

MOTION SHEET

CITY COUNCIL of SALT LAKE CITY

TO: City Council Members

FROM: Brian Fullmer

Policy Analyst

DATE: October 6, 2020

RE: 261 North Redwood Road Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendment

PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

This is the second scheduled public hearing for this petition. The Council may consider taking action tonight.

MOTION 1 (close and adopt)

I move that the Council close the public hearing and adopt the ordinance.

MOTION 2 (close and defer)

I move that the Council close the public hearing and defer action to a future Council meeting.

MOTION 3 (close and reject)

I move that the Council close the public hearing and reject the ordinance.

MOTION 4 (continue hearing)

I move that the Council continue the public hearing to a future Council meeting.



COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

CITY COUNCIL of SALT LAKE CITY

TO: City Council Members

FROM: Brian Fullmer

Policy Analyst

DATE: October 6, 2020

RE: 261 North Redwood Road Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendment

PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

Item Schedule:

Briefing: August 18, 2020 Set Date: August 18, 2020

Public Hearing #1: September 15, 2020 Public Hearing #2/Potential Action:

October 6, 2020

PUBLIC HEARING #1 SUMMARY

No comments were received at the September 15 public hearing. The Council closed the hearing and noted a second hearing scheduled for October 6, 2020. The Council could consider taking action that night.

The following information was provided for the August 18 work session. It is provided again for background purposes.

WORK SESSION SUMMARY

A Council Member asked about community response to the proposal considering COVID-19. Planning staff said the Planning Commission public hearing was held when the pandemic hit. No comments were received prior to that time. Since then one comment was received expressing concern about whether affordable housing would be included in a new development. Planning staff stated no development proposal has been submitted to this point, so it is unknown if new units will be market rate or affordable. Later in the briefing the applicant stated it is his intent to construct affordable apartments or townhomes to replace the four existing dwelling units on the property.

A question was raised about an entrance from Gemini Drive. Planning staff noted no development proposal has been submitted so an entrance at that location has not been discussed. A conversation about setbacks followed and Planning staff stated a 10-foot interior side yard setback is required for multi-family dwellings



on RMF-35 zoned parcels when abutting single-family properties. It was also noted landscaping is required for front and corner side yards.

A Council Member expressed concern about how a building could be constructed on the relatively narrow lot and include required parking. Planning staff reiterated no development proposal has been submitted to consider how that would be accommodated. It was also noted by Planning staff there are strict density regulations in the RMF-35 zoning designation.


Answering a Council Member question about potentially sub-dividing the property, Planning staff stated it is possible provided minimum lot standards are met.

The following information was provided for the August 18 work session. It is provided again for background purposes.

The Council will be briefed about an ordinance that would amend the master plan and zoning map for an approximately 0.94-acre parcel located at approximately 261 North Redwood Road. The parcel is currently "split-zoned" with approximately 2/3 of the property on the west zoned R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District) and the remaining property zoned RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family Residential). The applicant would like to amend the zoning map to change zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35.

The applicant has not submitted development plans but indicated to Planning staff the rezone is anticipated to allow for redevelopment of the site with additional housing.

The Planning Commission voted unanimously to forward a positive recommendation to the City Council.



Vicinity zoning map with the subject property outlined in purple (Administration's Transmittal, Page 2)

POLICY QUESTIONS

1. Does the Council support the Planning Commission's recommendation to adopt the proposed changes?

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Pages 17-20 of the Administration's transmittal identify three key issues. A short description of each issue is provided below for reference. Please see the transmittal for full analysis.

Issue 1: Compatibility with Adopted Planning Documents

The proposed rezone is compatible with *Plan Salt Lake*, *Growing SLC* and the *North Temple Master Plan*. The proposed master plan amendment is needed in order to make the requested amendments compatible with the *Northwest Master Plan*. Planning staff is of the opinion the master plan amendment is appropriate given policies in citywide plans, the *North Temple Master Plan* and existing development trends in the neighborhood.

Plan Salt Lake (2015)

The applicant is proposing to redevelop underutilized land which is consistent with Plan Salt Lake. This Plan calls for locating new development in areas with existing infrastructure and amenities such as transit and transportation corridors; encourages a mix of land uses; and promotes infill and redevelopment of underutilized land. The area has existing infrastructure and high-capacity (Trax Green Line) and high-frequency (UTA bus 217) transit. The parcel's location on the west side of Redwood Road is less than one-quarter mile north of the intersection with North Temple. It is approximately one-half mile from the 1950 West/State Offices and Power Station/Cornell Trax Stations.

The adjacent property to the south is zoned RMF-35. Farther south, properties are zoned TSA-MUEC-T (Transit Station Area-Mixed Use Employment Center-Transition) which allows building height up to 60 feet and were recently redeveloped with apartments. Planning staff noted rezoning the subject property to RMF-35 would serve as a continued transition from additional recent TSA redevelopment closer to North Temple with single-family homes to the north and west of Redwood Road.

Growing SLC: A Five-Year Housing Plan (2017)

This plan addresses the growing divide between income and housing costs and promotes additional strategies to increase the supply of available and affordable housing. Goal 1 of the Plan states the following: "Increase housing options: reform city practices to promote a responsive, affordable, high-opportunity housing market." The proposed rezoning is anticipated to increase the types of housing options and provide additional housing units in the community.

North Temple Master Plan (2010)

As noted above, the subject property is near two Trax stations. Area plans for the Power Station/Cornell and 1940 West/State Offices stations designate the subject property as within a "stable area." However, the proposal to remove the split-zoning designation and redevelop the underutilized parcel is consistent with the station area policies and strategies to "allow for a more intense, compact mix of uses" and provide a broader mix of housing types and densities just outside the transition area.

The Plan also encourages high-density mixed-use development on all four corners of the area's busiest intersection at North Temple and Redwood Road. Although the proposed development is north of this intersection, the proposed development would provide additional medium density housing and further the transition to single-family neighborhoods to the north and west. It could also provide customers for existing and future businesses in the area.

Northwest Master Plan (1992)

Given its age, the Northwest Master Plan may not reflect current policies or goals related to housing growth in the area. This Plan discusses rezoning properties to address concerns related to construction of apartments in the area when the plan was adopted. Planning recommends considering policies of more recently adopted plans listed above when evaluating the proposed rezoning of the subject property.

Issue 2: Zoning Compatibility with Adjacent Properties

As shown in the vicinity zoning map above, nearby properties are a mix of R-1/5,000, RMF-35, TSA-MUEC-T (Transit Station Area-Mixed Use Employment Center-Transition), and across

Redwood Road TSA-MUEC-C (Transit Station Area-Mixed Use Employment Center-Core) and MH (Mobile Home).

The proposed rezone to RMF-35 would allow for greater density, lot coverage and height, but would have similar setbacks (see Attachment D on pages 34-36 of the Administration's transmittal for a comparison of the RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 zoning districts).

As discussed above, the proposed RMF-35 zoning designation would provide a transition between more intensive zones and nearby single-family zoning, while allowing the underutilized parcel to be redeveloped.

Issue 3: Existing Zoning Limitations and Proposed Zone

The subject parcel is split-zoned RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 with one residential building containing four units. The RMF-35 area of the property is approximately 13,000 square feet, enough area to allow for five multi-family units, one more than currently on the site. The lot width is approximately 75 feet which is five feet less than the minimum lot width required for multi-family residential development. Because of this, redevelopment of the site with a multi-family dwelling would require a Planned Development.

The R-1/5,000 portion of the parcel is approximately 27,000 square feet. This zoning district allows for single-family dwellings, but not two-family or multi-family units. There is enough land area on this section of the property to accommodate up to five single-family dwellings, but it would likely be difficult to construct them given limited street frontage. Access from Gemini Drive would probably be required for the dwellings. A Planned Development would likely be required as some lots would not have street frontage. Setback requirement relief may also be required through a Planned Development. Additional units on Gemini Drive may require construction of a cul-de-sac bulb or other acceptable turnaround.

If the Council approves the zoning change to RMF-35 for the entire parcel, up to 26 multi-family units could be constructed. Under this scenario, a Planned Development would still be required because the lot width is less than the required minimum.

The proposed master plan and zoning map amendments from the split-zoned RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 to the full parcel being zoned RMF-35 would allow for more intensive redevelopment of the subject property. Planning staff is of the opinion this is consistent with citywide plans and compatible with recent redevelopment and changes in the neighborhood. It is also consistent with zoning on properties to the south and northeast. It would also serve as a transition from the TSA zoned property to the south and east with and the single-family development to the north and west. Current zoning limits development on the property and rezoning would allow development similar to property to the south. It is Planning staff's belief the proposed zoning map and master plan amendments are appropriate for the property given its context and evolving City plans and policies.



DEPARTMENT of COMMUNITY and NEIGHBORHOODS

Marcia L. White Director

CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

Lisa Shaffer
Lisa Shaffer, Chief Administrative Officer

Date Received: July 11, 2020
Date sent to Council: July 15, 2020

DATE: 06/30/2020

TO: Salt Lake City Council Chris Wharton, Chair

FROM: Marcia L. White, Director Department of Community & Neighborhoods

Otto

SUBJECT: PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

261 N Redwood Road

STAFF CONTACT: Sara Javoronok, AICP, Senior Planner

801-535-7625, sara.javoronok@slcgov.com

DOCUMENT TYPE: Ordinance

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council amend the zoning map and master plan as recommended by the Planning Commission.

BUDGET IMPACT: None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION: The property owner, Iain Cameron, is requesting Master Plan and Zoning Map amendments for a property located at approximately 261 N. Redwood Road. The applicant is requesting a Master Plan amendment to change the Northwest Community Future Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The applicant is also requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family Residential). The property is approximately 0.94 acres and is split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet of property closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' of property zoned R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District). The applicant has not submitted future development plans, but states that the rezone is anticipated to allow for the redevelopment of the site with additional housing.

Prior to 1987, the entire property was zoned Residential R-2A. This district allowed for single-family homes and duplexes, and, on larger properties, Planned Unit Developments allowed for

higher densities of residential units. In 1987 it was included in an approximately 750-acre area located west of Redwood Road, east of I-215, and between North Temple and 1800 North that was rezoned from R-2A to R-1. The 1987 rezoning was consistent with the Northwest Community Master Plan and was to address resident concerns with the construction of apartments and the potential for duplexes. The Northwest Community Master Plan was most recently adopted in 1992 and the Future Land Use Map designates this area as Low Density Residential. The designation of the entire parcel as RMF-35 requires a change in the land use to Medium Density Residential.



Map showing the area proposed for rezoning outlined in purple with existing adjacent zoning identified

The proposed rezoning is compatible with Plan Salt Lake, Growing SLC, and the North Temple Master Plan. The proposed master plan amendment is necessary to make the requested amendments compatible with the Northwest Master Plan, adopted in 1992. This is appropriate since the existing plan is nearly 30 years old and is in conflict with more recently adopted citywide plans, the North Temple Master Plan, and existing development trends in the neighborhood.

PUBLIC PROCESS:

- Community Council Notice: Notice was provided to the Jordan Meadows Community Council and they were provided 45 days to respond with any comments or to request a presentation. No written comments were received and the community council did not request a formal meeting as part of the zoning amendment process.
- **Planning Commission Meeting**: On April 8, 2020, the Planning Commission held a public hearing regarding the proposed zoning map amendment. There were no questions or public testimony. The Commission voted unanimously to forward a favorable recommendation to the City Council for the rezone.

EXHIBITS:

- 1. Project Chronology
- 2. Notice of City Council Hearing
- 3. Planning Commission
 - A) Mailing Notice
 - B) Staff Report
 - C) Agenda/Minutes/Newspaper Notice
- 4. Original Petition
- 5. Mailing List

Exhibit "A"

Legal description of the property parcel

Tax ID No. 08-34-331-029-0000 BEG S 448.8 FT & W 121.39 FT FR CEN SEC 34, T 1N, R 1W, SLM; S 1^23'06" E 75.92 FT; W 540.54 FT; N 75.9 FT; E 538.61 FT TO BEG. 0.94 AC M OR L.

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE No._____of 2020

(Amending the zoning map pertaining to a parcel of property located at 261 North Redwood Road to rezone the parcel from R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential and RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential to RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential and amending the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map)

An ordinance amending the zoning map pertaining to a parcel of property located at 261 North Redwood Road to rezone the parcels from R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential and RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential to RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential pursuant to petition number PLNPCM2019-01086 and amending the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map pursuant to petition number PLNCPM2019-01087.

WHEREAS, Iain Cameron submitted an application to rezone a parcel of property located at 261 North Redwood Road to rezone the parcel from R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential and RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential to RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential pursuant to petition number PLNPCM2019-01086 and amending the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map with respect to the property from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential pursuant to petition number PLNCPM2019-01087; and

WHEREAS, at its April 8, 2020 meeting, the Salt Lake City Planning Commission held a public hearing and voted in favor of forwarding a positive recommendation to the Salt Lake City Council on said applications; and

WHEREAS, after a public hearing on this matter, the city council has determined that adopting this ordinance is in the city's best interests.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Amending the Zoning Map</u>. The Salt Lake City zoning map, as adopted by the *Salt Lake City Code*, relating to the fixing of boundaries and zoning districts, shall be and hereby is amended to reflect that the parcel located at 261 North Redwood Road (Tax ID No. 08-34-331-029-0000), which is more particularly described on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, is rezoned from R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential and RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential to RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential.

SECTION 2. <u>Amending the Northwest Community Master Plan</u>. The Future Land Use Map of the Northwest Community Master Plan shall be and hereby is amended to change the future land use designation of the property identified in Exhibit "A" from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.

SECTION 3. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall become effective on the date of its first publication.

| Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake | e City, Utah, thisday of | , 2020 |
|---|--|--------|
| ATTEST AND COUNTERSIGN: | CHAIRPERSON | |
| CITY RECORDER | | |
| Transmitted to Mayor on | | |
| Mayor's Action:Approved. | Vetoed. | |
| \overline{M} | AYOR | |
| CITY RECORDER (SEAL) | | |
| Bill Noof 2020 Published: | APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney's Office June 12, 2020 Date: | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Project Chronology
- 2. Notice of City Council Hearing
- 3. Planning Commission April 8, 2020 Public Hearing
 - A. Mailing Notice
 - B. Staff Report
 - C. Agenda/Minutes/Newspaper Notice
- 4. Original Petition
- 5. Mailing List

PROJECT CHRONOLOGY

Petitions: PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

| November 15, 2019 | Iain Cameron submits application for rezone and master plan amendment of the property at 261 N Redwood Road. |
|-------------------|---|
| January 30, 2020 | Petitions PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087 assigned to Sara Javoronok, Senior Planner, for staff analysis and processing. |
| January 30, 2020 | Email sent to Recognized Community Organization (Jordan Meadows Community Council) informing them of the petition. |
| March 26, 2020 | Sign posted on property. |
| March 26, 2020 | Planning Commission hearing notices posted on City and State websites and Planning Division listserv. Notices also mailed out to property owners/residents. A newspaper notice was also requested to be printed as required for master plan amendments. |
| April 8, 2020 | Planning Commission reviewed the petition and held a public hearing. The commission voted unanimously to send a positive recommendation to the City Council. |
| April 13, 2020 | Ordinance review requested from City Attorney's office. |

2. NOTICE OF CITY COUNCIL HEARING

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Salt Lake City Council is considering Petitions <u>PLNPCM2019-01086 Zoning Map Amendment and PLNPCM2019-01087 Master Plan Amendment</u>. Requests by Iain Cameron to amend the zoning map for the property located at approximately 261 North Redwood Road. The proposal would rezone the property from R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential and RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential to RMF-35 Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential pursuant to petition PLNPCM2019-01086 and amend the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map with respect to the property from Low Density Residential to Moderate Density Residential pursuant to petition number PLNCPM2019-01087. The applicant anticipates redeveloping the property with additional housing. The property is in Council District 1, represented by James Rogers.

As part of their study, the City Council is holding two advertised public hearings to receive comments regarding the petition. During these hearings, anyone desiring to address the City Council concerning this issue will be given an opportunity to speak. The Council may consider adopting the ordinance on the same night of the second public hearing. The hearing will be held electronically:

DATE: Date #1 and Date #2

TIME: 7:00 p.m.

PLACE: **This meeting will not have a physical location.

**This will be an electronic meeting pursuant to the Salt Lake City Emergency Proclamation. If you are interested in participating in the Public Hearing, please visit our website at https://www.slc.gov/council/ to learn how you can share your comments during the meeting. Comments may also be provided by calling the 24-Hour comment line at (801)535-7654 or sending an email to council.comments@slcgov.com. All comments received through any source are shared with the Council and added to the public record.

If you have any questions relating to this proposal, please call Sara Javoronok at 801-535-7625 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday or via e-mail at sara.javoronok@slcgov.com. You may review the file online at https://citizenportal.slcgov.com/citizen, by selecting the Planning tab, and entering the petition number PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087.



SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

April 8, 2020, at 5:30 p.m.

This meeting will be an electronic meeting pursuant to Salt Lake City Emergency Proclamation No. 2 of 2020 (2)(b)

A public hearing will be held on the following matter. Comments from the Applicant, City Staff and the public will be taken.

Zoning Map and Master Plan Amendment at approximately 261 N Redwood Rd. -

The property owner, lain Cameron, is requesting Master Plan and Zoning Map amendments for an approximately 0.94-acre property located at approximately 261 N. Redwood Road. The applicant is requesting a Master Plan amendment to change the Northwest Community Future Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The property is currently split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' zoned R-1/5,000. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35. The subject property is located within District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact: Sara Javoronok at (801) 535-7625 or sara.javoronok@slcgov.com) Case Numbers PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

For additional information please contact the Staff Planner.

Important Meeting information:

This Meeting will <u>not</u> have an anchor location at the City and County Building. Commission Members will connect remotely. We want to make sure everyone interested in the Planning Commission meetings can still access the meetings how they feel most comfortable.

If you are interested in watching the Planning Commission meeting, it will be available on the following platforms:

- YouTube: www.voutube.com/slclivemeetings
- SLCtv Channel 17 Live: www.slctv.com/livestream/SLCtv-Live/2

Providing Comments:

If you are interested in participating during the Public Hearing portion of the meeting or provide general comments, email: planning.comments@slcqov.com or connect with us on Webex at:

https://saltlakecitv.webex.com/saltlakecitv/onstage/g.php?MTID=e5b1ac4b74376cb992dc30b0a85230851

Instructions for using Webex will be provided on our website at SLC.GOV/Planning

US.POSTAGE))P1TNEY BOWES

T 116 **SOOO.SOO** 0001403432MAR 26 2020

-. ' :i:. ;,,;;;1 L **c;:..- ::.c;.-.*::c2::**

Salt Lake City Planning Division Sara Javoronok PO BOX 145480 Salt Lake City UT 84114

 $iii, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}ii, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm} |}{}i, \rlap{\hspace{02$

() I-(f/) IL.



Staff Report

PLANNING DIVISION DEPARTMENT of COMMUNITY and NEIGHBORHOODS

To: Salt Lake City Planning Commission

From: Sara Javoronok, Senior Planner, 801-535-7625

Date: April 8, 2020

Re: PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendment

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 261 N Redwood Road

PARCEL ID: 08-34-331-029-0000

MASTER PLAN: Northwest

ZONING DISTRICTS: RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family Residential District) and R-

1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District)

REQUEST: The property owner, Iain Cameron, is requesting Master Plan and Zoning Map amendments for an approximately 0.94 acre property located at approximately 261 N. Redwood Road. The applicant is requesting a Master Plan amendment to change the Northwest Community Future Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The applicant is also requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multifamily Residential). The property is currently split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet of property closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' zoned R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District). The applicant has not submitted future development plans, but states that the rezone is anticipated to allow for the redevelopment of the site with additional housing.

RECOMMENDATION: Based on the information in this staff report, Planning Staff recommends that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to the City Council for the proposed master plan and zoning map amendments.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Vicinity Map
- B. Site Photographs
- C. Additional Applicant Information
- **D.** Existing Conditions & Development Standards
- E. Analysis of Standards
- F. Public Process & Comments
- **G.** Department Review Comments
- H. 1987 Legislative Action

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION 451SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 406 PO BOX 145480 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-5480

BACKGROUND/PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The property is approximately 0.94 acres and is split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet of property closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' of property zoned R-1/5,000. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35. Prior to 1987, the entire property was zoned Residential R-2A. This district allowed for single-family homes and duplexes, and, on larger properties, Planned Unit Developments allowed for higher densities of residential units. In 1987 it was included in an approximately 750-acre area located west of Redwood Road, east of I-215, and between North Temple and 1800 North that was rezoned from R-2A to R-1. Per the 1987 staff report (Attachment H), the rezoning was consistent with the Northwest Community Master Plan and was to address resident concerns with the construction of apartments and the potential for duplexes. The Northwest Community Master Plan was adopted in 1992 and the Future Land Use Map designates this area as Low Density Residential. The designation of the entire parcel as RMF-35 requires a change in the land use to Medium Density Residential.



Map showing the area proposed for rezoning outlined in purplewith existing adjacent zoning identified

KEY ISSUES:

The key issues listed below have been identified through the analysis of the project, community input, and department review comments.

Issue 1: Compatibility with adopted planning documents

The proposed rezoning is compatible with *Plan Salt Lake*, *Growing SLC*, and the *North Temple Master Plan*. The proposed master plan amendment is necessary to make the requested amendments

compatible with the *Northwest Master Plan*. This is appropriate given the policies in citywide plans, the *North Temple Master Plan*, and existing development trends in the neighborhood.

Plan Salt Lake (2015)

Consistent with *Plan Salt Lake*, the applicant is anticipating redevelopment of the underutilized land. The plan identifies several initiatives that the proposed rezoning and master plan amendments help to implement. In the Growth Chapter, the following apply:

- 1. Locate new development in areas with existing infrastructure and amenities, such as transit and transportation corridors.
- 2. Encourage a mix ofland uses.
- 3. Promote infill and redevelopment of underutilized land.

The proposed rezoning is in an area with existing infrastructure and high-capacity (Trax Green Line) and high-frequency transit (UTA Bus 217). It is on the west side of Redwood Road less than one-quarter mile north of the intersection with North Temple. It is approximately one-half mile from the 1950 W/State Offices and Power Station/Cornell Trax stations. The proposed rezoning and master plan amendments are consistent with the development on the property immediately to the south which are zoned RMF-35. The property approximately 150 feet to the south is zoned TSA-MUEC-T, which permits building height up to 60 feet and was recently redeveloped with apartments. It would also serve as a continued transition from additional recent TSA redevelopment closer to North Temple with the single-family homes to the north and west of Redwood Road.

Three initiatives in the Housing Chapter apply as well:

- Increase the number of medium density housing types and options.
- Direct new growth toward areas with existing infrastructure and services that have the potential to be people-oriented.
- Enable moderate density increases within existing neighborhoods where appropriate.

The applicant has not submitted plans, but anticipates redeveloping the property with apartments. The zoning and master plan amendments would allow for the construction of medium density housing in an area with existing infrastructure and services. Additionally, the use is appropriate for the neighborhood since it has a mix of existing types of housing and uses.

Growing SLC: A Five-Year Housing Plan (2017)

The proposal is also consistent with Goal 1 in *Growing SLC: A Five-Year Housing Plan, 2018-2022*. The plan addresses the increasing divide between income and housing costs and promotes additional strategies to increase the supply of available and affordable housing. Goal 1 is particularly relevant to this proposal stating: "Increase housing options: reform city practices to promote a responsive, affordable, high-opportunity housing market." The proposed rezoning is anticipated to increase the types of housing options and provide additional housing units in the community.

North Temple Master Plan (2010)

As detailed above, the property is near two Trax stations. The Power Station/Cornell and 1940 West/State Offices station area plans designate the property as within a "stable area". However, the proposal to remove the split-zoning designation and redevelop the underutilized parcel is consistent with the station area policies and strategies to "allow for a more intense, compact mix of uses" and provide a broader mix of housing types and densities just outside the transition area. As stated above, the applicant has not submitted plans, but intends to redevelop the site with additional residential units.

The plan also emphasizes the Redwood Road and North Temple intersection. It states that it is the busiest intersection in the corridor and that it is important to encourage high-density mixed-use development on all four corners. While the proposed development is north of the intersection, as stated

above, the proposal would provide additional medium density housing and further the transition to the single-family neighborhoods to the north and west. In addition, it could also provide potential customers for existing and future retail/services in the area.

The Northwest Master Plan (1992)

The *Northwest Master Plan* was adopted in 1992. Given its age, it may not reflect current policies or goals related to housing growth in the community. Consistent with what is stated above, it details how many properties were rezoned to address concerns regarding the construction of apartments. However, with the adoption of the North Temple Master Plan in 2010 and the opening of the Trax Green Line in 2011, recently adopted plans have identified opportunities for additional growth in the area. *Growing SLC* also highlights the importance of constructing more medium density residential housing. Given this, staff recommends considering the policies in the more recent plans – *Plan Salt Lake, Growing SLC*, and the *North Temple Master Plan* when evaluating this proposal. The West Station and District North apartments have added approximately 300 units to the area and the RR/Hive apartments under construction will add an additional approximately 300 units.

Issue 2: Zoning compatibility with adjacent properties

As detailed above and in Attachment A – Vicinity Maps and Attachment D – Existing Conditions and Development Standards, the properties to the north, west, and south are zoned RMF-35 and R-1/5,000. The property to the east is zoned TSA-MUEC-C. The proposed rezoning is compatible with the property to the south, which zoned RMF-35 and has three two-story multi-family buildings. The property to the north and adjacent to Redwood is zoned RMF-35, but has a single-family residence. The property to the north and to the rear and the property to the rear are zoned R-1/5,000 and have single-family residences. The proposal for RMF-35 would allow for greater density, lot coverage, and height, but the setbacks would be similar. The TSA-MUEC-C property to the east allows for height up to 60 feet and reduced setbacks compared to the RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 zones. As stated above, the proposed RMF-35 would provide a transition between the more intensive zones and the nearby single-family, while also allowing for redevelopment of the currently underutilized parcel.

Issue 3: Existing zoning limitations and proposed zone

The subject property is currently split-zoned RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 and has one residential building with four units. The existing RMF-35 zoned area is approximately 13,000 square feet, which is enough area to allow for five multi-family units, one more than is currently existing on the site. The width of the lot is approximately 75 feet, five feet less than the minimum width required for multi-family residential development. As a result, redevelopment of the site with a multi-family dwelling would require a Planned Development.

The existing R-1/5,000 zoned area is approximately 27,000 square feet. The R-1/5,000 zoning district allows for single-family dwellings, but not two-family or multi-family units. The property has the land area for up to five single-family dwellings, but this number of units would likely be difficult to construct given the limited street frontage. Access from Gemini Drive would likely be required for the dwellings. Development of more than two units would likely require a Planned Development since there would be lots without frontage. Relief from setback requirements may also be required as a Planned Development. The additional units on Gemini Drive may also require the construction of a cul-de-sac bulb or other acceptable turnaround.

The proposed change to RMF-35 for the entire property would allow for up to 26 multifamily units. As stated above, a Planned Development would be required since the lot width is less than the minimum required.

DISCUSSION:

The proposed master plan and zoning map amendments from the split-zoned RMF-35 and R-1/5,000 to RMF-35 would allow for a more intensive redevelopment of the site. This is consistent with citywide plans and compatible with recent redevelopment and changes in the neighborhood. It is also consistent with the zoning on the properties to the south and northeast. Additionally, it

would serve as a transition from the TSA zoned property to the south and east with the single-family development to the north and west. The existing zoning limits the development on the property and the rezoning would allow for its development in a manner similar to the property to the south. As such, the proposed zoning map and master plan amendments are appropriate for the property given its context and evolving city plans and policies.

NEXT STEPS:

The Planning Commission's recommendation will be forwarded to the City Council for their consideration as part of the final decision on this petition. If ultimately approved, the applicant may proceed with the submission of plans to redevelop the site. If ultimately denied, the applicant would be able to maintain the existing four units or add an additional unit on the RMF-35 portion of the site and would have the ability to develop the R-/5,000 zoned portion of thesite with single-family residences.

ATTACHMENT A: VICINITY MAP

Vicinity Map 400 N Star Crest Dr Jennifer Way Patricia Way Silve Star Spring St 307 Gertie Ave North Star Dr North Star Dr 1719 1713 Redwood Rd Altair Cir 263 New Star 255 238 Silver Star Dr Redwood Rd Aries Cir 175 Legend 35 70 280 140 210 Subject Property

ATTACHMENT B: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



View of the property and existing structure from Redwood Road.



View of the rear yard of the subject property from terminus of Gemini Drive and facing east.



 ${\it View of multifamily property located to the south.}$



View of residence located to the north.



View of the state-owned facilities yard located across Redwood and to the east.



View of the rear of the property (facing west) and rear yards of the single-family residential homes located to the west of the subject property.



View of the property located on the east side of Gemini Drive and north of the subject property.



View of the property located on the west side of Gemini Drive and north of the subject property.



ATTACHMENT C: ADDITIONAL APPLICANT INFORMATION






Zoning Amendment

| D Amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance fi_ Amend the Zoning Map |
|--|
| OFFICE USE ONLY |
| Re ? Date Received: 2019 Project#: PL NFCM 2019 — 010 |
| Name or Section/s of Zoning Amend ment: |
| PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION |
| Address of Subject Property (or Area) : |
| r h. N / <eclwood city="" lake="" rd="" salt="" td="" utah<=""></eclwood> |
| Name of Applicant : Phone: |
| IA, ! CAMERON |
| Address of Applicant: |
| 1595 Elm leaf cove Salt lake city ut 84117 |
| F-mail of Applicant: Cell/Fax: |
| |
| Applicant's Interest in Subject Property: |
| Owner D Contractor D Arc hit ect 0 Other: |
| Name of Property Owner (if different from applicant): |
| 4 |
| |
| |
| " Please note that additional information may be required by the project planner to ensure adequate |
| information is provided for staff a nalysis. All information required for staff analysis will be copied and |
| made public, in cluding professional architectural or engineering drawings, for the purposes of public |
| review by any interested party. |
| AVAILABLE CONSULTATION |
| \ If you have any questions regarding the requirements of this application, please contact Salt Lake City |
| Planning Counter at (801) 535-7700 prior to submitting the application. |
| REQUIRED FEE |
| \ Map Amendment: filing fee of \$1,034, plus \$121 per acre in excess of one acre |
| \ Text Amendment: filing fee of \$1,035, plus \$100 for newspaper notice. |
| \ Plus add ition al fee for mai le d public notices. |
| SIGNATURE |
| \ If applicable, a notarized statement of consent authorizing applicant to act as an agent will be required. |
| Dat e: |
| Sig{) re of Owner_r Agent: |
| V, |

Updated 7/l"/19

| SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| мемем этап кемем | 1. | Project Description (please attach | additional sheets.) | | | |
| | GZ1 | A statement declaring the purpose for the amendment. | | | | |
| | GZ] | A description of the proposed use of the property being rezoned. | | | | |
| | GZ] | List the reasons why the present zoning may not be appropriat e for t he ar ea. | | | | |
| | | Is the request am ending the Zoning Map? If so, please list the parcel numbers to be changed. | | | | |
| | Is the request amending the text of the Zoning Ordinance? If so, please include language and the reference to the Zoning Ordinance to be changed. | | | | | |
| WHERE TO FILE THE COMPLETE APPLICATION | | | | | | |
| Mailii | ng Addres | ss: Planning Counter PO Box 145471 Sa It Lake City, UT 84114 | In Person: | Planning Counter 451 South State Street, Room 215 Telephone : (801) 535-7700 | | |
| INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED | | | | | | |
| I acknowledge that Salt Lake City requires the items above to be submitted before my application can be processed. I understand that Planning will not accept my application unless all of the following items are included in the submitt al package. | | | | | | |



Third of ProPitt ALReady Zoned (tr<\ .35

261 n redwood road slc, ut.

purpose of amendment is to rezone entire lot to rm35

proposed use of property to build low income, 26 2bed lbath apartment building

zoning not appropriate as is ,it doesn't allow for low income. Apartments

AS Se.L.tJ A 17-t/RJ) 0 f 071'oPe.c-t/ IS
$$Q_t.aJy 2D$$
 RN\t 35

Parcel 0834331029

261 N Redwood Road zoning and master plan amendment

lain Cameron, owner proposes combining current zoning to rm35 at 261 N Redwood Road ("subject property") over one third of property is already zoned rm35. Proposed designation will provide residential use creating a walkable environment in that area.

The purpose for this amendment is to provide more compatible zoning with the properties directly to the south and north while maintaining residential character of existing neighborhood. The proposed change supports the four fundamental goals for the community, identified by the master plan, by:

- Enhancing livability of neighborhood and providing a variety of residential land use to support housing and residential growth in the area.
- Supporting commerce that allows employees to live and work in the area.
- Providing land uses within a 10 minute walk to the TRAX station, to increase pedestrian mobility and accessibility to neighborhood business and commerce.

Subject property is located within one half mile or a 10 minute walk to the nearest TRAX station which is located along north temple. It will also provide transportation to the area around the airport. Requested Amendment is compatible and consistent with the goal and vision of the master plan.

ATTACHMENT D: EXISTING CONDITIONS & DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

CURRENT USES OF THE SUBJECT PARCELS AND THOSE WITHIN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY

Abutting property to the north:

The property to the north and on Redwood Road is zoned RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family District). The properties located to the north and on Gemini Drive are zoned R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District). Single-family residences are located on these properties.

Abutting property to the south:

The property to the south is zoned RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family District) and contains three two-story buildings with apartments.

Abutting property to the east:

The property to the east is zoned TSA-MUEC-C and is a facilities yard owned by the state.

Abutting property to the west:

There is a small parcel (0.04 acres) located to the rear of the subject property that is owned by Salt Lake County. To the west of it is a single-family residence. These properties are zoned R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District).

CURRENT AND PROPOSED ZONING STANDARDS (21A.24.070 and 21A.24.130)

The subject property is currently split-zoned RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family District and R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District). The proposal is for the entire property to be zoned RMF-35. The following table provides the general yard and bulk requirements for development within the zoning districts.

| RMF-35 Zoning District | |
|---|-------------------|
| Minimum Lot Area (for 12 or more multi-family units) | Minimum Lot Width |
| 26,000 square feet for 12 units, plus 1,000 square feet for each additional dwelling unit up to 1 acre. | 80 ft. |

| R-1/5,000 Zoning District | |
|---|-------------------|
| Minimum Lot Area (single-family detached dwellings) | Minimum Lot Width |
| 5,000 sq. ft. | 50 ft. |

| | RMF-35 Zoning District (Multi-family) | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Front Yard | Corner Side Yard | Rear Yard | Interior Side Yards | Height | Maximum Building Coverage | Landscape Yards | Buffer Yards |
| 20 ft. | 10 ft. | 25% of lot depth, but not less than 20 ft., and need not exceed 25 ft. | 10 ft. | 35 ft. | 60% | Front, corner side, and interior | Must comply with 21A.48, which requires a 10' landscaped buffer when adjacent to single-family residential |

| R-1/5,000 Zoning District (Single-family) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Front Yard | Corner Side Yard | Rear Yard | Interior Side Yards | Maximum Building Coverage | Height |
| Average of block face | 10 ft. | 25% of lot depth or 20 ft., whichever is less | 4 ft. on one or 10 ft. on the other | 40% | 28 ft. to the ridge or the average of the block face; 20 ft. for flat roofs |

Allowed uses in each zone:

Land use tables for each zone are below for reference.

| Use | R-1/5,000 | RMF-35 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Accessory use, except those that are otherwise specifically regulated elsewhere in this title | Р | Р |
| Adaptive reuse of a landmark site | C ⁸ | Ci |
| Community garden | C | F |
| Daycare center, child | C ²² | C ²² |
| Daycare, nonregistered home daycare | P ²² | P ²² |
| Daycare, registered home daycare or preschool | P ²² | P ²² |
| Dwelling, accessory unit | C | F |
| Dwelling, assisted living facility (limited capacity) | С | C |
| Dwelling, group home (large) ¹⁴ | | (|
| Dwelling, group home (small) ¹⁵ | Р | P |
| Dwelling, manufactured home | Р | Р |
| Dwelling, multi-family | | P |
| Dwelling, single-family (attached) | | P |
| Dwelling, single-family (detached) | Р | Р |
| Dwelling, twin home and two-family | | P |
| Eleemosynary facility | С | C |
| Governmental facility | С | С |
| Home occupation | P ²⁴ | P ²⁴ |
| Municipal service use, including City utility use and police and fire station | С | С |
| Open space on lots less than 4 acres in size | Р | Р |
| Park | Р | Р |
| Parking, park and ride lot shared with existing use | Р | Р |
| Place of worship on lots less than 4 acres in size | С | С |
| School, seminary and religious institute | С | C |
| Temporary use of closed schools and churches | C ²³ | C^{23} |
| Urban farm | Р | Р |
| Utility, building or structure | P ⁵ | P! |
| Utility, transmission wire, line, pipe or pole | P ⁵ | P: |

Qualifying provisions:

shall be located above the ground floor.

^{5.} See subsection $\underline{21A.02.050}B$ of this title for utility regulations.

 $^{8. \, \}text{Subject to conformance with the provisions of subsection} \, \underline{\textbf{21A.24.010}} \text{S of this title}.$

^{22.} Subject to section $\underline{21A.36.130}$ of this title.

^{23.} Subject to section 21A.36.170 of this title.

^{24.} Subject to section 21A.36.030 of this title.

ATTACHMENT E: ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS

MASTER PLAN AMENDMENTS

State Law, Utah Code Annotated, Title 10 Chapter 9a, requires that all municipalities have a master plan. However, there is no specific criteria relating to master plan amendments. The City does not have specific criteria relating to master plan amendments. However, City Code Section 21A.02.040 – Effect of Adopted Master Plans or General Plans addresses this issue in the following way:

All master plans or general plans adopted by the planning commission and city council for the city, or for an area of the city, shall serve as an advisory guide for land use decisions. Amendments to the text of this title or zoning map should be consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the applicable adopted master plan or general plan of Salt Lake City. (Ord. 26-95 § 2(1-4), 1995)

In this case, the master plan is being amended in order to provide consistency between the *Northwest Master Plan* and the proposed zoning designation of the subject property. In this particular case, the master plan is nearly 30 years old and does not reflect current development trends in the neighborhood. The zoning map and master plan requests facilitate a rezoning of the property to a district that will allow for the more intense redevelopment of the property with a multi-family use that is consistent with recent development in the neighborhood. State Law does include a required process in relation to a public hearing and recommendation from the Planning Commission for a master plan amendment. The required process and noticing requirements have been met. Should the Planning Commission make a positive recommendation for the zoning map amendment, an amendment to the master plan is also appropriate.

ZONING MAP AMENDMENTS

21A.50.050: A decision to amend the text of this title or the zoning map by general amendment is a matter committed to the legislative discretion of the city council and is not controlled by any one standard. In making a decision to amend the zoning map, the City Council should consider the following:

| Factor | Finding | Rationale |
|--|----------|--|
| 1. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the city as stated through its various adopted planning documents; | Complies | As discussed in Issue 1, the proposed zoning amendment is consistent with Plan Salt Lake, Growing SLC, and the North Temple Master Plan. The master plan amendment will provide consistency with the Northwest Master Plan. In particular, the master plan is nearly 30 years old. Other more recent plans anticipate more intensive development in the neighborhood and this proposal would provide a transition from the more intensive development to the nearby single-family development. |
| 2. Whether a proposed map amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance. | Complies | Section 21A.02.030 of the Salt Lake City Code provides the purpose and intent of the overall Zoning Ordinance stating that it is to, "promote the health, safety, morals, convenience, order, prosperity and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of Salt Lake City, to |

| | | 1 |
|---|--|--|
| | | implement the adopted plans of the |
| | | city, and to carry out the purposes of |
| | | the municipal land use development |
| | | and management actand other |
| | | relevant statutes." Additionally, it is |
| | | to address the following: |
| | | A. Lessen congestion in the streets |
| | | or roads; |
| | | 1 |
| | | B. Secure safety from fire and other |
| | | dangers; |
| | | C. Provide adequate light and air; |
| | | D. Classify land uses and distribute |
| | | land development and utilization; |
| | | E. Protect the tax base; |
| | | F. Secure economy in governmental |
| | | expenditures; |
| | | G. Foster the city's industrial, |
| | | business and residential |
| | | |
| | | development; and |
| | | H. Protect the environment. |
| | | |
| | | The proposed master plan and map |
| | | amendments would foster the city's |
| | | residential development and allow |
| | | for the redevelopment of an |
| | | underutilized site with additional |
| | | housing units. It would protect, and |
| | | likely increase, the tax base and |
| | | |
| | | possibly lessen congestion in the |
| • | | |
| | | streets by placing more housing |
| | | units in high-frequency transit areas. |
| 3. The extent to which a | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and |
| proposed map | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map |
| | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and |
| proposed map | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would |
| proposed map amendment will affect | Complies | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent |
| proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties; | | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. |
| proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties; 4. Whether a proposed | The zone is | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport |
| proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties; 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is | The zone is consistent | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C |
| proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties; 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the | The zone is consistent with | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be |
| 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions | The zone is consistent with any other | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be required to comply with any of its |
| 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay | The zone is consistent with any other applicable | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be |
| 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may | The zone is consistent with any other | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be required to comply with any of its |
| 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay | The zone is consistent with any other applicable | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be required to comply with any of its |
| 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may | The zone is consistent with any other applicable | units in high-frequency transit areas. As discussed in Issue 2 and Attachment D, the proposed map amendment will have an effect on the adjacent properties since a more intense development could be constructed. However, the parcel is split-zoned and the proposed rezoning would establish a single zone on the parcel. The proposed zone is consistent with the development to the south and would provide a transition from the more intensively zoned parcels further to the south and to the east with the single-family zones that are located to the north and west. Additionally, there would be a landscape buffer to the north and west that would mitigate the impacts to the adjacent single-family residences. The site is located within the Airport Flight Path Protection Zone C overlay. New development would be required to comply with any of its |

| 5. The adequacy of public | City services | The subject property is located |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|
| facilities and services | can be | within a built environment where |
| intended to serve the | provided | public facilities and services already |
| | _ | |
| subject property, | to the | exist. Redevelopment on this |
| including, but not limited | site. | property may require upgrading or |
| to, roadways, parks and | | installation of utilities and drainage |
| recreational facilities, | | systems. |
| police and fire protection, | | |
| schools, stormwater | | No concerns were received from |
| drainage systems, water | | other City departments regarding |
| supplies, and wastewater | | the zoning amendment or the |
| and refuse collection. | | potential for development on these |
| | | properties as long as normal |
| | | development requirements are met. |

ATTACHMENT F: PUBLIC PROCESS AND COMMENTS

Public Notice, Meetings, Comments

The following is a list of public meetings and other public input opportunities related to the proposed project:

Notice of Application to Recognized Community Organization:

A notice of application was sent to the Jordan Meadows Community Council on January 30, 2020. The community council was given 45 days to respond with any concerns or to request staff to meet with them and discuss the proposed zoning amendment.

No written comments were received and the community council did not request a formal meeting as part of the zoning amendment process.

Open House:

An open house was not required.

Notice of the public hearing for the proposal included:

Early notification sent to property owners within 300' on January 30, 2020.

Public hearing notice posted on March 26, 2020.

Public notice posted on City and State website and Planning Division list serve on March 26, 2020.

Public hearing notice sent to property owners within 300' on March 26, 2020.

Public Input:

Staff received one phone call with general questions. No other public comment was received as of April 2, 2020.

ATTACHMENT G: DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMENTS

Zoning - Greg Mikolash

Building Services finds no zoning related issues associated with this proposed Master Plan Amendment or Rezone associated with PLNPCM2019-01087. Future comments may be associated with a building permit review.

Building – Greg Mikolash

Building Services finds no building code related issues associated with this proposed Master Plan Amendment or Rezone associated with PLNPCM2019-01087. Future comments may be associated with a building permit review.

Engineering – Scott Weiler

No objections.

Fire - Greg Mikolash

Building Services finds no fire code related issues associated with this proposed Master Plan Amendment or Rezone associated with PLNPCM2019-01087. Future comments may be associated with a building permit review.

Transportation – Michael Barry

No issues from Transportation.

Public Utilities – No comments received.

Police – No comments received.

ATTACHMENT H: 1987 LEGISLATIVE ACTION

VERNON JORGENSEN
PLANNING DIRECTOR

MILDRED G. SNIDER SECRETAR Y

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS: MAYOR OF SAL T LAKE CITY CITY ENGINEER CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL • !!m· V <u>Df</u>

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICE
Planning and Zoning Commission
324 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 200
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

535-7757 May 1,1987 M EMB ERS:
THOMAS A, ELLISON
ALICE KASAI
LAVONE LIDDLE-GAMONAL
RALPH P. NEILSON
GEORGE NICOLATUS
WILLIAM PRICE
JOHN M. SCHUMANN
F. KEITH STEPAN
PETER VANALSTYNE
KATHY WACKER
I. J. WAGNER

Honorable Palmer DePaulis Salt Lake City Mayor Suite 500City Hall Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mayor DePaulis:

Returned herewith is Petition No. 400-505 by Legislative Action/City Council, Florence B. Bittner, requesting the rezoning of certain properties in the area bounded by North Temple on the south, Redwood Road on the east, by I-215 on the west and by the City limits on the north from the present Residential "R-2A" to a Residential "R-1" classification.

An informal hearing was held by the Planning Commission on Thursday, April 9th. Following the hearing, it was the recommendation of the Planning Conunission that a public hearing be held to consider changing the zoning on those properties bounded by North Temple on the south, Redwood Road on the east, the City limits on the north and I-215 on the west which are now zoned Residential "R-2A" to Residential "R-1" excepting those areas occupied by planned unit developments or where planned unit developments are called for in the previously detailed Master Plan for the area. Attached is a legal description of this property.

Attached is a staff report going into more detailed characteristics of the area and the reconnnendation from the staff, and also a portion of the minutes of the Planning Commission.

Respectfully,

Vernon F. Jorgensen Planning Director

VFJ:ms attchs.

PC Minutes Page 5 April 9, 1987

Mr. Nicolatus seconded the motion with the majority voting "Aye". Opposed were Mrs. Wacker and Mr. Ellison. Mr. Stepan abstained.

<u>Petition No. 500-50</u> by the Silver Dollar Lounge, requesting to waive the 600 foot requirement from a public park for a lounge at 244 West 400 South Street.

Mr. Jorgensen stated this petition was held from the meeting of March 26, 1987 in order to receive additional information from the Police Department. He stated that although no one was present from the Police Department, they had been contacted and they reiterated their opposition to the waiver based upon the other uses in the area and the fact that the application was falsified with incorrect information. They also cannot meet the City's parking ordinances without getting a variance. The difference between this club and Studebaker's is Studebaker's does meet all zoning requirements and no waiver was needed for the club as the City/County grounds are not a park. The Planning staff still recommends this petition be denied. The Police Department did not supply any further information in writing.

Mr. James Luebke, representing his family who owns the building, stated the owners of the Silver Dollar Inc. were ot able to attend and asked him to represent their interest. He states that the building, up to this point, has not been viable for rental but now they have a tenant that will upgrade the property and disallow the transient population from the premises. The parking lot would be lit and they feel it would be an improvement to the area. They don't feel they will attract so many patrons that parking will be an issue. He stated that beer is being sold at two locations near Pioneer Park at the present time. Their patrons will be members of the community with no transients allowed.

Mr. Wagner made the motion to recommend this petition e denied; motion seconded by Mr. Price with majority voting "Aye". Opposed were Mr. Nicolatus and Mrs. Wacker. Mr. Nicolatus and Mrs. Wacker stated they did not feel adequate information was provided by the Police Department even though it was specifically requested. Mr. Ellison and Mr. Neilson abstained.

HEARING

<u>Petition No. 400-505</u> by Legislative Action/City Council to rezone from "R-2A" to "R-1" properties bounded on the south by North Temple; by Redwood Road on the east; by the north city limits on the north; and by I-215 on the west.

Mr Joyce stated the present zoning classification allows for single-family residences on a minimum of 5,000 square foot lots, duplexes on 6,000 square foot lots, and with 2.5 acres of land the potential for a Planned Unit Development with a maximum density of 20 units per acre. The "R-1" zoning classification allows only single-family residences on a minimum of 7,000 square foot lots. The general area of the petition is approximately 753 acres. Presently subdivided properties affected by the petition contain

PC Minutes April 9, 1987

Page 6

approximately 1170 individual subdivision lots. Of -the 1170 lots, 710 would become nonconforming in lot size under the "R-1" zoning classification, but would conform in regards to land use.

The "R-1" zone classification would create several nonconforming lots as to size only. This zoning would protect the area from the concerns of residents with regards to apartments intruding into the single family neighborhood areas which were not a part of the originally approved Master Plan. Seventy-three percent of the housing in this area is single family homes, 3.5% is duplex, 3.5% is fourplexes and 20% multiple structures of five or more units. The Master Plan identifies low density residential uses for the area. The "R-2A" meets the requirement as do "R-2" and "R-1". All three alternatives are supportive and acceptable.

Mr. Jorgensen stated originally the area was zoned "R-6". In the original plan, areas were set aside for PUD development. Most of these were constructed first as financing was available. Financing has now become less available for this type of use. The rezoning would not affect the Master Plan, but would remove the fear that the vacant land would be used for PUD's instead of single-family residences as called for. Also, the lot area would be increased. There are now areas where single-family dwellings were constructed on 5,000 square foot lots and people are already asking for variances in this area because their homes are too small and the 5,000 square foot lots do not allow for expansion.

Mr. Bill Eccleston, representing the North Redwood Community Council, stated the council is fully supportive of the down zoning to "R-1". At their last meeting, 24 people were in attendance and voted unanimously to support this downzoning. The council has been actively pursuing this matter for the last few years. He submitted a letter from the North Redwood Comunity Council and a petition signed by residents in support of single-family dwellings. The council feels adversely about apartment complexes and strongly recommend the down zoning. He feels it is time for the city to take positive steps toward single-family zoning.

Mr. Heber Jacobsen, a developer, who does not live in the area but does own commercial property in the area, is not against the down zoning, but questions the wisdom of rezoning the complete area. He asked the Planning Commission to consider the overall housing element in Salt Lake City. He feels Salt Lake City is inhospitable toward dense housing developments which are needed if the City is to grow.

Mr. Alex Gilbert, 1790 W. 800 N., is opposed to multiple units and strongly favors single-family dwellings.

Mr. Bob Lowe, 713 Starcrest Drive, has been involved in other petitions for down zoning in this area. As a community council, he feels they have been sensitive to development needs in the area. He would like to protect the area

PC Minutes

April 9, 1987

Page 7

from future apartment encroachment. He has worked with developers in the past and is not anti-development but apartment and multiple-unit construction should be clamped down on and single-family dwellings should be enforced. He supports down zoning the area.

Mr. Ellison is a supporter of down zoning but he is concerned that the rezoning would make housing less affordable by increasing the lot size and would not allow for the growth that is needed for this area. He feels that both sides of the lot size issue (from 5,000 square feet to 7,000 square feet) have not been considered.

Mrs. Liddle-Gamonal stated that people in the area want the ''R-1" zoning and like the larger lot sizes. She stated that multiple dwellings in the area are not well occupied.

Mr. Nicolatus stated the larger lots would increase the value of the homes and would allow for expansion if needed and would improve the neighborhood as well as make them more desirable for future marketability.

Mrs. Wacker moved that the Planning Commission recommend to the City Council to rezone the area to R-1 to uphold the Master Plan agreement and that there is adequate PUD developable land in the area at present. Motion seconded by Mrs. Kasai with all voting "Aye".

Sugar House Redevelopment Report

Mr. Bill Wright explained the status of the Sugar House Redevelopment area. He stated Mr. Willie Stoler established a committee in February to recommend what to do with the first budget year. The Redevelopment Agency has to have a budget in place before a tax increment is taken or the intrement will be redistributed back to the other taxing entities. This committee is comprised of businessmen and a representative from the Sugar House Community Council. The committee reviewed the planning elements and planning concepts of the Redevelopment plan as to what could be done with the money. The anticipated tax revenue for the first year is \$150,000. Following is the projected breakdown:

- \$50,000 Planning Project to study parking and traffic patterns. Existing street pattern does not function for the new development in the area. The layout of new streets needs to be established. The parking study will focus on parking lot improvements along the North side of 2100 South Street.
- \$60,000 Infrastructure improvements to begin implementing the recommendations of the traffic and the parking studies.

VERNON JORGENSEN PL Ai : N! NG DIRECTOR

MILDREC C. SN! DER SECRETARY

EX. QFFI CI O MEM8EF.S: MAYOR OF SAL T LAKE CITY C: TY ENGINEER CITY TRAFFIC ENGINSER CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL

SALT LAKE GHEY CORPORATION

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
Planning and Zoning Commission

324 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 200 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111 535-7757

March 31, 1987

MEMBERS:
THOMAS A ELLISON
ALICE KASAI
LAVONE LIDDLE-GAMONAL
RALPH P. NEILSON
GEORGE NICOLATIJS
WILLIAM PRICE
JOHN M. SCHUMANN
F. KEITH STEPAN
PETER VANALSTYNE
KATHY WACKER
I. J. WAGNER

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The Planning Commission has before them Petition No. 400-505 by Legislative Action/City Council requesting that the properties bounded by North Temple on the south, Redwood Road on the east, the City limits on the north and I-215 on the west which are now zoned Residential "R-2A" to Residential "R-1", excepting those areas occupied by Planned Unit Developments or where Planned Unit Developments are called for in the previously approved Master Plan for the area.

The "R-1" zoning classification will allow single-family dwellings and certain public and semi-public buildings only.

As a part of their study prior to making a recommendation to the City Council, the Planning Commission is holding an informal hearing on this matter where a short informational presentation will be made and opportunity will be given for interested persons to comment on the proposal.

You are invited to be present at the hearing if you so desire:

Date: April 9, 1987

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Place: 324 South State Street, Room 203

We are not able to contact every person who may be affected, so please discuss this with your neighbors, 'and inform them 'of the hearing.

ке spec t Iu " у,

Vernon F. Jargensen Planning irector

VFJ:ms

SALTLAKE CITY PLANNI: r-: R3 COMMISSION Sr. AFF REPORT ON PETITION 400-505-87 BY LEGISIATIVE ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL TO REZONE PROPERTY IN THE NORTHWEST COMMUNITY FROM "R-2A" to "R-1" ZONING CLASSIFICATION

OVERVIEW AND BACKGROUND

Properties contained in this petition are located between Redv, ood Road - Interstate 215 and North Temple - 1800 North Street.

The present zoning classification allo.vs for single family residences on a minimum of 5,000 square foot lots, duplexes on 6,000 square foot lots, and with 2.5 acres of land the potential for a Planned Unit Development with a maximum density of 20 units per acre. The "R-1" zoning classification allo.vs only single family residences on a minimum of 7,000 square foot lots. Either of t.. ese zones alla.v for public and quasi-public buildings.

A. l\fALYSIS

The general area of the petition is approximately 753 acres. The table belo.v depicts the general residential land use pattern. Within the area 63 acres are in agricultural use and ?, 43 acres are vacant and eveloped land.

| Land Use | Units | Acres | % Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Single Family Duplex 3-4 Units | 1117 90 152 | 192 9 9 | 73.0 3.5 3.5 |
| 5 + Units | 1323* | 52 | 20.0 |
| Total | | <u> </u> | 100.0 |

^{*} Includes 569 units in Sun Aroor development.

Presently survivided properties affected by the petition contain approximately 1170 individual subdivision lots. Lot sizes are depicted in the table bela.v.

| Lot Size | Number of lots |
|--|--------------------------|
| under 6,000 square feet 6,000-7,000 square feet over 7,000 square feet | 380 330 460 |
| Total subdivided lots | 1170 |

Of the 1170 lots 710 v.'Ould became nonconfonning in lot size under the "R-1" zoning classification. Ho.vever, these lots v.Duld not become nonconfonning with regards toland use.

Petition 400-505 Page 2

The proposed rezoning $\frac{1}{4}$ Ould create 26 nonconforming duplexes. There would be 24 nonconforming fourplexes and 12 multiple unit apartment structures with a total of 111 apartments. The existing fourplexes were made nonconforming by a previous rezoning action £ran "R-6" to "R-2A".

Master Plan Considerations

The Northwest Comnunity Master Plan identifies the desired land use for this area to be low density residential. A low density lam use classification could be supported by several residential zones, including the present "R-2A" zone and also the residential "R-1" am "R-2" zones.

In 1980 the area from 900 North Street to 1800 North Street was rezoned from residential "R-6" to "R-2A" for reasons of design flexibility in establishing the Westpointe master plan. The requested "R-1" zoning 40uld af; Eect only the areas of the Westpointe development that are designated for single family use.

The Northwest Corrmunity master plan update is presently in the plan adoption process. In obtaining resident input in the development of the update plan concern was expressed over the problems of numerous apartments in the area. Residents expressed opposition to the potential for duplexes in the discussion of rezoning the "R-2A" area to "R-2" as a solution to their concerns regarding apartments.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Alternative actions to the petitioner's request are 1) let the area remain in a "R-2A" classification, 2) rezone the area to a "R-2" classification, or 3) rezone the area to the requested "R-1" classification.

R-2A alternative

Leaving the area zoned "R-2A" -would provide the flexibility of obtaining approval for smaller lot sizes. Under the present Westpointe PUD master plan the petitioned areas are already slated for single family development and the "R-2A" designation '4Ould only provide confusion as to the development potential of vacant land within the area. Even though the Westpointe area is under the guidelines of a planned unit development master plan the potential does exist for amendment to the existing plan, which is of concern to area residents.

R-2 alternative

Rezoning to "R-2" -would eliminate the potential for further development of apartments on the present undeveloped lam identified for single family use. The "R-2" zone classification allows for single family homes on 5,000 square foot lots and the possibility of duplexes on 6,000 square foot lots. The "R-2" zone would eliminate the creation of nonconforming 61 percent of existing sul:::divided lots as to lot size.


Petition 400-505 Page 3

R-1 alternative

The "R-1" zone classification would create several nonconfonning lots as to size only. This zoning would best protect the area fran the concerns of residents with regards to apartments intruding into the sirigle family neighborhocxi areas. Also the impact of future conversions of duplexes upon the existing character \'VOuld be eliminated.


The Planning Comnission should hold a public hearing to obtain additional information on the rezoning. Based upon the master plan and the plan update draft policies the petition request is in compliance with the plans and the action of rezoning the depicted properties to residential "R-1" would be appropriate.

ELJ April 1, 1987



PETITION 400-505

R-2A TO R-1



Petition No. <u>40</u>0505

By Legislative Action/City Council
Florence B Bittner

Request for rezoning the property bounded by North Temple on the South, Redwood Road on the east, by I-15 on the West, and by the City limits on the north.

The change would take the property from R-2A to R-1

MAR 9)987

CITY PLANNING & ONING COMMISSION

Date Filed March 9, 1987

Address Rm. 300 City Hall

ADMINISTRAT IVE SERVICES—DEP-ARTMENT

--: -' (ity. Recorder

324 SOUTH STATE STREET, 5TH FLOOR
SALT J.,,AK E-;e 1'-T'(. UTAH 841'1°1

--- (80 1')" 535-7671

PALMER DEPAULIS MAYOR

March 3, 1987

KATHRYN MARSHAL.I..

CITY RECORDER

Memo to Julie Dickson, Development Services 2nd Floor, City Hall

On February 3, 1987, the Salt Lake City Council initiated a legislative action to rezone from "R-2A" to "R-1" certain properties lying within the area bounded by North Temple on the south, Redwood Road on the east, 1-15 on the west, and the city limits on the north.

The Council voted to refer this issue to the Planning Commission for a recommendation. Attached for your information are documents pertaining to this issue.

I understand that you will be assigning this matter a petition number. As soon as you do, please give me that number for my records.

Thank you, r.

Chief Deputy City Recorder

LD/bh attachments

3. PLANNING COMMISSION C. Agenda/Minutes/Newspaper Notice April 8, 2020

SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

This meeting will be an electronic meeting pursuant to Salt Lake City Emergency Proclamation No. 2 of 2020 (2)(b)

April 8, 2020, at 5:30 p.m.

(The order of the items may change at the Commission's discretion)

This Meeting will **not** have an anchor location at the City and County Building. Commission Members will connect remotely. We want to make sure everyone interested in the Planning Commission meetings can still access the meetings how they feel most comfortable. If you are interested in watching the Planning Commission meetings, they are available on the following platforms:

- YouTube: www.youtube.com/slclivemeetings
- SLCtv Channel 17 Live: www.slctv.com/livestream/SLCtv-Live/2

If you are interested in participating during the Public Hearing portion of the meeting or provide general comments, email; planning.comments@slcgov.com or connect with us on Webex at:

- https://saltlakecity.webex.com/saltlakecity/onstage/g.php?MTID=e5b1ac4b74376cb992dc30b0a852
 - Instructions for using Webex will be provided on our website at SLC.GOV/Planning

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING WILL BEGIN AT 5:30 PM APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MARCH 11, 2020 REPORT OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR

PUBLIC HEARINGS

- 1. Rose Park Buddhist Temple Conditional Use at approximately 1185 West 1000 North K.C. Liao, of KCL Design, representing the Rose Park Buddhist Temple, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a renovated 2-story church building to be located at 1185 W 1000 N in the R-1/7,000 Single Family zoning district. The site currently contains an existing church building which will be renovated to include sanctuary space, office, and support spaces. The property is located within Council District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact: Krissy Gilmore at (801) 535-7780 or kristina.gilmore@slcgov.com) Case Number PLNPCM2020-00078
- 2. The Ellie Planned Development and Preliminary Plat at approximately 347. 353 & 359 North 700 West A request by CW Land Co., representing RECM Investments, LLC, for approval of a planned development petition and related preliminary subdivision plat for a proposed 24-unit residential project at approximately 347, 353, and 359 N 700 W. The proposal includes four separate principal buildings with six units in each building. The buildings will be approximately 30 feet tall, and each unit footprint will be its own lot that does not front a public street. The project as proposed is subject to a pending zoning change from SR-1 (Special Development Pattern Residential) to RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential) that is being considered by the Salt Lake City Council. The site is located in Council district #2, represented by Andrew Johnston (Staff contact; Casey Stewart at 801-535-6260 or casey.stewart@slcgov.com) Case numbers PLNSUB2019-00963 & PLNSUB2020-00169

- 3. Salt Lake Crossing Design Review at approximately 470 West 200 North Salt Lake City has received a request from Brian Hobbs, with Salt Development, for approval of modifications to the design standards to construct a new mixed-use development. The standards proposed to be modified include ground-floor use other than parking along at least 80% of the street-facing building facades, ground floor façade consisting of at least 60% glass, providing operable building entrances at least every 40' on street-facing facades, and the maximum length of a street-facing façade of 200'. The project site is located in the TSA-UC-C (Transit Station Area Urban Center Core) zoning district and is located in Council District 3, represented by Chris Wharton (Staff Contact: Nannette Larsen at (801) 535-7645 or nannette.larsen@slcgov.com) Case number PLNPCM2019-01106
- 4. Zoning Map Amendment at approximately 706-740 West 900 South A request by West End LLC, the owner of the property, to rezone ten parcels and a portion of a city owned public alley from M-1 (Light Manufacturing) to R-MU (Residential Mixed Use). There are currently two commercial buildings on the site the applicant intends to restore for commercial uses. The applicant intents to redevelop the remainder of the site, and the proposed rezone to R-MU would allow for residential uses that are not currently permitted under the existing M-1 zoning designation. No specific site development proposal has been submitted at this time. The properties are located in Council District 2, represented by Andrew Johnston. (Staff Contact: Amy Thompson at (801) 535-7281 or amy.thompson@slcgov.com) Case Number PLNPCM2019-01137
- 5. Zoning Map and Master Plan Amendment at approximately 261 N Redwood Rd. The property owner, lain Cameron, is requesting Master Plan and Zoning Map amendments for an approximately 0.94-acre property located at approximately 261 N. Redwood Road. The applicant is requesting a Master Plan amendment to change the Northwest Community Future Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The property is currently split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' zoned R-1/5,000. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35. The subject property is located within District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact: Sara Javoronok at (801) 535-7625 or sara.javoronok@slcgov.com) Case Numbers PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

For Planning Commission agendas, staff reports, and minutes, visit the Planning Division's website at style="style="color: blue;">style="color: blue;">style="color: blue;. Staff Reports will be posted the Friday prior to the meeting and minutes will be posted two days after they are ratified, which usually occurs at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning Commission.

SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING City & County Building 451 South State Street, Room 326, Salt Lake City, Utah Wednesday, April 8, 2020

A roll is being kept of all who attended the Planning Commission Meeting. The meeting was called to order at <u>5:37:55 PM</u>. Audio recordings of the Planning Commission meetings are retained for a period of time.

Present for the Planning Commission meeting were: Chairperson Adrienne Bell; Vice Chairperson Brenda Scheer; Commissioners Maurine Bachman, Amy Barry, Jon Lee, Matt Lyon, Sara Urquhart, and Crystal Young-Otterstrom. Commissioners Carolynn Hoskins, and Andres Paredes were excused.

Planning Staff members present at the meeting were Nick Norris, Planning Director; Michaela Oktay, Planning Deputy Director; Paul Nielson, Attorney; Wayne Mills, Planning Manager; John Anderson, Planning Manager; Krissy Gilmore, Principal Planner; Casey Stewart, Senior Planner; Nannette Larsen, Principal Planner; Amy Thompson, Senior Planner; Sara Javoronok, Senior Planner; and Marlene Rankins, Administrative Secretary.

APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 11, 2020, MEETING MINUTES 5:38:17 PM

MOTION <u>5:38:28 PM</u>

Commissioner Scheer moved to approve the March 11, 2020, meeting minutes. Commissioner Urquhart seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Scheer, and Urquhart voted "Aye". Commissioner Young-Otterstrom abstained from voting. The motion passed 6-1.

REPORT OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR 5:39:18 PM

Chairperson Bell stated she had nothing to report.

Vice Chairperson Scheer stated she had nothing to report.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR 5:39:41 PM

Nick Norris, Planning Director, provided virtual meeting tips and access information. He also welcomed new Commissioner Crystal Young-Otterstrom to the Planning Commission.

5:46:35 PM

Rose Park Buddhist Temple Conditional Use at approximately 1185 West 1000 North - K.C. Liao, of KCL Design, representing the Rose Park Buddhist Temple, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a renovated 2-story church building to be located at 1185 W 1000 N in the R-1/7,000 Single Family zoning district. The site currently contains an existing church building which will be renovated to include sanctuary space, office, and support spaces. The property is located within Council District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact: Krissy Gilmore at (801) 535-7780 or kristina.gilmore@slcgov.com) Case Number PLNPCM2020-00078

Krissy Gilmore, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use.

KC Liao, architect, provided further design details.

8:28:38 PM

Zoning Map and Master Plan Amendment at approximately 261 N Redwood Rd. - The property owner, lain Cameron, is requesting Master Plan and Zoning Map amendments for an approximately 0.94-acre property located at approximately 261 N. Redwood Road. The applicant is requesting a Master Plan amendment to change the Northwest Community Future Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The property is currently split-zoned with the approximately 172' feet closest to Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximately 366' zoned R-1/5,000. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Map amendment to change the zoning of the entire parcel to RMF-35. The subject property is located within District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact: Sara Javoronok at (801) 535-7625 or sara.javoronok@slcgov.com) Case Numbers PLNPCM2019-01086 and PLNPCM2019-01087

Sara Javoronok, Senior Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council.

lain Cameron, applicant, was present but was experiencing technical difficulties.

PUBLIC HEARING 8:36:07 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Mike Reed – Raised concerns with affordable housing.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

The Commission and Staff discussed the following:

- Clarification on whether Gemini Drive is closed
- Width of the property
- Whether it would be viable to provide drive-thru access from Redwood with a private drive

Discussion was made on how to proceed with the item as no communication with the applicant was available.

MOTION 8:50:29 PM


Commissioner Scheer stated, based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council for the proposed Zoning Map Amendment, file PLNPCM2019-01086, proposed zone change from R-1/5,000 (Single-family Residential District) to RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-family Residential) and file PLNPCM2019-01087 proposed master plan amendment from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.

Commissioner Barry seconded the motion. Commissioners Young-Otterstrom, Urquhart, Scheer, Lyon, Lee, Barry, and Bachman voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 8:53:38 PM

The Salt Lake Tribune

| PROOF OF PU BLICATION CUS TOMER'S | COPY | |
|---|--|--|
| CUSTOMER NAME AND ADDRESS | ACCOUNT NUMBER | Notice of N> IIc Heamg |
| PLANNING DJV ISION, ACCOUNTS PAYABLE | 9001394298 | O n Wednesday, April 8, 2020, the Salt Lake Qty Planni Commission will hold a public hearing to consider \(\forall \) (! '/:1 : on to the City Council regarding) |
| PO BOX 1 45480 | DATE | inanti Least of the least of th |
| SALT LAKE CITY UT 8411 4 | 3/30/2020 | - rttbl : io's r tol a2 t : lirdum |
| . ACCOUNT NAME | | to Medi" Density Residential. The property is rurrently split-z oned with the approximalely 172 feet closestro Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining approximate the control of the |
| PLANNING DIVISION, | | amendment to dlange the Northwest Conmunity Fulure Land Use Plan designation from Low Density Residentia to Medi" Density Residential. The property is rurrently split-z oned with the approximalely 172 feet closestro Redwood Road zoned RMF-35 and the remaining ap proximately 366' zoned R-1/ 5,000. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Map anendment to dlange in zoning of the entire earcel to RMF-35. The subject property is located within District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact, Sara Javoronok at (801) |
| - TELEPHONE | ORDER # INVOICE NUMBER | p ro perty is located within District 1, represented by James Rogers. (Staff Contact, Sara Javoronok at (801) |
| 8015357759 | 0001286307 I | ben 725 Ol 2019 of vortnek(a) circle NPC N2019 01108 This Meeting will not have an anchor location at the City and County Building. Commission Members wm conned remotely. We want to make sure everyone interested in the Planning Commission meetings can still access the meetings how they feel most comfortable. |
| PUBLICATION SCHEDULE | • | ested in the Planning Commission meetings can still ac cess the meetings how they feel most comfortable. I you are interested in watching the Plonnin Corrmission |
| START 03/28/2020 END 03/28/2020 | | gs, they are available on the following plat- |
| CUSTOMER REFERENCE NUMBER | | - : X ;. a -;:,j"7 ·coms di di/ emeè ng s www.slctv.com/ live strea m/ SLCtv- Live/ 2 |
| Notice of Public Hearing | | If you are interested in participating during the Public Hearing portion of the meeting or e_rovide general : ::9x1 |
| . CAPTION | | otrttns / / saltlakecity, webey com/ saltla kecityi onsta0 e/ |
| Notice of Public Hearing On Wednesday, April 8 | . 2020, the Salt Lake City Planning Cornn | hpp://mtiD=e5b1 ac4b74376cbl/92dc3Db0a852308Sl o Instructions for using Webex will be provided on our website at SLC.GOV /Planning |
| SIZE | | 1286307 UPAX |
| 50 LINES 2 COLUMN(S | | |
| TIMES TOTAL COST | | <u> </u> |
| 2 130.00 | | |
| | AFFI DAV IT OF PUB LICA TION | |
| AS NEWSPAPE R AGENCY COMPANY. LLC dba UTAF | I MEDIA CROURI ECAL DOO VER I CERTIEV | THAT THE ATTACHED |
| ADVER TISEMEN T OF Notice of Public Hear ing On V | , | |
| hearin g to consider makin g recommend ations to to COMPANY, LLC dba UTAH MEDIA GROUP, AGENT PR INTED IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE WITH GENICOUNTY IN THE STATE OF UTAH. NOTICE I S ALSO PUBLICATION DATE AND REMAINS ON UTAHLEGA CODE 46-2-101; 46-3-104. | FOR DESERET NEWS AND THE SALT LAK ERAL CIRCULA TION I UTAH, AND PUBLISHI POSTED ON UTAHLEGALS.COM ON THE SAL | E TRIBUNE, DA I LY NEWSPAPERS ED IN SALT LAKE CITY, SALT LAKE ME DAY AS THE FI RST 'EWSPAPER |
| PUBLISHED ON Start 03/28/2020 End 03/2 | 28/2020 | en la a |
| DATE 3/30/2020 | SIGNATURE | nunchon |
| STATE OF UTAH) | | |
| COUNTY OF <u>SALT LAKE</u> | | |
| SUB SCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THIS | 28TH DAY OF MARCH IN | THE YEAR 2020 |
| BY <u>LORA INE GUDMUNDSON.</u> | | |



NOTARY PUBLIC SIGNATURE

4. ORIGINAL PETITIONS PLNPCM2019-01086 & PLNPCM2019-01087




SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING

Zoning Amendment

| D Amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance fi_ Amend the | e Zoning Map |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| OFFICE USE ONLY | |
| Re ? | Pr oject#: |
| Date Received: 2019 | PLNPCM 2019 - 010 |
| Name or Section/s of Zoning Amend ment: | |
| PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFOR | MATION |
| Address of Subject Property (or Area): | 1 1 |
| r h N / <eclwood c<="" lake="" rd="" salt="" td=""><td>ity Utah</td></eclwood> | ity Utah |
| Name of Applicant: IA, \! CAMERON | Phone: |
| Address of Applicant: | _ |
| 1595'E Elm leaf cove Salt lake city LH E-mail of Applicant: | 84117 |
| E-mail of Applicant: | Cell/Fax: |
| Applicant's Interest in Subject Property: | |
| | |
| Owner D Contractor D Arc hit ect 0 Other: | |
| Name of Property Owner (if different from applicant): | |
| "Please note that additional information may be required by the project printermation is provided for staff a nalysis. All information required for smade public, in cluding professional architectural or engineering draw review by any interested party. | staff analysis will be copied and |
| AVAILABLE CONSULTATION | |
| \ If you have any questions regarding the requirements of this application, Planning Counter at (801) 535-7700 prior to submitting the application | - |
| REQUIRED FEE | |
| \ Map Amendment: filing fee of \$1,034, plus \$121 per acre in excess of or \ Text Amendment: filing fee of \$1,035, plus \$100 for newspaper notice. \ Plus add ition al fee for mailed public notices. | ne acre |
| SIGNATURE | |
| \ If applicable, a notarized statement of consent authorizing applicant to act a | as an agent will be required. |
| | Dat e: |
| Sig{) re of Owner r Agent: | 11 15 |

| SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| (II ·5 (II 0:: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Project Description (please attack | n additional sheets.) | | | | | | |
| D | [21 | A statement declaring the purpose for the amendment. | | | | | | | |
| D | GZJ A description of the proposed use of the property being rezoned. | | | | | | | | |
| D | GZI | Z list thereasons why the present zoning may not be appropriate for the area. | | | | | | | |
| D | D | Is the request amending the Zoning Map? If so, please list the parcel numbers to be changed. | | | | | | | |
| | | Is the request amending the text of the Zoning Ordinance? If so, please include language and the reference to the Zon ing Ordinance to be changed. | | | | | | | |
| | | WHERE TO I | FILE THE COMPLETE | APPLICATION | | | | | |
| Mailing Addres | | Planning Counter PO Box 145471 Salt Lake City, UT 84114 | In Person: | Planning Counter 451 South State Street, Room 215 Telephone: (801) 535-7700 | | | | | |
| INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WIII NOT BE ACCEPTED | | | | | | | | | |
| | I acknowledge that Salt Lake City requires the items above to be submitted before my application can be processed. I understand that Planning will not accept my application unless all of the following item s are included in the submittal package. | | | | | | | | |



t 1ro\ af Prore..rt1 ALReady
20 w ('{\ 35

261 n redwood road slc, ut.

purpose of amendment is to rezone entire lot to rm35 proposed use of property to build low income, 26 2bed lbath apartment building zoning not appropriate as is ,it doesn't allow for low income. Apartments

AS Stt,J A Tu,R.D of 07to {)e.c-t 1 IS \re.ady 201-i RMt 3.5 \\
$$VV c c h - F t_1$$
 71'\e., d.e.Ds\+I W1LL $V < 0 r$ ccTy JN Cv'eq,See J-t_s ti1>\.,\St _g h'O('-\;;J

Parcel 0834331029

261 N Redwood Road zoning and master plan amendment

lain Cameron, owner proposes combining current zoning to rm35 at 261 N Redwood Road ("subject property") over one third of property is already zoned rm35. Proposed designation will provide residential use creating a walkable environment in that area.

The purpose for this amendment is to provide more compatible zoning with the properties directly to the south and north while maintaining residential character of existing neighborhood. The proposed change supports the four fundamental goals for the community, identified by the master plan, by:

- Enhancing livability of neighborhood and providing a variety of residential land use to support housing and residential growth in the area.
- Supporting commerce that allows employees to live and work in the area.
- Providing land uses within a 10 minute walk to the TRAX station, to increase pedestrian mobility and accessibility to neighborhood business and commerce.

Subject property is located within one half mile or a 10 minute walk to the nearest TRAX station which is located along north temple. It will also provide transportation to the area around the airport. Requested Amendment is compatible and consistent with the goal and vision of the master plan.

| OWN_FULL_NAME | OWN_ADDR | OWN_CITY | _ | OWN_ZIP |
|---|--|----------------|----|---------|
| LEO A LEONARD; PAMELA RASO (JT) | 1760 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| MELE K VAINUKU; TEKITEKI T VAINUKU (JT) | 1752 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| ROBERTCMCLAUGHLIN; MARGARETEMCLAUGHLIN (JT) | 1744 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| E FAM TR | 1736 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| LINDA WIGLAMA; ARIE WIGLAMA (JT) | 1643 W MILAN DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| GILBERT GONZALES; STEPHANIE M GONZALES | 1720 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| TRUST NOT IDENTIFIED | 1643 W MILAN DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| ADAM J FORD; SAMANTHA B SMITH (TC) | PO BOX 711850 | SALTLAKECITY | | 84171 |
| UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | PO BOX 148420 | SALTLAKECITY | | 84114 |
| TRUST NOT IDENTIFIED | 248 N SILVER STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| BERTHA A SARCENO | 244 N SILVER STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| ROSEANNE M WILSON | 1791 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| RESTORE UTAH, LLC | 1600 S STATE ST | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84115 |
| GUSTAVO BAEZA | 1804 W ALTAIR CIR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| MARTHA TILT | 1803 W ALTAIR CIR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| ANTONIUS CATHERINE KIP (JT) | 1795 W ALTAIR CIR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| ELEAZAR IZARRARAZ | 271 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| YAHO AFAN; ABLEWA YOVOGAN (JT) | 263 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| KAREN KRAUS | 238 N SILVER STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| DEYVI ROA MEDINA | 1773 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84116 |
| MATEO FELETOA; PATIOLA FELETOA (JT) | 286 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| WILLIAM H SR SAINSBURY; MARY J SAINSBURY (JT) | 280 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| CELIA A GOMEZ; JAVIER GOMEZ (JT) | 272 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| JOSE V SERRATO; MARIA I SERRATO (JT) | 264 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| JOSEPH ARRINGTON; KRISCHA ARRINGTON (JT) | 256 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| JORGE RODRIGUEZ | 248 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| GREG A WOODRING; GLENDA M WOODRING (JT) | 240 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| SAMUEL R VEGA | 232 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| FILIBERTO CHAIRE; MARIA E MONTOYA (JT) | 224 N NEW STAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| ALEJANDRO V LUZ; SANDRA L V LUZ (JT) | 1765 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| MELISSA G PENDLETON; DAVID E PENDLETON | 291 N GEMINI DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| EDUVIGES MARTINEZ; MANEZKA ENRIQUEZ (JT) | 275 N GEMINI DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| SALT LAKE CITY CORP | PO BOX 145460 | SALTLAKECITY | _ | 84114 |
| | PO BOX 144575 | SALTLAKECITY | | |
| SALT LAKE COUNTY HOUSING ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT ENTERPRISE | | | | 84114 |
| | 1776 SOUTH WEST TEMPLE | SALTLAKECITY | | 84087 |
| KEVIN C OWEN | 241 N SILVER STAR DR 5414 W DAYBREAK PKWY | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| 237 NORTH SILVER STAR DRIVE, LLC | | | UT | 84009 |
| ROSA E JIMENEZ | 288 N GEMINI DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| EDWIN COLIN | 1735 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| CARLOS CONTRERAS | 1727 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| GALE CHRISTY; GWEN C ALEXANDER (JT) | 1719 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| STEVEN REDMOND; FAITH MCKINNIE-REDMOND (JT) | 1713 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| DUSTIN WARD; CHRISTIE WARD (JT) | 1705 W NORTHSTAR DR | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| FIDEL HERNANDEZ | 637 N 800 W | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| SERGIO M VALENZUELA; CARMENT RINCON (JT) | 273 N REDWOOD RD | SALTLAKECITY | | 84116 |
| HOUSING ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT ENTERPRISE | 1776 S WESTTEMPLE ST | SALTLAKECITY | | 84115 |
| IAIN CAMERON | 1395 E 4600 S | SALTLAKECITY | | 84117 |
| WEST STATION NORTH APARTMENTS, LP | PO BOX 682925 | PARK CITY | UT | 84068 |
| DIV OF FACILITIES CONSTR & MANAGEMENT | 450 N STATE ST # 4110 | SALTLAKECITY | | 84114 |
| MHC ALL SEASONS LLC | PO BOX 06115 | CHICAGO | IL | 60606 |
| SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION | PO BOX 145460 | SALTLAKECITY | UT | 84114 |
| Current Occupant | 1760 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1752 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1744 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1736 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1728 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| | | | | |

| Current Occupant | 1720 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----|-------|
| Current Occupant | 1712 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1704 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 305 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 248 N SILVER STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 244 N SILVER STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1791 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1788 W ALTAIR CIR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1804 W ALTAIR CIR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1803 W ALTAIR CIR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1795 W ALTAIR CIR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 271 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 263 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 255 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 238 N SILVER STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1773 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 286 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 280 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 272 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 264 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 256 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 248 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 240 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 232 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 224 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1765 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 291 N GEMINI DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 275 N GEMINI DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 271 N GEMINI DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 268 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 252 N NEW STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 241 N SILVER STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 237 N SILVER STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 288 N GEMINI DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1735 W NORTH STAR DR | • | UT | 84116 |
| • | 1735 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1719 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | |
| Current Occupant | 1713 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1705 W NORTH STAR DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 276 N GEMINI DR | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 273 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 257 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 247 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 261 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 233 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 1588 W NORTH TEMPLE ST | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 290 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Current Occupant | 316 N REDWOOD RD | Salt Lake City | UT | 84116 |
| Salt Lake City Planning Division Sara Javoronok | PO BOX 145480 | Salt Lake City | UT | 84114 |
| | | | | |