

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	District	Source	Popular Topic	Subject	Description
8/10/2022 11:02	George Chapman	D1	Online Forum	Airport	Airport Accessibility	
8/10/2022 11:11	Timothy Hayes	D3	Online Forum	UTA 209 Bus Route Change	UTA Bus Route 209 Suggestions	
8/10/2022 11:17	Nicole Beer	D3	Online Forum	Crime	Increased crime rates on Columbus St.	
8/10/2022 16:50	Daniella DiBenedetto	D4	Online Forum	CIP Application	Taufar Park	<p>Hello, I was unable to speak during the meeting tonight due to technical issues. I would like to discuss my CIP application that I submitted to the council. My name is Daniella DiBenedetto and I am a concerned neighbor who submitted an application for Taufar park to be revamped and usable for the neighborhood and surrounding area. As you all are well aware, Taufar Park, located at 200 E and 300 S, has been a problem for years with the homeless using and abusing this park. On a daily basis I see drug deals, public urination, physical and verbal fights, littering, trespassing into neighbors yards, and I even watched a man light his trash on fire and toss it onto the dried up grass. I have a recording on my home video camera of my home being vandalized by a homeless man and someone stealing my mail. Also, on camera, I have a man who trespassed into my backyard and tried to enter my home through my back door at 4am. I am a single 29 year old woman living alone. I've called Salt Lake home for over 9 years now, and it's reached a point where I no longer feel safe living in my house adjacent to Taufar park due to this issue. This isn't a nuisance issue anymore, this park is a major safety issue for the neighborhood. Taufar Park is not safe and not usable for the neighbors, kids, or tourists visiting our beautiful city. This park abuses our public resources, seeing the police officers there on a daily basis, watching the health department clean up their litter, used needles, and abandoned shopping carts. If this park were fixed into something the neighborhood could use, it would improve the neighborhood morale and make this a safe space for the community to enjoy. I look at what the city did with the Artesian Well Park and I see how great that worked to deter the homeless. Imagine Taufar Park being a place where farmers markets are held, or food trucks can come show off their heritage and cooking skills, or local musicians can come play and the community can enjoy this space safely. I am open to any ideas and I am willing to work with the city in making the Taufar Park revamp happen. Thank you for your time and consideration, Daniella DiBenedetto</p>
8/10/2022 17:32	Catherine Rockwell	N/A	Online Forum	Dangerous Drivers	Speed limit reduction	<p>Lowering the neighborhood speed limit from 25 mph to 20 mph does nothing to address the real problem, namely people driving drunk or impaired by drugs. It seems to me that you made this reduction as a knee-jerk reaction to several fatal accidents involving cars hitting pedestrians or bicyclists, something to convince the public and yourselves that you were "doing something." However, in virtually all of these fatal crashes the drivers were drunk or impaired, and it is unlikely that such irresponsible drivers, who are already breaking the law, would pay much attention to something as "trivial" as the speed limit. So clearly the thing to do is to take measures to get these drivers off the streets and to keep them off. Measures such as longer prison sentences; longer or permanent revocation of driver's licenses; stiff penalties for driving without a valid license; proper monitoring of people out on parole or bail; no bail or release for drivers arrested for crashes causing serious injury or death; mandated drug or alcohol treatment with serious follow-up by the authorities, and so on. I know much of this is outside to the city council's purview, but you could work with the state legislature and the courts to get stiffer laws passed and enforced. And sure it would cost money, but it would be money better spent than that now being wasted on changing speed limit signs. Please don't punish all drivers, most of whom are law-abiding and sober, by forcing everyone to drive at an unreasonably slow crawl. Catherine Rockwell</p>
8/10/2022 17:33	Brock Giles	D4	Council Comments	Dangerous Drivers	800 E 300 S	<p>Hi - I am writing to express my concern with the intersection at 800 E and 300 S. It is a dangerous intersection for traffic in both directions, as well as foot and bicycle traffic in the area. It also creates a number of other issues extending from 700 E 300 S to 1000 E 300 S that I'll describe in more detail below. First of all, automobile cross traffic in the area is severely affected by this intersection given that it is only a two way stop going north and south. East and west traffic have the right of way. The result is a high number of automobile accidents relative to four way stop intersections. Cars trying to go either north or south typically try to shoot the gap, resulting in dangerous interactions with cross traffic. This is exacerbated most greatly by the fact that East/west traffic is traveling at such high speeds, and generally not at the 30mph marked for 300 South at this block. Oddly, this is the only cross street on 300 South from 400 W all the way to 1200 East that does not have either a stop sign or stop light, and where the speed limit goes above 25mph. What results is that eastbound traffic from 700 East on speeds to beat the light on 900 East, and westbound traffic from 1000 East tries to beat the light on 900 E, therefore speeding down the hill and potentially again trying to beat the light on 700 E. This free flowing intersection, due to the fact that most others to the north and the east in the neighborhood are four way stops, becomes a fast travel point for people to speed through on their way to the University. Many traveling heading north on 700 East turn right on 300 S, so then speed up to the 900 East light to make a left to head North again to 100 S. It is essentially a speedy bypass road through a residential street, and I am certain that the records of both car accidents and requests for police speed monitoring signs at this intersection would confirm this. The fact that this issue exists in conjunction with the four lane highway that is 900 E from 400 S to South Temple, results in so much speeding in this small residential area that is completely unnecessary. An ideal situation would be to place either a four way stop, four way traffic signal, or raised crosswalks at this intersection to provide traffic calming for the this neighborhood. I'd love to chat more about this problem and would be happy to walk someone through the neighborhood so they can get a better idea of how this single intersection has a dangerous impact on a much larger segment of the Bryant neighborhood. Thanks, Brock Giles</p>
8/11/2022 11:35	Grant Murphy	D7	Council Comments	Traffic Issues	D7 Blindside mirrors in sugarhouse/highland drive area proposal	<p>Hello Amy, As a citizen who lives in Sugarhouse and specifically adjacent to South Highland Drive, it's always been somewhat of a bother that most cars are parked in certain areas on the road there at several T-junction areas, I know this is always somewhat of an issue in urban areas but I have an idea that might work to help it. Basically with the way being blocked sightwise I thought that perhaps implementing something that they had all over Ireland at certain areas where there were inevitable blindspots might be handy. I grew up with what were called "Traffic Mirrors" or "Blindspot Mirrors" during my teen years spent in Ireland and they worked well for showing what was coming down the road before you got to it. Some of these positioned at corners entering onto S Highland Drive from lanes such as Parkway, East Warnock Avenue and Whitlock could go a long way towards safety. I imagine they may not be that hard to implement either, hopefully, simply digging a post hole and putting in a new steel pole for it or attaching to already existing infrastructure. I'd be willing to canvas for this and to see how many are interested in the idea around the local area as I think it would be a worthwhile idea. Regardless, thank you for your time, Grant Murphy</p>
8/11/2022 14:54	Anonymous Constituent	N/A	Phone Call	COVID-19	City Employees Should Wear Masks	<p>Constituent called to request that City employees who visit residents' homes, wear masks. There was a situation in which an individual with the city's civil enforcement knocked on the constituent's door to speak with them, but they felt uncomfortable answering the door since they are a high risk individual.</p>
8/12/2022 15:42	Nancy Lee	N/A	Phone Call		crosswalks and reproductive products	<p>I was able to take a call from a resident, Nancy Lee. She asked me to tell the Council and Mayor she was against paying for menstrual products for people and against a rainbow painted crosswalk. She believes the elected officials should pay for it themselves without tax payer money. I let her know I would share her feedback with the Council. Thanks.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	District	Source	Popular Topic	Subject	Description
8/16/2022 11:31	Aubrey Nekvinda	D2	Council Comments	1850 W Indiana Avenue rezoning	(EXTERNAL) Public Comment Re: Rezoning of 1850 W Indiana Avenue	Dear City Council Representatives, I wanted to register a public comment regarding 1850 W Indiana Avenue rezoning. I live REDACTED, near the proposed site for the Other Side Village, and I am opposed to this rezoning. I do believe that there needs to be increased shelters and services for people experiencing homelessness, but dumping hundreds of people into this neighborhood feels aggressive and will completely change our area. Services like this are always dumped on the west side. It is not fair to this neighborhood, which already struggles with noise and crime issues. The issue of people using drugs and camping near the Jordan River already makes walking on the Jordan Parkway trail feel unsafe, and this project will multiply this issue. I believe in creating safe spaces for people to live in, but really question the extreme scope of the project in this one area. The scope of this project is much larger than I believe is reasonable to add to an area of this size. Introducing 400+ homes to this community will create a major shift in the neighborhood. Even just reducing the size of this project and splitting it up into different areas of town would be a better option, in my opinion. But hundreds of people packed into a small area near our home cannot possibly be the best solution. As a direct neighbor of this area, I fervently oppose this rezoning. Please let me know if there is anything we can do as neighbors to stop this. Thank you for your time, Aubrey Nekvinda