

#	Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Popular Topic	Subject	Description
1	11/12/2022 20:23	Eric Montenegro	Affordable Housing	Zoning Change in Avenues	<p>Salt Lake City is undergoing massive growth and NEEDS more housing stock city-wide. This proposal is an important part of that. Creating these arbitrary lines of where density can and cannot exist only promote the creation of wealthy enclaves in our city. Utah has the lowest income inequality in the country, something we should be proud of as we grow. It is also something we should keep in mind as we promote investment in our state. This project, while not as affordable as I would like, plays an important role in preventing the economic, racial, and cultural segregation of our city. Building up to 33 units of housing at a density comparable to the density in suburban communities like Bountiful, will not negatively impact these community members, and would allow upper-middle income earners from across the region to enjoy the views, atmosphere, and wildlife. On the topic of wildlife, if the bustling and dense communities around the University don't deter the deer from visiting, a project bringing a small increase in density won't scare off the deer any more than residents' dogs. The objections to this project are thinly veiled attempts to shirk residents' responsibility to support equitable growth, and truthfully, smell of underlying classism. We are Salt Lakers first, and that means caring for all our residents regardless of their background. The beehive on our state flag means nothing if the well-off residents in the Avenues are allowed to sit and watch as residents on our west side do all the work to support the growing hive.</p>
2	11/14/2022 12:56	Bruce Reitz	Foothill Trails	Trails in the foothills above the Avenues and University area	<p>City Council Members I would like to weigh in on the construction of trails in our foothills. Initially I loved the new trails, my family would hike them on at least a weekly basis. As time went on there became more and more mountain bikes tearing up and down those trails. Our family hikes became less and less enjoyable due to the increased numbers of mountain bikers. The shared road philosophy seems to elude mountain bikers, we were constantly having them come up behind us at speed and force us off the trail. Our hikes were constantly being interrupted by mountain bikers, we would hike a few hundred feet and have to step off the trail to let bikers pass. It got to the point that we have quit hiking on the trails altogether because of the bikers.</p> <p>Consider having a day for bikers and a day for hikers, because the two do not mix to the enjoyment of both parties. Something like the odd and even days for cars and hikers/bikers in City Creek and Mill Creek canyons. Walkers and bikers seem to be able to coexist on those canyons because the road is wide enough to accommodate both at the same time. The trails are too narrow to allow both hikers and bikers to pass each other safely. The proposed bike trails down all the ravines in the foothills is also a poor idea. The Bobsled run is a prime example showing how the bikers don't stay in the bottom of the ravine but swoop up the sides of the ravine for an increased adrenaline rush. This wider area of denuded soil increases the runoff with rain and melting snow and greatly increases erosion of the ravine. This could possibly lead to a flash flood situation causing problems to those homeowners living at the bottom of these areas.</p> <p>Please take into consideration the above concerns Respectfully Dr. Bruce A. Reitz</p>
3	11/14/2022 13:08	Tyler Jack	Proposed Changes to RMF-30 Zoning District	setbacks on the Ivory development	<p>I wanted to shoot you one last note to remind you why I am against the re-zone. If you don't remember I live on the west side of the subject property and I do not want the re-zone simply because I'd like the set-backs to stay at 35 ft. I think the project will be nice, but I just don't want another home less than 35 feet from my property line. Thanks again for your consideration,</p>
4	11/14/2022 13:51	Marc Russon	Proposed Changes to RMF-30 Zoning District	Ivory Homes Avenues proposal	<p>Really? This is up for debate yet again? This has been killed multiple times. The fact that Ivory can get it revisited smacks of city council corruption. Ivory bought the property knowing how it was zoned. Make them stick to the deal that they signed up for. Nine homes. Period.</p>

#	Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Popular Topic	Subject	Description
5	11/14/2022 20:47	Ellen Garrett 1/2	Proposed Changes to RMF-30 Zoning District	Rezoning F Street	<p>To all of my Salt Lake City Council Members; I am writing in regards to the Ivory Home development on F Street in the avenues where I live. I have contacted my city council member Chris Warton but he and I are not in agreement over this matter. I favor the requested rezoning by Ivory Homes to allow more homes and allow ADUs also. I am hoping that you will approve this rezoning as the City Planning Commission has already. I am just wonder what the loud voices opposing this development are afraid of. I have read the concerns of my neighbors and would like to address a few of them: 1) "walk around the avenues and see that this does not fit the neighborhood." Although the housing units directly north and south of the proposed site are not ADUs by definition both are dense, multiple housing units on lots. The Northpoint development are duplexes, 2 unit houses that share common walls. They are not single family homes. The Capitol Park condominiums are condominiums; a building of shared homes on one lot with common walls and ceilings are another's floor. Asking for ADUs fits the neighborhood. If you continue to walk around the avenues you will see multiple homes that have been divided into apartments, ADUs, apartments, duplexes, etc. Having higher density on this space fits the Avenues. 2) "a need to level the land and build retaining walls, what happens if these fail." Trust Ivory Homes to fulfill the need for city safety inspections and housing inspection; trust the city's inspectors. Trust Ivory Homes to meet the standards needed. Recognize Ivory Homes would not continue to be in business if they were slack in an area of safety for the properties they build on. My home is on A Street, I have been told the property needed to be leveled out over 100 years ago before my home could be built. My home is currently 107 years old and has not slid down into the canyon even though the property needed to have dirt brought in to level it out. Trust the inspections required for the safety. 3) "the value of homes will be compromised" Currently on A street there is a 13 million dollar home for sale, although it is located next to condominiums, that has not deflated the value of the home. 4) "safety of children walking to Ensign School down the on 12th Avenue and increased traffic." Require a stop sign on F Street at 12th avenue, or put in a children crossing sign, or place a crosswalk across F Street to alert drivers to the safety needed. More people work from home so the concern of increased traffic is minimized. There is a great bus system running in the Avenues with buses about every 15 minutes. The elementary school, library, doctor's offices, hospital, numerous coffee shops, and restaurants are all within walking distance of any home in the avenues.</p>
6	11/14/2022 20:47	Ellen Garrett 2/2	Ivory Homes Rezone	CONTINUED!! Rezoning F Street	<p>5) "higher density housing typically is lived in by minorities." The Avenues claim to love diversity, claim to be friendly and welcoming. Allowing for higher density housing in this area of F Street will bring good neighbors, people that care about where they live and each other. Perhaps it will open the homes to minorities and that is a good thing. Diversity is welcome. I encourage a yes vote to Ivory Homes to allow for the rezoning on F Street in the Avenues. I am part of the silent minority and do not want to remain silent. Again, I ask what are the loud voices afraid of? Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Ellen Garrett</p>

#	Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Popular Topic	Subject	Description
7	11/15/2022 14:47	Josh Rhea	Foothill Trails	Stakeholders request - Foothills Master Plan	<p>Dear Mayor Mendenhall and the Salt Lake City Council, I'm writing to you as someone who has been regularly involved in the public comment period leading up to the Foothills Master Plan, as well as after its implementation. I've also CC'd Tyler whom I've had a few conversations with and think has done a great job balancing all the feedback from the various user groups here in SLC. I live near the Terrace Hills trail access point, and am a very active user - both hiking and mountain biking - of our foothills trails. I know there are several groups who you've been working with as stakeholders during the Phase 1 "pause," and I wanted to bring to your attention the fact that these groups are not necessarily representing trail users' perspectives. While I respect the position of Save Our Foothills, I disagree with them on many points - especially that they've been able to convince you to stop trailwork for over 2 years now. Things could have been done better of course, but that's not a reason to stop trail improvements and construction. It's an iterative process. Just look at Rush trail when it was first built in Draper - most mountain bikers I know hated it at first. Over the years it's gotten better and better, and now it's the crown jewel of their trail system. Not to mention the miles and miles of hike-and-equestrian specific trail they've built. But I digress! The main reason I'm writing is that I have heard that the group "Salt Lake Trails Alliance" is a stakeholder you've been including in your planning. However, I have reached out to them multiple times over the past 6-12 months and have gotten zero reply. I've offered help, asked how I can be involved, and... nothing. No response. The same goes for my attempts to reach out to Trails Utah. I understand these are volunteer efforts and people are busy, but this is unacceptable for a major stakeholder to lack any interaction with the community who supports their mission. This stands in stark contrast to Save Our Foothills who are very well organized and communicate with regularity, including having a booth at the Avenues Street Fair. I hate to throw SLTA under the bus, but perhaps it is time for a new organization in Salt Lake who can represent the many, many people who want to see responsible trail development continue in our foothills? I am happy to help take the lead on that if that is what's needed. Thank you SO much for your consideration and for reading this rather lengthy email. Best, Josh Rhea</p>
8	11/17/2022 10:47	Misty Morris	Safety	Kum & Go	<p>I am writing to ask Representative Fowler to vote no on the request to approve the petition PLNPCM2022-00053. To add what is requested would make the already dangerous corner, worse. The in and out would impact walkers, runners, bicyclists, and all manner of vehicles. It would not be providing anything substantial that isn't already provided, multiple times over, within a mile. Nothing worth the risk and added congestion. We need a safer option. Thank you, Misty Morris</p>
9	11/21/2022 10:06	Roberta Mixon	Homeless	Long Term Housing Inequality Mitigation	<p>I am a resident within District 3. In an effort to provide structure for conversation to solve long-term residential housing inequalities in SLC please review the attached LEED projects deserving of evaluation. * Low-income households, families and individuals recovering from homelessness, collegiate households, and elderly households cannot maintain payments for heating, cooling, water, recreation, and building services in new or existing structures in SLC without incurring huge immediate personal economic costs for housing. Offering cut rate incentives on rent alone fails to mitigate costs encompassing the totality of people's lives. * The most vulnerable, housing for homeless and mentally ill in our community, could be equally well served by only being housed in the best planned facilities following these same design principles. Paying the other human costs for in-need people is where the long-term money must be focused. I would call it a HUGE WIN if only one SLC housing development could get close to the Val Verde build out with: "Its 66-kW building-integrated photovoltaic system, onsite cogeneration, green roof, community vegetable gardens, green interior finishes, rainwater harvesting, and drought tolerant vegetation helped the complex achieve LEED NC Gold certification." Community diversity requires City Planners and City Council decision makers to imagine and bring into existent truly successful City approved living structures. Thank you for considering these ideas for SLC development. Sincerely, Roberta Mixon</p>