







CRSA



Riparian Corridor Implementation Plan

Public Workshop 2

March 28-29, 2012

Facilitated by BIO-WEST, Inc.



Project Overview

Riparian Corridor Studies and Management Plans were prepared (2008–2010) for the four Salt Lake City creeks (Red Butte, Emigration, City, Parleys)



Four Riparian Corridors Studied



Project Overview

- Plans for each creek include a list of recommended improvement measures, summarized by stream reach
- This effort involves integrating the recommended measures into a prioritized implementation plan
- Implementation plan will also include Jordan River projects identified through other studies

Project Elements

- Establish prioritization criteria/ranking process for projects identified in existing RCS documents and on Jordan River
- Rank projects and prepare integrated, prioritized list
- Prepare implementation plan (schedule, funding, etc.)
- Establish process to accept/rank/prioritize newly-proposed projects (projects not in existing RCS documents)
- Educational creek crossing signs for the four creeks

Project Schedule

- Project began in November 2011
- Met with Riparian Subcommittee December 1, 2011; January 5, 2012; March 15, 2012
- First round of public workshops held in late February
- Project scheduled for completion in May 2012
- City plans to install signs later this summer

Riparian Area Definition

- Zone of influence between aquatic and upland areas
- Sometimes defined as including channel, floodplain, and transition zone



Riparian Corridor - Legal Definition

- The RCO applies to the area within 100 feet of the annual high water line (AHWL) of above-ground streams
- "Riparian corridor" definition: the stream plus the 100-foot riparian corridor on each side
- This project involves improvement measures in these corridors



Benefits of Healthy Riparian Corridors

- Scenic/Aesthetic
- Recreation
- Floodplain Storage/Flood Damage Reduction
- Water Quality
- Streambank Stability
- Ecological/Habitat







Types of Improvement Measures

- Stream cleanup/mechanized trash removal
- Invasive plant removal and control
- Native understory, shrub, and canopy cover revegetation
- Storm drain improvement/runoff management
- Grade control and biotechnical streambank stabilization
- Access control/trail stabilization
- Fill removal/floodplain re-establishment
- Culvert replacement







Input from February Workshops

- Prioritization criteria
 - Projects that incorporate volunteers should score higher
 - Emphasize science-based criteria
 - Consider social criteria (community walkability, etc.)
- Ranking and project review process
 - Address emergency situations such as floods, oil spills etc.
 - Integrate with other city planning efforts e.g. East Bench Master Plan etc.
- High value placed on aesthetic, ecological, recreation, and water quality benefits
- Revegetation, weed control, bank stabilization and access improvements are high priorities

Prioritization Criteria

- Riparian enhancement potential
- Relative need
- Location and size
- Type(s) and scale of project benefits
 - Seven benefit categories
 - Immediacy of benefits
 - Natural riverine process enhancement
- Initial and long-term costs
- Third party funding
- Volunteer contribution

- Stakeholder and public support
- Project urgency/third-party synergy
- Other factors
 - Safety or educational benefits
 - Inclusion of scientific monitoring
 - Contribution to regulatory requirements

Ranking Process

- If project is proposed in one of the RCS study reaches, it is assigned a "base score" based on
 - Riparian enhancement potential
 - Identified need for project
- Project is then scored using the other criteria
 - Location (public vs. private)
 - Size
 - Project benefit(s), by category
 - Cost considerations
 - Project urgency/opportunity
 - Other factors



High Priority Reaches

- Looked at base scores
 - Riparian enhancement potential
 - Identified need for project
- And project scores for first 2 criteria
 - Location (public vs. private)
 - Size
- Preliminary ranking score



High Priority Reaches

-	Reach Number	Reach Description	Relative Hydrologic Integrity	Relative Extent of Undevelo ped Corridor Width	Relative Longitudi nal Integrity/ Connecti vity	Need Base Score	TOTAL BASE SCORE	Public- Private	Size	TOTAL: BASE + PUB/PRI + SIZE
	LPC_R02	Middle Parleys Park	3	3	3	2.3	11.3	3	3	17.3
	LPC_R01A01B	Upper Parleys Park	3	3	3	2.0	11.0	3	3	17.0
	LRB_R03	University - Above Chipeta Way	3	3	3	1.6	10.6	3	3	16.6
	UCC R09	Pleasant Valley	3	3	3	1.5	10.5	3	3	16.5
	URB_R09	Upper Red Butte Garden	3	3	3	1.2	10.2	3	3	16.2
	UCC_R10A	Pipeline	3	3	3	1.0	10.0	3	3	16.0
	UCC_R10C	Water Crest	3	2	3	1.8	9.8	3	3	15.8
	LEM_R04	Bonneville Golf Course - Below Storm Outfall Gully	2	3	3	2.5	10.5	3	2	15.5
	UCC_R11A	Elbow Turn	3	2	3	1.4	9.4	3	3	15.4
	LPC R03	Lower Parleys Park	3	2	3	2.4	10.4	3	2	15.4
	LEM_R01	Rotary Glen Park	3	3	2	1.4	9.4	3	3	15.4

High Priority Reaches

- Looked at base scores
 - Riparian enhancement potential
 - Identified need for project
- And project scores for first 2 criteria
 - Location (public vs. private)
 - Size
- Preliminary ranking score
- Complete project scoring
 - Assume all recommendations implemented as single hypothetical project
- Compare final scores



Project Scores and Final Scores

			TOTAL			
			BASE	PRELIM.	PROJECT	FINAL
	Reach Number	Reach Description	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE
	LPC R03	Lower Parleys Park	10.4	15.4	42.0	52.4
	LPC_R02	Middle Parleys Park	11.3	17.3	40.0	51.3
	LPC_R01A01B	Upper Parleys Park	11.0	17.0	40.0	51.0
		Bonneville Golf Course - Below				
	LEM_R04	Storm Outfall Gully	10.5	15.5	38.0	48.5
	UCC_R10C	Water Crest	9.8	15.8	38.0	47.8
	UCC_R11A	Elbow Turn	9.4	15.4	37.0	46.4
	LEM_R01	Rotary Glen Park	9.4	15.4	36.0	45.4
	UCC_R10A	Pipeline	10.0	16.0	35.0	45.0
	UCC_R09	Pleasant Valley	10.5	16.5	34.0	44.5
	LRB_R03	University - Above Chipeta Way	10.6	16.6	33.0	43.6
	URB_R09	Upper Red Butte Garden	10.2	16.2	32.0	42.2

- Lower Parleys Park has a higher project score greater benefits, restores riverine process (road/fill removal), addresses listed pollutant (E.coli)
- Pleasant Valley project more limited -weed control, minor access control
- Reaches with low base scores can still receive a high final score if project is well designed

Jordan River Example - 900 S Oxbow

- No base score information available
- Evaluate using project score criteria only
- Project proposed by Salt Lake Parks and Public Lands Division
- Project has received funding through Red Butte oil spill penalty money administered by DWQ
- Involves restoration of native riparian & upland vegetation on meander bend



Jordan River - 900 South Oxbow

- Public land, large project
- Moderate

 aesthetic,
 habitat, stability,
 water quality
 benefits
- Third party funding, uses volunteers, includes monitoring
- Aligns with TMDL, parkway plans



Jordan River - 900 South Oxbow

- Project score = 40
- High priority RCS reaches had project scores of 32 to 42
- Ways to improve 900 S. Oxbow score
 - Floodplain lowering on inside of bend (flood risk reduction benefit, natural process enhancement, organic matter deposition)
 - Include interpretive signs or other educational amenity



Interactive Tool to Improve Overall Project Quality



Ranking and Prioritization Process

- Questions?
- Comments?





GOAL

Increase public awareness and geographic knowledge of the creeks within Salt Lake City by informing motorists and pedestrians that they are crossing a creek.





DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- Visible and readable by motorists using major roadways
- Also readable and informative for pedestrians
- Scalable design (able to shrink and embed in other City signage)
- Fits in available roadway shoulder space
- Meets SLC Streets guidelines
- Compatible with future Jordan River signage (?)









- Single wood post
- Incorporates logo
- Incorporates "crossing"





- Single wood post
- Incorporates logo
- Incorporates "crossing"
- Background colors vary by creek





- Single metal post
- Incorporates logo
- Incorporates "crossing"
- Two stacked signs format





- Double metal or wood post
- Identifies watershed



Feedback from Public Workshops:

Public Preferences:

- Single-post style
- Text and logo
- Identify Watershed
- "Keep It Pure" logo
- Add "Q-codes"
- Re-design logo so that the Creek does not look like a road
- Idea of including Native American place names
- Jordan R. –coordinate among municipalities



Public Prefers Style 3

- Style 3 (two stacked signs) received the most "votes"
- Subcommittee

 interested in retaining a
 text-only option similar
 to Style 4 but revised to
 fit a single post



Feedback from Salt Lake City:

Sign Requirements:

- Min. 2' from roadway
- Min. 7' from ground
- Heavy gauge aluminum with vinyl cutout
- Clear plastic graffiti barrier
- Telspar galvanized post
- Min. size = 24" x 30"
- Vandal resistant hardware





- Single wood post
- Text only
- Landscape orientation
- Identifies watershed
- Blue background



Revised Designs: Style 2

- Logo
- Two stacked signs
- Identifies watershed
- Q-code and website
- Green background



Revised Designs: Style 3

- Logo (Wasatch silhouette)
- Two stacked signs
- Identifies watershed
- No Q-code or website
- Brown background



ww.bio-west.co 435-752-4202



Serving Our Community... Protecting Our Environment

Created 11/06/09, Updated 02/23/12

City Creek Signs:

- N. Bonneville Drive
- Other? (conduit/ underground)



Red Butte Creek Signs:

- Chipeta Way
- Foothill Dr.
- Hall St. (in VA Complex)
- Sunnyside Ave.
- 900 South
- 1500 East
- 1300 East
- 1100 East
- 900 East



Emigration Creek Signs:

- **Crestview Dr.**
- Foothill Dr.
- 2100 East
- 1300 South
- 1900 East
- 1700 South
- 1500 East
- 1300 East



Parleys Creek Signs:

- 2000 East
- 1700 East
- Sugar House Park Road (eastern crossing)
- Sugar House Park Road (western crossing)
- 1300 East



Jordan River Signs:

- 2100 South
- 1700 South
- California Ave. (~1300 S)
- Indiana Ave. (~800 S.)
- 400 South
- North Temple
- 700 North
- 1000 North
- Redwood Road
- Other?



YOUR INPUT IS IMPORTANT!

- Provide input on comment form
- Vote for your preferred sign option
- Ask project staff questions
- Comments accepted through April 16





FLANKYOUFORPARTICIPATING

BIO WEST Contacts:

Melíssa Stamp 435.881.1549 mstamp@bio-west.com

Christopher Sands 435.752.4202 csands@bio-west.com

For more information go to www.slch20.com