

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 9:17	Maire Jackson	FW: (EXTERNAL) Dangerous Traffic Pattern- Wolcott & South Temple Streets	Dear Mr. Wharton, I am a resident of District 3, at REDACTED, and wish to report a dangerous traffic pattern at the roundabout at the intersection of South Temple and Wolcott Streets. Cars proceeding north on Wolcott Street should drive around the circle before turning left onto South Temple. However, many cars make an immediate left onto South Temple and drive downhill the wrong way - often above the speed limit - in violation of the one way street sign (see photos). We are concerned that there will be a head on collision on South Temple with a car traveling up the hill towards the roundabout. This traffic violation occurs several times each day. I pointed this out to two policemen on patrol in the neighborhood last week. They apparently reported the issue yet said that I should contact my city council representative to request action on this dangerous situation. Please confirm that you have received this message. What can be done to improve safety at the roundabout? Sincerely, Marie Jackson
10/19/2022 9:22	Janet Hemming 1/2	FW: (EXTERNAL) Public Comment on city's new SRO amendment	Respected City Council Members: Here is my statement about the new SRO/Shared Housing Amendment you will discuss and vote on tonight: October 18, 2022 Salt Lake City Council Members: You may not receive this comment before votes are taken at tonight's City Council meeting regarding the new, proposed Shared Housing ordinance, but I wanted to bring a few concerns to your attention. Crime and SROs * In 2019, professors from Arizona State, Michigan State, the University of South Florida and the University of Texas studied SROs in St. Petersburg, Fla. – a city about the same size as Salt Lake with similar features, like historic districts, and active business, cultural and arts centers. They found a direct nexus between crime and SROs. Specifically, higher rates of: * Physical assault (48%) * Theft (24%) * Drug crimes (25%) * Prostitution (35%) While no two cities are the same and no one can predict that what happened in St. Petersburg, will happen in Salt Lake, it's worth giving thoughtful attention to this respected study. It was published by Sage Journals I would like to call your attention to two more situations dealing with SROs. One pertains to a study of San Francisco's SROs. Between 2013 and 2015, there were a total of 461 drug-related deaths associated with SROs in the Tenderloin and 256 in SOMA (South of Market) that the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner reported. Of the accidental deaths reported in the Tenderloin during this time, 92% were drug-related, versus 56% citywide. * One pertains to a study of San Francisco's SRO's. Between 2013 and 2015, there were a total of 461 drug-related deaths associated with SROs in the tenderloin and 256 in SOMA (South of Market) that the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner reported. Of the accidental deaths reported in the Tenderloin during this time, 92% were drug-related versus 56% citywide. * Lastly, Cascadia Behavioral Healthcare in Oregon, a leader in helping homeless people with mental health issues, stopped delivering services to SROs recently and issued this statement: "Cascadia has found that having separate bath facilities along with a microwave and refrigerator for each resident with this population is very important from the principles of privacy, self-sufficiency and dignity for all residents," said spokeswoman Jennifer Moffatt. I hope you will give consideration to the recommendation from Councilman Chris Wharton during a City Council Work Session in September where he asked that the language in the SRO amendment include provisions for 1 private bathroom per sleeping unit – rather than a shared bathroom between two units. I also support the discussion among Council members about applying some type of limit to how many tenants can share a kitchen. Ideally, as Cascadia determined in Oregon, having private bathrooms and kitchens is the ideal. Regarding crime control, the city has not shown it has an effective plan to control crime associated with the human resource (homeless) centers, homeless apartments like Magnolia or low-income apartments like Park Vue, Palmer Court or the Downtown West Apartments.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 9:22	Janet Hemming 2/2	CONTINUED!! FW: (EXTERNAL) Public Comment on city's new SRO amendment	<p>You've all seen the 2021 Comp-Stats from SLCPD that show the highest calls to police were from these facilities or similar housing units that cater to our vulnerable, homeless, low-income or transient population. How will crime management at SROs be any different? As someone pointed out, "If the operating agreements for Salt Lake City's Homeless Resource Centers are essentially meaningless and aren't being reviewed or enforced, what will this mean when the city targets specific neighborhoods as being appropriate for SROs/Shared Housing and assures us that operating agreements will prevent detrimental effects for the surrounding neighborhoods?" The city is not only trying to provide housing for a population that doesn't have many or any options right now, but it should be taking steps to ensure that wherever these facilities are placed, local neighborhoods don't see crime increase. For the sake of people who desperately need this type of housing I urge the City Council to ensure that appropriate guardrails are in place that will turn this type of housing into a successful alternative – protecting those who choose this housing as well as surrounding neighborhoods that will host these facilities. Respectfully, Janet Hemming Janet Hemming</p>
10/19/2022 9:31	Jennifer Nuttall	FW: (EXTERNAL) West side resources	<p>Hello Alejandro, I live and work in your district and I have some concerns. I see that there is a bond to create new parks and recreation. This is a great thing, however, what about maintenance of existing resources on the west side? All along the parkway and the parks on the parkway we have urgent issues that aren't being addressed. There are long stretches where the lights are all out – most notably in the park that is right off Indiana and the parkway. There is little being done to keep the bathrooms first of all OPEN and second of all maintained, so people who recreate there have facilities. We need resources for at least weekly trash clean up along the parkway and in the parks. We need water fountains that work. Bend in the river has totally been taken over by vagrants and there are homeless people who are lighting fires that then burn out of control. I am also seeing an increase of rats. I am not suggesting we clear out the encampments, as I know that only serves to have people move to another area. Homelessness is a much larger issue that takes complex answers. What I am asking for is to provide the money necessary to maintain the resources we have, with all the people who use them – so our parks and parkway continue to be an incredible space for all community members. These areas are amazing resources in our community, and are being neglected. So we spend a bunch of money on the new parks and facilities, and neglect what we already have? I am fed up with politicians saying they will bring resources to the west side, and then we don't see any improvements. What are you doing to help this situation? JENNIFER NUTTALL Executive Director</p>
10/19/2022 9:35	William Gilmer	FW: (EXTERNAL) Salt Lake City Planning Commission Rezone Recommendation 675 North F Street	<p>Dear City Council, I am currently traveling and will be unable to attend tonight's council meeting. With regards to the SLC Planning Commission recommendation to rezone 675 North F Street I implore the council to vote against this recommend. The commission has gone against the desire of the constituency and, for the reasons enumerated below, this change should not be allowed to go forward. Decisions such as this are ruinous to the environment, the quality of the area, and are forever irreversible. Regards, William Gilmer</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 12:55	Lynn Schwarz	Shared Housing Ordinance - D/7	<p>Once again, I would like to state my strong objections to several provisions, or lack thereof, in the updated Shared Housing Ordinance. The new definition states that " Each individual housing unit consists of one or more sleeping rooms and MAY (my emphasis added) contain either kitchen or bathroom amenities, but not both. Whichever amenities are not contained within the individual unit (the kitchen, bathroom or BOTH) (my emphasis added) shall be provided as a common facility. This means that BOTH the kitchen and bathroom can be communal facilities and NOT be in an individual unit. If you think that developers will not take advantage of this loophole and not provide EITHER a private kitchen or bathroom, you are not living in Salt Lake City. Where is the dignity in this? Recently, in Oregon, Cascadia (a behavioral health provider), is pulling out of managing Shared Housing because " Cascadia has found that having separate bath facilities along with a microwave and refrigerator for each resident with this population is very important from the principles of privacy, self-sufficiency, and dignity for all residents." as spokeswoman Jennifer Moffat said. While 10' x 10' (and 10' x 12') may be enough for a bedroom in a house, I do not believe that it is enough space for someone to call a home.</p> <p>No statutory penalties for any breaches of the ordinance's requirements are included. While this may be standard procedure for Salt Lake City, we have all seen the consequences of of lack of enforcement in many areas of quality of life issues. In the case of Shared Housing there is even a greater need for robust oversight. The examples of areas around HRCs and the sad state of the Magnolia Apartments speak for themselves. There is a reason many cities which had SROs In the past do not now allow them as they tend to quickly devolve into very troublesome properties. A great deal has been made about how Shared Housing will be an affordable housing option. NOTHING in this ordinance ensures that these will be anything but market rate housing. The purpose of all housing ordinances should be to ensure safe and dignified dwellings. This ordinance ensures neither safety, dignity, or affordability and should be completely reworked.</p>
10/19/2022 13:00	Lynn Schwarz	Proposed Text Amendments for Shared Housing, Off-Street Parking and RMF-30	<p>I am writing to ask you to re-open a public comments period or at least postpone action on the proposed Text Amendments for Shared Housing, Off-Street Parking and RMF-30. These proposed Ordinances were last discussed AT LEAST 2 YEARS AGO. Much has changed in Salt Lake City since then that has not been accounted for. It is bad public policy to rush to push these consequential Ordinances through without taking these changed circumstances into account. Be fair to the citizens of Salt Lake City. Act in haste and repent at leisure.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 13:03	Judi Short 1/3	The Housing Shortage in Salt Lake City	<p>I originally sent this email to all of you in December 2021, nearly two years ago. Two of you are new, and the rest aren't paying attention. You are about to begin the process of adopting revised zoning ordinances, without any controls in place. What makes you think this will work? Developers will bend the rules all they can, and ask forgiveness later. And you always say yes. You need some clear controls in the system. Housing Loss Mitigation Enforcement - a real enforcement program, not just everyone waits for a citizen to complain and then they send out a "case closed" email. An inventory of exactly how many housing units we have, by address (use your Good Landlord Program for the data, because of course all units in the city are registered) A way to track units that are removed, affordable or market rate A way to track how many units are built, and whether they are affordable or market rate. A robust Housing Mitigation Ordinance to identify affordable units being taken out of the housing stock, and a fee levied for those that are removing affordable housing. The Landlord/Tenant team needs to check these, every time they inspect, which needs to be annually, not every four years. -----THIS IS WHAT I SENT YOU DECEMBER 2021. READ IT CAREFULLY Changing the text in a bunch of existing ordinances will not solve the housing shortage. It will, very likely, create some chaos. And the loss of some affordable housing units in the RMF-30 zone before you can blink. You need to develop a Strategic Plan for what you want to accomplish. Then you need to put the tools in place for this to happen. * There needs to be some sort of tracking mechanism for knowing how many housing units actually are in the city, and then add new units as they receive a certificate of occupancy, and subtract those that get demolished for whatever reason. Keep a chart of all new units by address, and a chart of all demolitions and the reasons. * There needs to be a mitigation ordinance in place BEFORE You make any tweaks to the zoning codes. Before a permit is issued, the builder needs to pay mitigation on anything they are demolishing. There needs to be a note about if they are affordable or not. Your mitigation ordinance will say something like any unit currently renting for less than \$1000 a month is affordable. That assessment needs to be made at the beginning, by verifying with the tenants. * An incentive ordinance for developers who are willing to build affordable units. * There needs to be a system of knowing who is being kicked out because of redevelopment, and the demolition permit and the building permit doesn't get signed until that tenant has found a place to live. * You can use the money the Mayor wants to allocate for consultants who can write these ordinances and get them passed within six months. Don't mess around with them for the next three to five years, we are in a crisis!</p> <p>After these things are done, you can begin to change the zoning codes. I may have left some things out. Here are the issues: * Shortage of housing units in general * Shortage of affordable units specifically – Could use the HUD definitions to define that. * Missing middle- a very nebulous term. – define it clearly and be sure your actions don't destroy any of it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Between highly urban and single family is a big range, be specific. *</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 13:03	Judi Short 2/3	CONTINUED!! The Housing Shortage in Salt Lake City	<p>Displaced people (they were asked to move because their building is being torn down, but can't find any place in our city to live that they can afford). We are creating new homeless people every day. * There are no incentives for developers to add affordable units unless they try to get Federal subsidies or other sources. Need more sources of money to help them. * No subsidies for developers to help them finance. * No penalties for developers if they tear down affordable units and replace them with market-rate housing. Here are housing/zoning changes you are trying to rush through to solve the housing problem: * Shared housing * Accessory Dwelling Units – Let's make them a permitted by right use * Housing mitigation * RMF 30, 35,45, 75 * Inclusionary Zoning * Affordable Housing Overlay Zone * A 15-minute City Things you aren't even talking about * A decent transit system that would get people to the grocery store, to work, to grandma's house, so they wouldn't always need a car (you began this conversation with Jon Larsen last week). * Regular inspections of housing stock * A way to track if this is all working * How many vacant units do we have in SLC that we don't even know about? Get them on the radar. Cut out the silos in Salt Lake City Corporation. I just read a statement "It is not Planning's responsibility to deal with displacement." Whose problem is it? Who in the city is working on it? Why don't the Master Plans or small area plans for the neighborhoods have any conversation about roads and Transportation in them? Just changing a bunch of zoning regulations doesn't help with the housing shortage, it only benefits the developers. Does the City Council have a specific list of how many affordable units have been added to the SLC housing stock in 2019? Or the past five years? But, I would bet somewhere you have a list of the number of actual housing units built, or approved to be built, in 2019. Did that help the housing shortage? If not, why not? You can't just say "but 10,000 more people moved here last year than we had housing for." You should know exactly what you have. If you had a list of apartment buildings built in the last ten years, you could look on their website, or call the leasing office to find out how many vacancies there are. For a while, we heard there weren't any. Now, we see vacancy signs everywhere, and first-month free signs, things that tell us they aren't full anymore.</p> <p>With new buildings going up all the time, people are moving to another new building, or people from out of state are finding a place to rent quickly. Or perhaps they have lost their job or had their income reduced and can't afford the fancy rent any longer. Where are they going? I'll give you an example: In February of 2019, you approved the second version of the Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinance, after working on it for maybe five years. It included a HANDBOOK, thanks to Nick Norris. As of June 16,2020, 76 applications have been filed. 11 have been withdrawn. 9 have had the deed restrictions placed. 5 are marked complete. A whole bunch are In Review or Met with DRT. That isn't a big track record. Tons of effort for 5 units so far. I don't think just making them over the counter will help a lot, it might save the planner's time, but it isn't going to make the neighborhoods happy. Do you have an inventory of all the apartments in the city?</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 13:03	Judi Short 3/3	CONTINUED!! The Housing Shortage in Salt Lake City	<p>The Good Landlord Program should be able to give you most of that, and you should be adding new apartments to the Good Landlord Program as the buildings open. And then you should be inspecting the units on a regular basis, to see if they are well maintained and up to Salt Lake City standards. I'd be interested to see the budget for the Good Landlord Program. How much comes from fees, and how much is spent on education and inspection. Does it just pay for a staff of people, what are they doing? Or, is it going into the general fund and not helping housing at all? The city needs to hold a Multidisciplinary Housing Conference regularly, maybe weekly. (Just like the U of U School of Medicine). People from all the various parts of the city should attend who work with housing. Planning, Housing and Neighborhood Development, Engineering, Streets, Economic Development, Community and Neighborhoods, the homeless shelters. The group that works on displacement should attend that meeting, to relay the problems they encounter, and which might tell you there are zero units in the city right now available for rent at \$700 a month. The person certifying a unit as ready for occupancy. You can't be writing a zone or changing a zone, and then expect the result you want without measuring the result. I got Mike Akerlow to put together a report of housing added, vs demolitions, and we saw that once. How many of those were affordable units added or demolished. After he left, it hasn't been discussed again. This group needs to report to the City Council at a work Session once a month. You need a Housing Mitigation Ordinance that works. One with a big penalty for someone who tears down a building with 15 affordable units, but then replaces it with 15 units of high-end apartments. That person should pay a penalty per unit. Not \$300, but an amount equal to what it costs to build an affordable unit. \$100,000 (a shipping container pre-built ADU costs \$120 in this town including sewer and water lines.) And there should be no negotiating, black or white, are these affordable or not? If two are affordable and 13 are not, then they only owe mitigation on 13 units. People on the Landlord/Tenant team need to be monitoring this on every annual inspection. Someone should be managing where those displaced people are moving. What happens if there isn't anywhere to live that they can afford, who deals with that? Crossroads Urban Center has a great idea. Train developers in a mandatory displacement process to prevent homelessness BEFORE their plans are approved. And the city should lead out on producing Shared Housing units, and Permanent Supportive Housing. Reading this over, this sounds like a team working for a common goal. What a concept! Think of the results. Judi Short, First-Vice Chair and Land Use Chair Sugar House Community Council</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 13:06	Judi Short	FW: (EXTERNAL) Shared Housing	<p>how can you possibly approve a Shared Housing ordinance when you have no idea how they will be run? We used to have a lot of these in SLC, now we have two. These can be a real detriment to our city if it is just a place to gather and sleep, and outside you can buy drugs, shoot up, drink, fight, and invite your friends to loiter and throw their trash on the ground. You have time now to develop a program to train staff in running and managing one of these facilities, and the trainers are already here. You can use staff from the Rio Grande Hotel, and the Other Side Academy. They are both doing a fine job, they can be the role models for new shared housing facilities. They can set up a training program, along with a handbook, and be a model for deeply affordable housing in our city. If you passed the ordinance, you know it will be two years before a new SRO facility will be up and running. That gives you time to get your training system developed. Pay Rio Grande and Other Side Academy some money to develop the plan, they can always use the funds for their programs. You don't have to hire an out of state consultant to do the work and come up with boilerplate that doesn't work for us. Each unit MUST have either a bathroom or a kitchen. None of this walking down the hall for both of them. If you want to accommodate families, you at least need a bathroom in each two-bedroom unit. Maybe part of the facility is an evening meal for everyone if they sign up in advance. You could start training residents to be cooks, so they are employable. You must include safe lockers so their belongings are secure, and enough bicycle lockers to keep them from being stolen. The need is too great not to develop these and have them become stellar examples for our community. Maybe they can teach the homeless shelters who to grown responsible citizens? And you need to have a big bolus of cash. Which developer will put one of these in the CSHBD1 or 2 zone without a bunch of cash to help subsidize the cost of the land? Think about what you are voting on, don't just vote yes because something has to be passed. Judi Short, First-Vice Chair and Land Use Chair Sugar House Community Council</p>
10/19/2022 13:49	Chris Robertson	New townhome on the Avenue	<p>Hello, I'm a resident of the avenue and I live up n street. and I drove right by last night and found out that there's ugly townhouse going on here in the historic district I wanna understand how this got approved in this historic district when there's no character that is related to history. can someone get a hold of me and can you explain to me how this got approved without public approved? Thank you. Good bye</p>
10/19/2022 14:00	Lynn Schwarz	Proposed Text Amendments for Shared Housing, Off-Street Parking and RMF-30	<p>I am writing to ask you to re-open a public comments period or at least postpone action on the proposed Text Amendments for Shared Housing, Off-Street Parking and RMF-30. These proposed Ordinances were last discussed AT LEAST 2 YEARS AGO. Much has changed in Salt Lake City since then that has not been accounted for. It is bad public policy to rush to push these consequential Ordinances through without taking these changed circumstances into account. Be fair to the citizens of Salt Lake City. Act in haste and repent at leisure.</p>
10/19/2022 14:03	Don Brown	Parks and Trails	<p>To Whom it May Concern: I am in favor of parks and trails but I am concerned that this space will be occupied by camping vagrants. This would make it impossible to enjoy for families in the City. What are your plans for making sure that homeless don't overrun the amenities. If there is no plan to enforce no-camping rules, I am not in favor. Respectfully. Don Brown</p>
10/19/2022 14:52	Paul Miska	Affordable Housing	<p>My comment is that a onetime \$6 million boost to address " deeply" affordable housing is really just useless band-aid to cover an open wound our city is experiencing is the form of those that are unsheltered. This amount of money will not go far enough. What is considered " affordable" 80% of AMI, is what needs to change. What is described as "deeply affordable", 40% of AMI, should be the norm across the board. The council must put a stop to the behavior of incentivizing developers and landlords for essentially doing nothing, the benefits of collecting 80% of AMI rent and pretending to make a positive impact. It is shameful. The \$6 million, but go back to the drawing board of what is defined as affordable, Reduce it from 80% AMI to 30% AMI or at most 40%</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/19/2022 14:58	Uperesa Fakawa	Road Home Staff	Please have trained staff. the road home & volunteers of america do not train their employees & security. AR VOA, Security tased a client with PTSD. AR TRH, they just don't know anything. They put these people on the frontline of the crisis yet don't want to pay to train their employees.
10/20/2022 9:27	David Robb	Iowa Street Speeding	I was wondering who I can talk to about a traffic test on a small street. I live on REDACTED. The speed limit is 15mph between azon, ups, and other commuters this street gets raced down. It is unsafe on a one way street and I think someone should look into putting some kind of speed bump or enforcement to slow people down doing 30mph. It's a small street that people frequently wall through. Please let me know. Thanks. David Robb
10/20/2022 9:31	Ann Carter	Yea for south temple changes	I'm sure you are getting inundated with negative feedback regarding the south temple lane changes. I for one am so happy you did that. Cars and their speed and convenience should no longer be a priority in every situation. To prioritize pedestrians, neighbors, and bicycles to make a safer and cleaner community is so important. It is these very inconveniences that cause people to reconsider their commuting choices. Thank you for your courage!! Ann Carter Sent from my iPhone
10/20/2022 20:13	Sei Finau	Commercial Moving Services	To whom this may concern, My name is Sei Finau and I work for a moving company called Legit Commercial Services. I am just reaching out to request some information regarding the master plan for the Air port in Tooele, Utah. Legit Commercial Services assisted with the airport expansion at the Salt Lake City airport and I was wondering if we can offer the same for the Tooele Airport. We assisted in unloading sea containers, semi's, as well as rigging. We assisted in placing the checkpoint conveyer system. We also assist in transportation of materials, staging, and relocation for commercial and industrial companies across the Utah valley. I would love the opportunity to meet and schedule a meeting with anyone who we can assist and provide services for. Thank you for your time. Sei finau
10/21/2022 13:49	Beverly Pierce	Tiny Houses for the Homeless	THANK YOU for getting 400 Tiny houses for the Homeless!! It breaks my heart to see all these poor people out on the street! I took a class in College that said most of us will be homeless in two months if we lose our jobs! I'm so grateful!
10/26/2022 14:04	Paul Lapetina	Repeat Criminal Offenders	Hello Aimee: my name is Paul Lapetina and I Live in your district within Salt Lake City. I have become greatly concerned about the pattern of repeat criminal offenders being continually released and committing more and more violent crimes. I do not know what is causing this and I am looking to gather information. I don't know if it has to do with the District attorneys, judges, or the recent bail reform act. Do you have any information or resources or opinions on this subject so that I could try to understand what is causing the problem and try to change thing. This is becoming a very serious concern to me. Thank you for any assistance you can give me. Paul Lapetina

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/27/2022 9:36	Eric Valchuis	Support of Revised ADU Ordinance	<p>Hi Dan, My name is Eric Valchuis and I am a resident of District 6. I am reaching out regarding the proposed revised ADU Ordinance that will be coming to vote by City Council. Attached is a letter that I wrote to the Planning Commission in support of the revised ordinance, with some suggestions for improvement. The Planning Commission did adopt my recommendation to increase the maximum square footage of an ADU to 1,000 square feet but did not remove the owner occupancy requirement. The reason why I am against the owner occupancy requirement is that it increases renter instability and reduces the financial viability of an ADU. As I wrote to the Planning Commission, "if a homeowner vacates the property, whether by choice or necessity, then the tenant will also be forced to move. Given the city's housing crisis, we know that there are "very limited, if any, housing options available to low-income households after they are displaced. As a result [displaced] families are likely to either leave the city, double up with other households, enter into homelessness, or move out of the region or even state." Further, the owner occupancy requirement will limit the number of ADUs built. Per the ADU Scorecard, a study published by CCI, an owner occupancy requirement "hinders financial viability for homeowners who need the rental income, or have constraints that prohibit them from living on-site." The Planning Commission kept the owner-occupancy requirement, as vocalized by Commissioner Burrows, because they felt the owner-occupancy requirement would reduce the likelihood of the ADU being used as a short-term rental. To counter this point, I'd mention first that the ordinance already explicitly states that the ADU cannot be used as a short-term rental. Secondly, ADUs are seldom used as short-term rentals to begin with; in a survey of 380 ADU owners who rent their ADU in California (where there is no prohibition on short-term rentals), only 8% said that they rent their ADU on a short-term basis. Third, the logic that owner-occupancy reduces the likelihood of using an ADU as a short-term rental is not apparent to me (one might find it easier to manage an Airbnb if they lived next door). Finally, and most importantly, while discouraging short-term rentals may be a legitimate policy goal, the owner occupancy requirement is a poor tool for accomplishing it given its negative effects on tenants and ADU construction. I'll close by saying that I commended the Planning Commission, and I commend the City Council, for taking bold steps to get ahead of Salt Lake's housing crisis. Adopting a revised ADU ordinance, especially one without a homeowner occupancy requirement, is another bold step that the city can take. Best, Eric Valchuis</p>
10/27/2022 9:45	Alexander Dolowitz	No more fossil fuels in buildings	<p>Dear Wharton, I'm writing to you today because I believe our community must urgently pass a building electrification policy and phase fossil fuels out of our homes and businesses. Buildings are responsible for 13% of greenhouse gas emissions in the US, and children in homes with gas stoves face a 42% increased risk of asthma symptoms – that's on par with the risks from secondhand smoke. Burning gas in homes also generates harmful emissions of formaldehyde, methane, nitrogen oxides, and other pollutants. As your constituent, I'm urging you to do everything in your power to pass a policy that will ensure new buildings in our community are all-electric and help phase gas and other fossil fuels out of existing buildings. Thank you. Sincerely, Alexander Dolowitz, Salt Lake City</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/27/2022 9:47	Nathan Peters 1/2	Support for Capitol Park Cottages	<p>Dear Neighbors, I am writing to you in support of the Capitol Park Cottage project by Ivory Homes located at 675 N F Street. My wife and I love this area and its close proximity to the best combinations of work and play that the state has to offer. We run in the Aves and City Creek Canyon and the nearby trails every day. She's a pharmacist at Primary Children's Hospital and I work in finance downtown. This development proposal is quite innovative and something that I think many people could benefit from. My wife and I would get great benefit from an accessory dwelling unit, as we've recently relocated her widowed mother to SLC from Las Vegas. We'd get a lot of comfort and ease having her close by (but still separate!). We've also thought of how valuable it would be to have next door care available for our first child, who we welcomed to the world earlier this month. We can all agree that housing needs have changed dramatically since the inception of the Avenues Master Plan in 1987. Capitol Park Cottages seems to be very well in line with the goals of SLC's 5 year housing plan and Plan Salt Lake principles. I think we should be excited about this project and embrace the opportunity it presents. I believe the applicant has taken great consideration with their proposal. The 19 unit plan accomplishes much needed housing additions while respecting the architectural feel of the neighborhood. And the overall density of the project is largely in line with that of the surrounding lots and maintains more space than the vast majority of avenues blocks. If the developer were to proceed with 9-11 homes allowed under the current zone, it's incredibly unlikely that we (and the overwhelming majority of potential buyers) could afford the market rate associated with what would be built. I've been impressed with Ivory's commitment to address housing needs here even if it wasn't the most financially rewarding approach for them. I would add that it's been frustrating for us to see this proposal delayed by fairly de minimis requests. While I appreciate the passion demonstrated by the community, I've found a great deal of the feedback to be uninformed and short sighted. The vast majority of community feedback resonates with NIMBY arguments that would be more suited for a proposed trailer park or homeless shelter. The developer has taken great consideration and care in responding to the relevant feedback and working with the planning commissions and community to revise their plans for this site. As some members of the planning commission and city council have expressed, it seems egregious to spend such energy on a request to move from very low density to low density. Since this project has been proposed, we've seen housing stock continue to decline, home prices skyrocket, and interest rates climb substantially. The further this is delayed, the further out of reach these homes are becoming for prospective homeowners, including us. My wife and I have struggled to buy a home in The Aves the past 2 years despite submitting countless competitive offers.</p>
10/27/2022 9:47	Nathan Peters 2/2	CONTINUED!! Support for Capitol Park Cottages	<p>We simply need more housing stock that's suitable for families and this proposal helps support that. The benefits and goals accomplished through this project significantly outweigh what some have put forth as detractions. We're in a very different era than when the master plan was developed and the city needs to evolve with new housing needs and conditions. This proposal is a step in that direction and is in line with what is outlined in Plan Salt Lake and our Five Year Housing Plan. I urge the council to approve the proposal in a timely manner. Respectfully, Nathan Peters</p>
10/27/2022 10:49	Dannielle Moriondo	Request for lit cross walk signage	<p>Constituent would like a lit crosswalk added to the overhead lighting at 1300 E. Elgin Street.</p>
10/27/2022 14:05	Anonymous Constituent	RE: Parks, Trails, and Open Space Bond	<p>I would like to submit my feedback that I want to make sure that dogs are leashed at all times, and that there are adequate restroom facilities.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/27/2022 14:37	Lisa McBride	Salt Lake City School District lack of oversight	Lisa called to see if there is someone she could talk to regarding SLC School Board's reckless spending. We provided her with Carol Lear's contact info (801) 883-8003 as the SLC Rep on the Utah Board of Education and that the City does not have any authority over the SLC School Board. She has an issue with Highland Park being torn down and replaced by a smaller school, just to have 4 portable school rooms on campus. She also does not like the thought of Highland High School being torn down, while leaving East and others untouched, which in her opinion have larger issues, She ended the call noting that she will try her local Representative/Senator.
10/27/2022 15:58	Carol Lessinger	Warm springs Buiding and Oterh side Village	Thank you so much for approving funds for the renovation of the Warm Springs building. And again thank you for approving the rezoning so that the Other Side Village can progress. I'd like to see many creative options for VERY LOW income housing in our community. Low income seems to not be enough with today's inflation. Warmly, Carol Lessinger
10/30/2022 20:59	Andrea Bradford	Warm Springs	Thank you so much for approving the \$67.5 million Sales Tax Bond that included \$8 million for much-needed repairs and stabilization of the Warm Springs Plunge building. What a wonderful community asset! I have appreciated the building and history of the site for many years on my way to work in the neighboring city of NSL. I am so happy you are protecting this site and hope to see at least part of the use as a community center and use of the warm springs come back to the area!
10/31/2022 15:49	Anonymous Constituent	Vivint Arena Blocking Roads	I've noticed the Vivint arena appears to believe that all roads that surround them belong to them. I am a taxpayer and I'm getting fed up with them blocking off the roads, even when there are absolutely zero events going on. Its almost Winter and the roads don't get plowed because of them, especially the road south of the arena. It's about time the city does something before it snows and turns into an icy mess downtown. At least the Mormon church does a good job making sure the streets are clear and plowed.
11/3/2022 11:37	Joe Reynolds	Highland Drive Reopening	Joe is wondering when Highland Drive will be reopening after the fire.
11/3/2022 15:36	Aleyzia Grant	Fleet Block Property	I am Aleyzia Grant and I am emailing you in regards to see how I could speak my opinion on the Fleetrock property I know it may be closed right now but I would love to share my idea of wanting to take this beautiful and turn it into so place that gives back to the community it would mean a lot hope to hear from you soon!
11/3/2022 15:37	Jill Mortensen	(EXTERNAL) Streets	I'm just wondering if there is any street in the downtown area that is NOT under construction or hasn't been totally messed up do to reducing lanes. It's a joke trying to get around. We used to have beautiful wide streets now every street is a cluster. South Temple is extremely lovely since it's been changed. I love people using the lower avenues as a highway now. Last week 2nd Avenue was back up from State Street to B Street around 4:30. What's another block or two of backup.? Obviously, the city is trying to make SLC a walking city but what if you actually live here? It's impossible to walk from the Avenues to downtown to get a cup of coffee and parking is now a joke despite all the middle of the street spaces that are more of a safety issue than a help. Ralph Becker years ago told me I should ride my bike when he was running for mayor. SERIOUSLY? To this day, I've never seen a bicycle in the designated lanes. No one I know who lives around, Sugarhouse, Liberty Park the Avenues are happy. I no long venture downtown or to Sugarhouse to shop/dine . It's easier to order on line which seems to defeat the purpose of the city plan. It just a mess!

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/3/2022 15:42	Daniel Gaffin 1/2	Ivory homes	<p>Hi Chris, Thanks for taking the time to listen to me in the cold last night. While I understand where you are coming from and if I heard you correctly your goal is to get more affordable housing. If anything we should be requiring even high density then what Ivory has purposed. Currently they could build 11 houses. Yes those 11 houses at 2-5 million each would be affordable to someone but only 11 people. Currently with their proposal it doubles maybe a bit more. So at 1 million each you have 25+ market rate new homes that puts double the housing in the hands of more people who want to living in the avenues. Now if you were to say make it possible for it to be developed into townhouses, twin homes, etc. (Perfect example are the condo's and townhouses next to the library which fit perfectly into the neighborhood and would be fit nicely in the "upper avenues" as there are already examples of it in the gated community above the proposed plot of land everyone is fighting over.) In that case you could get 40-50 newer smaller homes which would put even more affordable housing into the avenues at under 1 million. I beg to say more people can afford under 1 million then over correct? Which will take more pressure off the housing crisis we are in. . . adding 11, 25, or 50 homes? Next, all these people say they are for the environment? Per person what housing scenario uses the most water and resources and costs the city per resident the most money? Do the math and the single family homes do every time. I am not anti single family homes as I live in one and I'm very grateful we moved here when we did but, single family homes are by far the majority of what we have in our housing stock in Salt Lake. Also, look at the neighborhoods that are the most productive and diverse they are the ones with the most diverse housing. This "save the avenues housing" is the privileged showing their ugly heads by spreading "economic racism" fear mongering rhetoric that is as old as time. You made mention in your talking points in the meeting about the condos at the base of immigration canyon how they don't fit in and are not a good transition to the foot hills. I would have you ask the people who live in those homes if they feel like their homes "don't fit" in? I would say they fit in nicely and guess what's across the street? Single family homes... I just sold a condo in one of those complexes to an older lady who had a single family home near Liberty park. She wanted to move to a place where she could age in place and be closer to family that lived in the neighborhood. She went from a 1800 sq foot home to 600 sq foot condo. If those out of place condo's weren't there she wouldn't have had a choice. I know this is a difficult choice to make. I guess my question is are we going to keep with the status quo and keep feeding the problem that has been 40-50 years in the making? Or are we going to make some tough choices that people aren't going to like in this short moment in time or are we going to make some meaningful changes that will be looked at as these leaders took a risk and did something great.</p>
11/3/2022 15:42	Daniel Gaffin 2/2	CONTINUED! Ivory homes	<p>I look back at the 80's and say they made decisions that failed us today completely. I know we hashed most of this last night but I feel it needed to be in writing. I am just tired of the loudest voices are the only ones being heard. They seem like they are the majority but most people are just tired of listening to all of the non-sense and don't want to have to deal with the attacks. I am sorry if it came across last night that I lost my cool. Well, I did because all I see are the loud ones getting the attention. When there are thousands of people out there just waiting for our elected leaders to stop listening to the loud voices or the ones with deep pockets and start really listening to the needs of the people. Thanks, Daniel Gaffin</p>
11/4/2022 15:52	Frances Copinga	Rezoning F street	<p>I am a neighbor of the proposed F street rezone. I am adamantly opposed to rezoning the 3 acres.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/4/2022 17:22	Ellen Garrett	rezone the property at 675 N F Street from Foothills Residential District zoning (FR-3/12,000)	<p>I have written to my council person, Chris Warton, about this issue once - but we don't agree on this matter. I believe the rezoning should take place. I believe the location can handle 19 new homes with 14 of them having ADU. As part of the Avenues there is a monthly newsletter, for November an article addressed the concern for lack of housing in Salt Lake City. By rezoning 675 N F Street there would be a small help to this lack of housing. I know there are a lot of apartment buildings being developed and built all over Salt Lake City, but some people would like a home to call their own. The Avenues is a diverse neighborhood. The rezoning would only add to this great diversity. We should open our hearts and homes to welcoming others. The Avenues is full of ADUs. This would not be something new coming to the area. I believe one of the concerns is traffic, especially for children walking to school. If, and chances are those who will be purchasing the homes or living in the ADUs have children, they would be just as concerned and careful for their children walking to school as everyone else. Ensign School is a great school, the numbers are going down slightly, this would be a great boost for the school and our community. I firmly believe the area can handle the change to the rezoning. It will be good for our neighborhood. Ellen Garrett</p>
11/4/2022 17:32	David Grainger	Ivory Homes Avenues development proposal 675 N. F Street	<p>As a home owner and Avenues resident, I am highly opposed to the on-going efforts to rezone the property at 675 N F Street from Foothills Residential District zoning (FR-3/12,000) to Special Development Pattern zoning (SR-1), and the property owner's request for an amendment to the "Future Land Use Map" in the Avenues Community Master Plan (1987) from "Very Low Density" to "Low Density" to correspond with their requested low-density zone. Approval of this rezone request will result in 19 single-family homes. At least 14 of the homes would include an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU). The Avenues is a historically unique and cherished area of urban SLC with no other local comparator. Substantial historic and current efforts have sought to maintain the character and livability of the Avenues. Certain residential zoning compromises already in the Avenues have resulted in lack of off-street parking, AirBnB violations, illegal ADUs, abundant violations of rental housing policies overcrowded with UU student tenants (no enforcement), McMansion constructions across lot lines, and other undesired building and development. There is little infrastructure to accommodate housing density and population expansion (limited ingress/egress, narrow streets, small lots, dense housing on small lots, poor quality and aged water and sewer services and poor street maintenance). This Ivory proposal will only result in increasing dangerous traffic flows to the uppermost Avenues area, more cars and on-street parking from ADUs, more rental violations, and more strain on limited infrastructure (streets/water/sewer). Increased City vigilance for local ordinances and fines for violations might increase confidence in attempts to increase housing density and capacity, but this is not evident. Hence, making the current problems worse in the Avenues before attending to current challenges for residents already there is ill-advised. Please produce the proper infrastructure and policies then permit appropriate housing expansion. I vote NO to the proposed rezone of 675 North F Street by Ivory Homes. The City and the Neighborhood Association need to address and prioritize current density and infrastructural deficiency issues before exacerbating them further.</p>
11/4/2022 21:51	David Brown	675 N F Street Rezone and Plan Amendment	<p>I do not suport this rezone and the persistent attempts to jam high density housing into the upper avenues. It is not appropriate for this location and is obviously intended to maximize the developer's profits w/o regard to the local community who has steadfastly opposed this density requiring a rezone. They should be allowed to develop their property, but only if consistent with the plan and in a manner that does not require a re-zone.</p>
11/5/2022 2:11	Pamela joy King	No to Ivory F Street!	<p>Thank you I'm frustrated that no one in the avenues wants this project yet it is going forward at a larger scale. in the end, it appears that everything is about money.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/5/2022 12:25	Steve Boulay	Ivory Reszone Request	Approve the rezoning request. I was around many years ago when the development of dozens and dozens of big, expensive homes were approved after Primary Children's was built. This Ivory project will have a fraction of the impact on the Avenues that one did. I also have children living in the Ballpark and Granery Districts where one family home is being torn down and replaced by 3 story, multi unit apartments with no parking and there seems to be little opposition to those projects. In the name of equity it would be wildly inappropriate for the Council to allow impactful projects in the rest of the City to be approved but say no to this one because a vocal, wealthy group of homeowners does not want it done in their neighborhood.
11/5/2022 13:30	Judy McCorvey	675 N F St	Zoning FR-3/12,000 special development pattern zoning (SR-1). I vote against on "Future Land use plan". I vote "no" in "low density" and "no" on "very low density". I vote to utilize this area as "green space" or as "natural space". A park would also be agreeable. Thank you for the opportunity to express opinions.
11/6/2022 11:40	David Burton	rezone of F Street	Please do not rezone the F Street property. We must keep the VA Hospital Avenues Area open and safe. F Street would be jammed with cars. The fire hazards will greatly increase and could cause horrible damage to the upper avenues and the canyon on the west side. Let's keep the Avenues safe, secure and in the tradition established for it in the past. Thanks. -- DJB
11/7/2022 9:40	Aleyzia Grant	Fleetrock building	Hello Dan, I'm Aleyzia Grant and I'm emailing you in regards of the fleetrock building I see on the city council website that you are in charge of district 6 which is Salt Lake City where the building is located your fleetrock building has been my dream building since the day they put those faces up their my friend is actually on that building and I would hate to see it get removed I want to turn that building in to non profit organization to help give back to the community! Sent from my iPhone
11/7/2022 15:57	Cheryl Cook	Rezoning Property 675 N F Street	I have written the Salt Lake City Council multiple times to express my opposition to the Rezone of the lot at 675 N F Street. In fact, I have written multiple times on multiple applications by Ivory Construction to rezone this lot. I am once again writing to state my opposition to the rezoning request to the lot at 675 N F Street being discussed at the public hearing being held on November 10, 2022. This is truly ridiculous that I once again have to state my reasons for opposing this rezone since they have been presented multiple times before. Essentially, I support: Diversity in the Avenues; Racial, Economic, Marriage, Occupation, Education, Religious, LGBTQ. Affordable Housing as well as luxury housing – ownership and rental Pets, Children, and parks for both My feeling is the Avenues currently has most of the things I support. The fact housing costs in the Avenues have risen so much since I moved here 40 years ago is due to the things this diversity brings. The Rezone Ivory is requesting does nothing to improve living in the avenues and SLC in general. Ivory Rezone does nothing to address the following: Affordable house – their home prices will be over a million The homes and ADUs they are proposing do not address diversity – will just add Rich White People It does not provide parks for Pets or Children PLEASE VOTE AGAIN THE REZONE!

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/7/2022 16:07	Robert Cook 1/2	Ivory Rezoning Submission 675 N F Street Opposition	<p>My name is Robert Cook. I am a resident of Salt Lake City and live at REDACTED in the Avenues. I am writing to state my opposition to the requested rezone application by Ivory Homes for the property at the top of F Street. My first point of objection is that this proposed change flies in the face of the generally held belief that local communities control their destiny. Downtown Salt Lake City has its own unique character as does Sugarhouse, Rose Park, 9th & 9th, the Avenues and several others. These unique areas within the city have their own characteristics, flavor, vibe or whatever you want to call it that appeal to different people and make them happy to live there. The residents of these areas don't want the government to change the character of their neighborhood in the name of meeting some idealistic goal. Whether that goal is more diversity, more affordable housing, or any other reason. It should be the function of government to acknowledge these feelings of "neighborhood", protect them and follow the wishes of its residents. That would be making decisions at the "local level". It has been said that the Avenues are a group of elite, rich, white people who don't want to accept change or others that are different from them. That is not correct. It is populated by a broad spectrum of people ranging from students, teachers, professionals, skilled trade workers and many others. What the people in the Avenues don't want is well connected land developers to come in and buy land under one set of rules and then petition the government to change those rules so they can make a large profit. And in so doing, create physical issues and inconvenience to the existing residents and change the feel and character of that part of the Avenues. And if the zoning change is approved, guess who the most likely buyers of that development will be. Rich white people. And what inescapable inference are the citizens to make about motivations of the council? The existing zoning, of the proposed development, was made for numerous reasons which have not changed. Part of the character, of this part of the Avenues, is due to the type of development that was allowed under the existing zoning. To now allow development of this area using some other standard is not fair to the neighborhood and the people who have given it its character over the years. It impacts all of us in this community regardless of if we live in the immediate vicinity of the development or not.</p> <p>My next objection to the zoning change is that it is being done under the guise of providing more affording housing in the city. I argue that nineteen lots with houses well over a million dollars each and a smattering of ADU is not even going to be a blip on the radar of solving this problem. I don't think it can even be considered affordable housing. Ivory Homes has one objective – make money. If the council has any delusion that there is some other altruistic motivation, then I question their judgment. The fact is, Ivory makes more money if they pack more houses onto the available land. To do this they need to change the zoning in the area. To do that, they must get approval from the City.</p>
11/7/2022 16:07	Robert Cook 2/2	CONTINUED!! Ivory Rezoning Submission 675 N F Street Opposition	<p>So, my question to the council is – who do you represent? Is it Ivory Homes so they can make more money? Or is it the residents of the Avenues who want to preserve the integrity and character of their neighborhood? Finally, it seems that Ivory is just determined to not give up. After being rejected, they take out a couple of homes in their plan, reposition a few elements of the development and try again. It wastes the time of our government and is just exhausting to the residents who would be affected by the changes they hope to get approved. I know you can't tell Ivory to go away and never come back but you can just say NO! Tell them that if they want to develop that land, they must work within the zoning restrictions that exist. I'm sure they will try again after the makeup of the council changes but that is a battle for another time.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/8/2022 9:05	Steven Stepanek	Ivory Homes Rezone request needs to be blocked.	Dear Council Members, I believe that the request by Ivory Homes to rezone the property at 675 F street is inconsistent with the current zoning rules, adds density that is way out of proportion to the surrounding neighborhood and will lead to additional congestion and traffic and parking issues for the surrounding neighbors. I strongly object to Ivory Homes efforts to jam this much density into the neighborhood and urge all council members to reject the proposed rezone and make the developer resubmit plans that are consistent with the current zoning. As a longtime avenues resident I believe that the Council can respect the nature and quality of this historic avenues neighborhood. Sincerely, Steven Stepanek
11/8/2022 9:53	C Clark	Ivory Homes Rezone Public Hearing Nov 10	Please do NOT approve the Ivory Homes rezone request. The rezone is a bad idea for several reasons. Here are a few reasons for rejecting the proposal. 1. It is unwanted by a great majority of local residents (Greater Avenues Community Council (GACC) [1244 to 25 against rezoning]). 2. It does not help solve the “affordable housing crisis” (units will be priced at 1 to 2 million bucks, which is clearly not “affordable”). 3. Street access is limited, with many housing units sharing the same small access road. This will cause negative impacts on access for emergency vehicles, garbage removal, snow removal, and other services. 4. There will be minimal setback and minimal greenspace. There are even a number of lovely mature trees that would be removed. That’s bad for wildlife, bad for aesthetics, bad for our quality of life, and not suitable for the Avenues. 5. The planned development is not compatible with the much-beloved ambiance of our existing neighborhood. Two of the aspects we most love about the Avenues are the relative quiet of the neighborhoods and the presence of older, individually-built homes, each with their own unique characteristics. These proposed homes disrupt that vision. Thank you for reading my comments. Vote NO on the proposed re-zone.
11/8/2022 11:13	Cheryl Cook	Ivory Rezone Application 675 N F Street Opposition	I have written the Salt Lake City Council multiple times to express my opposition to the Rezone of the lot at 675 N F Street. In fact, I have written multiple times on multiple applications by Ivory Construction to rezone this lot. I am once again writing to state my opposition to the rezoning request to the lot at 675 N F Street being discussed at the public hearing being held on November 10, 2022. This is truly ridiculous that I once again have to state my reasons for opposing this rezone since they have been presented multiple times before. Essentially, I support: Diversity in the Avenues; Racial, Economic, Marriage, Occupation, Education, Religious, LGBTQ . Affordable Housing as well as luxury housing – ownership and rental Pets, Children, and parks for both My feeling is the Avenues currently has most of the things I support. The fact housing costs in the Avenues have risen so much since I moved here 40 years ago is due to the things this diversity brings. The Rezone Ivory is requesting does nothing to improve living in the avenues and SLC in general. Ivory Rezone does nothing to address the following: Affordable house – their home prices will be over a million The homes and ADUs they are proposing do not address diversity – will just add Rich White People It does not provide parks for Pets or Children PLEASE VOTE AGAIN THE REZONE! Cheryl Cook

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/8/2022 11:24	Susan Fleck	My kids can't even walk to their school bus - D/4) My kids can't even walk to their school bus Hello mayor and Amy, My name is Susan. I'm a home owner at REDACTED. I'm writing you today at the end of my patience as my kids couldn't even walk safely to their bus stop this morning. They called me scared because their sidewalk was blocked by an entire camp of homeless folks. The attached photo shows them after I asked them to clear the sidewalk. This is on 700 S between 400 and 500 E outside the Liberty Wells Center. In this camp is a know formerly incarcerated drug dealer named Tom, two known hookers and several drug addicts in various states of undress and inebriation. We've seen human feces on the sidewalk. WHY? Why can't I have my children walk 2 blocks to their school bus without being exposed to this?!?! It's gotten so bad here and at Richmond Park. Please share with us the plan so my children can be safe as they try to make it to their bus each morning! Yours With Deep Concern and Frustration, Susan Fleck and Tim Spier
11/8/2022 11:31	Chris Snow	Ruination of Sugarhouse - D/7	Amy, I have had the desire to tell someone who is on the community counsel of Sugarhouse how utterly upset and angry I am about how the greed or uncaring or whatever it was that allowed and approved the complete and horrific ruination and destruction of what was once a charming, beautiful, quaint, and lovely place to live, walk, shop, and enjoy In so many ways, of Sugarhouse!!! All that is gone forever!! Sugarhouse now is unappealing, crowded, undesirable, and ugly!! Who would ever want to build those unattractive match box apartments that are too close together, have no aesthetic features, fire hazards, high rent, and on and on!!! The council must have been inebriated or something to allow this to happen!!! I'm sure I'm not alone in my feelings of disappointment and anger! It must be the council chose greed over what anyone else would have wanted!!! You all definitely win the prize for Best Ruination and Destruction of a Wonderful Area of a once nice city! Too bad it can't be undone! Congratulations!! You all succeeded in having no taste in architecture or any other feature on a beautiful place! C. Snow
11/8/2022 11:36 AM	Spencer Potter	Construction safety concern - D/7	Dear Council Member Fowler, I'm a constituent in district 7. With last nights construction fire on Highland drive, and the previous fire on 1250 E across from Brickyard, I'm concerned that real estate developers thirst for profit during record high housing prices is posing a safety risk to our community. While both accidents are unfortunate, two large fires occurring in such a short time on such large projects, and the pylon driver collapse in the downtown area strike me as a red flags. SLC absolutely needs more housing, but not at the risk of burning down neighborhoods and businesses around the district. I respectfully ask that you and your fellow SLC council members consider investigating recent construction accidents in SLC and determine if stricter enforcement of construction/zoning laws are needed or if additional zoning, safety, and construction laws are needed. Thank you. -- Sent from my iPhone
11/8/2022 11:39	Nader OSKOU	Kum & Go - D/7	Hi Amy, We are very concerned about having Kum & Go on the corner of 13th E and 21st South. We feel that the area is already very congested with traffic and to have big smelly trucks go up 21st South after filling up at the gas station is going to create more smell and pollution for the houses along 2100 South. We would like to ask that you as our representative bring these concerns to the attention of the City Council and the Mayor and stop this project from going forward. Thank you, Nader Oskoui
11/8/2022 13:47	Mary lou Reitz	Ivory Homes F Street Development	I think the property on F Street should be purchased by the City and left as the open space it is now. No need to put a park there just let it be what it is and has been. The Parks and Rec Bond on the current ballot shows that open spaces and parks are as much in the public interest as high-density affordable housing.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/9/2022 9:29	Tess McClure	NO to KUM & Go	Dear Amy I have been a resident of Sugarhouse for the past 30 years & the thought of having a Kum & Go on the corner of 13th E & 2100 S terrifies me!!!. That area is already so very congested with traffic. I can't imagine all the semis trying to get off the 13th E exist to fuel on that small corner & then go up 2100 S to get back on the freeway. This is still a residential area with beautiful Sugarhouse bungalows that we live in. The park is beautiful now but if all these trucks are going to go through here the already out of control pollution will get even worse. Please help STOP the coming of the Kum & Go to our community. Thank you in advance, Tess McClure
11/9/2022 9:56	Rick Gamble	Ivory Homes Rezone public hearing	Please do NOT approve the Ivory Homes rezone request. The rezone is a bad idea for several reasons. Here are a few reasons for rejecting the proposal. 1. It is unwanted by a great majority of local residents (Greater Avenues Community Council (GACC) [1244 to 25 against rezoning]). 2. It does not help solve the "affordable housing crisis" (units will be priced at 1 to 2 million bucks, which is clearly not "affordable"). 3. Street access is limited, with many housing units sharing the same small access road. This will cause negative impacts on access for emergency vehicles, garbage removal, snow removal, and other services. 4. There will be minimal setback and minimal greenspace. There are even a number of lovely mature trees that would be removed. That's bad for wildlife, bad for aesthetics, bad for our quality of life, and not suitable for the Avenues. 5. The planned development is not compatible with the much-beloved ambiance of our existing neighborhood. Two of the aspects we most love about the Avenues are the relative quiet of the neighborhoods and the presence of older, individually-built homes, each with their own unique characteristics. These proposed homes disrupt that vision. Thank you for reading my comments. Vote NO on the proposed re-zone.
11/9/2022 15:14	Ciara Combs	Main Street Assemblage - D/7	Amy, I am writing to you regarding the rezone request for the Main Street Assemblage (1550 S Main Street) As your working session approaches I want to remind you of our conversation weeks ago and my strong opposition to this rezone. In fact it isn't only my opposition but the opposition of the community council as a whole, and the opposition of many of my neighbors. Additionally this rezone goes against the past city plan as well as the newly adopted Ballpark Station Area plan which recommends "Front doors on main street, stoops and yards." The developer James Alfandre admits, in the paperwork you will read, that "technically he could build within the current commercial Corridor zone". He goes on to say that as developers they simply don't believe in setbacks which are commonplace along this street. The quote is "We continue to advocate for zero setbacks with urban - pedestrian areas". The developer makes it clear they want every inch of land, no design review or accountability of what they build. That is the only reason they are asking for a rezone. We need the motel gone but not by being backed into a corner by a developer. I urge you to consider a different zone that goes with the fabric of the community. Thank you for your time. Ciara Combs Sent from my iPhone
11/9/2022 16:38	Gregg Bambo	signage	City Creek confusing signage. The west down hill slope sign says to stay left in the dedicated bicycle lane and the east says to stay right. This sets up bicyclist going in the opposite direction, in the same dedicated land to collide. if their view is obstructed in the curve!Gregorv Bambo Jr
11/9/2022 21:59	David Egelston	Rezone of 675 N F Street	The proposal for rezoning 675 N F Street to SR-1 is not appropriate for the neighborhood. 1. Higher density housing should be located near to shopping and public transportation. This property is close to neither. 2. Lot size in the proposal would be reduced to around 40% of that currently allowed. 3. The number of residences would be tripled from what is currently allowed. 4. The proposal seems to be even more aggressive than SR-1 allows. 5. An estimated 70 cars would be added to the neighborhood. The decreased setbacks requested will result in a large number of them forced to park on the street. For these reasons, I oppose the rezoning request for this property. Respectfully, David Egelston

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/10/2022 9:27	Ned Skanchy	Please Expedite the building of trails	<p>I am a life long Salt Lake resident and a coach on the East High mountain bike team. Our team has 80-100 kids from 6th grade to High School Seniors. We love getting these kids outside, away from screens, enjoying nature and getting exercise. We have been thrilled to have the new Parley's Point trail this year. We frequent the 19th Ave trails and the kids love it. I support the trails plan that the city spent years and lots of money developing. I am concerned that the plan has been paused and delayed by groups that are loud but a very small minority.</p> <p>The trails are one of Salt Lake City's greatest amenities. People choose to move to Salt Lake to be by the mountains and to be able to go leave work and then be on a trail 20 minutes later. The trails are also very important to our communities mental health- being outside and in nature makes a difference. Please push forward with the trails plan! Thanks!</p>
11/10/2022 16:11	Andria Telfer 1/2	FW: (EXTERNAL) Tiny Home Community	<p>My name is Andria. I wanted to tell you my story in the hopes that it would help you see this new housing community in a more positive light. In 2019 I became homeless due to domestic issues. My husband left me with nothing and was abusive to the point where I lost my sanity in the process. For 12 months I lived out of a van on the streets of Salt Lake City. I wasn't a meth addict, a crack addict, or anything of the sort. What I was was a severely traumatized woman who was disowned and ostracized by my community. I saw unspeakable things that further traumatized me, not only from the homeless individuals I became friends with, but by the citizens who so readily forgot about me and judged me for my circumstances. Many of the people I had once called friends exacerbated the abuse I went through even further. I was completely alone, vulnerable, and suffered unspeakable abuse by two predators and countless sheltered individuals. Police officers didn't care, and I was further pushed from one area to another until I ended up at a place called Fellowship Hall in their parking lot. I didn't have any hope for my future, even though I had spent years living financially independent and healthy overall. I was so terrified of what could happen to me that I spent many nights without proper sleep because of it. I ended up becoming an alcoholic because I couldn't handle the constant fear of what would happen next. I was lucky when a case manager who cared fell into my lap. By August of 2020 I had a home to call my own at a new permanent supportive living building called Central City Apartments. In the two years that I have lived here, I have been almost two years sober, will be graduating with an Associate's Degree at the end of 2023, and will be transferring to the University of Utah to get a Bachelor's in Computer Science. I am one of the lucky ones. I see so many people struggling on the street still, having to deal with the citizens of Salt Lake City not only ignoring their plight but judging them because of it. The Salt Lake City Mayor Erin B. Mendenhall and the Salt Lake City Police Department continuously push the homeless from one encampment to another using abatements as a justifiable cause. "We have been redlined. We have been displaced. We have been unheard. And then we have been chastised for not wanting to return to the table." Those are your words Ms. Petro-Eschler. Perfectly spoken, and perfectly mirroring the feelings of the homeless. I know, because that is exactly how I felt, and sometimes still feel. I understand the concerns over how this could negatively affect your community, but out of anything I hope that you can see how completely on point your statement is with every person that has to sleep outside in extreme cold or heat during the night. I hope that you can feel empathy and understanding in realizing that the people of your community are exactly the same as those that just want a home to call their own. The only difference being that most of the people in your district can lock their doors at night.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
11/10/2022 16:11	Andria Telfer 2/2	CONTINUED! FW: (EXTERNAL) Tiny Home Community	<p>I'm not saying it's fair. And I'm also not saying it's fair that the majority of Salt Lake City's richest are more powerful and influential on where this village is located. Specifically the prejudicial concerns around places like Emigration Canyon. I just wanted to tell you that there are people like myself who just need to know they are safe and that they won't be pushed around or abused any longer. I cried openly when I saw that I had a shower and toilet to call my own. That I wouldn't be called dirty or that I smelled bad. I am crying right now remembering the feeling of peace that I had a door to lock and four walls to keep me warm. I won't ever forget what I went through, and I won't ever forget the community that forgot me. But, I have a new community of friends and family that care about me without judging me for my circumstances. And I'd do anything to affect my local community positively for what it gave me in return. What I'm trying to say is thank you for voting to go forward with this community. I don't care about anyone else's vote except yours and Councilman Puy's. What you're doing by allowing this to go forward is giving at least 54 marginalized Salt Lake City citizens the chance for the same happiness and stability that was so freely given to me. We aren't all bad, I promise. - Andria</p>
11/11/2022 9:02	Samuel Grenny	Capitol Park Cottages	<p>I'm writing to express my support for the rezone for the Capitol Park Cottages. We desperately need to allow for greater density, especially in neighborhoods like the Avenues that have been a huge part of the problem of housing unaffordability because of exclusionary zoning, which have disproportionate consequences for poorer neighborhoods like the West Side. Please support this rezone!</p>