an important buffer to the GSL open to more roads, concrete, warehouses and all the is bad for the only planet that we can live on. Protect the GSL. Protect our health. Don't you have children? WE need to keep them safe, free of air pollution and having the legacy of the Great Salt Lake intact. It's not too late to stop this madness.
9/5/2023 15:12	Catherine McVey	Please, no!	Please do not approve this project. I would attend meeting, but will be out of country traveling.
9/5/2023 15:13	Deborah Hunt	No more warehouse districts	I live in Poplar Grove, District 2, represented by Alejandro Puy. I am against more large warehouse districts in Salt Lake City and County. Our air is killing us already. Inviting more large trucks in proximity to our neighborhoods only invites increases in air pollution and respiratory illness. We can ill afford this. Especially on the west side, where our health challenges and other disparities already exist. I left Salt Lake City in 1998 and returned in 2020. What a stark difference. It is depressing to see a once clean and beautiful city turn into a ghetto. We can do better. Stop inviting more density, whether it be in transport hubs or housing! Give us space and room to breathe. You are killing us.
9/5/2023 15:14	Laur Florence	Northpoint Warehouses	Please don't build warehouses at Northpoint.
9/5/2023 15:16	Ron Faich	Do not adopt the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	SLC does not need another warehouse district, especially one in the Salt Lake's wetlands. Please disapprove of efforts in this direction. Thank you.
9/5/2023 15:17	Charlene M Meyer Owen	No to the Northpoint Warehouse District	I'm totally against yet another 'warehouse district' in the Salt Lake area. More warehouses means even more semi trucks on our already overcrowded freeways - contributing greatly to our already hideous air quality and reducing the rapidly diminishing quality of life for those of us living in and around Salt Lake City.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:18	Dottie Hussey	Adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	Adoption of the plan as written will greenlight millions of square feet of new warehouse construction in Salt Lake City on currently undeveloped Great Salt Lake wetlands and uplands This is unacceptable especially at a time when we are trying to protect the Great Salt Lake. I do not support adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.
9/5/2023 15:19	Caroline Newman	Proposed South Great Salt Lake Warehouse	I am urging you not to build the warehouse district in the proposed site that has wetlands south of the GSL. This site is not ideal for health of the community and the wildlife and birds that live there. The residences in the surrounding area already have air, sound and light pollution from I-15, I-215, the refineries, Gravel pits and airport. Wetlands provide habitat for crucial animals and birds associated with our location on the flyway. They are also a buffer for flood waters in wet years. They help filter pollutants from run off and industry. As a Registered Nurse and a person with asthma I beg you not to add to our air pollution with construction, diesel fuel and exhaust from trucks and hardscapes. Developers want growth with little forethought for the health of the community and environment. Please allow for a study period, commentary, or EIS to be performed in this area. Sincerely,
9/5/2023 15:20	Felicia Alvarez	Please stop (vote NO) with the Ports in Salt Lake County- Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	Please, please please do NOT approve another inland port (Northpoint Small Area Master Plan) in Salt Lake County. We need more greenspaces, even in areas that people don't visit, reside, or shop in. We do not need more pavement, more concrete, more pollution, more trucks, etc. That whole area north and south of I-80 already has SO much building going on. Let's at least take a break until/if those areas even fill up or get used. All over Salt Lake County there are numerous empty buildings. This newest development idea houses so many birds and other wildlife. Let's give them a place to thrive. And since there is so much "talk" and relatively little action about the GSL, does anyone really think that by building more concrete and pavement that this helps get water to the lake? I hope you can vote in favor of nature and the lake.
9/5/2023 15:21	Lorene St. Aubin	I say NO	Please do not consider building a second inland port. Can we not value open space for wildlife and air quality over industry and money? I say NO!
9/5/2023 15:22	Eileen Brown	Inland Port	I DO NOT support adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan, and while I can't make the meeting Sept 5, I want my opinion considered.
9/5/2023 15:23	Doug Roberts	Just say NO to Northpoint Warehouse District!	We don't need the extro pollution...and do you have to pave over EVERYTHING?? Who does this benefit anyway?? Build a park!
9/5/2023 15:24	Leslie Miller	Warehouse on Wetlands	As a former member of the Park City Council I understand the pressure to meet expectations from private interests for commercial, industrial and residential development. As an advocate for managed growth I strongly oppose the North Point Small Area Master Plan proposal to add additional warehouse space near a Salt Lake City residential community. I especially object to sacrificing wetlands for warehouses. Permitting warehousing and distribution centers which will increase commercial traffic and pollution in a city where air quality is some of the worst in the nation is irresponsible. More warehouse districts are unnecessary. 17,000 acres are already zoned for warehouses in Salt Lake City. The rate of growth occurring in Salt Lake City and our region is destroying our quality of life. Vote No.
9/5/2023 15:25	Stephanie Weems	No More Warehouses!	We don't need any more warehouses, we need to save what is remaining of our Irreplaceable Great Salt Lake.
9/5/2023 15:26	Robert Wilson	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.	I'm writing to let you know that I don't support the Northpoint Small Area Plan. This project would destroy wetlands and farmland and contribute to air pollution, traffic, and heat waves.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:27	Jeanine Kuhn-Coker	Northpoint Plan	Dear Coty Coucil members! Please do not adopt the Northpoint Master plan as written. We don't need more warehouses taking the place of cherished wetlands. Someone needs to think about the future of the lans, our citizens, and wildlife. It isn't all about money. Thanks for your consideration!
9/5/2023 15:29	Magali Lequient	I don't support adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.	We should not further degrade our air quality with polluting warehouse development, when dust from the drying Great Salt Lake is increasingly threatening to human health.
9/5/2023 15:30	Louise Eutropius	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	As has been noted and documented in other states, near-port communities, which are often low income and/or communities of color, bear a disproportionate burden from inland ports while not sharing proportionally in the "prosperity". Too much power is vested in the private port industry in the name of "economic diversification" which can, and does, lead to negative impacts for the surrounding communities. To name a few: potential for decreased property values; air and noise pollution from machines, trucks, trains, and cargo handling equipment; light pollution from both constant and flashing lights. Health impacts can include hearing impairment, high blood pressure and loss of sleep, as well as disruption of biological rhythms from the aforementioned light pollution. Then there are the issues of water, power and waste, which create their own set of problems. Utah's motto is simply "Industry". It appears on the state seal and the state flag; the beehive emblem is also a symbol of hard work and industry. While it reflected, in the early days of statehood, the relative scarcity of resources available and the degree of work necessary for its founders to survive, is this really all that matters today? It appears to me that the "Life Elevated" and "Be Kind" slogans are just red herrings. The 'sweetheart" deals for industry seemingly prevail and to no one's surprise, are not located in or near the developers, investors, and powerbrokers backyards... I urge you to reject the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan and NOT create another polluting warehouse district.
9/5/2023 15:31	Linda Menasco	We don't need or want another warehouse district	We have one of the worst cities with respect to clean air. We are in a water shortage. This benefits no one except developers. Linda Menasco
9/5/2023 15:32	Margo Markowski	No more Warehouse Districts!	Please don't compound the folly of the inland port with another warehouse district!
9/5/2023 15:33	Nancy Lombardo	No to the Northpoint Warehouse District, please	I am writing to let you know that I do not want you to support the Northpoint Warehouse District. We all know this will bring exceptional congestion, and much air, water, light and noise pollution to our city. Please oppose this development.
9/5/2023 15:34	Aline Devaud	Against Northpoint	I appreciated meeting you last month when you were going door to door and you helped me know that you are my council member. We talked about watering trees among other topics. I am writing to oppose the approval of the North Point Small Area master plan, which would give the go ahead for another warehouse in a space so close to the Great Salt Lake wetlands. I oppose this choice of area because of the precious nature of the wetlands for birds and other wildlife. if it's absolutely necessary to build another warehouse, I would ask that you find another location and stay away from a Great Salt Lake wetlands.
9/5/2023 15:35	Peggy Clark	Warehouses	I do not believe we need to build a warehouse city in Utah. Our roads are already packed with semi-trucks. Take a drive up I-80 eastbound. You will see that Parley's canyon is congested with semi-truck traffic all day long. Between the I-40 tanker trucks and the I-80 hauling trucks ... It's scary to drive from Salt Lake to Heber.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:36	Joan Gregory	Please Just Say NO to the Northpoint Small Area Masterplan - We Don't Need More Warehouses!	I am writing to make it clear that I do NOT support the Northpoint Small Area Masterplan - which as written will greenlight millions of square feet of new warehouse space on mostly undeveloped lands adjacent to Great Salt Lake. Please do NOT to approve another warehouse district. We should not further degrade our air quality with polluting warehouse development, when dust from the drying Great Salt Lake is increasingly threatening to human health. This area, containing 60 homes, is adjacent to some of the highest functioning wetlands on Great Salt Lake. The last thing we should be doing at a time when the Great Salt Lake is facing ecological collapse is destroying wildlife habitat which may be the last refuge for hundreds of bird species. The drying lake is also making our air quality worse. More warehouses and more pollution make that situation worse.
9/5/2023 15:37	Margaret Edmunds	Oppose the Northpoint Master Plan	I was shocked to learn that the city is considering permitting the development of critical wetland habitat near our struggling Great Salt Lake. The Northpoint Port will destroy valuable wildlife habitat at a time that the Great Salt Lake is at the lowest level ever recorded. Salt Lake City should not approve any developer upzone requests allowing creation of a warehouse district in the Northpoint area. The damage this kind of development will do to existing residents, Great Salt Lake wetlands, and our air quality is not in the public interest. Before any zoning changes are even considered, Salt Lake City needs to have a comprehensive plan in place to protect the Great Salt Lake, its wetlands and ecosystems for the benefit of Salt Lake City residents. Please reject the master plan that would allow for this polluting proposal!
9/5/2023 15:38	Michael Evans	The Northpoint Development Proposal is destructive!	I am highly concerned to learn of plans to further damage the shorelands of the Great Salt Lake with an unneeded warehouse district that will pave over a remnant of once-rural Salt Lake County, affecting wildlife and water to the west, and the livability of nearby neighborhoods to the east. What is worse, is that this Port authority has shown repeated bad faith throughout its history.
9/5/2023 15:40	Linda Smith	Northpoint Small Area Masterplan	I strongly oppose this masterplan that will result in additional warehouse space being constructed near the Great Salt Lake. There are two reasons for my opposition: • The construction will harm the ecology, particularly the wetlands that need to be preserved by the Great Salt Lake • New warehouses will result in more traffic and increased pollution. We already suffer from bad air quality. Don't increase the pollution here.
9/5/2023 15:41	Jane Wille	No warehouse in our wetlands	Please stop the master plan for warehouses in the Northpoint Plan. This would take up precious wetlands that migratory birds and animals use and it would also increase noise and air pollution that would be detrimental to the wetlands and wildlife.
9/5/2023 15:42	Aaron London	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan public comment	As a Salt Lake City resident I am writing to indicate my opposition to the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan on the grounds of environmental impact to the Great Salt lake wetlands, adjacent residential neighborhoods, and the entirety of the Salt Lake valley. We are at a turning point where business as usual and development at all costs will only lead to an over industrialized city increasingly unlivable for those already here and those projected to arrive in the future.
9/5/2023 15:44	Marilyn Marshall	URGENT! Tell the SLC Council NO Northpoint	Attn: SLC Council comments for September 5 meeting, Why do we need this warehouse district? It seems Inland ports are proliferating all over the state, but there is no economic value and especially no environmental benefit that has justified construction of these ports. How many warehouses do we need? Are they getting them cheap, is that why so many want to build them? Is it a high profit margin product? Being so near the GSL, migration paths and wetlands, let alone other areas does not seem to warrant the intrusion into these natural areas. Who is do doing the evaluations and what is the hurry?

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:45	Angelika Pftzner	Stop the port	As it stands now, nearly 17,000 acres of land in Salt Lake City are zoned for warehouses and it's the largest zoning district in the city in terms of acreage, while also one of the least restrictive in permitted and conditional land uses. There are no limitations on warehousing or distribution uses in the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. This is unacceptable. THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE STOP THE PORT
9/5/2023 15:46	Mary Navas	Please do not endorse the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan as it is written	On Tuesday, 9/5/23 you will be asked to approve a plan for building a new warehouse district that will add to the existing 17,000 acres of land committed to warehouses in Salt lake City. Critically, the "plan" presented to you has no restrictions on how these new millions of square feet of warehouse area can be used nor any protections for SLC or the adjacent neighborhood that will be damaged by this development. We know, that unrestricted development leads to degradation of existing neighborhoods and environments to create a windfall of profits for developers and commercial interests. This is not a plan that benefits SLC, so you as our representatives stand between the destruction the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan will create and your constituents. If permitted the effect of this new conditional use will be to damage the south shore of the critically threatened GSL and add air, water and light pollution all the while adding to traffic congestion from diesel trucks. You know that this is not the development that SLC needs. I urge you to say "NO" to the plan as written, but instead to direct the Northpoint developers in creating a plan that will benefit SLC without damaging our fragile eco system and air shed. Thank you for your work on our behalf.
9/5/2023 15:47	Anna Keeling	Please stop another inland port	I do not support the adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master plan and another inland port on the South Shore of the fragile wetlands of the Great Salt Lake. We cannot risk further degrading our air quality with yet another polluting warehouse development, when dust from the drying Great Salt Lake is already threatening human health and causing destruction of the environment of our city. Note the images below that depict dust and destruction. It's vitally important right now - for both the planet and our immediate environment that you rule in favour of human and environmental health over more industry. Thank you, Anna Keeling, Scott and Ohio Simmer
9/5/2023 15:51	Jon Jensen	DO NOT approve Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	I wish to officially register my total opposition to the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. No more polluting, open space- and habitat-destroying industrial warehouse sacrifice zones! Remaining GSL wetlands are so few, and so beleaguered. This proposed development is the last thing the city should be considering - indeed, I expect and demand that the city vigorously oppose all such development schemes that will only accrue benefits to powerful commercial interests at the expense of our precious few remaining open natural lands and waters. Save our open space, save our wetlands!
9/5/2023 15:51	Robert Burks	new warehouse district	Please do not consider approving the new warehouse district in discussion on Tuesday. I live in Salt Lake City and particulate debris, dust, air pollution, and traffic are substantially decreasing quality of life here. We have to start limiting this in Salt Lake to try to maintain some quality of city life.
9/5/2023 15:52	Tracy Walton	Inland Port Expansion	I do NOT support adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Our once great Salt Lake has suffered too much already because of the inland port project. If you truly wish to represent the will of the people, just say no! Our health, our children, our wildlife are precariously teetering on this decision. No more inland port expansion PLEASE!
9/5/2023 15:54	Sue DeVall	Portect our wetlands	They are an environmentally important part of the GSL ecosystem. They must be protected. Do not permit Northpoint Warehouse District.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 15:54	Dani Blatter	I am opposed to the Northpoint warehouse district plan	I am deeply concerned that we are about to allow development of a massive distribution hub north of Salt Lake City. This will destroy already rare and precious wetlands and buffer lands around the Great Salt Lake. It will also greatly worsen our already intolerably awful air quality by bringing large numbers of incredibly polluting diesel trucks into the area. The last thing we need is to be used by mega-billionaires as a dumping ground for their pollution while they reap the profits. The low wages of the jobs that would be created to service these facilities is all the more reason not to accept construction of this facility. It harms our people and harms the natural world. Please stand with the people of Salt Lake City and say no to this project that will only benefit ultra-rich investors.
9/5/2023 15:56	Janet Houtz	Please no more building on or near wetlands	It is sad that our city and state officials are not listening to us...the citizens. It's tiring to see the votes for destruction and it goes on and on. My big question...isn't anyone concerned about the impact on future generations? We need to take care of our precious land. Please listen this time...no building whatsoever on or near wetlands. Thank you.
9/5/2023 15:57	James Viney	Great Salt Lake Wetlands	Dear Sirs I great salt lake wetlands are critical habitat for 10's of thousands of migratory birds. building a vast truck terminal inland port will destroy the ability of that area and it's surrounding to serve as that habitat. The great Salt Lake as a critical environment is already under tremendous stress due to the shrining lake level. Building this inland port would be a huge step backwards for the environment of Utah James Viney MD
9/5/2023 16:03	Kyle Shiba	Comment on Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	I am writing in regard to the meeting happening on Tuesday, September 5th. As a concerned citizen of Utah I am asking that the council will not let another warehouse district occupy land in Salt Lake City. This will be a great disruption to our already struggling lake ecosystem. Please do not support the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.
9/5/2023 16:04	Mark Gassinger	Northpoint	The majority of salt Lake residents are against the port idea, the new mine in parley's, gondola in Lcc appears the Slc gov could care less what we think
9/5/2023 16:06	Petrine Anderson	North point warehouse district	Please vote against development of this area for warehouse uses. The last thing we should be doing is contributing more to the demise of the wetlands. One "good winter" didn't solve our lake and air and water problems. The Inland Port will be bad enough ! Thanks for listening to your constituents
9/5/2023 16:07	Peter Smith	Warehouse	This is yet another example of how the council is not considering our poor valley-bowl air quality and the Great Salt Lake. This, even after the inland port? There has to be some financial kickback involved to fully ignore the negatives this will have on our city's wellbeing. Please vote no. Thanks to your office aide or GPT bot for reading this, I'm sure it will land on no one's ears so I will stop my efforts here.
9/5/2023 16:09	Kristen Roger Rogers-iversen	Northpoint district	You have been told all the reasons why this is a bad idea. Let SLC stand up for the health of citizens, the air, and the lake, even though other entities seem not to care as they approve more and more health destroying projects.
9/5/2023 16:12	Roger Miller	Northpoint Warehouse District	Please vote NO on the Northpoint Warehouse District. The environmental impacts are not worth its construction. Also, we do not have an infrastructure than would thrive with such an addition to our city. We love SLC due to the feeling of being near/in the mountains. If we wanted to live in what felt like a urban concrete jungle, we would live in NYC or Detroit.



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 16:13	Isabelle Ghabash	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	I am writing today to voice opposition to the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan as currently written. I am an architect here in the Salt Lake valley and am concerned about the amount of transitional and Business Park/Industrial proposed in this master plan. Our city already has a large amount area zoned for these or similar uses, and the Northpoint plan area deserves special consideration of air and water quality given its adjacency to critical wetlands. (Industrial uses can also be water-intensive, and we know that the Great Salt Lake is in serious jeopardy of drying up completely already). Because the ecosystem at the Great Salt Lake is facing ecosystem collapse and air quality remains hazardous along the Wasatch Front, I urge you to limit development of warehouses, industrial uses, and business parks in the Northpoint area and to reject the master plan as currently written.
9/5/2023 16:14	Joan Degiugio	Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	As a property tax paying 40+ year resident of Salt Lake City's west side (Capitol Hill) - I am VERY concerned with the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. It is hard to believe that this is the best use of the area given concerns over Great Salt Lake wetlands and uplands. The West Side has for too many years taken the brunt of the City's ugly and polluting development. It is time to stop that trend while there is still some open space left to preserve. Once it is paved over - it is gone forever or extremely expensive to restore. Salt Lake is an incredible place to live from the mountains to the GREAT SALT LAKE. Let's begin to embrace our great geographical setting and not pave it all over. We are a smart city and can attract better businesses than warehouses. I respectfully request you DO NOT adopt the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan.
9/5/2023 16:16	Elaine Jarvi	Northpoint	I would like to express my hope that you reject the proposal to create the Northpoint warehouse district. At a time when the future of the Great Salt Lake, its shores and its wetlands are in peril, it makes no sense to add more ecological disruption.
9/5/2023 16:16	Marry ann Ann Wright	Northpoint Comment	Please do not do away with the only open-agricultural space in Salt Lake City. We need open rural areas for clean air, habitat and mental health. Thank you,

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 16:18	Ivan Weber	Salt Lake City North Area Masterplan: Imperative to Disqualify Based On Critical Importance of Wetlands	<p>As a long-retired wetlands professional, whose career consisted primarily of industrial water-quality treatment wetlands design and construction at Kennecott and at international economic development projects in Asia, I can confidently assert the critical importance of natural wetlands for water quality protection and remediation, as well as the extreme costs of re-creation of these wetlands once they've been destroyed. From the standpoint of cost of design, construction and maintenance of industrial facility runoff remediation, alone, it is quite simply insane and irresponsible to presume that development of any lands around the Great Salt Lake can feasibly be replicated for any biogeochemical catalog of performance, particularly when control of runoff variables will be relinquished contractually when development begins to take place, and at each change of use over time! 'Daybreak' (which began as 'Sunrise' in its early months) took place on Kennecott properties that were dedicated to experimentation on wetlands applications to emerging groundwater flows, with the objective of precipitating metals contamination compounds. We've not had access since those beginnings to analytical data on groundwater qualities, but it is safe to wager that nothing has or will be done to approach those beginning efforts to protect groundwater, Jordan River or Great Salt Lake water quality. And so it will go in the Nort Area Masterplan, spelling doom for this critically important sector of Great Sat Lake's future. Please, Please, Please: Don't allow this proposed type of development to occur, particularly not when Salt Lake City has not yet understood the biogeochemical consequences of what is proposed, what is required prior to and during construction of industrial parks, much less how to manage surface water and groundwater contamination prevention and control --- and the extreme costs of doing so with institutional and intellectual honesty --- for perpetual effectiveness! Natural wetlands are the most precious of all types lands! Salt Lake City, and Utah in general, have squandered far too much of the remaining wetlands around the Great Salt Lake, the other area on our ecologically unique map, to be able to sell so cheaply this treasure. We must not allow it to happen yet again.</p>
9/5/2023 16:20	Georgie Corkery	Oppose Northpoint Small Area Master Plan	<p>I urge you not to adopt the proposed Northpoint Small Area Plan. Salt Lake City does not need another large warehouse district to augment and compete with the massive subsidized warehouse area under the jurisdiction of the Inland Port Authority. The Northpoint Small Area Plan would allow destruction of a residential neighborhood, and bring additional traffic and air, light and noise pollution to the larger West Side Community. The Plan runs counter to the City's professed commitment to protect the wetlands and uplands on the fringes of the UIPA Jurisdictional Area from further pollution and degradation. Please protect our city and the wildlife habitat within it.</p>
9/5/2023 16:22	Eric Orme	Northpoint Small Area Plan	<p>I am a property owner in the Northpoint Small Area Plan area as well as a small business owner in Salt Lake City in District 2. I am writing in support of the January 2023 Adoption Draft of the Northpoint Small Area Plan and would encourage city council to approve the plan as is with no additional revisions or restrictions. The adoption of the plan will finally allow me to expand my business and allow me to create additional jobs within my business. I ask the city council to approve the Northpoint Small Area Plan without further delay.</p>
9/5/2023 16:23	Molly Blakowski	Northpoint	<p>As a physical scientist who studies particulate pollution and Great Salt Lake, I strongly oppose the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan draft. A huge warehouse should not be developed next to some of the hardest working wetlands on Great Salt Lake, and we should oppose exacerbating the multifaceted air quality crisis from which our communities are already suffering. Minimizing environmental contaminant transport is more important than maximizing profit.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 16:23	Carolee Lewis	no more warehouses	Do not let another warehouse be built in SLC. Especially where it will harm the Great Salt Lake further. This is very important for our health and ability to live here long term.
9/5/2023 16:24	Alexis Simontacchi	Northpoint	As a concerned resident of Salt Lake City, I am writing to oppose the approval of the Northpoint Small Area Masterplan. What we don't need is another warehouse district bringing more pollution, causing destruction of natural habitat and increasing traffic into our community. Thank you for all that you do and for doing the right thing in opposing this warehouse district that would deeply negatively effect the quality of life for residents and wildlife
9/5/2023 16:53	Tom Vasilioy	CIP	Speaking in support of funding request for Garden center and traffic mobility studies requests.
9/5/2023 16:57	Breanna Brannan	CIP	1200 E Curb, gutter, sidewalk - I would like to advocate for this project in the interest of safety conditions for the neighborhood.
9/5/2023 16:58	Douglas Tolman	Northpoint Small Area Plan Comment	Dear Salt Lake City Council, I appreciate your mutual agreement that more warehouses are not what is needed in the Northpoint Neighborhood, this is certainly the right decision. I applaud both advocacy for our neighbors who live there a la Victoria Petro, and advocacy for the ecosystem a la Dan Dugan. Let us remember that we are part of the ecosystem, and that what is truly good for us is ultimately what is good for Great Salt Lake, and vice-versa. I ask that the city annex the proposed area with buy-in from those land-owners, and maintain the AG-2 zoning until a better tool is created to support clustered developments of affordable housing and good-paying jobs that support our local economy. Further, I ask that utmost protection for the air, water, and soil quality along with ecosystem connectivity are maintained along with protections for residents' quality of life. These pieces can all be balanced within the framework of Environmental Justice, and I hope that the council can continue finding common ground as the Northpoint Small Area Plan is re-visited. Doug Tolman, District 2 Resident Doug Tolman MFA Candidate he/him doug.place
9/5/2023 17:09	Marianne Fraser	Tonight's Meeting: please oppose the ecosystem disaster plan	The proposed Northpoint Small Area Master Plan will endanger migratory birds and irrevocably destroy fragile, environmental ecosystems. Please do your job to protect the wetlands of Salt Lake City and oppose this master plan. Marianne Fraser.
9/5/2023 17:12	Tom Vasilioy	General Comment	We have 5 story building build and we make Rodds Herrowed by building big sidewalks cause more traffic fams and air pollution. SLC is building concrete stubs in a west side vestrictiny the rodts, all this concrete is unnessary.
9/5/2023 17:16	Hayden Oliver	none	Drive-throughs contribute pollution, exacerbate congestion, and reduce community safety. ----- I am in favor of the amendment. ----- I would suggest removing/revoking drive through permits, based on recent evidence from Jack in the Box (state street) and Chick-fil-a (2100s) ----- No carve-outs
9/5/2023 17:22	Scott Haris	Sugarhouse Drive Thru	Sugarhouse Business District has worked hard over several years to curate a mixed-use community, and it has been quite successful. Sugarhouse is a desirable destination for recreation, dining, retail, and housing. Key to this success is supporting this zone flies in the face of all the hard work that has been done to create what we know and love as Sugarhouse. Drive-thrus only support a single use, and are one of the least efficient uses of space. I support a ban on new drive through restaurants.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 17:32	Merle j. Ebbert	Park & Wait Lot	Hi, Just a suggestion; If you do not want people in the Park & Wait Lot to run their engines for A/C in the Summer, you could provide shade. Nice, environmentally friendly possibilities are Trees And / Or Shade roofs with solar panels There is generally (at least when I have been there) plenty of breeze if it weren't for the sun beating down. You'd probably get a ticket (or arrested) for leaving a dog in a vehicle under the existing conditions. Thanks Merle --
9/5/2023 17:36	Laurie Christie	New Warehouse District	Our number one pollution needs to be to protect our children from pollution...not profit. Thank you.
9/5/2023 17:52	Bob Speiser	Inland Port	Dear Council Members, Please do not approve any aspect of an Inland Port that endangers vital wetlands. Our environment is fragile and our wetlands are especially vital, not just for the fragile species whose lives help keep our air and water healthy, but, upstream, to our own continued health and safety, as has been shown again and again by experts who deserve a thoughtful and responsive hearing. We're all in this together! Bob Speiser
9/5/2023 17:57	Anne wallace Wallace	NO to Northpoint	Dear SLC Council Please do not approve the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. We need maximum protection of Great Salt Lake and its shores and wetlands. The degradation caused by this master plan is unacceptable. We are supposed to be stewards of our sacred lands, not destroyers of them. Approving Northpoint is the opposite of stewardship. Why does everyone want to encroach onto GSL shores, wetlands, and buffering uplands? Why would Salt Lake approve and approve of all this encroachment? GSL is a national treasure, and certainly a local one. It needs our protection. Sincerely, Anne Wallace -- Anne Wallace 520.262.6246
9/5/2023 18:22	Craig J Provost	Northpoint Warehouse District- NO Please!	Dear City Council members, Please stop this development of another Warehouse district North of I-80. There is plenty of room South of I-80 where there are plenty of warehouses. The Northpoint area is too close to the Great Salt Lake, an important bird area for North America. Thank you, Craig Provost

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 18:27	Jackie Daniels-Brown	Fleet Block	<p>I am writing as a SLC resident in STRONG opposition to the city council taking over the Fleet Block mural space. Call it rezoning, call it whatever you want. Don't mess with the murals. The mural space has been a place of healing and connection. It is a sacred and reverent space. It is a reminder of beautiful souls who've been lost to senseless violence. It is one of the few places in this state where marginalized ppl can gather and feel honored and seen. Where else do we have a space like this? It feels sickening to know that mayor Mendenhall and the city council are even considering tearing this space down. The SLC city council should revitalize this space as a healing center. If the argument to destroy this landmark is that the building is a hazard - then PRESERVE the space!! With humility - preserve the walls. Fix it. Preserve what your community has built. It is not often that a city comes together like this. Preserve and do not destroy. Rebuild the space to provide highly needed services to our unsheltered relatives. KEEP THE MURALS IN TACT and continue to display them! Bring sanitation services in. Community gardens. A safe space from the elements. The question on the table should not be 'should we get rid of the murals.' It's missing the mark entirely. The question should be HOW do we preserve this space. How do we tell our community members that we are all family and that this impactful space is held with love, not rejection. If the city council chooses to demolish the murals, I expect Mendenhall and the city council to do it on your own. Ask yourself if you'd be comfortable tearing those faces down with your own two hands, while the family and friends of those who DIED AT THE HANDS OF CIVIL SERVANTS watch on. Can you really do that? Can you? Bobby Duckworth's family. Darrien Hunt's family. Breonna Taylor's family. Equally as heartless: how will you feel if you decide to demolish the murals- and the responsibility for demolition falls on a person/people of color? To me, that is unforgivable. What if the demolition occurs by a group of racists? That could go bad very quickly. If your child were one of those faces- if your child had been murdered by people whose paychecks come directly from your income - would you let your mayor and fellow council members tear that memorial down? Your community is watching. Please don't let us down. Preserve the mural space. Preserve the mural space. Preserve the mural space. NO JUSTICE, NO PEACE -Jackie</p>
9/5/2023 18:31	Diana maxell <maxelldiana@gmail.com> m> Maxell	Northpoint Small Area Plan	<p>To whom it may concern, A plan which allows more destruction of natural lands and adds to the already unacceptable pollution is wrong! Clean air and water are far more important than money. Once they are lost, they are more difficult and costly or impossible to regain. An ultimate purpose of government is to protect the health and welfare of the people not just line the pockets of some people's businesses. Please, protect the public, the environment, and wildlife. Thank you! Diana Maxell Kamas, Utah</p>
9/5/2023 18:33	Savanna Woods	no subject	Don't put another warehouse in SLC!!!

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 18:35	Candice Pheonix	North Inland Port	<p>Hello, I wanted express my opinion on the Northpoint Inland Port project. I am STRONGLY OPPOSED!!! I cannot express enough how against this I am and here is why: 1. Environmental Costs are too high. It will ruin wetlands, air quality and increase noise pollution for generations to come. This project will inevitably create unacceptable levels of light, noise and air pollution. Anyone who tells you different is not being truthful. The effects on wetlands will be high and this in turn will pollute our water (wetlands store and clean our water supply). It will also disturb the Great Salt Lake habitat which I was under the impression that some on our government were attempting to save. Maybe I was mistaken. Utah had a terrible track record on putting profit ahead of protecting our beautiful landscapes and frankly, I'm tired of it. See this article for more details- <a href="https://www.sltrib.com/news/environment/2019/02/02/inland-port-may-have/">https://www.sltrib.com/news/environment/2019/02/02/inland-port-may-have/</a> 2. The residents of the nearby neighbors are against it. The proximity to residential neighborhoods will have a negative effect on the residents who live there. Be honest, would you ever purchase or have a desire to live next to a warehouse district? I certainly wouldn't. 3. The half truth of bringing more jobs and economic growth to Utahns is not the whole story. When developers build a huge project this is who gets the most money- the handful of wealthy individuals who built our own in. While it will create some jobs, the vast majority of the benefits go to people who are already quite well off. To illustrate this you can read about a similar situation, Amazon distribution centers, here- <a href="https://minds.wisconsin.edu/handle/1793/80935">https://minds.wisconsin.edu/handle/1793/80935</a>. Its safe to say that Amazon would be a good comparison to how an inland port might impact nearby communities. This article is from an impartial researcher which in my opinion is more trustworthy than data you might get from developers and owners of these corporations. I would ask you to take all factors into consideration, not just potential monetary gains. Please make a thoughtful pros and cons list and then draw your own conclusions. I hope you'll come to see that an inland port is not a good choice in the long term for Utahns. It will cause more problems than it solves. I know your job is tough, thanks for your consideration and service to the public.</p>
9/5/2023 18:45	Meherban Khalsa	Northpoint	<p>No to Northpoint warehouse development. We must prioritize the health of the Great Salt Lake over more development. Thank you. Meherban Khalsa, Salt Lake City</p>
9/5/2023 18:51	Guernsey Burrage	Northpointe Warehouses	<p>Good morning, I am very opposed to plans to build millions of acres of warehouses in the Northpointe area. The warehouses are not needed, they will lead to worse air quality throughout the valley, they will be harmful to the wetlands and bird population that thrives there, they will be harmful to people who want to continue to make their homes there. Sincerely, Rebecca Burrage</p>
9/5/2023 18:58	Ken Kraus	Reject/ Do Not Adopt Northpoint Plan	<p>Ken Kraus here, writing to the SLC Council in vehement opposition to the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. As currently written, this plan greenlights millions of square feet of new warehouse construction on undeveloped Great Salt Lake wetlands and surrounding area. Such a warehouse district will result in the spread of air pollution into nearby neighborhoods. More warehouses equal more diesel trucks and traffic congestion. There will be more water, noise and light pollution and irreversible damage to an existing residential community. The already existing SLC Inland Port is already an ecological headache for SLC residents. The Northpoint area is adjacent to some of the highest-functioning wetlands on the Great Salt Lake. The LAST thing we need, as the GSLake faces ecological collapse, is the destruction of more wildlife habitat. Show some spine and do the right thing—by burying this Master Plan for the Northpoint Area. Ken Kraus</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
9/5/2023 19:04	Haley Ashton	World Economic Forum report and Northpoint Small Area Masterplan	<p>Dear Council Members, I was born in Utah and have resided in the Salt Lake Valley most of my life. Please do not adopt the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan in its current iteration. Building expansive warehouses this close to Farmington Bay would be harmful to birds and humans alike. As our global warming crises heightens and habitat destruction hastens, science points us towards the necessity for cities to be leaders in conservation and mitigation. Salt Lake City can be a global example and leader of a beautiful, thriving city that places nature at the center of its growth and development. Please look to science and the recommendations of interdisciplinary experts so that SLC and the surrounding areas may be a place where social, economic, and ecological systems work harmoniously together. Below is a 2022 World Economic Forum report that “calls on cities, as one of the crucial players in reversing nature loss and climate change, to become BiodiverseCities by 2030.” In the report, there are nine key messages, one of which is that there is significant economic benefit to “expanding nature in the built environment.” Before adopting any master plans, please consider the natural capital of an area. Northpoint is rich with natural capital that should be preserved.</p> <p><a href="https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_BiodiverCities_by_2030_2022.pdf">https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_BiodiverCities_by_2030_2022.pdf</a> Warmly, Haley Ashton</p>
9/5/2023 19:18	Nancy Wingelaar	Northpoint Small Area Plan	<p>Council Members, I do NOT support the adoption of the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. It will destroy more of our dwindling wetlands and uplands. Please do NOT support. Thank you, Nancy Wingelaar</p>
9/5/2023 19:25	Andrea Stavrakakis	Northpoint Small Area Plan	<p>Good afternoon, I'm writing to let you know I strongly oppose the inclusion of more new warehouse space in the Northpoint Small Area Master Plan. Our city is already overblown with industrial space and pollution, we shouldn't sacrifice wetlands to add more. Thanks, Andrea Stavrakakis</p>
9/5/2023 19:34	Tiffany James	Public Comment	<p>My name is Tiffany James. I am with my daughter Raven James. My 19-year old son Zane James was unlawfully killed by a police officer in 2018. Zane is one of the many beautiful faces that make up the Police Shooting Victims Murals at Fleet Block. I am here to request the City requires the integrity of the message and the mission of the murals is specifically maintained in the new zone definition language being proposed for Fleet Block and specific conditions be required of the developer. This type of requirement is both easily done and imperative to maintain the community trust in the City and the Mayor that is embedded in these murals.</p> <p>The city supported the installation of the Police Shooting Victims murals at Fleet Block when police misconduct was getting a lot of National attention. The goal of the murals was to both humanize the victims and raise awareness on the deep impact of police violence to individuals, their families and the psyche of the entire community. It also symbolized the City's understanding of the same and signaled a desire to change policies to prevent unnecessary police brutality. The City now wants to move on from this chapter of Fleet Block and redevelop the property. This is understandable and while our family is supportive of urban improvement projects in general we are disappointed by the City's efforts to white wash the existence and meaning behind the murals under the guise of urban improvement and redevelopment. The murals are not only about the families who have loved ones, they are a reminder of a necessary community dialog. This dialog is about the assigned role of police as protectors not as aggressors or executioners. Abuses of power cannot be tolerated by anyone in our community. Keeping this dialog front and center in our community and in our policies is key to making sure we have a police force that is accountable to the individuals in the community.</p>