

PUBLIC HEARING MOTION SHEET

CITY COUNCIL of SALT LAKE CITY

- TO: **City Council Members**
- FROM: Ben Luedtke and Sylvia Richards **Budget Analysts**
- **DATE:** October 28, 2020

RE: **Budget Amendment Number Three FY21**

MOTION 1 - CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPT EXCEPT ITEM A-3

I move that the Council close the public hearing and adopt an ordinance amending the FY 2020-21 final budget of Salt Lake City for Items A-1, A-2, as requested by the Administration, and I-1 through I-3 (Council-Added Items) as shown on the motion sheet.

Staff Note: Council Members do NOT need to read each item individually listed below

A-1: Airport Hardstand (\$10,706,397 – Airport Operating Budget and \$28,250,055 – Airport Capital Budget)

A-2: September 8 Windstorm Damage Cost Estimates (\$5,925,000 – Fund Balance)

I-1: Capital Improvement Program 10 Transportation Projects (\$6,930,585 - County Quarter-Cent Sales Tax for Transportation aka "Fourth Ouarter-cent")

Funding is approved as shown on the attached funding log and with the understanding that these funds and projects will be added to CIP in FY21 and future years, that a redesigned CIP process is being developed and that the Council will have the opportunity to provide policy guidance and feedback.

I-2: Tier 2 Public Safety Retirement Contributions "Pick Up" by City (\$55,000 Total from Fund Balance, \$9,200 for Fire Department and \$45,800 for Police Department)

I-3: Public Safety Building (PSB) Server Funding (\$194,589 – Rescope of Remaining Bond Funds)

MOTION 2 – ADOPT ITEM A-3 WITH DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCE

I further move that the Council adopt Item A-3 using some of the \$2.8 million holding account instead of Fund Balance.

A-3: Racial Equity in Policing Committee Costs – (\$259,940 – \$2.8 million holding account)

Staff Note: If this motion is approved, then the holding account will have a remaining balance of \$2,540,060. The Council expressed approval for the \$100,000 separate holding account to be released for the Racial Equity in Policing Commission's operating costs.



MOTION 3 - CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING AND REFER TO FUTURE DATE

I move that the Council close the public hearing and refer the item to a future date for action.

MOTION 4 – CONTINUE PUBLIC HEARING

I move that the Council continue the public hearing to a future date.

MOTION 5 - CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING AND NOT ADOPT

I move that the Council close the public hearing and proceed to the next agenda item.

MOTION 6 - CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING AND ADOPT WITHOUT CHANGES

I move that the Council close the public hearing and adopt an ordinance amending the FY 2020-21 final budget of Salt Lake City as requested by the Administration, and Council-added items I-1 through I-3.

MOTION 7 – CHANGE HONORARIUM

I further move that the Council increase the honorarium as follows:

(Council Members can suggest the same increase for all groups or different amounts for each group)

- \$____ (from \$2,500) for core commission members
- \$_____ (from \$2,000) for regular commission members
- (from \$1,500) for youth subcommittee members

Line Item (Amount)	Amount	Description/Project Scope
·		s lapsed to Fund Balance because they were not CIP capital accounts
Urban trails FY20 fund balance Multi-Modal	\$800,000	 9-Line-and Folsom trails. The Administration clarified most of these funds would be used for the 9-Line Trail as part of the 900 South Corridor 10-projects consolidation effort. The funds would likely be used for the Central Ninth section from 300 West to West Temple and possibly the Center Section from 600 West to 300 West and/or West Temple to Lincoln St. The Folsom Trail funding from FY20 was encumbered, as well as a portion for design work for 9-Line. This funding is anticipated to be needed by early next calendar year based on the project timeline. Upgrading and replacing outdated or worn out traffic signals,
intersection and traffic signal upgrades FY20 fund balance		enhancements for improved bus operations, multi-modal safety enhancements, roundabouts, radar detection, etc. The Administration proposes this be an ongoing annual item. This is flexible funding for multiple categories of intersection improvements. It could help the City get closer to an ideal traffic signal replacement schedule of 10 per year. The City has averaged closer to two or three replacements in recent years.
Neighborhood Street Safety and Livability FY20 fund balance	\$270,000	Street livability projects focused primarily within neighborhoods. It is anticipated that most, if not all of these funds will go to enhancements on 500 North. The project partially originated with an auto-pedestrian accident at 1465 West and 500 North in September 2018 that resulted in the death of a child. The project would add traffic calming enhancements on 500 North. The specific segments of 500 North to be improved will depend on further design work, cost estimates and public feedback. This funding is anticipated to be needed before November based on a tentative 2021 construction schedule.
600 North/1000 North Bus Service Start-up Investments	\$1,534,945	Bus stops, transit signal upgrades, crosswalks, pedestrian safety, first/last mile enhancements, in preparation for frequent transit service upgrades. The next phase of the Frequent Transit Routes as part of Funding Our Future would begin with this mobilization funding. August 2021 implementation of the 600 North and 1000 North routes depends on this funding being available before the end of the calendar year. Otherwise implementation would be postponed to August 2022. UTA's operational capacity for the new routes is another factor that may impact implementation. A related project is the 600 North safety study. The results of which are expected to recommend further improvements to the corridor. Temporary bus stops may be needed during that time possibly in 2021 or 2022.
FY21 Requests (exclud	ling personnel) Council approved personnel costs as part of the FY21 annual budget
Urban Trails	\$1,767,000	Planning, engineering, and construction of key urban trails; maintenance of recently constructed trails. Projects are likely to include 400 South Viaduct Trail; Surplus Canal Trail; key eastern segments of 9-Line Trail; Jordan River Trail connections; and studies leading to trail construction, including alleyway trail feasibility study; Red Butte Creek Trail concept/study; and 9-Line / 600 West

4th Quarter Funding Log

Complete Streets Reconstruction	\$500,000	RR crossing concept/study. Proposed projects have support from Trails & Natural Lands Division, with which Transportation has an active and ongoing collaboration on trails. The Administration proposes this be an ongoing annual item for consideration in CIP. The most time sensitive project in this list is the 400 South Viaduct Trail (potentially renamed to be the Poplar Grove Connector) which would need funding available before the end of the calendar year based on the tentative 2021 construction schedule. UDOT is participating in this project and has contributed funds for planning and design, as well as potentially for construction. Supplements bond projects, possibly could include reconstruction of 300 South (West Temple to Main St.) as a model for an improved design for a downtown separated bikeway. The Administration
		proposes this be an ongoing annual item for consideration in CIP.
Corridor Transformations	\$1,100,000	Infrastructure additions to corridors NOT planned for or in need of reconstruction – to include transit, pedestrian, and bicycle signal enhancements; neighborhood byways; pedestrian and bicycle crossing signals; enhanced medians; curb bulbouts; radar detection. Corridor-based complete streets changes to signing, striping and wayfinding. Possible examples include Main St., 200 East and other corridors on the Downtown Green Loop, 1000 West.
Alleyway Maintenance / Repaving	\$200,000	Projects to be selected by a prioritization process including metrics of good governance (e.g., pavement condition), equity (e.g., low- income areas), and sustainability (e.g., air quality). The Administration proposes this be an ongoing annual item. Alleys would be selected based on criteria including that it connects an origin and destination for transportation purposes, to meet State statute.
Trail Maintenance	\$200,000	Maintenance of recently constructed trails. These funds would likely be transferred to the Trails and Natural Lands Division, who developed the cost estimate for the maintenance. The Administration proposes this be an ongoing annual item for consideration in CIP.
Maintenance of Multi- Modal Infrastructure*	\$300,000	Specialized maintenance of infrastructure such as enhanced crosswalks, bike lanes, bike racks, colored pavement including downtown green bike lanes, bus shelters, enhanced medians: Snow plowing, striping, signals, signage, delineators, etc.
Community engagement	\$58,640	Consultant assistance to supplement staff resources as needed.
Total	\$7,230,585	
	. , .,	1J

*Included Adopted June 2020 Budget Note: text in blue was added by Council staff



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

Lisa Shaffer, Chief Administrative Officer		Date Received: Date sent to Council:			
TO:	Salt Lake City Council Chris Wharton, Chair	DATE:	October 8, 2020		
FROM:	Mary Beth Thompson, Chief Financi	al Officer Mary Beth	. Thompson		
SUBJECT:	Budget Amendment #3 – Revised 10	/8/2020			
SPONSOR:	NA				
STAFF CON	TACT: John Vuyk, Budget Director (Mary Beth Thompson (801) 5	. ,			

DOCUMENT TYPE: Budget Amendment Ordinance

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends that, subsequent to a public hearing, the City Council adopt the following amendments to the FY 2020 – 21 adopted budget.

BUDGET IMPACT:

	REVENUE	EXPENSE
General Fund	\$ 0.00	\$ 6,184,940.00
AIRPORT FUND	0.00	38,956,452.00
TOTAL	\$ 0.00	\$ 45,141,392.00

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

Revenue for FY 2019-20 Budget Adjustments

The Fiscal Year 2021 projections are coming in below budgeted revenues. The following chart shows a current projection of General Fund Revenue for fiscal year 2021.

	FY20-21		Variance
	Annual	Revised	Favorable
Revenue	Budget	Forecast	(Unfavorable)
Property Taxes	111,418,455	111,418,455	-
Sales and Use Tax	67,999,593	67,999,593	-
Franchise Tax	26,812,125	26,812,125	-
PILOT Taxes	1,508,894	1,508,894	-
TOTAL TAXES	207,739,067	207,739,067	-
License and Permits	28,601,482	28,225,928	(375,554)
Intergovernmental	4,444,400	4,444,400	-
Interest Income	1,900,682	1,900,682	-
Fines & Forfeiture	3,938,848	3,202,960	(735,888)
Parking Meter Collection	3,347,986	2,848,523	(499,463)
Charges and Services	4,428,069	4,083,647	(344,422)
Miscellaneous Revenue	4,014,037	3,435,330	(578,707)
Interfund Reimbursement	20,281,706	20,281,706	-
Transfers	9,750,600	9,750,600	-
TOTAL W/OUT SPECIAL TAX	288,446,877	285,912,843	(2,534,034)
Sales and Use Tax - 1/2 cent	32,797,506	32,797,506	-
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	321,244,383	318,710,349	(2,534,034)

Business licensing is seeing a decrease from budget due to trends for apartment units, new business license and business license renewals. Due to the administrative order for COVID parking ticket revenue shows a decrease of nearly \$500k due to only 51,000 pay station transactions through the end of August (normally well over 200k). This decrease is also having an effect on citations written. Additionally, Justice Court fines are down \$37k, while moving violations are down \$151k. In Charges and Services, field reservation fees are down \$273k while auto parking fees are also under budget. Miscellaneous revenues are also down due to a decrease in special events and the elimination of take-home vehicle fees during the current pandemic.

			Lake City				
			eral Fund				
			OTAL				
		Fund Bala	ance Projections				
			020 Projection			021 Projection	
	2019 Actual	FOF	GF Only	TOTAL	FOF	GF Only	TOTAL
Beginning Fund Balance	56,104,269	10,372,054	69,441,955	79,814,009	6,625,050	39,869,217	46,494,267
Budgeted Use of Fund Balance	(380,025)	-	(1,510,094)	(1,510,094)	-	(4,885,620)	(4,885,620
Prior Year Encumbrances	(8,731,774)	(3,105,004)	(6,566,830)	(9,671,834)	-	-	-
Estimated Beginning Fund Balance	46,992,470	7,267,050	61,365,031	68,632,081	6,625,050	34,983,597	41,608,647
Beginning Fund Balance Percent	14.57%	18.52%	20.85%	20.58%	20.20%	12.44%	13.25%
Year End CAFR Adjustments							
Revenue Changes	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Expense Changes (Prepaids, Receivable, Etc.)	(3,701,982)	-	(4,127,838)	(4,127,838)	-	-	
Fund Balance w/ CAFR Changes	43,290,488	7,267,050	57,237,193	64,504,243	6,625,050	28,358,547	41,608,647
Final Fund Balance Percent	13.42%	18.52%	19.45%	19.34%	20.20%	10.08%	13.25%
Budget Amendment Use of Fund Balance	(1,858,647)						
BA#1 Revenue Adjustment		-	-	-	-	-	
BA#1 Expense Adjustment		-	(410,173)	(410,173)	-	-	
BA#2 Revenue Adjustment		-	135,628	135,628	-	-	
BA#2 Expense Adjustment		-	(745,025)	(745,025)	-	(288,488)	(288,488
BA#3 Revenue Adjustment		-	-	-	-	-	
BA#3 Expense Adjustment		-	(50,000)	(50,000)	-	(6,184,940)	(6,184,940
BA#4 Revenue Adjustment		-	2,968,404	2,968,404	-	-	
BA#4 Expense Adjustment		(2,300,000)	(10,987,506)	(13,287,506)	-	-	
BA#5 Revenue Adjustment		-	-	-	-	-	
BA#5 Expense Adjustment		-	(1,350,000)	(1,350,000)	-	-	
BA#6 Revenue Adjustment		-	438,980	438,980	-	-	
BA#6 Expense Adjustment		-	(3,071,042)	(3,071,042)	-	-	
FOF Revenues	3,149,980	-	-	-	-	-	
Projected Revenue Shortfall		758,000	(4,297,242)	(3,539,242)	-	(2,534,035)	(2,534,035
Fund Balance Budgeted Increase	2,500,000	900,000	-	900,000	-	-	
Unspent COVID Funds		-	-	-	-	5,900,000	5,900,000
HAND Rent Assistance Reimbursement					-	1,100,000	1,100,000
Adjusted Fund Balance	47,081,821	6,625,050	39,869,217	46,494,267	6,625,050	26,351,084	39,601,184
Adjusted Fund Balance Percent	14.60%	16.88%	13.55%	13.94%	20.20%	9.37%	12.61%
Projected Revenue	322,562,293	39,242,000	294,286,069	333,528,069	32,797,506	281,282,923	314,080,429

This projections of fund balance includes a line item adding in funding budgeted for use for expenses associated with COVID-19 in fiscal year 2020 that were not spent.

The Administration is requesting a budget amendment totaling revenue of \$0.00 and expense of \$45,141,392.00. The amendment proposes changes in two funds, including the use of \$6,184,940.00 from the General Fund fund balance. The proposal includes funding to cover costs associated with recent windstorm, additional costs for the Racial Equity in Policing Committee, as well as the implementation of hardstand program at the Airport

A summary spreadsheet document, outlining proposed budget changes is attached. The Administration requests this document be modified based on the decisions of the Council.

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

PUBLIC PROCESS: Public Hearing

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE No. _____ of 2020

(Third amendment to the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2020-2021)

An Ordinance Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 27 of 2020 which adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2020 and Ending June 30, 2021.

In June of 2020, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, effective for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2021, in accordance with the requirements of Section 10-6-118 of the Utah Code.

The City's Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document as provided above, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 27 of 2020.

SECTION 2. <u>Adoption of Amendments</u>. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes

specifically stated herein, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the amendments to the employment staffing document described above, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2021, in accordance with the requirements of Section 10-6-128 of the Utah Code.

SECTION 3. <u>Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments</u>. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.This Ordinance shall take effect upon adoption.Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, thisday of, 2020.

CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

CITY RECORDER

Transmitted to the Mayor on ______ Mayor's Action: _____ Approved _____ Vetoed

ATTEST:

MAYOR

CITY RECORDER

(SEAL)

Bill No. _____ of 2020. Published: _____ Salt Lake City Attorney's Office Approved As To Form

<u>Jaysen Oldroyd</u> Jaysen Oldroyd

Fiscal Year 2020-21 Budget Amendment #3

		Administration	n Proposed	Council Ap	proved		
Initiative Number/Name Section A: New Items	Fund	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount	Ongoing or One- time	FTEs
 Airport Hardstand Wind Storm Damage Racial Equity in Policing Committee 	Airport GF e Costs GF	- -	38,956,452.00 5,925,000.00 259,940.00			One-time One-time Ongoing	-
Section B: Grants for Existing Staf	fResources						
Section C: Grants for New Staff Re	esources						
Section D: Housekeeping							
Section E: Grants Requiring No N	ew Staff Resources						
Section F: Donations							
Section G: Council Consent Agend	la Grant Awards						-
Section I: Council Added Items							
Tota	l of Budget Amendment Items	-	45,141,392.00	-	-		-
Total by Fund Class, Budget Amer			0.101.010.00				
General Fund	GF	-	6,184,940.00 38,956,452.00	-	-		-
Airport Fund Tota	Airport I of Budget Amendment Items		45,141,392.00	-	-		-
		-	-				

Fiscal Year 2020-21 Budget Amendment #3

		Administration	n Proposed	Council Ap	proved	1		
nitiative Number/Name	Fund	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount	Ongoing or One- time	FTEs	
rrent Year Budget Summary, provided for infor 7 2020-21 Budget, Including Budget Ame								
r 2020-21 Budget, including Budget Ame	FY 2020-21 Adopted	BA #1 Total	BA #2 Total	BA #3 Total	BA #4 Total	BA #5 Total	BA #6 Total	Total To-Date
	Budget							
General Fund (FC 10)	326,130,003		288,487.58	6,184,940.00				332,603,43
Curb and Gutter (FC 20)	3,000							3,00
DEA Task Force Fund (FC 41)	1,763,746							1,763,74
Misc Special Service Districts (FC 46)	1,550,000							1,550,00
Street Lighting Enterprise (FC 48)	5,379,697		1,500.00					5,381,19
Water Fund (FC 51)	126,333,193		296,750.00					126,629,94
Sewer Fund (FC 52)	212,638,399		108,500.00					212,746,89
Storm Water Fund (FC 53)	17,961,860		32,650.00					17,994,5
Airport Fund (FC 54,55,56)	302,311,600	-	520,000.00	38,956,452.00				341,788,05
Refuse Fund (FC 57)	16,515,438		53,200.00					16,568,63
Golf Fund (FC 59)	8,484,897							8,484,89
E-911 Fund (FC 60)	3,789,270							3,789,27
Fleet Fund (FC 61)	19,209,271							19,209,27
IMS Fund (FC 65)	18,289,687		237,000.00					18,526,68
County Quarter Cent Sales Tax for Transportation (FC 69)	7,571,945		·					7,571,94
CDBG Operating Fund (FC 71)	3,509,164							3,509,16
Miscellaneous Grants (FC 72)	8,261,044	716,764.00	5,925,738.42					14,903,54
Other Special Revenue (FC 73)	-							
Donation Fund (FC 77)	2,380,172							2,380,17
Housing Loans & Trust (FC 78)	23,248,016							23,248,01
Debt Service Fund (FC 81)	37,519,401							37,519,4
CIP Fund (FC 83, 84 & 86)	24,420,242							24,420,24
Governmental Immunity (FC 85)	2,855,203							2,855,20
Risk Fund (FC 87)	51,409,025							51,409,0
Total of Budget Amendment Items	1,221,534,273	716,764.00	7,463,826.00	45,141,392.00	-	_	-	1,274,856,2

Adopted __/__/

Budget Manager

Deputy Director, City Council

Contingent Appropriation

Salt Lake City FY 2020-21 Budget Amendment #3

Initiative Number/Name

Amount

Fund

Section A	: New Items		
A-1: Airport Hardstand		Airport	\$10,706,397.00
		Airport	\$28,250,055.00
Department: Airport		Prep	ared By: Brian Butler

Salt Lake City Department of Airports (SLCDA) is requesting an operating budget amendment for FY2021 in the amount of \$10,706,397 as well as a capital budget amend for the Terminal Redevelopment Program (TRP) of \$8,081,487 and \$20,168,568 for the North Concourse Program (NCP) to implement a hardstand operation due to our rephasing plan of the Airport Redevelopment Program (ARP) due to Covid-19. The original plan for construction was to have Delta as well as Southwest and United continue to operate out of concourses C and F through FY 2024 as the Airport was trying to maximize total gate count throughout the construction of Phase II. With the sudden drop in passengers, Airport management, with consultation of Delta and our Program Director, determined we could abandon all facilities as of October 27th, 2020 and operate out of the two new concourses if we implement a hardstand operation. This will allow us to save operating costs in not operating the old facilities, but more importantly will allow us to advance the schedule of the ARP by one to two years. A twenty-position hardstand operation will be required to flex into passenger demand and is where the passenger departs out of the concourse through a ramp and takes a bus to be boarded into the Aircraft directly from the ground and vice versa for arriving passengers. We have contracted with our existing parking operator to provide those services. The two main costs are for fuel and for shuttle driver expenses. In addition, we have funds set aside in the ARP budget for scopes of projects like the hardstand, so we don't require City Council approval on future changes of the project. If we were to fully fund the capital requirements of the hardstand, that would exhaust a large portion of our owner reserve budget. We are requesting to amend our TRP and NCP (ARP) capital budget by \$28,250,055 so we can maintain the flexibility in the future for additional scopes of work. We don't have an alternative path forward with our rephasing due to Covid-19.

A-2: Windstorm Damage Costs		GF	\$5,925,000.00
Department: Public Services	Prepared By:	Mary Beth Thor	npson / Dawn Valente

The Administration is requesting approximately \$5.9 million in funding for costs associated with the recent windstorm's damage throughout the City. These costs are primarily debris removal and various other cleanup related costs. These costs include:

- \$184K for tipping fees at the landfill, including UDOT, the National Guard, Waste & Recycling, and the Friend cities who assisted with debris removal. All landfill costs are being charged to a single account to be billed by the County at approximately \$17 per ton.
- \$893K for various stump removal charges; including costs for approximately 818 stumps at an estimated contract rate of \$1,000 per stump. This estimate includes approximately 418 stumps in rights-of-way as well as 400 stumps in City parks and the cemetery. This cost estimate includes filling in the holes. Also includes \$75K for stump grinding for approximately 300 stumps at \$250 each.
- \$1,354,000 for the remaining costs of parks and cemetery debris cleanup/removal.
- \$1,885,000 for the remaining costs of right-of-way debris cleanup/removal.
- \$324,000 for costs associated with contracted arborists.
- \$250,000 for cemetery archeology fees.
- \$1,035,000 for costs associated with outside agency assistance (Friend Cities, UDOT, National Guard)

Salt Lake City FY 2020-21 Budget Amendment #3

A-3: Racial Equity in I	Policing Committee Costs			GF	\$259,940.00
Department: Mayor's	Office		Pr	epared B	y: David Litvac
	uesting additional funding for costs associat over-and-above the existing \$100,000 in th				
	Racial Equity in Policing Budget				
	Commission Honorarium Costs	\$	56,000.00		
	Facilitator	\$2	18,940.00		
	Community Engagement Cost	\$	85,000.00		
	TOTAL	\$3	59,940.00		
	Less original budget	\$ (10	0,000.00)		
	Budget Amendment Change	\$2	59,940.00		

Section B: Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Section C: Grants for New Staff Resources

Section D: Housekeeping

Section E: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Section F: Donations

Section G: Consent Agenda

Section I: Council Added Items

Racial Equity in Policing Budget Recommendations (Internal Document)

Recommended Total Commission Honorarium Cost: \$56,000 (TOTAL)

Purpose of the Racial Equity in Policing Commission & Goals

The Commission on Racial Equity in Policing was formed to examine SLCPD's policies, culture, and budget and any City policies that influence SLCPD's culture or policies. The Commission is composed of individuals who represent a broad and diverse range of communities of color, expertise, and viewpoints in Salt Lake City.

The Commission will be asked to provide monthly reports, either verbally or in writing, to the Mayor and City Council. It will also be asked to produce a final report by July 1, 2021 that includes the following elements:

- Policy recommendations
- Programmatic and budget recommendations
- A recommendation on next steps to ensure that the work of the Commission continues. Those next steps may include, for example, a recommendation that the Commission become a recognized, permanent body under City ordinance; a recommendation that a current City entity such as the Human Rights Commission or the Police Civilian Review Board create a division to address racial equity in policing; or a different structure or mechanism entirely

REP Commission's discussions and recommendations are independent from influence by the City and its elected officials and are community driven, transparent, and representative of those from diverse backgrounds, expertise, and advocacy work.

Commission Honorarium Costs									
	Core Commission Members	\$ 15,000.00							
	Commission Members	\$ 26,000.00							
	Youth Commission Members	\$ 15,000.00							
Т	OTAL	\$ 56,000.00							

Core Commission Members \$2500 total (Hours estimate per month: 10-15) 6 members=\$15,000 (Subtotal)

The Commission's core committee members were selected by the Mayor and City Council to lead in the structure of the Commission, invite others to participate (supported by the selected facilitator) and to create the space for productive and inclusive discourse with the broad group of Commissioners and the diversity of opinions therein.

Core Commission members will work together to decide on the meeting agenda, provide verbal or written reports to the Mayor and City Council, and rotate to lead REP Commission meetings.

Commission Members \$2000 total (Hours estimated per month: 7-10) 13 members=\$26,000 (Subtotal)

- meet weekly
- host community listening sessions
- develop a Commission Compact that identifies the rules of engagement and desired outcomes
- participate in the City Council's zero-based budgeting work, as appropriate
- evaluate national best-practice policies for alignment with SLCPD policies
- recommend policy changes to SLCPD based on best practices and local needs
- create recommendations for a police department-specific racial equity plan
- explore ways to increase diversity (including cognitive diversity, racial diversity, and cultural diversity, and diversity of thought, culture, and approaches) in the SLCPD
- recommend ways to more meaningfully work with the Community Advocates Group and others;
- recommend national memberships/initiatives the City should join (i.e., Not in Our Town, Campaign Zero, 8 Can't Wait, etc.)
- review the Civilian Review Board's role, processes, and policies and compare with recognized best practices for civilian review and oversight
- develop next steps for the REP Commission at the conclusion of its work

Youth Subcommittee \$1500 (Subtotal)

(Please note that voting members may rotate. Hours estimated per month: 5-10) 10 members=\$15,000

Purpose:

- 1. Provide youth perspective (what issues do they see as important, provide causes & solutions to issues, etc.).
- 2. To become future leaders (mentored by Commission members, learning decisionmaking processes for policies & practices, gain leadership skills, build relationships with various community/public service leaders).

The Youth Subcommittee will meet weekly on Saturdays. They will decide their rotating schedule to identify voting members. The selected youth group members (2) will serve as representative voting members of the larger commission (elected by subcommittee). These two members will act as liaisons between the Youth Subcommittee & the REP Commission. They will attend the REP Commission meeting and provide the youth subcommittee's feedback and perspective regarding issues discussed. They will pass on commission info to their subcommittee members.

Youth Subcommittee members will assist with and participate in community events, such as listening sessions, focus groups, city council updates, etc. City council updates may be from the larger commission's perspective (skills building exercise) or from a Youth Subcommittee perspective (providing youth subcommittee work and findings).

Facilitator (Proposed Cost: \$204,450) Final Budget: \$218,940 (not to exceed)

The Commission and the selected facilitator will be assisted by City staff who equipped to perform research, obtain documents, set up meetings, and provide other administrative and logistical support as needed.

Facilitator expectations:

The selected facilitator will assist the Commission to:

- Build commission membership and staff and facilitate the logistics of all meetings
- Structure and hold a series of listening sessions with the community to provide a forum for people to share and discuss their experiences with the SLPD
- Draft a community charter or compact that identifies the objectives of the Commission
- Prepare monthly verbal or written reports to the Mayor and City Council
- Facilitate consensus in the creation of the work product recommendations
- Draft a final work product, with assistance from City staff
- Advise and assist the City's communications team on how to publicly communicate the goals, work, process, and products of the Commission to the general public throughout the process

Community Engagement Cost Guide (Recommended Budget: \$85,000)

These estimates do not include direct Commission costs (i.e. meetings, field trips, etc.).

Recommended Budget: \$85,000

- Community Listening Sessions \$1,000
 - Supplies & Materials
- Citywide Mailers (\$44,000)
- Polling (SLC Employees and/or City Residents) -\$40,000)

F	acial Equity in Policing Budget					
	Commission Honorarium Costs	\$	56,000.00			
	Facilitator	\$	218,940.00			
	Community Engagement Cost	\$	85,000.00			
Т	OTAL	\$ 359,940.00				
	Less original budget	\$ (100,000.00)				
E	udget Amendment Change	\$	259,940.00			

Impact Fees - Quick Summary

Data pulled 09/23/2020

Unallocated Budget Amounts: by Major Area

Area C	Cost Center	UnAllocated Cash	Notes:
Impact fee - Police 8	3484001	\$ 194,975	A
Impact fee - Fire 8	3484002	\$ 669,174	В
Impact fee - Parks 8	3484003	\$ 5,786,436	c
Impact fee - Streets 8	3484005	\$ 3,227,791	D
		\$ 9,878,376	$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{D}$

Expiring Amounts: by Major Area, by Month

	Calendar	Fiscal										Total			
	Month	Quarter	Police			Fire		Parks		Streets			local		
	202001 (Jan2020)	2020Q3	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
2020	202002 (Feb2020)	2020Q3	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
8	202003 (Mar2020)	2020Q3	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
	202004 (Apr2020)	2020Q4	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
≿	202005 (May2020)	2020Q4	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
	202006 (Jun2020)	2020Q4	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
	202007 (Jul2020)	2021Q1	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-	1	
	202008 (Aug2020)	2021Q1	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
21	202009 (Sep2020)	2021Q1	\$	20,828	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	20,828	Сι	
20	202010 (Oct2020)	2021Q2	\$	1,445	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	1,445		
	202011 (Nov2020)	2021Q2	\$	7,410	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	7,410		
Year	202012 (Dec2020)	2021Q2	\$	10,034	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	10,034		
⊬	202101 (Jan2021)	2021Q3	\$	669	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	669		
a	202102 (Feb2021)	2021Q3	\$	16,273	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,839	^ 2	\$	18,113		
scal	202103 (Mar2021)	2021Q3	\$	16,105	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 336,342	^ 2	\$	352,448		
ī	202104 (Apr2021)	2021Q4	\$	1,718	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 10,333	^ 2	\$	12,051		
	202105 (May2021)	2021Q4	\$	14,542	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 138,408	^ 2	\$	152,950		
	202106 (Jun2021)	2021Q4	\$	30,017	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 7,745	^ 2	\$	37,762		
	202107 (Jul2021)	2022Q1	\$	10,107	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 283,652	^ 2	\$	293,759		
2	202108 (Aug2021)	2022Q1	\$	6,804	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,706		\$	9,511		
2022	202109 (Sep2021)	2022Q1	\$	5,554	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 340,684		\$	346,238		
	202110 (Oct2021)	2022Q2	\$	3,106	^ 1	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 65,962		\$	69,069		
≿	202111 (Nov2021)	2022Q2	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-		
	202112 (Dec2021)	2022Q2	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-	l	
otal, C	Currently Expiring throug	ıh June 2021	\$	119,043		\$	-	\$	-	\$ 494,668		\$	613,710	•	

Notes

 ^1
 9/23/20: We are currently in a refund situation. We will refund \$144k in the next 13 months without offsetting expenditures

 ^2
 09/23/20: Next expiration in February 2021.

 09/23/20: \$1.2m expiring in the next 12 months.

Impact Fees

Confidential

Data pulled 09/23/2020			AAA	BBB		CCC			DDD = AAA - BBB - CCC	
 _Police		-	Allocation Budget Amended		Allocation Encumbrances		YTD Expenditures	Allocation Remaining Appropriation		
Crime lab rent	8417001	\$	-	\$	118	\$		\$	(118)	
Impact fee - Police	8484001	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		
Eastside Precint	8419201	\$	21,639	\$	21,639	\$	-	\$	-	
Sugarhouse Police Precinct	8417016	\$	10,331	\$	10,331	\$	-	\$	-	
Public Safety Building Replcmn	8405005	\$	14,068	\$	14,068	\$	-	\$	0	
Police'sConsultant'sContract	8419205	\$	5,520	\$	5,462	\$	-	\$	58	
Police impact fee refunds	8417006	\$	510,828	\$	-	\$	-	\$	510,828	
Police Refunds	8418013	\$	539,687	\$	-	\$	2,883	\$	536,804	
PolicePrecinctLandAquisition	8419011	\$	1,410,243	\$	239,836	\$	-	\$	1,170,407	
Grand Total		\$	2,512,316	\$	291,454	\$	2,883	\$	2,217,979	

Fire		Buc	Allocation dget Amended	E	Allocation incumbrances		YTD Expenditures		Allocation Remaining Appropriation
Fire refunds	8416007	¢	82.831	¢		¢		¢	82,831
Fire Station #14	8415001	\$	6,650		6.650	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
Fire Station #14	8416006	\$	52,040		-	ŝ	-	ŝ	52,040
Fire Station #3	8415002	\$	1,568	ŝ	-	\$		\$	1,568
Fire Station #3	8416009	\$	1,050	\$	96	\$	485	\$	469
Impact fee - Fire	8484002	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Study for Fire House #3	8413001	\$	15,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	15,700
FireTrainingCenter	8419012	\$	46,550	\$	45,182	\$	-	\$	1,367
Fire'sConsultant'sContract	8419202	\$	10,965	\$	10,907	\$	-	\$	58
FY20 FireTrainingFac.	8420431	\$	66,546	\$	-	\$	6,540	\$	60,006
Fire Station #3 Debt Service	8421200	\$	541,106	\$	-	\$	-	\$	541,106

- Parks		Allocation get Amended	1	Allocation Encumbrances	YTD Expenditures	Anocation Remaining
Impact fee - Parks	8484003	\$ 	\$		\$ -	\$ -
Park'sConsultant'sContract	8419204	\$ 7,643	\$	7,601	\$ -	\$
337 Community Garden, 337 S 40	8416002	\$ 277	\$	-	\$	\$ 2
Folsom Trail/City Creek Daylig	8417010	\$ 766	\$	-	\$ 353	\$ 4
Cwide Dog Lease Imp	8418002	\$ 24,056	\$	23,000	\$ -	\$ 1,0
Jordan R 3 Creeks Confluence	8417018	\$ 11,856	\$	50	\$ 10,237	\$ 1,5
Rosewood Dog Park	8417013	\$ 16,087	\$	14,155	\$ -	\$ 1,9
Jordan R Trail Land Acquisitn	8417017	\$ 2,946	\$		\$ -	\$ 2,9
Fairmont Park Lighting Impr	8418004	\$ 50,356	\$	44,080	\$ 121	\$ 6,1
Parks and Public Lands Compreh	8417008	\$ 7,500	\$	-	\$ 	\$ 7,5
Redwood Meadows Park Dev	8417014	\$ 15,939	\$	760	\$ 4,851	\$ 10,3
ImperialParkShadeAcct'g	8419103	\$ 10,830		-	\$ -	\$ 10,8
Park refunds	8416008	\$		-	\$ -	\$ 11,7
Rich Prk Comm Garden	8420138	\$ 27,478	\$	4,328	\$ 10,137	\$ 13,0
9line park	8416005	\$ 86,322	\$	73,195	\$ 60	\$ 13,0
Warm Springs Off Leash	8420132	\$ 27,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 27,0
Parks Impact Fees	8418015	\$ 102,256	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 102,2
UTGov Ph2 Foothill Trails	8420420	\$ 200,000	\$	70,340	\$ 17,100	\$ 112,5
JR Boat Ram	8420144	\$ 125,605	\$	-	\$	\$ 125,6
Cnty #2 Match 3 Creek Confluen	8420426	\$ 515,245	\$	362,776	\$ 6,438	\$ 146,0
Three Creeks Confluence	8419101	\$ 173,017	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 173,0
9Line Orchard	8420136	\$ 195,045	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 195,0
Parley's Trail Design & Constr	8417012	\$ 327,678	\$	979	\$ -	\$ 326,6
Bridge to Backman	8418005	\$ 350,250	\$	8,168	\$ 3,416	\$ 338,6
IF Prop Acquisition 3 Creeks	8420406	\$ 350,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 350,0
Cnty #1 Match 3 Creek Confluen	8420424	\$ 400,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 400,0
Jordan Prk Event Grounds	8420134	\$ 431,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 431,0
Wasatch Hollow Improvements	8420142	\$ 490,830	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 490,8
FY20 Bridge to Backman	8420430	\$ 727,000	\$	63,456	\$ -	\$ 663,5
Marmalade Park Block Phase II	8417011	\$ 1,145,394	\$	67,408	\$ 12,635	\$ 1,065,3
Fisher Carriage House	8420130	\$ 1,098,764	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,098,7
Pioneer Park	8419150	\$ 3,442,199	\$	100,250	\$ 8,250	\$ 3,333,6
Grand Total		\$ 10,375,136	\$	840,546	\$ 73,597	\$ 9,460,99



 $\mathsf{E}=\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{B}+\mathsf{C}+\mathsf{D}$

treets		Allocation Budget Amended	Allocation Encumbrances	YTD Expenditures	Allocation Remaining Appropriation	
700 South Reconstruction	8414001	\$ 310,032	\$ 310,032	\$ -	\$ -	-
700 South Reconstruction	8415004	\$ 1,157,506	\$ 1,153,437	\$ 4,0	59 \$ -	
IF Roundabout 2000 E Parleys	8420122	\$ 455,000	\$ 455,000	\$ -	\$ -	
mpact fee - Streets Westside	8484005	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	+ 2 227 -
500 to 700 S	8418016	\$ 575,000	\$ 575,000	s -	- \$	\$ 3,227,7
LifeOnState Imp Fee	8419009	\$ 124,605			\$ -	
Transportation Safety Improvem	8417007	\$ 22,360	\$ 20,000		10 \$ 1,95	0
Gladiola Street	8406001	\$ 16,544				
Street'sConsultant'sContract	8419203	\$ 39,176			\$ 12,37	
Frans Master Plan	8419006			s -	\$ 13,00	
500/700 S Street Reconstructio	8412001	\$ 41,027	\$ 118	s -	\$ 40,90	
1300 S Bicycle Bypass (pedestr	8416004	\$ 42,833	s -	s -	\$ 42,83	
Complete Street Enhancements	8420120	\$ 125,000		s -	\$ 65,42	
rans Safety Improvements	8419007	\$ 210,752			\$ 85,74	
ndiana Ave/900 S Rehab Design	8412002	\$ 124,593		s -	\$ 124,59	
ransportation Safety Imp	8418007	\$ 147,912		s -	\$ 139,14	
ransp Safety Improvements	8420110	\$ 250,000	\$ 110,697	\$ -	\$ 139,30	3
Line Central Ninth	8418011	\$ 152,500		s -	\$ 152,50	
Bikeway Urban Trails	8418003	\$ 200,000	\$ -	s -	\$ 200,00	
ransportationSafetyImprov IF	8421500	\$ 375,000	\$ -	s -	\$ 375,00	
F Complete Street Enhancement	8421502	\$ 625,000	\$ -	; \$	\$ 625,00	
raffic Signal Upgrades	8419008	\$ 251,316	\$ 9,393	\$ 1,7		
raffic Signal Upgrades	8420105	\$ 300,000	\$ -	; \$	\$ 300,00	
Fraffic Signal Upgrades	8421501	\$ 875,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 875,00	
Street Improve Reconstruc 20	8420125	\$ 2,858,090		\$ -	\$ 2,405,22	
and Total		\$ 9,292,247	\$ 3,445,267	\$ 6,61	6 \$ 5,840,365	5
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
	Total	\$ 23,343,877	\$ 4,640,103	\$ 90,120	\$ 18,613,653	\$ 9,878,3

TRUE

TRUE

TRUE