

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/18/2026 11:00	Jim Muldoon	New sugar house hotel	Been flowing plans for new hotel. Is 1000% better than the gas station idea. Been watching the neighborhood grow for 30 years and while a pain during construction the new neighborhood is fantastic as urban density is what makes cities great. Please a big yes to this project
2/18/2026 16:40	Sean LODGE	STR Laws and Enforcement	<p>Dear Council Members and City Officials, My name is Sean Lodge and I am a property owner on the boundary of District 3. My property sits on the border with D3, D1, & D2. I am writing to you today to better understand the City Council's recent direction regarding the regulation and enforcement of short-term rentals (STRs) within our community. It is my understanding that the City of Salt Lake has recently hired 3 full-time enforcement officers to regulate and shut down STR's within the city. As a property owner, I have been following the discussions and laws surrounding housing availability and neighborhood character. However, I am concerned about the potential economic impact of a broad shutdown of properties operating as STR's. As the Mayor continues to allow the construction high-density apartment complexes all over the City, many of which are not close to capacity and are lowering their monthly rent to increase occupancy, while stating there's a "housing crisis". I would appreciate your perspective on the following and how you will support the owners and residents within your districts:</p> <p>1. Rationale for Current Restrictions What are the primary data points or community concerns driving the decision to continue the ban and shutting down short-term rentals at this time? Specifically, I am additionally interested in HB256 which allows municipalities to use online listings as primary evidence of illegal rentals, provided they have additional supporting information (like neighbor complaints). With the enforcement issuing shutdown notices they are not gathering additional information other than online platforms when I approached an enforcement officer with the question. Municipalities surrounding Salt Lake City allow STR's with proper licensing and compliance. What is the reason that Salt Lake City has decided to ban them and make them illegal? Hotel Lobbies, construction companies lobbies building the apartment complexes (which there is rumor that our current Mayor's husband is involved with), or lack of understanding and ability to license and collect tourism tax's? 2. Your Personal Position on STRs Do you support a total ban, or are you in favor of a "middle ground" approach—such as a permitting system like long term rentals and allowing STR's with the ability to collect the taxes? I am eager to know if you believe there is a path forward that allows responsible owners to continue operating while mitigating the concerns of other residents and neighbors? Will the City make issue a temporary lift on the law when the 2034 Olympics come back to Utah? 3. Promoting Local Tourism Short-term rentals often attract a demographic of travelers who support our local small businesses, coffee shops, and hidden gems that are far from the traditional hotel districts. How does the Council plan to maintain or grow our local tourism economy if the available lodging options are significantly reduced? The City/State has pushed a lot of large conventions that are typically held in the downtown area away which is also pulling money and tourism out of the City and State. I believe that a vibrant city requires a balance between protecting its residents, the owners, and remaining a welcoming destination for visitors. I look forward to hearing your thoughts on how we can achieve that balance without stifling the economic contributions of the short-term rental market. Thank you for your time and for your service to our city. Sincerely, Sean Lodge</p>
2/19/2026 16:37	Kristin Madden	proposed development at 265 East 100 South	Re: Case Number: PLNPCM2024-01377 Good afternoon, I live in Salt Lake City, and am contacting all members of the City Council. I am reaching out to you to express my disapproval of the proposed development at 265 East 100 South. In my opinion, it will negatively impact the nature of that area, and also reduce the amount of solar energy which might be produced at St. Mark's Cathedral, in addition to having a potential negative impact on this historic building. Thank you for your attention and reply. Regards, Kristin Kristin Madden
2/20/2026 12:14	Bob Bush	Homeless shelter	the planned shelter near the airport is impractical.homeless are human beings and need to downtown.many in salt lake are from nearby and have been in central slc for years.the problem is that bizz don't pay enough taxes.if course that's exactly why the new shelter is 20 miles away.to be elected to slc city council all one needs to do is follow the standard playbook.which is kick homeless out .soon ice will take over police dept.it will happen.Hispanic will be deported.ice will run slc .the real solution to homelessness is housing in central areas.affordable housing is full with endless Hispanic families demanding Medicaid etc .that leaves nothing for homeless Americans.immigration is the big reason homeless can't be fixed.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/20/2026 16:06	Anonymous Constituent	Concerns regarding ICE facilities in SLC	To Whom It May Concern, I write to urge the council to consider and pass an emergency ordinance requiring a conditional use permit for ICE facilities in SLC, giving the council and planning commission the legal basis to block the construction and use of detention facilities in SLC. As you may know, ICE detention facilities are inhumane, neglecting to feed, medicate, or otherwise care for those they detain. (https://www.cbsnews.com/atlanta/news/ice-stopped-paying-for-detainee-medical-care-as-population-surged/) As ICE has now begun to detain and hold children in these facilities as well, these detention centers become a massive human rights violation via the abuse and neglect of both children and adults. Additionally, these facilities allow U.S. citizens (including children) to be flown out of state, away from home or legal assistance. (https://www.cnn.com/2026/01/24/us/elvis-tipan-echeverria-toddler-ice-arrest-minnesota) The SLC City Council is responsible for protecting its constituents from such human rights abuses and danger. Thank you
2/20/2026 16:07	Malaya Heaps	We've had enough	I want to express something clearly: the way immigration enforcement is being handled feels like a profound misuse of resources and humanity. It's heartbreaking to watch all of this unfold and see people still willing to participate in systems that cause so much harm—just to be in someone's good graces. We should be asking ourselves whether any of this is right for anyone, regardless of documentation status. A person's birthplace shouldn't determine their worth. The color of someone's skin shouldn't determine how they're treated. None of these things make us safer, and it's frustrating to be told otherwise. With the government shutting down yet again—as if that's just normal now—it's hard not to feel disillusioned. I'm asking for a moment of humanity, a moment to recognize the damage these decisions inflict on real people and on the world around us. I'm ashamed of what this says about us as a country, and I'm ashamed that this is what being an American citizen seems to represent to some. We deserve better leadership, and we deserve people in office who value compassion, justice, and basic human dignity.
2/20/2026 16:10	Ronni McDonough	Subdivision plat amendment application for 2005 South 800 East	Dear Council member Young, Salt Lake City Council members, Mayor Mendenhall and members of the City Planning Commission. Please help! Please can you do something to stop this project that proposes to build 16 townhouses on the lot at 2005 S 800E next to my house. (Case number PLNSUB2025-01172) There are multiple reasons why this will harm the neighborhood. But the one most concerning to us is the horrible pollution that the construction of these units will generate if this project is allowed to go through. My husband and I have owned our house at 1997 South 800 East for 43 years. We are in our 80's and have heart and other health issues. He has heart failure and I have COPD. The dust, fumes from construction vehicles, noise and light pollution will probably kill us. I know most of our close neighbors are alarmed by this project. Some are saying they may have to move if it goes through. Please listen to us and to our neighbors and do whatever you can to stop this. Thank you. Respectfully. Ronni McDonough

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/20/2026 16:12	Gerald McDonough	Re: Petition PLNSUB2025-01172	<p>Salt Lake City Planning Commission Attention: Rylee Hall I received notice last Friday that the property adjacent to my home is being targeted for the development of "16 townhouses. I am writing to strongly object to this proposal which I believe will inflict irreparable harm on this neighborhood and might result in serious health consequences for my wife and myself. My wife has COPD and both of us suffer from heart failure and respiratory issues. Dust and exhaust fumes from construction will be certain to exacerbate these conditions and might very well prove fatal. The development, if approved, is certain to increase traffic on 8th East which already suffers overflow from 7th and 9th East. Lack of adequate parking on 8th has been a growing problem for several years, and the addition of 20 or more vehicles to this end of block will inevitably force residents and visitors to park in front of our house and those of our adjoining neighbors. The plans as rendered do not provide adequate parking for the residents of the town houses, let alone any visitors. Water drainage at that specific location has been a major unresolved problem for decades. The old bed of Parley's Creek used to flow by the property but was diverted into culverts many decades ago. But water will always try to find its lowest drainage and the resulting subsidence causes street flooding yearly,. The project is out of scale for the street and would be prohibited if it were just 13 feet further north where we live and which is zoned "RESIDENTIAL" In fact, there are many aspects of this proposal which should prohibit its construction including issues of setback, height restriction etc, We have been told that the proposal is within code for that area, but the impacts affect the adjoining neighborhood where they would be prohibited. Many of these impacts will be long term and irreparable. Views of the mountains will be gone and our home will be placed in the shadow of the buildings which will block out the sun and the night sky. Long term, traffic and exhaust fumes will increase and light pollution will be exacerbated. The sustainability and liveability of the neighborhood. Whatever else happens our property values are certain to fall. I was also informed in that notice that: "Objections to this petition should be submitted no later than Feb 21st, 2026 giving me only 10 days to research and respond to the petition. During that period city n This is an . an extremely short time to research and prepare my objections. This is particularly true since city offices were open for only 4 days during the designated time allowed. I encourage you to reject this application Sincerely</p>
2/23/2026 16:35	Bernie Hart	We need a better option	<p>Good Morning, I did a quick survey this morning of 7 people in our program. The average age was 42 All had been diagnosed with a mental health condition. The average age at which they entered treatment ... 17 The avg age for when 5 of the 7 surveyed first entered treatment was 12 All have been in treatment Been in Detox an average of 5.7 times Been in jail 12 times Born and raised in Utah 50% Many entered the system when they were 12-14, are now 42, and they are still living on the street. So ... either Salt Lake City will have to hire 50 more SLCPD Officers and double the size of the jail, and double the number of shelter beds to deal effectively with what is a mental health crisis..... or find better treatment programs. The least expensive option is to find programs that help the mentally ill and addicted return to becoming productive members of the community. Join us one morning, Bernie Hart Understanding Us Taylorsville, Ut</p>
2/24/2026 10:57	Jeff Penrod	Questions regarding prosed tax increases	<p>Hi, I live in the upper Avenues in your district. FWIW, I am a serious Independent and always have been. I know there is a pending proposed (no doubt to be approved) property tax increase that is forthcoming - correct (and do please correct me if I'm wrong)? I spent a few minutes on your website and couldn't find a thing about it. Anywhere. I would like to see the following things: 1) The justification for the tax increase. One would think that with the appreciation of property values in SLC, the attendant increase in tax income would be more than sufficient. What are the expenditures driving it? 2) What efforts have been made to avoid asking for a tax increase? What budget cuts have been investigated to determine possible ways to avoid the increase? If you can point me to where I may find this stuff that would be helpful please. If it doesn't exist that would also be interesting. Thanks for the help! - Jeff Penrod</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/24/2026 14:32	Bernie Hart	A simple question	Chris, I have followed up on First Step's reply to my asking for data on the effectiveness of their programs. They said the only way I could get the information was by filing a GRAMA Request with Salt Lake County. That should not be necessary. I feel that any parent or spouse should be able to ask any mental health and addiction service provider for information on the effectiveness of their program. A simple Google search, and the information should pop up. Because it does not, and is not on the internet, I assume the information does not exist. That is not acceptable. Salt Lake County does not have the information I requested on First Step. If it did, they would have given it to me without a GRAMA Request Chief Redd recently mentioned having SLCPD Social Workers become more active by referring the chronically homeless to services. Over 90% of the chronically homeless I have interviewed are dealing with mental health or addiction problems. I am assuming that SLCPD Social Workers will refer people with a drug problem to First Step or Odyssey House. As well as Valley Mental Health for mental health problems. The Salt Lake City Council approves funding for SLCPD social workers. Question: Do the SLCPD Social Workers have data on the effectiveness of the programs they refer people to? Do Chief Redd and the SLCPD Social Workers know how many people the programs have transitioned out of homelessness? Please do not tell me I have to file a GRAMA Request for information that should be at the fingertips of every elected official. Do the SLCPD programs Salt Lake City taxpayers fund work? And how well. Over 50% of the people who join us in front of the City and County Building four mornings a week entered the system before they were 14. They are now 45 and still waiting for the programs funded by Salt Lake City and County to deliver on what they promised. Simple question: Do the programs work? Bernie Hart Understanding Us Taylorsville, Ut
2/26/2026 14:10	Wesley Garlick	Islamic Attack Really	I am honestly trying to understand how this group has been attacked? I am also trying to understand how standing behind the Islamic community doesn't put us LGBTQ community at risk. For example, they don't think we should be able to live knowing we " have decided to marry someone from the same sex" this puts a target on our backs as a community. If they can't simulate within the community allowing others the same rights and freedoms as they do in our communities then why should they have the privilege of being able to live with more freedom than others? I have seen with my own eyes the attacks taking place here in Salt Lake City and this community was involved. I just hope Salt Lake City Council members aren't turning their backs to the others in the community.
2/27/2026 10:02	Jackson North	Opposition to Extended Downtown (N. S. & W. Temple) Street Closures	I strongly oppose this proposal. Closing major downtown corridors for up to eight months is excessive and unreasonable. North Temple, West Temple, and South Temple are essential arteries for residents, small businesses, transit riders, and daily commuters. An extended closure of this scale shifts the burden of a private event onto the broader public. Public safety can and should be addressed through targeted traffic control, limited-duration closures, and active management during peak times. An eight-month shutdown of key streets is not a balanced solution. Downtown businesses are still recovering and rely on predictable access. Residents deserve mobility and transparency. The City should not grant exclusive use of public right-of-way for such an extended period. This proposal goes too far and should not move forward in its current form.
2/27/2026 15:22	Dave Jolley	Street Noise	City Council Members: I live in a 360+ unit apartment complex, and we can all hear the street noise on Main Street, West Temple, and Broadway (300 South) - especially on weekends. I have friends who live nearer State Street, where the street noise is even louder. Buskers who perform way past midnight are one problem, but the biggest problem is from loud motorcycles and souped-up cars. There is a noise ordinance, right? Shouldn't the Police enforce this ordinance for the health and safety of downtown residents, pedestrians, and other drivers? I understand that the police resources are stretched thin and that they often have more serious problems to deal with on weekends, but I wonder if there isn't more that they and you can do to alleviate the noise and safety concerns for the downtown residents and pedestrians. I believe that State Street is a State Highway, and the City may not have the option to place speed bumps on it. But if you can, that would certainly help to calm the traffic speed and noise. There are also other ways to mitigate the noise disruption to downtown residents. Do the Police have Decibel Meters? Shouldn't they be used to ticket the most egregious violators? If there are not enough officers to measure the noise levels and then chase down the noisemakers, could a Decibel Meter somehow be connected to an illuminated sign - much like those connected to a radar speed meter which flashes the speed limit and the speed of the violator? Placing such displays on State Street, Main Street, West Temple, 100 South, and/or Broadway would at least discourage the noisiest of the cars and motorcycles. Furthermore, can a "Robocop" device be used to identify and ticket noisy offenders? There is a Police Substation on Regent Street just off 100 South between Main and State. There are always a lot of Police cars parked nearby. Could some of those vehicles be parked on State Street or Main Street - perhaps with their red and blue lights on - not necessarily flashing, but just ON - to let the drivers know that Police are watching? This might discourage some of the noisiest drivers. Any efforts you can make to mitigate the noise and improve the safety of downtown traffic would be appreciated. Thank you. Dave Jolley

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/27/2026 15:37	Shaina s Okalani	Dear Salt Lake City Utah. Stop Terrorists from taking over.	<p>The Mormon Church is Christian and there is hope they can convert everyone like the preamble in the Constitution says to. Christian is Intellectual, but Terrorism made Weapons out of Churches and all Business which was a prank against converting which says stop making the Mob. There are now Millions of Weapon Places which encourage killing all non Jew/ Muslims instead of telling the Mob the truth. Jews could only hope to be a convert and their Mob also was supposed to make them refuse to not make conceived converted People instead of more Jews and Mob. 7 Eleven is an ESL confusion liar brand which says steal their DNA and fake Sodality much like what I've heard is the Mormon Church with ignorance. The point for some Jews in refusing to convert was to never support the culture expected as a 3 mark system. Refusals to convert must be deported. Brands which refuse will they be converted or closed?! Amtrak is why UT nor NV can get better from using the Underground Railroad Historical Sites as it was Amtrak who is still a Terrorist leader not completely cleaned up yet as they must remodel etc.</p> <p>Thanks for your time</p>
2/27/2026 16:09	Jen Colby 1/2	Constituent in support of HB381 S3 and related comments opposing SB242's anti-safe streets in SLC section	<p>Dear Senator Plumb and fellow elected officials, As an e-bicycle owner, I support HB381 S3 Electric Mobility Device Amendments. I am a constituent who owns two electric bicycles, a Riese and Muller cargo bike for bigger shopping errands and a Tern folding bike to get around town. These are in addition to my 4 other regular bicycles and my husband's collection of 6 more. We have always been a one-car family thanks to living in historic East Central in Salt Lake City with good options besides driving thanks to being close to work, shopping, and UTA routes. That means we can afford to own a lot of bikes - nice ones. Bicycle and Electric Assist Bicycle Benefits A study in Scientific American revealed that cycling is the most energy efficient mode of travel. "Humans aren't very efficient movers—until you put us on a bicycle, when we become some of the most energy-efficient land travelers in the animal kingdom."</p> <p>https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/a-human-on-a-bicycle-is-among-the-most-efficient-forms-of-travel-in-the/ Plus, it's generally healthier, more fun, and pro-social. Except for the frequent fear factor on our many dangerous Utah and capital city streets. What is great about my electric bicycles? They extend my effective range, make starting up again at intersections more efficient, and reduce barriers like hills and wind. Plus did I mention fun? My cargo bike also gets a lot of positive comments out and around town - as a conservatively dressed woman of a certain age who is otherwise largely invisible I enjoy that. If you don't have an electric assist bicycle yet, I highly recommend test-riding and getting one. I still ride my other bikes, but the e-bikes tend to get out more often these days. I guess at age 61 that's OK. Better E-motorcycle regulation needed like in HB381 That said, I have been concerned about the proliferation of dangerous-looking throttle-only (non-pedal) pseudo-bicycles: little e-motorcycles. HB381 appropriately recognizes electric-assist pedal bicycles and throttle-only electric motorcycles as two very different categories and works to better address this. The forms and models of e-motorcycles have been changing rapidly and the laws have not kept up. It's not the fuel source; it's the propulsion and speed capabilities that make the biggest difference. Many of the reported injuries nationally are due to teens playing around on these more dangerous e-motos. Opposition to Helmet Laws for bicycles and electric bicycles (OK for e-motorcycles) I was concerned when it seemed that an under-21 helmet requirement could apply to all e-bikes for riders under 21; the bill summary isn't very clear. But in reading through HB381 S3 it looks like that is now revised to "certain devices" so I am comfortable with that language. Studies show that helmet requirements for bicyclists can reduce head injuries to a limited extent but data shows that this may well be due to overall drop in cycling, not safer conditions for riders. This is not a good tradeoff when other things can reduce risk. Obviously if I never leave my house I will never be at risk of a crash or injury outdoors. That's not safety, that's being trapped. Helmet requirements may also slap some riders with fines even if they were riding safely, and this is often quite arbitrary. Minority and other disadvantaged riders may be more likely to be noticed by officers and subject to disproportionate enforcement; this is common with "jaywalking" (another very problematic category of violations). While I do support helmets as a smart choice for higher speed recreational riding and younger riders, in my opinion they should not be required by law. Yes, head injuries are a real risk from bad crashes. So are many other kinds of injuries helmets don't prevent. I also own several helmets but if I am just running errands on our better-designed streets like 200 and 300 South, I generally don't bother. At some point the responsibility must fall on riders, and on children's parents, to reduce personal choice risks appropriately. The need for Safe Streets By Design for Public Safety, Health, Economic Vitality, and Protection of Life To reduce systemic risks and also increase individual freedom of mobility, it is the responsibility of state and local governments to build and maintain safe, multimodal streets for all users. That is what generates the biggest safety benefit for all – including drivers – as shown by city after city, country after country, that actually do this. Far too many US and Utah civil engineers remain mired in out-of-date, dangerous street design models they were taught decades ago that have failed in both safety and efficient mobility in dense, complex city environments. Luckily, national guidelines such as NACTO https://nacto.org/ and even AASHTO are catching up. But local practices are too slow to change.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/27/2026 16:09	Jen Colby 2/2	CONTINUED!! Constituent in support of HB381 S3 and related comments opposing SB242's anti-safe streets in SLC section	<p>The best cities in the world create safe urban environments by designing proper multi-modal street infrastructure re for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers alike. Most day-to-day commuters and cyclists in those cities don't wear helmets, though many children do. I am getting old and impatient for safer streets for all. I fear I will never live to see the day Salt Lake City and other Utah cities level up to match the best cities in the country and world. Frankly, we are considering moving after retirement for this reason - we want to be able to age in place in a livable, active-mobility, healthy community with clean air and safe streets. As someone who has donated so much personal time to community and volunteerism to improve our neighborhoods, city, and state, this is profoundly sad.</p> <p>Opposition to SB242's anti-safety anti-SLC dangerous language Especially now. The Legislature may make that even more impossible here in the capital city unless SB242 is amended. My recent visit to the Netherlands showed why safe streets by design works—they have focused for decades on safety by design: lower traffic speeds, separated and consistently designed street facilities including safe cycle networks, smart signaling, better transit. It was wonderful for all modes of travel – including having some of the most satisfied drivers in the world! Car traffic flows best when all the other modes are efficient and designed to have their own lanes – bicycle, sidewalk, transit, cars. Or compare the success of Oslo, Norway in Vision Zero and city livability both as compared to current conditions in Salt Lake City: https://thecityfix.com/blog/how-oslo-achieved-zero-pedestrian-and-bicycle-fatalities-and-how-others-can-apply-what-worked/ It's too many drivers and high speed, car-dependent, car-sewer car-filled streets and dangerous intersections that are creating the car traffic congestion and hazards. When people like me must bicycle in the car traffic lane because there is no bike lane, it is scary and also slows car traffic – not when I am in a bike lane! I experience this a lot on nearby streets 100 South, the west side of South Temple, 900 East, and more. As a driver, I am so frustrated when there are no bike lanes for the same reason. This is why a complete, connected network is so necessary. Car drivers get that – so should cyclists especially on our excessively wide streets. When I was a child, the majority of kids walked or bicycled to school. Now, that rate has plummeted and most kids get driven to school, trapping parents in the chore and impairing the health and well-being of children as well as creating a vicious cycle where the remaining kids who still try to walk or ride are threatened by all the car drivers. In the Netherlands, by contrast, most kids still ride or walk to school. Helmets don't keep them safe, good street design does. Unfortunately, Salt Lake City's roads remain super dangerous, especially wider streets with multiple lanes of car traffic and no bicycle lanes at all. Most Utah cities lack a proper network of protected bicycle infrastructure. My willingness and freedom to cycle gets more limited as I get older, sadly. The most effective way to reduce the risk of injury and death to bicycle riders – electric or regular - is to build safe, connected, protected networks of cycle lanes and intersections. Utah's generally big, wide streets give plenty of room to do just that. That is also why I objected so strongly to SB195's assault on SLC's already inadequate safe streets improvements last year. It proved to be a waste of taxpayer money as an unfunded mandate even as the study show that indeed, Salt Lake City's safe multimodal streets upgrades were not "impeding" car traffic. Done right, getting the people willing to take transit or bicycle plus the other proven Traffic Demand Management TDM strategies reduces congestion and traffic. In a growing urban area, it is the only way. SB242's section targeting SLC is much worse despite the results of SB195 that showed SLC is on the right track with rebuilding and redesigning its streets. As the Olympics approach again, SLC needs to keep improving, not fall behind other host cities. This language in SB242 needs to be removed. The Senate vote yesterday was a blow to public safety. May the House members be truly pro-life and stop this. In conclusion, please support HB381 S3 and oppose SB242 unless it is amended as described. Sincerely, Jen Colby, MPA Salt Lake City</p>
3/2/2026 9:44	Anonymous Constituent	Voicemail to City Council	<p>Hi, I reside at the Aspire Salt Lake Apartments. I currently, where I live at, I have a lot of homeless running in and out of our apartments. They're doing drugs, they're urinating, they're squatting. Our property manager has been made aware of it. The manager of the company that we're renting from, they have been made aware of it. And they kind of just brush it out and tell us it comes with the territory. But people are paying a lot of money to rent here. We should have some kind of security gate. We should have some kind of more secured methods because there are families with children that live here. And I feel like we, our voices should be heard. If we're having to pay so much money to live in these apartments, we should be secured. And as residents, we have rights to tell them, hey, look, we don't feel safe. Is there something you can do? But every time we do that, it gets brushed under the rug and we get told that it just comes with the territory. But that's not acceptable. If we were paying almost \$1,500 to rent one of their units, we should be able to feel secure in what we're paying and investing our money into.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/2/2026 16:36	Ben Baker	additional comments on rmf-45 to mu-5 zoning change	<p>Hey SLC Council and particularly my councilperson, Eva Lopez Chavez, As someone who lives across from the proposed rezoning at 539 S and 400 E I have already emailed comments to Olivia Cvetko during the 45 day comment period. I've received another piece of mail indicating there will be a public hearing. After reviewing the information from the developer's narrative https://www.slc.gov/planning/2025/10/17/openhouse2025-00704/ I can provide some direct feedback to that document as well. Developer: By building in these vibrant, accessible locations, we support walkability, encourage public transit use, and contribute to the long-term growth and vitality of the neighborhood. This project is unique for several reasons: -> This developer may talk about supporting walkability but when building The Citizen, the sidewalk they occupied remained unusable for nearly 2 years. While an inconvenience for me when I needed to go to the grocery store, this was a genuine hardship for neighbors who must use assisted mobility devices. Also, how do they encourage public transit use? Do they cover the UTA fares of their residents? If the argument is proximity then that is not much of an argument - i.e., the houses they intend to demolish are already in the same proximity and would also promote public transit use. Developer: First, as the second phase of an existing project next door, this development will feature studio, one- and two-bedroom units to help meet the high demand for cost-effective and attainable housing. The Citizen's units are already nearly fully occupied, demonstrating the strong market need. This project increases housing density in a walkable neighborhood while replacing three aging, dilapidated homes already surrounded by multifamily uses. -> A quick check on Zillow indicates 28 units are currently available at The Citizen. There likely will be many more available in the summer when the college students leave. Nearby rental communities are also struggling to fill their buildings. This property is simply adding to the glut of over-priced rental housing. Developer: Second, we plan to build ground floor commercial on just over 50% of the frontage facing 400 East. Although plans haven't been started, this is estimated to be at least 1,100 square feet. This commercial will serve as a community gathering place for a future commercial use. -> What does this last sentence even mean? If the developer can't be bothered to actually form a coherent vision of why they want to lower my property value by eliminating my view of the mountains then why should they be allowed to change the zoning laws simply for their convenience? Developer: In summary, Citizen 2 delivers meaningful community benefits that extend beyond the building itself, adding much-needed cost-effective housing, providing new neighborhood commercial in an area that doesn't have much of this type of commercial. These features directly support the City's goals for walkable, transit-oriented, and sustainable development. -> Cost-effective housing? By developer's own admission (found later in their proposal) it would be demolishing a currently occupied 2BR being rented at \$1250 per month and a currently unoccupied 2BR which previously rented at \$2300 per month. Compare that with a 2BR at The Citizen which starts at \$2549 per month. It would be more appropriate if instead of using 'cost-effective' they used the phrase 'more expensive.' Groceries and electric are constantly getting more expensive. I don't see how a clear increase in rent is the right decision for this moment. -> As for adding to the amount of commercial space. I have a Smith's one block away, and within a half a mile there is Trolley Square, 900S, State St., Broadway, and everything downtown. I don't need more commercial near me and I don't want the associated parking headaches if they do manage to fill the commercial space. To close, if the developer wishes to buy the land and work within the existing medium-high residential rules then fine. But I urge the council to vote no on adjusting the rules to high-density mixed use. Thanks - Ben Baker</p>
3/2/2026 16:43	Monica Hilding	SB242	<p>Hello, I'm writing to express my opposition to SB 242 - Transportation Amendments, particularly the addition of Section 72-20-101, Local Highway Mobility Plans, which targets traffic safety infrastructure in Salt Lake City. I live in Salt Lake City in the East Central neighborhood. I appreciate the city's recent complete, safer streets improvements and would like more of them. So I would like to see a substitute bill removing Section 72-20-101. I live with my daughter just below the University of Utah near 200 South. My daughter does not drive but is able to get to work using her bike and the readily available Trax and Bus system. The 200 South bus lanes are the most significant transit project since TRAX, facilitating more than 30 UTA buses per hour, plus the High Valley Transit 107 to Park City and, in the coming months and years, higher frequencies on the 2 bus and the launch of the Davis-SLC bus rapid transit service. If 200 South is "mitigated," removing or reducing the bus priority lanes, UTA and SLC cannot install transit priority signals and these critical transit services will be jeopardized. Bill supporters have been pointing to the fact that not everyone who travels downtown lives here. However, they are assuming that everyone who visits downtown drove there, and that there is no benefit gained from Salt Lake City residents who did not drive. Not only do visitors use transit—the Green Line airport Trax, the regional Frontrunner, which connects to local transit like the 200 South bus lanes—local car-free trips means less competition for street space, so less congestion and more parking availability. I love taking the Green Line to and from the airport from my home. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Monica Hilding</p>
3/2/2026 16:46	Barbara Burt	Temporary Street Closure Temple Square Reopening	<p>To Whom it May Concern: This email is in response to the public hearing scheduled for March 10 to discuss the street closures during the Temple Square Reopening. As a resident of lower east Avenues, I am in FULL support of all the temporary street closures mapped out for this event, as proposed. I feel it is appropriate to acknowledge all that the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints has contributed to this city and state in a way that benefits all residents, (and visitors) including, but not exclusive to, the historical Temple Square. Please note, for your meeting. Sincerely, Barbara Burt -- Barbara Burt</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/3/2026 13:35	Will Ogilvie	Traffic Calming Near the LDS Temple Open House	Dear Mr. Wharton, As a downtown resident, I am concerned about the safety and accommodation for the anticipated visitors for the historic LDS temple open house. It is an opportunity to demonstrate the city's welcome to possibly millions of visitors. We accommodate Olympics, parades, marathons, pelotons and political demonstrations. Extending that courtesy to visitors that bring economic activity to Salt Lake City is both reasonable and necessary. Sincerely, Will Ogilvie
3/3/2026 15:59	Sabra Ewing	Access restrictions on City-supported K7XRD	Salt Lake City funds, houses, and integrates the K7XRD repeater system into its emergency and public-service communications. The City designates the Salt Lake Crossroads Amateur Radio Club as its operating partner. Materials describe Crossroads as providing auxiliary communications for the Department of Public Safety, including connecting fire stations, neighborhoods, and staff at planned City events. Access to K7XRD and good standing in Crossroads are required to participate in these City-supported operations. Crossroads began restricting my access after I challenged disability-rights violations and objected to mental-capacity framing. Crossroads has now banned me from the club and the system without review, appeal, or documented, neutrally applied standards. I provided Public Safety documentation of disability-linked access restrictions, including written references to mental health, temperament, and undefined behavioral standards. Public Safety responded that the City lacks authority over its publicly supported partner. The Mayor's Office of Access and Belonging followed suit, declining review under the City's ADA grievance procedure. Access to City-supported operations and infrastructure now rests on contradictory authority claims. Crossroads cited bylaw provisions that do not govern expulsion and claimed unilateral presidential control over membership and access. The City relies on this system for emergency operations, yet disclaims authority over access decisions. What action will the City take to prevent disability-based exclusion from K7XRD? Sabra Ewing City Council District 4
3/3/2026 16:01	Liz Rainey	Support for Recurring Nuisance Ordinance – City Corner Property	Dear Council Members, I am writing in strong support of the proposed Recurring Nuisance Ordinance scheduled for vote on March 24. I live directly across the street from City Corner convenience store, and for an extended period of time, our neighborhood has experienced ongoing issues connected to this property. These concerns include repeated law enforcement activity, documented undercover investigations related to underage sales of alcohol and vaping products, and consistent traffic of minors entering and exiting the store. This is not an isolated incident. It has become a recurring disruption that affects the safety, stability, and quality of life of surrounding residents. Families live here. Children walk these streets daily. The continued pattern of activity at this location has created an environment that feels unsafe and attracts behavior that does not belong in a residential neighborhood. My understanding is that current enforcement tools often require lengthy criminal proceedings before meaningful action can be taken. In situations like this, that delay allows the nuisance activity to continue for years, despite clear and repeated concerns from neighbors and law enforcement. The Recurring Nuisance Ordinance would provide the City with a more timely and effective tool to address properties that repeatedly generate illegal or disruptive behavior. It creates accountability without requiring years of litigation while the surrounding community continues to bear the impact. This is not about targeting a specific business unfairly. It is about giving the City practical tools to protect neighborhoods when there is an established pattern of documented violations. I respectfully urge you to vote in favor of this ordinance so our community can have a safer and more stable environment. Thank you for your time and service to our city. Sincerely, Liz Rainey

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/3/2026 16:03	Jen Colby 1/2	Good news that SB242S2 failed in committee, please vote against unless Section 72-20-101 Local Highway Mobility Plans is removed	<p>Dear Members of the Transportation Committee, I was unable to attend the meeting yesterday but had sent comments in opposition to SB242 S2 and was grateful to learn that it failed to pass. Unfortunately, it returns for another round of review this afternoon. I remain adamantly opposed to SB 242 - Transportation Amendments - as long as it contains Section 72-20-101, Local Highway Mobility Plans, which targets traffic safety infrastructure in Salt Lake City. This undermines public safety, local control, and true freedom of mobility choice. It also violates the House of Representatives' mission statement, as I explain below. Please amend this bill to remove this section. If not, please vote against SB242. I see nothing in this omnibus bill that is critical for this session. Slipping poison pills like this section into an omnibus bill is poor policy making. I have already sent extensive comments about exactly why 72-20-101 is deeply flawed and dangerous. I incorporate them again by reference here. I earned a master's degree in public administration with honors from the University of Utah with a focus on planning and sustainability. I have been an advocate for safe streets, livable and economically vibrant cities, and active mobility for decades so I have expertise in these areas. I also travel a lot and have experienced the great transportation transformations in some of the best cities in the world. If this bill passes with Section 72-20-101, Salt Lake City will have no hope of joining them. I ask you to pause and consider why you are serving as an elected official for the State of Utah and the mission of the House of Representatives. I do believe that you embrace the mission statement and commitment to improving our state. So I don't understand why this bill has been drafted with the section that will do the opposite. It is hard to be an expert in everything as a citizen lawmaker. Advocates for this bill section are mistaken. From the House website: https://house.utleg.gov/about-the-house/ The Utah House of Representatives, drawing on the diverse backgrounds and expertise of its members, identifies and leads on critical issues and best ideas in public policy. We honor our pioneer legacy by taking the long view. At the end of our service, we will leave the institution stronger; the State more financially stable; our education systems more nimble, forward-thinking, and responsive to the needs of both students and employers; our healthcare more innovative and affordable; our infrastructure better suited to meet the opportunities of tomorrow; our natural resources—air, water, and incomparable public lands—all better off, protected and preserved to enhance the quality of life for all Utahns. We are proud to represent our constituents and proud to call Utah home. As I read the mission statement, this bill as written violates numerous goals enumerated in it: specifically, the section targeting public safety improvements in Salt Lake City. Here is what I see that is so flawed – I edit the mission statement below as commentary to reflect what SB242 would do. SB242 leads falls far behind the times on critical issues and best ideas in public policy: it forces dangerous, repeatedly disproven ideas about transportation planning on the capital city and UDOT. Sustainable Safety by design and freedom of mobility due to safe, efficient, multimodal options is best practice. Car dependence by design is what SB242 would create instead. SB242 Dis-honors our pioneer legacy by taking the long shortsighted and backwards view: Both Joseph Smith Jr. and Brigham Young were forward-thinking urban planners with clear visions for the Plat of Zion. Salt Lake City was far ahead of its time according to historians as an orderly city complete with public and religious buildings, homes and apartments with gardens and orchards, and major grid streets that were wide enough to accommodate the transition from wagons to cars and also importantly, streetcars and trolleys.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/3/2026 16:03	Jen Colby 2/2	CONTINUED!!! Good news that SB242S2 failed in committee, please vote against unless Section 72-20-101 Local Highway Mobility Plans is removed	The latter system of streetcars was considered visionary for its time. Separated transit corridors on streets were accepted as appropriate street use, in their own dedicated lanes until the trolley lines were torn out and replaced by buses and cars. See Building Zion: The Latter-day Saint Legacy of Urban Planning by Craig D. Galli in the BYU Studies Journal for a good article about this. Many cities in Utah including Salt Lake City, Ogden, and West Valley are restoring separated transit lanes on major multilane streets, like TRAX and 200 South and 3500 S. SB242 will leavethe State more financially stable weakened due to the high cost of car-dependent street design, from the cost of crashes to air pollution to health costs to lower economic activity, and more. It also adds unfunded extra and unnecessary costs to UDOT and Salt Lake City. SB242 will leave our healthcare ... affordable expensive because of the combined health costs of preventable injuries from crashes and air pollution-caused diseases like asthma and heart disease: more than 50% of harmful air pollution is tailpipe emissions. Driving cars kills and injures people directly and indirectly. Traffic noise is also associated with stress and illness. Cities aren't loud, cars are loud (it's the tire noise too, not just the engines, horns, etc.) This drives up the cost of health care and insurance for all of us. SB242 will leave....our infrastructure better unsuited to meet the opportunities of tomorrow. Car dependence by design and car-clogged streets are so 1950. The best and most vibrant, livable, desirable cities in the world are moving far beyond what Salt Lake City has been doing towards multimodal, complete streets and cities filled with people – not just cars. One city bus can carry the equivalent of 2 blocks worth of parked cars, especially since most people still drive alone. With the Olympics coming in 2034, host cities throughout Utah need to be rapidly adding real transportation options, not being blocked by the Legislature. Utah once again looks decades behind the times unless SB242 is amended. SB242 will leave ...our natural resources—air, water, and incomparable public lands—all better far worse off, protected and preserved degraded by pollution to enhance ruin the quality of life for all Utahns. As previously described, car dependence is causing excessive pollution, contributing to the loss of snowpack, and harming the health of our natural areas. Meanwhile cities like Paris and London and Oslo have seen remarkable, swift declines in particulate air pollution by redesigning streets and providing good transportation options other than driving. This leads to natural reductions in car traffic, and increases in bicycling and transit use. https://www.weforum.org/videos/paris-cut-air-pollution/ This can be done anywhere. SB242 will make that impossible in Utah's capital city, which would be truly devastating. "We are proud to represent our constituents and proud to call Utah home." -Mission statement To be truly proud to call Utah home, please vote against SB242 unless it is amended to remove Section 72-20-101, Local Highway Mobility Plans. SB242 Section 72-20-101 clearly violates the mission statement of the House of Representatives and threatens the wellbeing of Utah and its capital city. Thank you for your time and consideration. Jen Colby, MPA Bryant neighborhood
3/4/2026 11:39	Daniel Tuutau	Request to Pause 500 South Biofilter Project **Attachment 1 - 1 Page	Director Briefer, Attached please find a letter approved by vote of the Poplar Grove Community Council at our February 25, 2026 meeting. The purpose of this letter is to formally request a pause in construction of the 500 South Biofilter Project at 1020 W. Pierpont Avenue until the outstanding GRAMA materials have been reviewed and a subsequent public meeting allows the membership to take an informed vote regarding the project or potential alternatives. Our intent is to ensure that residents have meaningful access to information and an opportunity to engage in a transparent, informed process—particularly given the project's proximity to homes, Franklin Elementary School, St. Patrick Church, and Neighborhood House. We appreciate your time and consideration, and we remain open to continued dialogue. Thank you, -Daniel CC: Mayor of Salt Lake City, City Council, Planning Commission, Westside Coalition, and current members of the Poplar Grove Community Council Board Daniel Tuutau, DMA Board Chair Poplar Grove Community Council Daniel@PoplarGroveSLC.org PoplarGroveSLC.org
3/6/2026 11:42	Kathryn Wright	Temporary Street Closure for Temple Square	e Chris, I have no problem with the street closure around Temple Square. They have put a lot of work into it and I'm anxious to see it. I am not Mormon. Kathryn Wright



Mar 1, 2026

Laura Briefer - Director
Salt Lake City Dept. of Public Utilities
1530 S. West Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84115

Dear Ms. Briefer,

As the leaders and membership of the Poplar Grove Community Council, we are writing to request that you immediately pause construction of the forced sewer ventilation project at 1020 W. Pierpont Avenue (aka '500 South Biofilter Project', formerly '500 South Biotower Project').

At the most recent PGCC meeting on February 25, 2026, one representative from your department and one from a hired public relations firm stated that they would be holding a meeting to address the neighborhood residents' project safety concerns. The representatives indicated that SLCDPU would be moving forward with the project regardless of any future feedback given. Some residents had initiated a GRAMA request and an agreement was made to hold the meeting after there was time to review the materials. Two days later, it was communicated that the safety meeting would take place prior to the fulfillment of the GRAMA request, against the wishes of the residents.

In addition to being sited in a residential neighborhood, the project is also one block from Franklin Elementary School and near St. Patrick Church and Neighborhood House. Of the concerns raised, one is the release of methane and other toxins in unknown concentrations and flow rates. Normally, these gases would be detectable by smell. The proposed treatment would remove the odor, but not the hazard. In the previous month's meeting, a different SLCDPU employee dismissed air sensors as an option. Wind flow patterns are likely disrupted by I-80 and I-15, but air pollution modeling was not based on site-specific measurements. Another concern is that the engineers may not have formally assessed alternatives, such as diverting treated gases back into the sewer pipe or liner placement with proper upgradient ferric dosing.

In response to the comment that our safety input would be ignored, the membership voted to send this letter asking you directly to pause the project and that we would forward this to the other parties below. We ask that this pause remain in effect until the requested materials have been reviewed and a subsequent public meeting allows the membership to take an informed vote regarding the project (or an alternative). We appreciate your thoughtful consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Daniel Tuutau".

Daniel Tuutau, DMA
Chair, Poplar Grove Community Council

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/6/2026 12:23	Andrew M	ACLU: Oppose License Plate Readers	<p>What's at Stake A little-noticed surveillance technology designed to track the movements of every passing driver is fast proliferating on America's streets. Automatic license plate readers—mounted on police cars or on objects like road signs and bridges—use small high-speed cameras to photograph thousands of plates per minute. The information captured by the readers—including the license plate number and the date, time, and location of every scan—is being collected and sometimes pooled into regional sharing systems. As a result, enormous databases of innocent motorists' location information are growing rapidly. This information is often retained for years, or even indefinitely, with few or no restrictions to protect privacy rights. In July 2012, ACLU affiliates in 38 states and Washington, D.C., sent public records act requests to almost 600 local and state police departments, as well as other state and federal agencies, to obtain information on how these agencies use license plate readers. In response, we received thousands of pages of documents detailing the use of the technology around the country. The documents paint a startling picture of a technology deployed with too few rules that is becoming a tool for mass routine location tracking and surveillance. As the technology spreads, the ACLU calls for the adoption of legislation and law enforcement agency policies adhering to strict privacy principles to prevent the government from tracking our movements on a massive scale." https://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy-technology/location-tracking/automatic-license-plate-readers Automatic License Plate Readers American Civil Liberties Union Thanks, Andrew</p>
3/6/2026 14:25	Jonathan Boxer	Road Closure	<p>I strenuously object to the request to close the streets around Temple Square and urge you to vote against it. Closing North Temple will create terrible traffic jams for travelers going west or north coming out of the Avenues neighborhoods. Even now there are long backups on 3rd ave in the late afternoon. The Church needs to find another way to accommodate its visitors without burdening the residents and employees in the Avenues. The latter should have priority over the former.</p>
3/6/2026 15:30	Cat McVey	Please do not close the roads around The Temple.	<p>Please do not close the roads around the temple. It will highly interrupt my commute.</p>
3/6/2026 16:34	Esther Daranciang	Voicemail to City Council	<p>Hi. My name is Esther. I live in D4. I live across the street from the proposed rezoning, the, like, 255258, from the MUH to D1. I live in one of the newer apartments, the Lotus Republic one. And I guess my real concern is that because we're not able to do rent control and there's Airbnb everywhere in all of these apartment buildings that are empty already. I just don't really see any benefit in upzoning the space when I don't think it'll actually increase housing in the city. And I think living in an MU eight building that is, like, less than 50% filled and half of those are just Airbnbs. Like, I just think that these new apartments that have been built in downtown need incentives to actually get people to live in them. I currently pay \$1,650 for a one bed. I and I signed my lease in July. I'm looking at the website, and it is now my apartment style is listed for \$2,450. Not sure what that's about. I don't live in Downtown New York, but instead on the side Salt Lake City, and 24 feasible for a 700 square foot one bedroom apartment. Yeah. I really see no need to up zone across the street if there's already not people living in the spaces that already built. Yep. That's a ramble. Thank you for listening. I can be contacted at xxx-xxx-xxxx Thank you so much.</p>
3/6/2026 16:38	Andrew M	ACLU: Oppose License Plate Readers	<p>What's at Stake A little-noticed surveillance technology designed to track the movements of every passing driver is fast proliferating on America's streets. Automatic license plate readers—mounted on police cars or on objects like road signs and bridges—use small high-speed cameras to photograph thousands of plates per minute. The information captured by the readers—including the license plate number and the date, time, and location of every scan—is being collected and sometimes pooled into regional sharing systems. As a result, enormous databases of innocent motorists' location information are growing rapidly. This information is often retained for years, or even indefinitely, with few or no restrictions to protect privacy rights. In July 2012, ACLU affiliates in 38 states and Washington, D.C., sent public records act requests to almost 600 local and state police departments, as well as other state and federal agencies, to obtain information on how these agencies use license plate readers. In response, we received thousands of pages of documents detailing the use of the technology around the country. The documents paint a startling picture of a technology deployed with too few rules that is becoming a tool for mass routine location tracking and surveillance. As the technology spreads, the ACLU calls for the adoption of legislation and law enforcement agency policies adhering to strict privacy principles to prevent the government from tracking our movements on a massive scale." Automatic License Plate Readers American Civil Liberties Union Automatic License Plate Readers American Civil Liberties Union The ACLU works in courts, legislatures, and communities to defend and preserve the individual rights and liberti... Thanks, Andrew</p>
3/6/2026 16:39	Andrew M	SLCPD Changes	<p>I think a simple change in the style and type of external vest that SLCPD officers use would present a more professional image and less of a tactical one. The change would more closely match the Class B uniform style. Obviously, this would require a policy change from SLCPD in their dress and grooming policy. Thanks, Andrew M.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/6/2026 16:42	Jaynie Brown	Temple Square Street Closures -- YES I's a good idea -- and I live in the Avenues	Hello, I live in the Avenues, and regularly use those routes that they are proposing to close -- and while it may be a bit of an inconvenience, I think it is important to do. There will be huge crowds otherwise, and those streets will be congested, and cause me more trouble than if I knew in advance that there would be closed streets, and plan alternative routes to where I want to go. To deny the request is foolish -- especially considering the amount of good the LDS Church does to help people in the city. Closing those streets is a win-win. Thanks, Jaynie Brown
3/6/2026 16:44	Jonathan Boxer	Temple Square Street Closures	I oppose the street closures. On a daily basis there are thousands of residents and employees who enter or leave the Avenues neighborhoods going west or north who use North Temple. Currently on most week day afternoons traffic backs up for 10-15 minutes on 3rd ave just to leave the Avenues area. Where is this traffic going to go if North Temple is closed? We have endured 4+ years of lane and street closures along North Temple due to construction. The Church needs to find another way to act it's visitors that doesn't greatly inconvenience Avenues residents and employees. Any burdens from the open house should not be borne by the Avenues
3/6/2026 17:22	Gary Crittenden	Closing streets around temple square	I think a temporary closing of the streets around temple square is a very good thing. This open house should bring a lot of people to Salt Lake to hotels and restaurants and making it easy and safe to facilitate these visitors makes a lot of sense.
3/6/2026 18:35	Steve Boulay	Temple Reopening	I am delighted at the extensive renovation the LDS Church engaged in downtown and have only good wishes for its lasting success BUT the proposal to close a major cross town street for 6 months+/- is completely unacceptable. As a city resident I already see the traffic difficulties caused by closures at Christmas and during conference. Extending that for months is simply unfair to residents period. This is not just an inconvenience it would literally mean significant extra travel Time for those of us who. Red to go downtown and/or across town. I can see closure at peak periods and perhaps for the week they first open for tours but beyond that is not at all reasonable.
3/7/2026 9:13	Tessa Arneson	Temple square street closures	Let's just be mindful on who actually lives here and how we might get across town on these big weekends. Maybe it's only on the big days, but not for a full 6 months. Please and thanks.
3/7/2026 9:24	Gannet Hallar	Closure of North, South, and West Temple	Good day, I am concerned about the closure of these roads for temple construction. Given the extremely low amount of precipitation that we have received this winter, we are facing a very arid western United States. There is an increase in wildfire danger this summer. I have not seen a clear evacuation plan for people living near city Creek Canyon that considers the impact of these road closures. I worry that the closure of these roads will have unintended public safety consequences.
3/7/2026 13:10	Anonymous Constituent	Temple reopening	I only have street parking in my unit and already I am displaced by church and downtown events parking multiple blocks away many days of the year. It will not be sustainable to commute and park during the Temple reopening without your help. Please ensure Capitol Hill residents have Area Passes for parking at their apartments and homes, especially in apartments without dedicated parking lots. Please also make sure we have clear routes to leave our homes to and from work and other activities. The church does not own our neighborhood, only the City can advocate for us and ensure the church is a good neighbor.
3/7/2026 13:19	Ben Drum	Temporary Street Closure for Temple Square 2027 Reopening	I take North Temple regularly and am highly concerned about the plan to completely close this road for 6-7 months. North and South temple are essentially the only East-to-West thoroughfares in the area and are heavily trafficked. Completely shutting down North Temple (and partially shutting down South Temple) will create massive congestion resulting in large delays and decreased safety compared to if North Temple was only partially shut down. You could envision a plan where North Temple becomes a one-way E->W and South Temple becomes a one-way from W->E with 200 W and State St acting as conduits.
3/8/2026 7:31	Anonymous Constituent	Street Closures for Temple Open House	Please consider needs of kids getting to and from school between Avenues and Marmalade. Think of walking and bike access. North Temple will become unwalkable with controlled entry. At minimum please keep east and west bike access on South Temple.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/8/2026 16:22	Jessica Baynes	Temple Reopening	Thank you for your service on the City Council, I am writing about two concerns regarding the potential road closures when Temple Square opens: area passes for residents, and accommodations for UTA and school bus traffic. First, the City Council should consider expanding area passes to streets where parking is currently open. Second, the Council should mitigate impacts to students attending Washington Elementary and West High, as well as kids leaving the neighborhood to attend Bryant Middle, their boundary school. I am a resident of the Marmalade neighborhood, have three school aged kids and only have access to street parking. Without a resident parking pass, which some of my neighbors have on other streets, I will not reliably be able to get in and out of my home for many months for work and extracurricular commutes after school. I also won't be able to easily leave the neighborhood to get to Bryant under the proposed closures Washington Elementary has a majority of students who commute from out of boundary who will have difficulty accessing the neighborhood. West High runs multiple buses for school and athletics to and from the Avenues and students also use the UTA to commute from other areas of the City for the IB program. While my kids at West and Washington can get there, how will their classmates be helped? Will the City permit bussing during dedicated times or will the district have to re-route every school bus and UTA route used by kids which crosses North Temple? Exploring this is good practice for the Olympics which caused schools like West to close for two weeks in 2002 because schools were so inaccessible. Will school closures during peak times be necessary during the Temple events or will the City work with the school district and UTA on bussing routes and cost mitigation for running extra routes? I know you will work hard to answer these questions and I appreciate your help.
3/8/2026 20:35	Devon Musson Rose	North temple closure	I recently learned about the proposed closure of North Temple (as well as other roads around temple square that may be alternative routes). In 2027, both of my children will be taking the school bus from our home in the avenues to West High for school each morning and evening. I anticipate that these closures will have significant impact on their daily bus ride duration, as the bus route currently takes them on North Temple to 2nd Ave. extended bus rides will mean delayed arrival home, decreased time to complete homework, and delayed availability to engage in after school enrichment activities (sports, music, dance, etc.). While a closure of a shorter duration, or even just during the summer months, would still not be ideal for our access to their school, it seems slightly more manageable than 7 months of disruption! Please consider the massive impact this closure will have on Salt Lake City resident families' and students' day to day lives and encourage the church to identify a more community friendly solution for their crowds. Perhaps closing only South and West Temple would be more reasonable.
3/9/2026 9:23	Sarah J Balland	SLC Council: Proposed Street Closures Near Temple Square	Good evening, Hassan, and thank you. While I will be unavailable to participate in next week's meeting, my spouse might be able to. Regardless, we still feel the same as we expressed in our initial email: the LDS Church has kept this stretch of North Temple closed/intermittently open for the past 5 years, which disrupted our daily lives here in D1. Throughout this time, we have been patient and respectful, just as we always are when this commonly used thoroughway is closed for church and non-church events (marathons, parades, session, etc.). I really don't want to imagine it closed down for a straight 6 months next year on top of all that. It is not lost on us that shutting down these streets for 6 months will bring visitors' money into the city. That said, we ask that the Council consider how everyday Salt Lakers' lives are impacted by these types of changes - folks who pay the rising taxes and costs to live here decade after decade - as it weighs its decision on Tuesday night. Considering the Church's billion dollar portfolio and the amount of street time it's already taken for this project, it is the interest-holder best positioned to compromise on this plan vs your individual constituents. Thank You, Sarah J. Balland & Wisam Khudhair Nobodys free until everybody's free. -Fannie Lou Hamer
3/9/2026 9:31	Will Ogilvie	Anticipated street closures	As a resident of the downtown area, I am concerned about the safety and convenience for visitors attending the Salt Lake temple open house. Please welcome those visitors and provide the necessary traffic accommodations during their visit to our city. They bring welcome economic activity and hopefully leave with positive remembrances of Salt Lake's hospitality. James Ogilvie

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/9/2026 9:36	Jake Seastrand	Neighborhood Feedback: Concerns Regarding 2027 Long-Term Street Closures	<p>To the City Council and Project Planners, I'm reaching out to share the concerns our neighborhood has regarding the proposed six-month street closures planned for 2027. We held a neighborhood meeting on February 18th, and the sentiment from our residents was clear: we are very worried about the effect of the extended time frame for the closures and the impact of the sheer volume of expected visitors, and the resulting impact on cars and parking in our neighborhoods. For those of us in the Capitol Hill community, North and South Temple are not just traffic routes; they are our lifelines to LDS Hospital, Smith's Grocery in the Avenues, and other essential services, including accessing the downtown core and businesses and services on the east side. Closing these major east-west corridors for half a year will be a massive disruption to our daily lives. Based on our community feedback, we are asking the City to address the following: 1. Maintain a Vital East-West Connection: Closing both the North and South Temple streets at the same time is unreasonable. We are asking the City to keep at least one of these major east-west connections open. Without it, you are effectively cutting off our access to the hospital and grocery stores, forcing all neighborhood traffic into a nightmare bottleneck. 2. Parking Protections with "Teeth": A huge portion of our residents rely on street-parking only. Between these closures and other downtown events, (i.e. Jazz/Hockey games, concerts like at the Union Event Center, Fairpark events, etc.), and subsequent, simultaneous unrelated and ongoing road/building construction, we are terrified that we won't be able to park anywhere near our own homes for six months. • Temporary Permits: We need the City to issue temporary, enforceable parking permits for residents on the affected blocks. • Real Enforcement: We need a parking plan that has actual "teeth" so that event-goers and commuters aren't taking the few spots our neighbors rely on just to get into their houses. 3. Direct Mitigation Funding: It is equitable that the approximately \$2.5 million in fees the City is collecting from the Church be used to support our neighborhoods, which are bearing the burden of these extensive closures in terms of lost access and parking. • Dedicated Enforcement Fund: We are asking for a significant portion of these fees to be set aside specifically for enhanced parking enforcement in Capitol Hill, Avenues, and the West Side during the six-month closure window. We need dedicated officers whose only job is to protect residential permit zones from event-related spillover. • Localized Project Reinvestment: Any remaining funds should be directed towards neighborhood-specific projects. The neighborhood council would be happy to help identify areas within our community where this funding could be redirected. • No "General Fund" Dilution: We strongly oppose these fees being shuffled into the City's general fund for projects across the city. The mitigation should follow the impact. 4. Transit Accountability & Church Communication: Beyond just promoting transit, we need to see the data on how the Church is incentivizing its members to leave their cars at home. • Is the Church coordinating with UTA to provide "event passes" or subsidized transit? What are they doing to actively prevent their members from driving into our residential side-streets to avoid downtown bottlenecks? • Additionally, what specific steps is the Church taking to mitigate these concerns, manage the behavior of their visitors in our area, and how will they address these responsibilities? We understand the ultimate goal is safety for all visitors and the success of the overall event, but it shouldn't come at the expense of our quality of life for half a year. We want to work with all involved, but we need to see a plan that protects our access and our right to park at our own front doors and travel freely through our city. I look forward to hearing how the City plans to address these points. Best, Jake Seastrand</p>
3/9/2026 9:38	John Hatch	Temporary Street Closure for Temple Square 2027 Reopening	<p>City Council, I live in Fairpark at REDACTED, and I write to express serious concern about the proposal to road closures for the Salt Lake Temple open house and other activities. While I'm happy so many people will be visiting downtown, these road closures will significantly impact thousands of residents who live to the northwest of Temple Square and have to travel east for work, school, or any activities. Just so we're clear on what this means for us: Closing these roads funnels everyone who lives west and north either up to the capitol, or south to 200 South. Driving through Marmalade and Capitol Hill is problematic as these are all one-way roads in each direction. 300 North east from 300 West, for example, travels up a steep hill to the capitol, with limited visibility and where drivers often have to pull over to let other cars pass because the road is so narrow. From there drivers have one option: the roundabout in front of the capitol and then south down State Street. That's it—there are no other options because Memory Grove and City Creek Canyon block any travel farther east. The second option pushes people down 300 West or 400 West to 200 South and beyond. 100 South is blocked to the east by the Salt Palace (and drivers can't turn left onto 100 South from West Temple anyway). The bottom line: You're asking thousands of residents to double their commute time. Parents trying to drop their kids off at West High or Bryant Middle School (or, in some cases, both) will be dealing with impossible traffic. Employees trying to get to work downtown will find themselves snarled in traffic in the middle of residential neighborhoods in Capitol Hill, trying to get down State Street. If someone's commute time goes from 10 to 20 minutes, you're asking us to spend an additional 1.5 hours per week (or longer) in our cars. That's 3,000 more minutes spent in a car, not with our kids or friends or doing things we enjoy. Fifty hours—fifty hours spent in our cars over 7 months. It's, I hope you'll agree, asking a lot of residents—too much. If it is determined that these roads must be closed, then the Hive Pass should be made free for residents for the duration of the road closures. Thank you for your consideration and for your service to our city. John Hatch</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/9/2026 9:40	Eric Hinderaker	street closure	Dear council members, I write to express my deep concern about the proposal to close North Temple, West Temple, and South Temple for six months to facilitate an extended open house for the reopening of the Salt Lake temple. These are vital transportation corridors, especially for east-west traffic. Residents of the Avenues, Capitol Hill, and the north end of Salt Lake City would be especially impacted. I would support a short closure for these streets—say, a celebration week—but the idea of closing down these streets for half a year is excessive and will negatively impact many thousands of SLC residents and visitors. Respectfully, Eric Hinderaker
3/9/2026 9:47	Erin K Drum	Comment about Temporary Street Closure for Temple Square 2027 Reopening	Hello, My name is Erin Drum and I live in the Avenues (84103). I am writing to voice my opposition to the "Temporary Street Closure for Temple Square 2027 Reopening" proposal. It is already difficult enough to drive west-bound from the Avenues, as the city is not built up for efficient movement in this direction. If North and South Temple were both closed in westbound directions, it would prove nearly impossible for thousands of Salt Lake City residents to travel efficiently in a westward direction. If the church wants to host a six-month long party, then the responsibility falls on them to figure out how to minimize the impact. It should not fall on residents and tax-payers to be unable to use very essential roads. Thank you for recording my comments. Erin Drum
3/9/2026 11:43	Heath Harris	Voicemail to City Council	Voicemail: Yes, so this is a comment about the property that Russ Olson with 3Development has on the agenda. I live 300 feet away from where he's planning on building a five-story apartment building with commercial on the first floor. I am extremely against this. I've been watching all these apartment buildings go up right next to each other in downtown Salt Lake. I've lived here since 1994. I see a lot of similarities between what happened with California, and I feel like if we have a windy day here in Utah and it stays as dry as it's been staying, that we are an extreme fire hazard. I feel if you're building a five-story building next to a five-story building under windy conditions, they will definitely both burn down if there's not enough fire response. So I think there's a little bit of irresponsible building going on right now in downtown Salt Lake. I've even seen two apartment buildings go up almost a foot away from each other. If it was less than five stories, I'd be okay with it, but this will be higher than my building and will definitely send embers onto the roof, which will probably start a fire. So the number on this is P-L-N-P-C-M-2025-00984. My name is Heath Harris, and you can reach me at REDACTED. Extremely oppose this. Do not pass this. We've had enough of these ugly apartment buildings going up by the developers. Don't have the best intentions for Salt Lake City people that have lived here for a long time. Thank you.
3/9/2026 12:15	Daniel Theurer	North Temple closure proposal	To whom it may concern, I just recently learned about a proposal to close North Temple for six months when Temple square reopens. I am all for some closures and maybe a grand opening week to use the street scape for crowds but absolutely not 6 months. Respectfully yours, Carrie Hinderaker
3/9/2026 12:18	Barbara Cox	Support for Temple Square traffic closure / pedestrian safety from Avenues resident	I have long lived one block east of Temple Square and daily walk, semiweekly ride transit, and weekly drive in the area. It is encouraging to see plans which keep pedestrians safe. Although not a member of the LDS church, as a neighbor I have been grateful for their commitment to the physical and economic environment downtown. The proposal seems prudent, and I am willing to put up with some inconvenience for the greater good.
3/9/2026 12:21	Barbara Cox	Temple Square Traffic Calming and Rerouting	I have long lived one block east of Temple Square and daily walk, semiweekly take transit, and weekly drive in the area. It is encouraging to see plans which promote pedestrian safety. I appreciate you pointing out in the February Council meeting that adjoining intersections like South Temple and State are dangerous for walkers. Although not a member of the LDS church, as a neighbor I have been grateful for their commitment to the physical and economic environment downtown. I am willing to put up with some inconvenience for the greater good. No doubt you are getting complaints, but your comments in the meeting seem to appropriately support this prudent plan to welcome visitors
3/9/2026 12:28	Caitlin Dawn Newland	Temple Square Street Closures	Good evening, I am against the temporary closure of streets around the temple. Traffic is already bad for my family trying to commute to work and school through downtown, and closing streets will make that even worse. Please do NOT close the streets! Thanks, Caitlin
3/9/2026 12:29	Linda Watkins	Temple Square Street Closures	No to closing those streets for that long. There are a lot of us who live here & have to transit this area twice daily a minimum of 5 days a week! We should not be inconvenienced bc the temple is reopening!!!! Linda Watkins 😊

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/9/2026 12:32	Joy Waldron	Nuisance properties	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. For years, residents have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. While we appreciate the city addressing nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Joy Waldron
3/9/2026 13:58	Anonymous Constituent	Richmond Park	There is a rapidly growing group setting up camp on the west side of Richmond Park. This cannot stay so early. There has been multiple people camping for weeks. Now residents have to deal with drugs, dealing, theft and harassment. This has to stop. It is completely not functional to have to walk over junkies passed out getting to and from a house. Having to deal with a growing rat problem because of garbage and human waste. Having to pick up needles before kids can play in the park. This cannot fucking happen this year. Again.
3/9/2026 16:19	Anne ruth Ruth Isaacson	Zoning Map Amendment – Approximately 256 East 300 South Petitioner: Emily Nelson, Fourier Architects (on behalf of the property owner) Council District 4	Dear City Council Members, Attached, please find my comments regarding the above referenced application. Also, depicted below in this email, are sketches showing how the revised, to scale renderings, in my comments, were constructed. I am unable to be there in person for Tuesday's City Council Session but will be able to view remotely. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Annie Anne Ruth Isaacson **Attachment 2 - 4 Pages
3/9/2026 16:23	Mary Ann Wright	Street Closures for Temple Square	Hello - I have concerns/comments about the proposed street closures: 1. It does not take into account the school year access to West High. The closure period is too long. 2. It does not adequately take into account all emergency traffic to and from the UU Hospital and the SLC North West side and airport. 3. It is too long of a time period. The summer non-school year period should be all that is allowed for street closures. 4. It does not adequately take access to the airport from the NE sector into account. 5. It is long past time for the City to have the LDS Church place North Temple Street underground from State Street to 300 West, as they have done in other cities with a religion headquarters clogging a major downtown area. Thank you, Mary Ann Wright
3/9/2026 16:25	Mark Allen Maxfield	Neighborhood nuisance issues	We love our neighbors and our neighborhood. We bought our first home on the street while both attending the UofU in 1984. We put in an automatic sprinkler system and had one of only a few green spaces on the block. There were many absentee landlords and party houses in the neighborhood. We tried to be part of the solution in positive ways and hosted a neighborhood party every year at the old Douglas Elementary School and served in Neighborhood Mobile Watch and on the executive boards of the Douglas Neighborhood Association and the East Central Community Council and on committees to deal with neighborhood parking issues etc. I also served on the School Board. We started engaging with landlords to deal with the party houses and ended up buying several of the properties on our street and would immediately add addendums to their leases requiring them to comply with the Good Landlord program or face eviction. We ended up evicting one group for failing to comply. The word soon got out to the balance of our tenants and we have not had to do so since. All of our neighbors have our contact information and appreciate our quick response. But recently we have received complaints from one particular neighbor around the corner who consistently contacts us at all hours complaining about some of our tenants only to find out that it was a neighbor on Douglas Street which was cited. My son who lives next to our rental goes outside and records the situation and the music levels. The noise levels have not been significant but he still goes over whenever necessary to ensure that all noise is eliminated from our tenants yards prior to 9:00 p.m. We still received a message from this neighbor at 3:27 a.m. even though it was the Douglas Street property. We support consequences for those who violate city ordinances and reasonable noise levels especially during daylight hours especially on game days etc.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/9/2026 16:26	Tom Smart	3/10/2026 Meeting: Nuisance Property and Unruly Gathering Enforcement	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, As you meet this March 10 to discuss potential enforcement actions on properties that have proven to be a nuisance to their neighbors over the years, I'd like to alert you to the persistent and growing assaults on neighborhood peace and safety experienced by permanent residents throughout the University Gardens neighborhood, just west of the U. of U. Many properties in this area have become de facto satellite fraternities and chronic party houses, frequently hosting large, late-night gatherings associated with unruly behavior including underage drinking, illegal drugs, public urination, extreme noise from outdoor PA systems, yelling, fighting, vandalism and more. Many neighbors have been trying for years to mitigate these problems by communicating with tenants, landlords, the university and the city, but the problems only continue to grow—even despite the recently enacted ordinance that purports to increase accountability for landlords when unruly gatherings occur. Some of us have been threatened, harassed, vandalized and even assaulted when attempting to quiet these gatherings as they happen. I understand that such problems are often considered lower priority for the purpose of police response, but please know that when the same unruly disruptions to our peace and safety happen over and over, year after year, the toll it takes on us is extreme. I, personally, would rather be mugged or assaulted once as opposed to being terrorized out of my peaceful sleep repeatedly for years. I urge you to take whatever actions you can to address this problem while there's still time, before the University Gardens and surrounding neighborhoods are completely and irrecoverably overwhelmed. We need stronger ordinances, stronger enforcement and assured accountability for both landlords and their tenants whenever these issues recur at a given property. Law-abiding permanent residents of this once-wonderful area deserve the promise of peaceful, safe enjoyment of their properties and their neighborhood. Sincerely, Thomas T. Smart</p>
3/9/2026 16:27	Brian LAWRENCE	Fwd: Nuisance with unruly parties march 10th	<p>Support for Stronger Enforcement for Nuisance ordinance Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. I am not able to attend in person however this is for the meeting. Tuesday, March 10 at 7:00 PM I have lived in this neighborhood for 57 years and lived at my current residence for the last 30 years. I have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Last week I tried to get help from the city Police Department starting at 11 o'clock at night and was not able to get any resolution till 1:45 in the morning. I tried to talk to the party, goes myself taking risk of being retaliated by inebriated college students. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. I have lost several friends and neighbors in the area due to complete frustration and liability on our street. In addition to the noise, there are so many people living in what used to be single-family homes they end up parking and driving all over the grass and turning it into a muddy mess. Some have even put concrete blocks on the parking strip to provide additional parking for their tenants. I keep watching the concrete blocks, getting wider and wider for more cars. I appreciate the city addressing nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Brian Lawrence</p>
3/9/2026 16:37	Steve Kaiser	Nuisance Ordinance	<p>Dear City Council, As a resident, I strongly support stronger enforcement of Salt Lake City's nuisance activity ordinance. Our neighborhood has experienced increasing issues with unruly gatherings, excessive noise, vandalism, and disruptive behavior. Residents have tried repeatedly to address these issues through normal channels, but without stronger enforcement and accountability, the problem continues to grow. Please ensure this ordinance includes strong enforcement tools, accountability for landlords with repeat nuisance properties, and increased penalties for repeat offenders. Residents deserve safe, peaceful neighborhoods. Trying to live here and raise our 1 year old child has increasingly become undoable. We are kept awake every few days by some party. In particular, living behind the apartments at REDACTED has been unbearable - it's every weekend. We've tried contacting the landlord a dozen times and they don't care. And they party in a garage behind the apartments that is very audible in our house and our baby's nursery. Something needs to change. I wish the school would help, but they only care about avoiding liability and don't care at all about being a decent neighbor. Thank you, Steve Kaiser</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/9/2026 16:39	Katie Pappas	Street Closures	Dear Council Members, I am writing to express my concern about the proposed street closures in 2027 for the reopening of the Salt Lake Temple. While I understand the desire to protect both visitors and residents moving around the area during this busy time, I believe closing streets around the temple for six months is excessive and borders on ridiculous. When the Notre-Dame de Paris reopened in 2024 the surrounding streets were closed for roughly one week. If they must extend this over six months, some possible options the LDS church could consider include a free timed reservation system and a bridge over the road. A sky walk from the conference center to temple square would be beneficial when they have their bi-annual conference as well. Isn't there an underground tunnel between the conference center and temple square? I'm sure this can be managed without disrupting everyone's lives. Thank you for considering my thought on this, Katie Pappas Salt Lake City
3/9/2026 16:58	Katrina Anderson	2027 Temple Square reopening	I understand the need to accommodate additional traffic for the opening, but this is a huge inconvenience for people who live and work downtown. Force people to take Trax or the bus. Ask them to pay for Trax passes and discourage people from driving. I live and work downtown and I shouldn't be impacted so much in my daily travels. For people who live downtown it will create additional traffic and congestion. It will also make it more unsafe for me to bike or walk with all the additional cars.
3/9/2026 21:13	Bruce Tremper	Recurring nuisance property ordinance	Dear City Council, My wife and I have lived in our house at REDACTED for 35 years, which is across the street from the University of Utah. All the houses on our street were single family residences, but many of them have turned into rentals, including houses on both sides of us, which have as many as seven non-related tenants. I strongly support stronger enforcement of Salt Lake City's nuisance activity ordinance. We have gotten to know our neighbors and tried to make sure they know about the 10pm noise ordinance, but there have been several times that their parties have escalated out of control until all hours of the night, sometimes tying up traffic University Street. We have called the police on several occasions, but there is no way to know if they responded, nor know whether the landlord was fined for the infraction. There should be increased penalties for repeat offenders. The problem will continue to grow in this neighborhood as the university grows. We may have to move if it gets too bad, but they should be the ones who have to move. Residents deserve safe, peaceful neighborhoods. Thanks, Bruce Tremper and Susi Hauser
3/10/2026 9:05	Susie Hauser	Voicemail to City Council	Hi, this is Susie Hauser calling. I'm not sure I'll be able to come to the city council meeting at seven tomorrow, but I did want to have some input on the, the landlord nuisance, the public nuisance comment. Let me see if I can find the exact name of this. Um, the exact bill, I think it is occurring nuisance properties ordinance that you're going to discuss at seven tomorrow night. Anyway, I do, I live in the university area, right on university street. And I really, really think that I express somewhat support for having strong enforcement of nuisance and unruly gatherings and I think that hold that new law where the landlords are held accountable. I think that needs to that's been, I think it's been effective in some areas, but I've heard also that sometimes the police don't come and so then a referral was not made to the landlord and and I think there needs to be increasing consequences for repeat offenders and perhaps, you know, increase support for the police, because I think there are only two police officers and they have a large area and they, you know, and I, with the university being across the street. I mean, isn't there any way that you could join with the campus safety people and maybe work together? But since these are students that are actually causing the nuisance around the university, and just so, you know, I, I love being in a vibrant neighborhood. But when when it's two in the morning, and the kids are drunk in the alleyway and yelling, and, you know, it does it is rather annoying. So I do want strong enforcement. I know I've gone on and on. I will try to come to the meeting, but I, I think you'll find that a lot of people that live in this area are gonna be supportive of these actions. Okay. Thanks so much. Bye.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 9:15	Anonymous Constituent	Voicemail to City Council	<p>Yes, I'm calling regarding the meeting tonight, March 10th. I can't make it, but everything you've written about what we're supposed to consider and what are our opinions. First of all, everything is correct. This city has gone to hell in a handbasket. Tenants, landlords, officials, nobody is mitigating anything. Nobody's really doing anything because number one, the police won't do it, period. But even prior to that, if you don't start enforcing the laws that are already on the books, you will never get to where you want to go, i.e., number one, every single landlord in this entire county or city must have a business license to operate, even with one unit or 100. It doesn't matter. Not one of you guys have ever enforced getting a business license. You've never shown anybody how to do it, where to do it, what to do. You don't even allow us to have a good landlord class anymore because of a million reasons. So therefore, we can't even get a business license that would be at least affordable and then if someone does get a business license, you've never once ever, and I've had one for years, never once seen if it's up to date, never once enforced a law. We have no way to control what goes on. And if you're not going to enforce, laws are in the books. I've been to city council years ago, and I was yesterday. I live on a street right now, and I'm being charged for streetlights every single month of my life. We have never once, repeat, ever had a streetlight. I've talked to from city council, the world, okay, you don't enforce a law, and you decide because we shouldn't have one, but we do, but we really don't. There's one out on Poteau Boulevard, but again, you can pass all the laws and everything is really getting worse. The theft is unbelievable here. The police have no way, nor do they try to enforce anything, but if you don't start with enforcing the law, then you can enforce, meaning not enforcing the law, you need to do that at all times with everybody for every reason. When it comes to landlord-tenants and the garbage that's here and the houses that get to be put up in the historic district that are ultra-modern, who have they paid off? I know that for a fact. So you better start with the very first thing. Everybody must have a business license, then the police will be able to enforce it. They can't enforce it unless the city office down there on State Street, you know who you are, go out and enforce it. You yelled and screamed at me when I came in for something else, do you have a business license? I'm the only one in the whole world I know that has one, and I've turned in hundreds of people. I've yet to see them ever have to be forced to get a business license. Why don't you start at the beginning? Because landlords will do whatever they want, but you can kick them out within the next time their tenant's lease is up, if they don't have their business license, they are no longer allowed to rent, ever, okay, until they show up with a business license, then they'll be last on the list. It's so clear, it's so simple, it's so easy. It would have been easy also, much easier, much better, to have made 9th East, excuse me, 11th East, one way, from 9th to 21st, going north and south, and 13th, same streets, from 900 south to 2100 south, going the other direction. Why? You've got 5th and 6th that works beautifully, but you come across, or up against, all these things that don't make any sense, you've destroyed our city, and now you're making it worse because you just simply won't enforce laws, why? Because you don't make people get laws that are already in the books. Over and over and over, the problem starts with enforcement of what you already have. Give the police the law, and say, go out and enforce it, or whatever department it is. Please don't let me see people buying drugs every day in the park, that's another issue. But it still comes down to, I've never seen a police officer enforce a single crosswalk life, a single crosswalk law. You don't need a stupid flag, that's not a law. And every single crosswalk that's marked, must be enforced, where the cars must stop. They don't know that, why? Because the police have never stopped anybody, and given them a huge ticket. I still see people parked in handicapped, that should be a problem. Okay, I'm done, because it's the same old problem, the same old thing, the same old time. My recording is done, but I really want this city to enforce laws we already have, don't put ones on the books, if you can't enforce, and advise people of what the law is.</p>
3/10/2026 10:55	Colette Tony Rock	Support for stronger enforcement of nuisance activity ordinance	<p>Dear Council Members, I am writing as a 35 year resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. For years, we have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. While we appreciate the city addressing the nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City to help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Colette Rock</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 10:58	Rocky Anderson 1/2	Recurring Nuisance Properties Ordinance	<p>March 9, 2026 Dear Members of the City Council and Mayor Mendenhall: I understand that the City Council will be considering an ordinance relating to nuisance properties, which will include those properties where extremely loud gatherings are held, severely disturbing the peaceful enjoyment of others' homes in the neighborhood. I've lived at my home at 418 Douglas Street since 1994 and have, until recent years, loved the neighborhood. After the past few years, with more and more formerly family homes now filled with unrelated people (mostly "students" at the University of Utah), with irresponsible absentee landlords, and with obnoxious, rude, crude tenants and those who attend their parties (who care nothing about the neighbors or the neighborhood), the neighborhood has deteriorated tremendously and the quality of life has been degraded beyond belief. I'm certain property values have been adversely affected as well. I can't imagine anyone with children living through what we've experienced these past few years. Among many other things, during the past few years I have personally witnessed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An obviously drunk young man urinating in front of dozens of young men and women on an open front yard (I've heard many other accounts of public urination in connection with drunken parties in the neighborhood); • Hordes of drunken young people screaming drunkenly down the middle of the street and on our sidewalks, littering front yards as they go by, on several occasions; • A young man, accompanied by two other young people, drunkenly screaming at the top of his lungs the following antisemitic chant: "The Jews, the Jews, Fuck the Jews, Fuck the Jews, the Jews control everything, Fuck the Jews." When I yelled my own profanities at him and physically pursued him, the three ran into a house and turned out the lights. After I reported the incident, a police officer called and told me she had stopped by the house the next day and no one answered. Case closed. • Numerous drunken parties with many people attending, keeping me and other neighbors up until after mid-night and 1 or 2 a.m. Usually, calls to SLCPD dispatch are unavailing, leaving me with no option (if I want to get any sleep) other than to put my own safety at risk and intervene on my own, with a real risk of violence. I've shut down a few extremely loud parties by going to them by myself and screaming at them. I'd never do that if I thought the police would do their job and put an end to the frequent loud, drunken parties. • Following outrageous parties at homes in our neighborhood, there is often an incredible amount of litter. The tenants at 423 Douglas Street, one of several homes on our block that have held parties keeping me awake until 1 a.m. or later, kept party litter on their front lawn for two full weeks following the last home football game—even after one of them was asked to clean the place up. (Until about four years ago, that home, like so many others, was owned by a woman who had raised her family there. Now, with the neighborhood becoming a party ghetto, the home is inhabited by tenants who host crude, loud, drunk parties that are an enraging nuisance to our neighborhood.) • On Friday February 27 and early morning Saturday February 28, there were two parties, separated by only a few hours, at the 423 Douglas home. The earlier one broke up when I yelled at them and I was promised by a tenant that there would be no more parties at the house if I wouldn't pursue a nuisance action against the landlord. At 1 a.m., I was awakened by another party at the same address, with a large group of drunk young people yelling so loudly I could hear them from the back of my home. I have an audio recording (which I'd love to share with you) of the extremely loud noise and of me going to where they were (barefooted and wearing what I'd worn to bed) and yelling at them. That's what it took for them to break up the party, with profuse, drunken apologies.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 10:58	Rocky Anderson 2/2	CONTINUED!! Recurring Nuisance Properties Ordinance	<p>I was recently trading stories with a neighbor about us walking our dogs, who far too often approach puddles of human vomit left by drunken young partiers in our neighborhood. Scooters blocking the sidewalks, puddles of vomit, broken bottles and other party litter now define in large part the experience of what it is to walk in my neighborhood. Truly, that's what it is to live in this degraded neighborhood these days, thanks to the lack of enforcement of laws by the police and by the person employed by the City who is supposed to process warning letters and fines for landlords of properties where unruly parties are held. Dozens of neighbors, including me, are frequently doing the jobs that the SLCPD and the City should be doing to patrol, report, and try to put an end to obscenely loud and obnoxious parties attended by young people who clearly don't care about their impacts on neighbors and our neighborhoods. We share messages frequently about loud drunken gatherings and about the usual lack of enforcement by police officers. (There have been some admirable exceptions, but far too few and infrequent.) One is left totally baffled as to why the police do not, once and for all, nip this problem in the bud by aggressively patrolling and enforcing the laws on nights when partying is foreseeable and provide the evidence and other information necessary for warnings to landlords and fines. It has been apparent that many police officers are not properly trained regarding the several tools available to enforce against the parties that are so significantly undermining our quality of life. Also, why are obviously drunken, obnoxious young people not arrested for underage drinking, possession by a minor, public intoxication, disturbing the peace, vandalism, littering, and drunk driving when it happens so frequently and is clearly illegal? Also, why in the world did the City eliminate progressive fines against the tenants of homes where loud parties are being held? When I was mayor, we assessed progressively larger fines against tenants AND landlords. We aggressively enforced – and it worked. Every City Council member and the Mayor, as well as the Chief of Police, should review the neighbors' reports, photos, videos, and audio recordings on the WhatsApp account on which we share our communications. They tell a harrowing story of a neighborhood and quality of life being destroyed—and the City's utter failure to do its job in enforcing the law and effectively deterring the frequent out-of-control noisy drunken parties. With the permission of others communicating on that account, I'd be pleased to provide the reports, photos, videos, and audio recordings to members of the news media. I urge that every possible tool be provided by the City to put this nightmare to an end. (And please require only two, and not three, outrageous noisy parties for a nuisance action to be commenced.) And please make certain that those who are responsible for returning and maintaining peace in our neighborhoods, including the police and the person responsible for warning/fining landlords, do their job aggressively and effectively. Thank you for finally focusing on this serious problem and helping to devise remedies that can restore our neighborhoods. Sincerely, Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson</p>
3/10/2026 11:00	Sunny Strasburg	Support for Stronger Enforcement of Nuisance Activity Ordinance	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. We live on the block between third and fourth south and 1200 east. For years, residents have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. We often hear people revving motorcycles and driving over the speed limit, women screaming and fights breaking out late at night. While we appreciate the city addressing nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Martin and Sunny Stensaas</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 11:01	Joe Arnold	Nuisance properties.	<p>I have lived in the university Historic District for 35 years. Never have we had as many problems with noise and unruly households as we do now--many of them affiliated with fraternities and sororities. This issue has very much negatively impacted the quality of life here and our property values. It used to be our neighborhood was considered a desirable place to live (Douglas Street in particular). Now we are experiencing what I would call reverse gentrification. I believe part of the problem is that the University Of Utah cracked down on the fraternities near campus because of this sort of behavior and they have offloaded these problems to satellite houses in our neighborhood. This is unacceptable. How this issue typically manifest itself is that a party will start early to mid-afternoon at one address with lots of underage drinking, noise (loud penetrating bass that goes through all the surrounding houses and you cannot get away from for hours), garbage, and beer pong tables set up in the front yard. If the cops come, they don't cite them... They simply tell them to turn it down. (This may be partly a misunderstanding on the part of the police, who are perhaps unaware of the Unruly Property ordinance, and look at the issue more as a "noise issue". It is not. It is an unruly household and needs to be cited under the existing ordinance.) Since this may be during the afternoon (or before 10:00 PM), they don't issue a citation, they just say please turn it down, you're bothering your neighbors. (I don't know why the hell they are not ticketing for all the under-age drinking ☹️). If the police do shut that address down, the problem metastasizes to another satellite frat house and starts up there... With a response time of sometimes several hours for the police, this quickly becomes a losing whack-a-mole game that goes later and later into the evening and results in hordes of very drunk students yelling and screaming obscenities as they walk up and down our streets after midnight. (let me know if you want me to demonstrate what that is like in front of your own homes). As council members, I want you to take a moment to pause and think about what it would be like to plan on being woken several times during the week by drunk kids yelling and screaming obscenities as they walk in front of your house at two in the morning, as the parties break up... many of them also getting into cars and driving drunk through your neighborhood. To fix this, we need the police to start doing their jobs under the existing Unruly house ordinance and citing the the landlords--not simply telling these students to turn it down and then going away for them to then turn it back up or move to another address to start up again. Out of all the numerous calls that have been made to the police over this issue, there have been only six actual citations resulting in fines to the landlord. That is completely unacceptable. Because of this, there is a group of us that are considering legal action if this problem does not immediately change significantly for the better. There are hundreds of homes in this area negatively affected by this issue and their combined value is easily north of \$100 million dollars. This situation we feel is related to: 1. A failure of enforcement on the part of the City and 2. Negligence on the part of the University Of Utah in the handling of the fraternal organizations. It may compel us to file lawsuits against both the City and the University of Utah seeking damages for our lost property values and the negative impacts on our lives. This could result in potentially tens of millions of dollars in damages sought. Please make this ordinance better and most importantly, please start enforcing it by providing adequate education to the police officers involved so that they quickly move to citations as appropriate, as well as citations under existing laws for other illegal activities occurring on the sites. Thank you, Joe Arnold</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 11:03	Stacie Lawrence	Nuisance Ordinance March 10th for Discussion	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a concerned resident about the ongoing unruly parties and nuisance activity in our neighborhood. After living here for 33 years, I can say without hesitation that the behavior has become more intense, more disrespectful, and at times genuinely dangerous. Over the years I have witnessed underage drinking, students running into traffic, drunken driving accidents, and "honk and we drink" signs encouraging reckless behavior on our streets. I have seen three separate cars crashes into the maple trees and bushes in front of our home, with intoxicated students stumbling away and abandoning their vehicles on our lawn to avoid a DUI. One of those trees—the one my children built a treehouse in—was eventually removed by the city because the repeated damage from these accidents killed it. A few years ago, my grandfather, a proud University of Utah alumnus, walked these blocks with me. As we stepped over beer cans and tried to ignore the shouting, profanity, and racial slurs coming from gatherings along University Street across from the Law School, he said bluntly, "This neighborhood sure has gone downhill." It was painful to hear, because this is the neighborhood where my husband and I chose to live after graduating from the University of Utah, and where we have raised our family. It is the neighborhood we have loved. But it is becoming harder to love. Neighbors I care deeply about have been called slurs, threatened, and had their homes egged or otherwise damaged by intoxicated partygoers. Our own home was egged after we politely asked a nearby party to lower the noise. That gathering later escalated into drugs, fighting, blaring music, and students stumbling to their cars at 2:00 AM to drive away intoxicated. Our sprinkling system has been pulled out of the ground by intoxicated students. And our yard has become a stop and puke space for drunken students. On another night, while trying to sleep, I overheard a student get run over in the driveway next door by another drunk student. The victim laughed it off, saying they were too drunk to feel anything. But when that same car hit our iron fence, I was certainly awake. This behavior persists in part because the system meant to address it is not working for residents. When police have responded—often after multiple calls reporting underage drinking, fighting, drugs, public urination, and large unruly parties—they have sometimes apologized to the party hosts while asking if a fight had occurred. I personally overheard this. Meanwhile, the party of forty or more people continued at 1:30 in the morning. It went on for another hour. I did not sleep that night. For context, we also live just six doors down from the house where a stabbing years ago took the life of a University of Utah football player. These situations are not hypothetical concerns—they are real safety risks. The behavior can also be deeply disrespectful. I have come home to find a college-aged man urinating directly next to my car, in front of my passenger window. When my husband asked him to stop, the student replied that he "couldn't stop once he started." The yard beside our driveway has effectively become a public restroom for partygoers when a single bathroom cannot accommodate the dozens—sometimes close to a hundred—people drinking at these gatherings. For years, residents have tried to resolve these problems through conversations with tenants, landlords, and city departments. Despite those efforts, the situation has only escalated and continues to erode the safety, livability, and basic dignity of our neighborhood. I have had great neighbors and friends who have left the neighborhood to reclaim their sanity and sleep. Our closest neighbor had her halloween decorations stolen and destroyed, only to show up decorating the opposite party neighbors home. The senselessness of allowing this to continue has left my husband and I, with one single family home left on our street. All of our best neighbors and friends have left our block due to the ongoing and UNRULY behaviors, only perpetuating the problem when absent landlords rent to these students causing such nuisances. While I appreciate the City's efforts to address nuisance activity through ordinance, stronger and more consistent enforcement is clearly needed. Without meaningful consequences, the behavior simply continues. I urge the City Council to strengthen enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeated problems at their properties, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure that police and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can on our own. We now need the City's help to restore safety, accountability, and peace in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Stacie Lawrence Kindergarten Teacher, Salt Lake City School District Mom and Wife</p>
3/10/2026 11:05	Tony Rock	Please Strengthen Nuisance Enforcement	<p>As a 35 year resident, I strongly support stronger enforcement of Salt Lake City's nuisance activity ordinance. Our neighborhood has experienced increasing issues with unruly gatherings, excessive noise, vandalism, and disruptive behavior. Residents have tried repeatedly to address these issues through normal channels, but without stronger enforcement and accountability, the problem continues to grow. Please ensure this ordinance includes strong enforcement tools, accountability for landlords with repeat nuisance properties, and increased penalties for repeat offenders. Residents deserve not to have to pick up bottles of pee every weekend. Residents deserve to be able to sleep at night. Residents deserve not to have to beg the police to come and help with these transitory students who contribute nothing to the community and violate so many laws. Residents deserve safe, peaceful neighborhoods. Sincerely, Tony Rock</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 11:05	Ashley Anderson	Road closure constituent feedback	Hello all and thank you for your service on the City Council, I apologize that I cannot attend the public hearing, but I want to pass along some feedback from the neighbors I serve in Precinct 3 of the Salt Lake City School District. I appreciate your consideration as you manage a large and exciting event! A handful of neighbors have shared initial concerns about the potential impacts to student transportation for school routes, city routes used by students, roads used by individual commuters, as well as pedestrian safety. Potential closures may have impacts on the following school routes: • Students from Guadalupe/Fairpark who take a school bus to Bryant Middle • Students from Capitol Hill who commute to Bryant Middle because they do not qualify for a school bus • Students who are bussed to West High from the Avenues for ELP, high school, and athletics • Students who currently take UTA Route 1, 2, or 200 to Ensign, Wasatch, Open Classroom, Bryant, and SLCSE • Students who walk to Washington Elementary In addition to in-boundary impacts, out-of-boundary families will need the support of the City to manage road closures that may impact their ease of attending school whether they arrive Precinct 3 by bus, car, bike, or foot. As you know, declining enrollment and chronic absenteeism are two of the largest challenges for the Salt Lake City School District. I know that meaningful support from the City can ensure that alternative routes are available and attention is paid to the ways that thousands of students commute to school each day, many crossing Temple Square on their way. It is not clear to me what all potential impacts to these routes will be, if any, but I have confidence you will study carefully what mitigation can best support kids and young adults safely accessing school each day. I know that a meeting to discuss this topic further, among other leadership topics, is being held later this spring. In the meantime, I appreciate you taking input via email and in a public hearing. I have encouraged the neighbors reaching out to me to also share their opinions with you and appreciate the transparency surrounding this decision. Ashley Anderson
3/10/2026 11:06	Travis Starley	Zoning Map Amendment 256 East 300 South **Attachment 3 - 4 Pages	Council Members, On behalf of the Central City Neighborhood Council (CCNC), please find attached our letter regarding the proposed Zoning Map Amendment for the property at approximately 256 East 300 South. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Travis Starley Central City Neighborhood Council Land Use Committee
3/10/2026 12:52	Tammy SMITH	Neighborhood Safety and Unruly Gathering Enforcement	City Council Members, I am writing to express my support for stronger enforcement of Salt Lake City's nuisance activity ordinance, particularly regarding unruly gatherings and repeated disruptive behavior. As a homeowner in the East Central neighborhood for over 20 years, I have noted an increase in large parties which spill into the street, resulting in excessive late night noise, vandalism, and unsafe behavior over the past several years. Neighbors have made every effort to establish relationships with the tenants and landlords of these residences rather than engage law enforcement, yet problem houses are increasingly emboldened, recognizing that Salt Lake City enforcement and accountability has no teeth. Not only do these unruly gatherings disrupt the peace, but they pose real safety concerns. Requiring law enforcement to witness the behavior on more than one occasion before any penalty is levied is clearly problematic. Law enforcement is overtaxed and may have more pressing concerns; furthermore, their presence may escalate a situation rather than have the desired effect of restoring the peace. Any ordinance should consider allowing for video evidence or corroborating accounts from 3 or more neighbors as supporting evidence rather than further burdening law enforcement. Please ensure that this ordinance includes strong enforcement tools, accountability for landlords with repeat nuisance properties, and increased penalties for repeat offenders. All residents deserve safe, peaceful neighborhoods- we need the city's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Tammy Smith- East Central Neighborhood
3/10/2026 12:53	Rhonda DEVEREAUX	Temple Square Street Closures	The neighbors bear the burden of these potential street closures. We have been already been inundated with closures/partial closures for a long time due to the extensive timeline of this renovation. It seems quite unfair to subject us to additional closures. Increased times for emergency vehicles to navigate to our neighborhood, slower exit from the neighborhood which is particularly troubling in an emergency, general frustration in trying to get anywhere, particularly during rush hour, from the Capitol Hill neighborhood. This is all for another extended period of time. Definitely not in our community's best interest. The church has many avenues to reduce the number of people coming to visit at once. Timed entry, must have a ticket or reservation for a time slot. Reducing the amount of people daily, extending daily visiting hours. They can take a year to celebrate the renovations to accommodate everyone. The church is well versed in managing large crowds as they do that 2 times a year already. Hate to say it, but it feels like the beginning of a push to have a permanent 'Vatican' like space for the church. I'm sure the city could use the \$\$\$ offered to off set costs, but in the end, our neighborhood loses. Rhonda Devereaux
3/10/2026 13:29	Marley sage Sage Gable	Proposed Street Closures Near Temple Square	I am strongly in favor. Every data set we have on street closures in that neighborhood speaks to it becoming more foot centric as a win win win.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 14:14	Jim Jenkin	Proposed N. Temple Closures	Dear Council members, Having served two terms on the Transportation Advisory Board, I feel moved to respectfully point out to the Council that closure of North Temple will exacerbate existing bottlenecks (explanation follows) effectively trapping residents in the high density zones between A Street and Canyon Road, and centered on 3rd Avenue, in their neighborhoods during periods of closure. Likewise impeded will be residents of Canyon Road, and City Creek users. Explanation of current conditions: 1. 3rd Avenue is one way to the West and these residents have no other exit. 2. 3rd Avenue drains all traffic to Canyon Road, which drains to 2nd Avenue. Westbound 2nd Avenues becomes N. Temple at State Street. 3. the lack of a protected left turn from Westbound 2nd Avenue to State Street saturates the holding lanes of 2nd Avenue at State at traffic peaks. 4. the installation of an inadequately sized traffic circle at State Street and 300 North, which fails when traffic is present at all entries, routinely backs up Northbound State as far as 2nd and State. 5. an unprotected left turn from Canyon Road onto Eastbound 2nd Avenue is the only other exit from Canyon Road. During closure intervals this will likely be exacerbated to the point it will prevent exit for these residents without police intervention and long delays. Residents will, and should, find this situation unacceptable. The LDS Church leadership has the right to make a big spectacle out of the Temple reopening, but surely, with their vast resources, they can find a way to do so without such extensive negative impacts to City residents. If the closure becomes a reality, I hope the City can effectively provide relief to these residents, as well as others. Yours Sincerely, Jim Jenkin
3/10/2026 14:15	Rocky Anderson	Recurring Nuisance Properties Ordinance - additional comment	I just saw the documents Esther sent out today. Apparently, the Council is removing excessive noise from what will be considered a nuisance in the proposed new ordinance! And one document indicates the ordinance will apply only to businesses. After the City has done such an incompetent job enforcing the applicable laws, and after receiving the news that only 5 fines have been levied against landlords, this is an utter outrage. We can't just sit back and allow this to continue. The Mayor, the Council, the SLCPD, and the person who is charged with sending warning letters and fines to landlords are complicit in the continuing destruction of the quality of life in our neighborhoods. Rocky
3/10/2026 14:16	Judith WARNER	Unruly Gatherings, City Council meeting tonight	Subject: Support for Stronger Enforcement of Nuisance Activity Ordinance Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. For years, residents have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. As a 30+ year resident of the University district, I can attest to the worsening behavior and frequency of such. I have had 3 windows broken, 2 in the last year. I have been awoken at 2 am with noise from parties and marauding hordes of men. While I appreciate the city addressing nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. I would like to see enforcement with individuals in addition to landlords. I would also like to see real discussions with the University of Utah about their creation of and complicity in this crisis. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood. Sincerely, Judith Warner University neighborhood
3/10/2026 14:19	Anne ruth Ruth Isaacson	Updated Comments Provided in hard copy this morning **Attachment 2 - Same as previously submitted comment by same constituent.	Thank you for providing clarification regarding the modification to the Wade lot submission for the requested upzone., that they are now requesting a maximum 225' building. I have updated my comments, printed them out for each council member and dropped them at the City Council office this morning. Attached are electronic versions for the record. Thank you, Annie
3/10/2026 14:20	Jason Cowan	The Residences at Foothill in support	Hello Booke Olson, My name is Jason Cowan and my wife Kristin and I live in the St. Mary's neighborhood on Devonshire. We support The Residences at Foothill because we believe it will improve the area and provide additional condominium housing on the east bench. The current parking lot and rental homes are much less appealing to the area. Traffic is always a concern, but I know the proper traffic patterns will allow additional residents in that area to flow smoothly onto the already extremely busy Foothill Drive. Please let me know if you have any questions. All my best, Jason Cowan

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 14:21	Anthony Wright	1300s 2300e rezone	The East Bench Community Council is in support of the proposed rezone on 1300s. The applicants have gone out of their way to meet with the community, make themselves available, and address concerns. The primary concern of the community was regarding ingress and egress into 1300s. The applicants have updated drawings to show additional ingress and egress onto 2300e which if finalized in a development agreement would help elevate those concerns.
3/10/2026 14:22	Esther Hunter	Recurring Nuisance Properties **Attachment 3 - Same as earlier commenter	Attached please see the letter on behalf of the East Central Community Council. Thank you.
3/10/2026 14:23	Joe Arnold	Additional comments after reading the proposed changes to the nuisance ordinance.	<p>After reading the proposed changes to the current ordinance, I have some real concerns. First, they've done away with the issues of noise and parking entirely. WHY? Also problematic: "A property or business is presumed a nuisance if; • There are 3 or more incidents of nuisance conduct or serious violent incidents in 180 days".... You don't think these kids know how to use a spreadsheet and plan their parties at the multiple locations throughout our neighborhood to avoid any sort of citations??? 🤔 "There are 5 or more calls for service for nuisance conduct at a business in 30 days. This presumption can be rebutted if the responsible party demonstrates that it took all reasonable steps, including implementing the remedial measures directed by the city, to prevent a recurrence."... five or more calls??? and what are reasonable steps that they can use to demonstrate that they are "trying" to comply??? What sort of giveaway is that to property owners or businesses? "Once a nuisance is declared, the City may issue an administrative citation that: • List the incidents and violations • Sets required corrective actions and timelines; and • Explains available remedies the City may pursue if corrective action is not taken, • Advises the responsible party of their appeal rights"... seems like this is doing a whole lot of bending over backwards to accommodate the offending landlord or the business rather than the current warning and then citation, with fine that we currently have. 😊 "If the responsible party fails to demonstrate compliance, enter into an abatement plan, or pursue an appeal, the city may impose civil fines or pursue other enforcement actions as outlined in the citation. Should the responsible party appeal the citation, they will be provided with notice and an opportunity for a hearing before the city's Administrative Appeal Officer, during which they can contest the city's findings and any associated fines or required corrective actions." ... this seems like a whole lotta "ifs"and / or buts a person or business can go through before there is any sort of actual fine. It puts a great deal of distance between the violation and the consequence. Never a good thing. The example given at the end is for a business and deserves to be looked at in complete form, so I have copied it here: "Example: Convenience Store with Chronic Loitering, Drug Activity, and Litter Scenario A neighborhood convenience store with the following experiences: • Regular loitering and suspected street-level drug transactions outside the front entrance. • Public drinking and harassment of passers-by litter (bottles, wrappers, etc.) spilling into the public sidewalks • More than five calls for service in 30 days related to disorderly behavior and suspected drug activity • Refusal from the business owner to work with the city How the ordinance applies Because there are five or more calls for service for nuisance conduct in 30 days, the store is presumed to be a nuisance business. The city issues an administrative citation and requires the owner to show remedial steps already taken or to enter a nuisance abatement plan. Possible remedial measures include • Adding site lighting and cameras at all entry points and/or in the parking area or; • Hiring or assigning staff/security to actively manage loitering and report crime • Installing signage prohibiting loitering, public drinking, and drug activity • Adjusting product mix or store layout to reduce problematic activity • Increasing trash receptacles and frequency of clean-ups around the store If the owner refuses to cooperate or repeatedly fails to meet plan obligations, the city may escalate to fines, and if the business/property owner refuses to cooperate with the city, the city may seek potentially temporary closure or license revocation if problems persist." This is a hell of a lot of gates to jump over to get anything done, especially when it comes to our neighborhood situation where we have metastasizing parties, moving during the same night from one location to another. I am not sure these changes help at all. 🤔 😊... also, why are the Police not citing the students with under age drinking and DUIs? This seems to be excusing the Police further from doing their job and giving lots of potential "outs" to any offenders of the ordinance. Joe Arnold</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 14:24	Wyatt Millar	Meeting Regarding Noise Ordinance 3/10/26	To whom it may concern, This message represents Wyatt Millar, Rishi Madhireddy, Carson Pollock, and James Williams. We are residents of REDACTED in Salt Lake City. It has been brought to our attention that the Salt Lake City Council is reconsidering noise ordinances regarding alleged late-night parties and excessive noise. While there must be rules and a right to quiet hours, we feel that increased ordinances unfairly target tenants who follow these rules. As residents, we possess the right to gather and socialize on private property outside of established quiet hours. Given that the undergraduate experience is formative period of our lives, we deserve the opportunity to form a community with other students through gatherings and barbecues. In our personal experience, we have created fantastic memories and developed incredible friendships by inviting friends and neighbors into our home. The gatherings we host possess zero malicious intent, but create safe and welcoming environments. Our home has garnered a positive reputation in the past couple months, and we would like to continue to create a safe space. Lastly, it is pertinent to consider the timing of this meeting. This meeting is held during spring break, so a disproportionately low number students are available to represent themselves. Noise ordinances are valuable in protecting the quality of life in any community, but once they over step their bounds it negatively impacts the social relationships between community members. Sincerely, Wyatt Millar, Rishi Madhireddy, Carson Pollock, and James Williams
3/10/2026 14:25	Catherine Frolich	Public Comment for City Council Meeting 3/10	Greetings, I am close acquaintances with some current residents in this HOA community as well as a caregiver for a family in the same community. I am aware that this area draws in a great community of newly weds, college students, and other young folks who are starting out their independent lives. I am also aware that the current "quiet hours" for this area is 10pm. Myself and my close friends who live here completely abide by these rules and the laws. We safely and legally have small gatherings with our close friends, enjoying the community together by having barbecues or playing board games, etc. We do not partake in loud outdoor music, illegal activities, or disruptive behavior. We simply are exercising our right to community. It would be extremely isolating and dampening to this community that so many love to ban the innocent, friendly community building that goes on in this area. If we are respecting the rules and laws that tell us to have everything done and over by 10pm, there should not be punishment. This is an extremely rash decision that would upset and cause mental health issues in the area. Most young, newcomers are searching for that sense of belonging and community, and taking that away and banning innocent fun would be unfair and cruel. I understand not all people follow the current rules, and I believe that they should be the ones facing the punishments as it is their doing. Becoming stricter on the preexisting rules seems like a fair compromise for all in this community. I sincerely hope you take a second to consider these words and the words from the rest of the community. Thank you
3/10/2026 14:25	Morgan McBride	HOA Noise Ordinance	Hi!! My name is Morgan and I go to the University of Utah! I heard that there is a meeting to get the noise ordinance changed from 10pm to 7pm. Personally, I think that 7 pm is very unreasonable and it should at least stay till 9 or something!! I understand noise can be annoying to neighbors but I think that 10 pm is a completely reasonable time and shouldn't be changed!!! Thanks, Morgan McBride
3/10/2026 14:26	James Larkin	Changing the quiet hours	Dear Council I have friends that don't get back from school until after 7pm moving quiet hours means they will never be able to host gatherings in their backyard, this is killing community in your community.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 14:27	Aaron MAXFIELD	Neighborhood Concerns Regarding Student Tenants	<p>To whom it my concern, My name is Aaron Maxfield. I grew up on the REDACTED in Salt Lake City, and I have owned rental properties in the area for over 10 years. During that time, I have always made it a priority to place responsible, respectful tenants in my properties. Some of the best tenants I have had the privilege of renting to have been students. Over the past six months, I have received a number of calls and text messages reporting that my tenants were hosting loud and disruptive parties. Each time I received one of these complaints, I responded immediately to investigate the situation. In nearly every case, I found that there was little to no disturbance. In several instances, the complaints were made as early as 4 or 5 in the afternoon. I want to be clear that I am strongly opposed to any behavior that interferes with a neighbor's right to peacefully enjoy their property. In fact, I have evicted tenants in the past for conduct that crossed that line. However, what I have observed in recent months does not appear to be an issue of loud or disrespectful parties. Instead, it seems that students themselves are being unfairly targeted.</p> <p>Students have the same right as any other resident to gather in their backyard and spend time with friends, provided they are doing so respectfully and within the law. Living just one or two blocks from one of the most outstanding universities in Utah naturally comes with some challenges, but overall it has been a positive and vibrant part of our neighborhood. Of course, loud and disruptive parties late at night should be addressed. However, targeting or criticizing students who are simply gathering and following the rules is neither fair nor productive. In my experience, the Salt Lake City police have done an excellent job responding to complaints—issuing citations when appropriate and also recognizing when no laws or ordinances are being violated. I will continue to do everything I can to ensure my properties are well-managed and that our neighborhood continues to grow and improve. Thank you for your time. Best regards, Aaron Maxfield</p>
3/10/2026 14:32	Laura Rae Thomas	Temple Square Street Closures	<p>Hello, I'm not able to attend the meeting, but I want to share my feedback. I don't mind the roads being closed to car traffic - it makes sense considering the number of visitors who will be in the area and their safety. However, I do take issue with the closure to foot traffic on the roads around the temple. Our city streets shouldn't be closed off to pedestrians for such a long period of time. Best, Laura Thomas</p>
3/10/2026 15:03	Morgan Kearney	Student Perspective on Recurring Nuisance Properties Ordinance	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is Morgan Kearney, and I am a university student who has lived in this neighborhood for the past three years. During that time, my roommates and I have always tried to be respectful of our neighbors and maintain positive relationships with the people who live around us. I understand that there have been issues with some late-night parties and disruptive gatherings, and I agree that those situations should be addressed. However, I hope the Council will also consider that many students in the neighborhood are responsible and make a real effort to follow the rules. Most of us simply want to live in a safe and respectful environment while we attend school. It would be unfortunate if policies meant to address a few problematic properties ended up unfairly punishing all students who occasionally have small, lawful get-togethers while still respecting noise ordinances and community expectations. I hope the City can find a balanced solution that addresses truly disruptive behavior while still allowing responsible students to live normally and be part of the neighborhood community.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and for considering the perspective of students who are trying to be good neighbors. Sincerely, Morgan Kearney</p>
3/10/2026 15:22	Luke DeNezza	Noise Ordinance	<p>Hello, I am a friend of some tenants and I am emailing regarding the potential noise ordinance being voted on tonight. I personally believe that noise ordinances should not affect respectful tenants. The tenants I am aquatinted with are college students who are very respectful of their home, their school, and their neighbors. These students are exemplary and what they do and deserve to have friends over every now and then.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your consideration and I hope you have a great rest of your day. -Luke DeNezza</p>
3/10/2026 16:02	Phil Carroll	Street Closures for SL Temple Open House	<p>Understanding that the street closures will cause difficulties in east and west travel north of South Temple I support the closures. My concern is that the City and the LDS Church anticipates the possibility of demonstrations. I really don't know how likely or not this might happen, but a plan should be in place. It is the nature of the world we live in.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 16:53	Kai SHAYS	Formal Objection to Proposed Quiet Hour Changes	<p>To the Salt Lake City Council, I am writing as a supportive friend and long-term guest of the residents at REDACTED. It has come to our attention that the HOA is considering shortening the standard window for social activity by moving quiet hours earlier than the established norms of our city and state. On behalf of Wyatt, Rishi, Carson, and James, I am urging the board to maintain a schedule that aligns with the standard 10:00 PM to 7:00 AM window used by Salt Lake City and Salt Lake County. Imposing a more restrictive "curfew" is not only professionally unnecessary but creates an impractical environment for residents balancing academic and social lives. Our argument for maintaining the 10:00 PM standard is based on three pillars: 1. Consistency with Local Law and Civic Standards Salt Lake City (and Salt Lake County) has established 10:00 PM as the professional threshold for residential quiet hours. This standard exists because it represents a fair balance between the right to rest and the right to social liberty. By deviating from this, the HOA risks creating a "policing" environment that is more restrictive than the law itself, which often leads to unnecessary friction and a decrease in resident satisfaction. 2. Legal Precedent and "Reasonable Use" Under the Utah Community Association Act (57-8a-218), HOA rules generally should not interfere with activities within a dwelling that comply with local ordinances unless those activities constitute a genuine "nuisance." The current residents at REDACTED have maintained a safe, welcoming, and respectful environment. Shortening quiet hours effectively "redlines" their ability to engage in standard social behaviors—like hosting a dinner or a small weekend gathering—that are perfectly legal and common throughout the rest of the city. 3. Preserving the "Social Fabric" of the Neighborhood The undergraduate years are a vital time for building community. These residents have worked hard to build a positive reputation and a safe space for their peers. Moving quiet hours to an earlier time (such as 8:00 PM or 9:00 PM) creates a "dormitory" atmosphere that is inconsistent with the reality of living in a vibrant, urban neighborhood near a major university. It penalizes responsible residents for the sake of an arbitrary standard that does not reflect the lifestyle of the community's majority. A neighborhood thrives when rules are predictable and fair. We believe that the current 10:00 PM standard is the most professional and equitable solution for all parties involved. We hope the board will choose to align with Salt Lake City's established ordinances rather than imposing further restrictions. Sincerely, Kai Shays</p>
3/10/2026 17:20	Sallie Shatz	Recurring unruly college parties	<p>Dear City Council, It is sad that it has come to this. While i believe it is important that college students have fun and enjoy their time as students, the wild partying is out of control. I have worked hard to negotiate with the problem house on my street, not involving their parents (always get the parent's phone number when they move in.) But after their last party, when they were telling the neighbors who asked them to be reasonable, that they had the right to make that much noise until midnight was the final straw. Not to mention the drunk kids peeing on their neighbor's house and the drunken screaming up and down the street as they come and go. On my street, we all work together to make it a better place to live, we support and help each other. We try to incorporate the students into this sense of community as an example of how to be a good neighbor, but it is exhausting and we have all lost our tolerance. I am now coming to understand that this is a bigger problem than just this house on my street. It is everywhere. In reading the noise ordinance, it seems it would be unlikely that the police would respond and thus witness a party. Would the council consider three or more complaints about one party? Videos of the party and the noise? Something needs to be done as it is affecting the quality of life for those in the neighborhood. Thank you for your time, Sallie Shatz</p>
3/10/2026 17:43	Neil Glad	Residents Need Real Solutions to Ongoing Nuisance Issues	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, For many years, residents in our neighborhood have been dealing with ongoing nuisance activity, including large late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, and property damage. Neighbors have repeatedly attempted to resolve these issues by speaking with tenants, contacting landlords, and reporting incidents to the city. Unfortunately, despite these efforts, the situation has continued to worsen. The current system often allows repeat offenders to continue disruptive behavior without meaningful consequences. We support the city's efforts to address nuisance activity, but the ordinance must include strong enforcement and accountability, including holding property owners responsible for repeated nuisance activity, stronger penalties for repeat incidents, increased enforcement presence in problem areas, and clear pathways for residents to report and track violations. Residents should not have to endure ongoing disruption and safety concerns in their own neighborhood.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/10/2026 17:54	Nathan Starley	Request for Stronger Enforcement and Accountability for Nuisance Properties	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, My name is Nathan Starley. My husband and I first moved into the Douglas neighborhood as renters in the fall of 2006, and we purchased our home here in October of 2008. We live one block southwest of the U. I am also a graduate of the University of Utah and rented in this area myself during college. For many years we loved living in this neighborhood because it felt safe and connected to the university community. Unfortunately, over the past several years the situation has changed dramatically. An increasing number of nearby homes have transitioned into high-turnover rental properties that regularly host extremely large gatherings. These are not occasional celebrations. These are frequent disruptive parties that spill into surrounding streets and yards and occur at all times of day — sometimes beginning in the morning or early afternoon and continuing late into the night. The impact on the neighborhood is significant. The noise is not only heard outside; residents often hear crowds and music inside their homes even with the windows closed. In some cases speakers placed on the ground send vibrations through the ground and into surrounding houses. At the same time, intoxicated crowds move through residential streets yelling, leaving trash, urinating in yards, and sometimes vandalizing nearby homes. This affects everyone — homeowners, renters, and even other students who simply want a quiet place to live and study. My husband and I have spent years trying to address this issue through every available channel. We have contacted property owners, spoken directly with tenants, worked with the university, called law enforcement, and documented repeated disturbances. We have spent countless hours trying to resolve these issues appropriately. Despite those efforts, many residents feel that nothing changes. In fact, many feel the situation has worsened over time, and some long-time residents and renters have chosen to move away because of the ongoing disturbances. A recent incident on February 28 illustrates how serious the situation has become. During a large gathering at a nearby rental property, intoxicated individuals leaving the party moved through the neighborhood late at night. When my husband and I simply asked people to keep the noise down out of consideration for nearby families and elderly residents, eggs were thrown at our home and we were subjected to homophobic slurs. Police responded and documented the incident. No one should feel unsafe in their own home for asking for basic respect. This issue is not about preventing students from enjoying their college experience. It is about ensuring that a small number of repeat nuisance properties do not repeatedly disrupt an entire neighborhood. I respectfully urge the City to consider stronger nuisance property enforcement measures, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fines tied directly to the property for repeat disturbances • Greater accountability for landlords whose properties repeatedly host nuisance activity • Stronger enforcement within the Good Landlord Program, including loss of incentives for repeat violations • Ensuring rental licensing and compliance are actively enforced * Enforcement of the \$1,000 fine (I heard only 5 have been issued out all the many, many disturbances and reported disturbances since this was enacted) <p>These types of tools can help ensure that a small number of problem properties do not continue to negatively impact an entire neighborhood. Everyone deserves the quiet enjoyment of their home and to feel safe where they live. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Nathan Starley Douglas Neighborhood Resident</p>
3/10/2026 18:04	Mary Glad	Ongoing Nuisance Issues	<p>Subject: Support for Stronger Enforcement of Nuisance Activity Ordinance</p> <p>Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I am writing as a resident of the neighborhood affected by ongoing unruly gatherings and nuisance activity. For years, residents have attempted to resolve these issues through communication with property owners, tenants, and city departments, yet the problem continues to escalate. Late-night parties, excessive noise, public intoxication, vandalism, and disruptive conduct have become increasingly common and are severely impacting the safety, livability, and stability of our neighborhood. While we appreciate the city addressing nuisance activity through this ordinance, enforcement must be strong, consistent, and meaningful. Without real consequences, the behavior will continue. I strongly urge the City to increase enforcement of nuisance violations, hold property owners accountable for repeat issues, implement clear penalties for repeat offenders, and ensure law enforcement and code enforcement have the resources needed to respond effectively. Residents have done everything we can to mitigate these problems ourselves. We now need the City's help to restore safety and accountability in our neighborhood.</p> <p>Sincerely, Mary K Glad</p>

Anne Ruth Isaacson

Revised: 9 March 2026

To: City Council Member Erika Carlsen
City Council Member Eva Lopez Chavez
City Council Member Dan Dugan
City Council Member Victoria Petro
City Council Member Alejandro Puy
City Council Member Chris Wharton
City Council Member Sarah Young

Re: Wade Building Rezone – 256 East 300 South

Dear City Council,

In reviewing the application to rezone the Wade-owned property from the newly established MU-8 Residential/Mixed Use zoning district to the D-1 Central Business zoning district 4, I thought it would be helpful to first share with you a little about the state of build in this area, as it looks much different than the MU-8 district one might expect just viewing a zoning map.

The area proposed for the Wade Building Rezone is currently a neighborhood of predominantly smaller scale buildings which house restaurants, shops, a drycleaner, carwash, exercise studios, beauty services and physician offices.

The residence buildings house residents of all demographics, including families with young children and the elderly. The upzoning of this block, just a few months ago, to the MU-8, Form Based, Mixed-use Zoning District, in and of itself, does not change the presence of the mainstay of smaller structures including buildings listed on both the Local and the National Registry of Historic Places. Some specific examples of preexisting properties, which do not reflect the MU-8 upzoning, include those at the corner of 300 E and 300 S:

1. The Sampson-Altadena Condominiums on the Local and National Registry of Historic Places are located at 276 E. 300 S and 310 S 300 E, the South-West corner of 3rd and 3rd. These are 3.5 story structures, with individually owned residence flats. These structures were built in 1905/6 on sandstone foundations.
- 2.



3. Killa Restaurant, located at the North-West corner of 300 S and 300 E inhabits a building built in 1906 and was previously the Salt Lake Antiques Shop and a Ford Car Dealership before that. In the same structure are Burger.Lab and Salt & Olive, in the ambiance of a 2-story building, which make this block popular amongst foodies and those wishing to enjoy the corner's ambiance.



4. Ute's Carwash and the Paradise Palm are located at the North-East corner of 3rd and 3rd. These are both 1-2 story structures. Ute's Carwash is a favorite in Salt Lake City, drawing a reliable clientele, keeping their wheels clean. Paradise Palm is a premier spot for people in Salt Lake looking for unique pieces of interior landscape.



5. The Peter M. and Paula Green Johnson Building which houses the YWCA, is located at the South-East Corner of 3rd and 3rd, designed by architect Julia Morgan (January 20, 1872-February 2, 1957) and listed on the National Registry of Historic Places. Residences on the site top off at 3-stories and, more than anywhere else in the city, house an abundance of young families. It also provides daytime care for community babies and toddlers.



Looking at the SLC D-1 Central Business District Zone in relationship to this established neighborhood:

- ***The Purpose of the D-1 Central Business District is to provide for commercial and economic development within Salt Lake City's most urban and intense areas.*** The area of the city this Zoning is proposed for, as shown above, is neither the most urban nor the most intense. This zoning type, by definition, is not intended for this area of the city.
- ***A broad range of uses, including very high-density housing, are intended to foster a twenty-four (24) hour activity environment consistent with the area's function as the business, retail, entertainment, cultural and tourist center of the region.*** The area of the city this Zoning is proposed for, as shown above, is of significantly lower density, predominantly housing full-time residents who enjoy the quiet of the night, enabled by businesses which do not foster twenty-four (24) hour activity. Considering the number of children, families and elderly in this neighborhood, this proposed use is both incompatible and undesirable.
- ***Building Heights: No building shall be less than one hundred feet (100'). There is no maximum building height.*** The height allowances for this zoning type are incompatible with the surrounding architecture. The current Zoning height maximum for the MU-8 district is 75'(or 90 feet with design review). Particularly at a midblock location, such a structure would permanently create a massive wall, center block, in a neighborhood of significantly smaller structures. These height allowances are inappropriate for this area of the city.

Summary of Input:

- Summary of potential issues, or community concerns with the proposal:
 - The architectural rendering depicted does not fit within the character of the existing neighborhood and is not accurately shown to the scale of the building listed on the application at 180 feet plus parapet height. Additionally, the applicant has modified the height to “not to exceed 225 feet” in the package to City Council, also not depicted in the applicant-provided rendering. The architecture and scale is not in keeping with the scale and style of buildings on the block, and it's mid-block location, at the proposed heights would create a visual barrier, leaving buildings to the East and West to face a windowless 18 to 22-story wall, casting a shadow on neighboring buildings and blocking out the sky.
 - D-1 zoning not only requires a 100' minimum building height, but it also allows for a “limitless” building height. Such zoning puts the applicant in a position to come back later with an even higher, more obtrusive design.
 - As a neighborhood, with appropriately scaled and scheduled restaurants, neighborhood shops and services, we are concerned as a community that the proposed building will bring undesirable changes to this peaceful area. With the introduction of such a densely packed building, catering to visitors with a 24-7 operation, we are worried about becoming natural magnets for after-hours violence, like other areas of the city densely packed for entertainment.

- The rendering provided in the application is misleading: The first image below, is what is included in the application. It is rendered at approximately 75-78' in total height, which would align with MU-8 Zoning, the newly established code for this site. However, the applicant has requested an 185 (180+ parapet) foot or a 225 foot "maximum-height building, each depicted below:



- As you can see from the renderings which show the scale correctly, the scale is not in character with the neighborhood and would create a barrier bisecting the block, creating a barrier to the community.
- Recommendations to mitigate potential issues, impacts, or community concerns:
 - The property owner is looking for a use for their lot, which is understandable... to develop it beyond a parking lot. However, there is no higher demand in this city than housing, as such, residential or a residential mixed use property would best fit this location and ideally be developed within the recently approved MU-8 Zoning.
 - The design should show exhibit a design continuum with the most immediate neighboring buildings, by material and by scale.
 - Build a building 75 feet (90 feet with design review). Provide fenestration that one can be seen from inside and from outside. Work within the guidelines of the current zoning, code, and design regulations.
 - The building, on the long narrow lot, should have windows to have viable living +/- working spaces. This necessitates that the building step back from the side yard property lines, so that the adjacent landowners may also build to their side yard property lines. The applicant's proposal that it will have "transparent stone" in lieu of windows does not negate the need to step back from the side yard property line so that windows will not be blocked by future adjacent construction deeming the building obsolete.

In Summation: Considering its' scale and high-family occupancy, the neighborhood where the Wade Building Rezone is proposed is incompatible with D-1 Central Business Zoning. The Wade Building is proposed as a hotel with multiple uses which appears intended to draw a great deal of human and vehicular traffic. The D-1 Zoning change proposed is out of character with this residential mixed-use zone and could negatively impact it. I strongly urge the city to reject the Wade Building Rezone application and recommend the applicant work within the MU-8 zoning restrictions.

Sincerely, Anne Ruth Isaacson



Central City
Neighborhood Council
656 South 400 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

March 7, 2026

Salt Lake City Council
451 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

RE: Zoning Map Amendment – Approximately 256 East 300 South
Petitioner: Emily Nelson, Fourier Architects (on behalf of the property owner)
Council District 4

Dear Members of the City Council,

On behalf of the Central City Neighborhood Council (CCNC), we submit the following comments regarding the proposed Zoning Map Amendment for the property located at approximately 256 East 300 South.

The proposal would rezone the property from a newly established zone, MU-8 (Mixed Use 8 Zoning District) to D-1 (Central Business District) to allow the development of a 24/7 hotel and bar within a new building. The MU-8 zoning district generally permits building heights between 45 and 90 feet, with design review required for buildings over 75 feet. By contrast, the proposed rezoning to D-1 would allow significantly greater building height and intensity, and the current concept proposes a building approximately 185 feet tall.

The proposal has generated significant public comment from Central City residents, with many expressing concerns about compatibility in scale and the potential impacts of extending the high-intensity D-1 zoning further into a mid-scale mixed-use area.

The Planning Commission forwarded a negative recommendation on the requested rezoning. CCNC encourages the Council to carefully consider the Commission's concerns and the importance of ensuring zoning changes remain consistent with the City's adopted plans and neighborhood context.

Long-Term Zoning Considerations

CCNC supports thoughtful redevelopment that contributes to the vitality of downtown Salt Lake City while maintaining livable neighborhoods. Zoning decisions should be evaluated based on their long-term land use implications, not solely on a single conceptual development proposal.

The currently described concept for a 185-foot hotel introduces a level of activity and intensity that is out of scale from the mid-scale development pattern that characterizes this block today. The proposal has generated substantial public comment from nearby residents expressing concern about compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.

In this case, the Planning Commission forwarded a negative recommendation on the requested zoning change from MU-8 to D-1, reflecting concerns about the appropriateness of extending Central Business District zoning to this location.

It is also important to note that zoning decisions typically outlast individual development proposals. While the current application references a potential hotel project, approval of the requested zoning would establish long-term development rights for the property that could enable a wide range of future uses permitted within the D-1 district. For this reason, CCNC encourages the Council to evaluate the requested zoning change based on its long-term planning implications and compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods, rather than solely on the current conceptual proposal.

Neighborhood Context

The block surrounding 256 East 300 South contains a mix of residential buildings and small-scale neighborhood businesses that contribute to the character of this part of Central City. Nearby examples include the Sampson-Altadena Condominiums, which are listed on the National Register of Historic Places, the YWCA building designed by architect Julia Morgan, and longstanding neighborhood-serving businesses along 300 South and 300 East such as restaurants, cafés, and specialty shops.

While the site is located near the downtown core, the surrounding block remains characterized by predominantly 2–4 story buildings and a neighborhood-scale streetscape, rather than the high-intensity development typically associated with the D-1 Central Business District. The intensity of a 24-hour and 185-foot D-1 use is at odds with the mid-scale character of this block.

Consistency with Adopted Plans

The Central Community Master Plan emphasizes protecting neighborhood character while allowing appropriate mixed-use development and encourages thoughtful transitions between the downtown core and surrounding residential areas. The plan also recognizes that redevelopment pressures can sometimes produce buildings that are out of scale with their surroundings, underscoring the need to consider compatibility when evaluating zoning changes.

For these reasons, CCNC does not support extending D-1 zoning to this mid-block location and encourages the Council to carefully consider whether a zoning designation more consistent with the surrounding neighborhood context would be appropriate.

Community Benefits and Responsible Development

CCNC recognizes the property owner's interest in redeveloping the site and is not opposed to development. The neighborhood council supports responsible and thoughtful development that aligns with adopted plans and provides clear benefits to the surrounding community.

At this time, the proposed zoning change does not include clearly defined community benefits associated with the requested increase in development intensity. The project does not propose community benefits commensurate with such a large upzone such as for-sale housing, public space, or significant ground-floor retail.

When zoning changes increase development capacity, they are often paired with clearly defined community benefits.

Recent projects in the Central City neighborhood demonstrate how development can successfully balance neighborhood compatibility with meaningful public benefit. For example, the recently announced project at 343 South Denver Street proposes redevelopment within the existing MU-8 zoning district and includes clearly defined community benefits such as significant ground-floor retail and five percent low-income housing.

CCNC believes that when additional development capacity is requested through zoning changes, clear and enforceable community benefits — such as housing affordability, active ground-floor uses, for-sale housing, public space, or other neighborhood-serving elements should accompany that increased entitlement.

Zoning Transition Considerations

Given the Planning Commission's recommendation and the surrounding development pattern, CCNC does not support an upzone to D-1 at this mid-block location due to the scale and uses proposed. The Council may wish to consider:

- Whether D-1 zoning is appropriate at this location, given the surrounding mix of mid-scale buildings
- Whether an alternative zoning district with similar mixed-use characteristics but a smaller scale than D-1 may better align with surrounding development patterns
- How zoning decisions at this site could influence future development patterns along 300 South and within the Central City neighborhood.

Conclusion

Central City continues to experience significant redevelopment pressure. Thoughtful zoning decisions are essential to ensure that growth supports the needs and character of surrounding neighborhoods. CCNC requests that the City Council reject this application for an upzone to D-1.

Sincerely,

Central City Neighborhood Council (CCNC)

Travis Starley

Travis Starley, Land Use Committee: tgstarley@gmail.com

Central City Neighborhood Council (CCNC)

As supported by the CCNC Board Members:

Austin Taylor, Chair – [REDACTED]

Jenny Starley, Vice Chair – [REDACTED]

Anne Ruth Isaacson, Secretary – [REDACTED]

Ben Engel, Treasurer – [REDACTED]

Seth Brown, Board Member At-Large – [REDACTED]