

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/11/2025 11:12	Morgen Starkebaum	2026 Budget Amendment	<p>Hi Chris, Please vote no on 2026 budget amendment 3 on items 1, 2, & 3. I do not want to live in a police surveillance state. Our tax dollars should be going towards uplifting people from poverty, not putting them deeper in the hole. The idea of regular citizens being tracked everywhere we go is deeply troubling. Clearing naturally occurring brush from the Jordan River will only destroy the habitat of our wildlife. Please vote in favor of privacy and finding better solutions for our unhoused neighbors. Thank you, Morgan Starkebaum Marmalade/Capitol Hill district resident</p>
12/23/2025 10:43	Janet Hemming 1/3	Concerns and Opposition on the Sugar House Park Hotel	<p>Dear Dan: Several community councils and leaders wanted to share their views about the proposed hotel in the Sugar House Park. This letter represents those opinions. Two councils are in your district, one is in Eva's and one is in Chris'. Others are very interested in adding their names — but because of travel and other obstacles — won't do so until January. In this letter we reference Judi Short, Lands Use and Zoning Chair in Sugar House. She has reviewed the letter and the attributions. It's being sent during a very busy holiday season but we hope you and your Council colleagues will have time to look it over before the project comes before the full City Council in 2026. We wish you and your council colleagues a very Happy Holiday season. Here are our concerns: 1. Water, flood and environmental impacts. In her presentation before the Planning Commission, Sugar House Land Use and Zoning Chair Judi Short raised significant concerns about water, flooding and environmental impacts that could be exacerbated by the new hotel. • She referenced the earthen dam under the underground parking lot —which will hold 180 cars. Construction near the dam could place additional burden on this environmental feature and negatively affect groundwater or be compromised during a critical climate event, flood event or during an exceptional rain event. • The “draw” or underpass below 1300 East that connects the west and east sides of Sugar House would be a collector point for hundreds and thousands of gallons of water, in a heavy rain or extreme climate event. The great flood of 2017 was so fierce, over five feet of water surged from Sugar House Park into Sprague Library two miles away— damaging the library basement and costing \$1.5-\$2 million in repairs. More flooding events in 2023 closed the park. Impacts from climate change are real. • As Judi Short indicated, there have not been reviews of potential flooding, excess water or environmental impacts by Salt Lake Flood Control or the State Dam Engineer. These are critical informational pieces. We urge the project be halted until they are conducted and properly reviewed. Even the Public Utilities Department made a specific requirement in their report that a Technical Drainage Study be conducted, and additional stormwater treatment management be added because of the environmental sensitivity to Parley's Creek and the Sugar House Park. • A D6 Community Council leader skilled in city zoning codes and development made an important observation. If the MU3 zone is preserved — which would prevent the hotel and the underground garage — this technically “solves” many of the environmental issues the hotel might trigger because a 180- car underground garage does not “pencil” for buildings constructed in the MU3 zone. 2. We dispute the developer's claim that a rezone of the property to MU-8 — allowing buildings up to 90 feet -- is the only pathway to “an economically viable project.” This is purely speculative and somewhat self-serving. There are countless developments that could be placed on the property within the existing MU-3 zone – which prioritizes neighborhood-scale commercial.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/23/2025 10:43	Janet Hemming 2/3	CONTINUED!! Concerns and Opposition on the Sugar House Park Hotel	<p>There are dozens of commercial examples across the street or throughout the Sugar House business district. Hotels are not permitted in a MU-3 zone, which the Council just designated for this property in July, when new citywide codes were approved. It may be prudent to go back and re-analyze why MU-3 is the best zone for this property.</p> <p>3. Wasatch mountain views; Sugar House District Design Guidelines. Preservation of mountain views is not only codified in the Sugar House District Design Guidelines but is one of the essential features of the new Ballpark Next project just approved by the Salt Lake City Council on December 9, 2025. The Council voted unanimously to ensure the Ballpark development would be “thoughtfully sculpted to ensure the majestic views of the Wasatch Mountains remain preserved.” What is ethically correct for the Ballpark should also be ethically correct for Sugar House.</p> <p>4. Salt Lake and Sugar House do not have a hotel capacity problem. In late October, the Rocky Mountain Lodging Report announced that Salt Lake’s motel and hotel occupancy rate for 2025 is 70.3% -- a 30% cushion. The developer claims this project would fill a “gap in the hotel market” yet two motels operate within two-tenths of a mile from this location supplying 232 rooms. Adding this 145-room hotel would create 377 rooms within yards of each other. Three more motels are in proximity on Foothill Drive representing another 640 rooms. The bed count alone for these 5 existing properties is over 1,000. We believe no current “gap” exists.</p> <p>5. Harmful traffic impacts. The roadways that border the proposed hotel – 1300 East and 2100 South – are major arteries travelled daily by tens of thousands of cars. UDOT statistics show more than 50,000 cars exit daily off I-80 onto 1300 East just below the hotel property. And traffic volumes along 2100 South are always about 25,000 daily. They are critical access and egress points to a vast freeway system as well as to Salt Lake City. Both are currently rated “F” for failure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Placing a 145-room hotel at one of the busiest corners in the city will exacerbate traffic conditions creating more delays and congestion. Even the Hales Engineering study acknowledged increased morning and evening congestion. To alleviate the backup, engineers proposed an additional turn lane on 1300 East to relieve traffic entering I-80 East. But that too is problematical since there are only 3 southbound lanes on 1300 East from 2100 South. At this very location, there is already a traffic “choke point” where cars are turning to enter I-80 – going west or east from 1300 East. • Real-time traffic data that formed the basis of the Hales Engineering study was only collected during one day in January 2025. Is this sufficient? January is the coldest month of the year and less travelled than warmer-weather months. UDOT Director Carlos Braceras, in testimony before the State Legislative Transportation Committee in late October, said UDOT needs 3 years of data to make the best evaluation of a roadway. • Hotel guests may not be using their own personal vehicles– but they will still be arriving, departing and moving around town or throughout the state in cars.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/23/2025 10:43	Janet Hemming 3/3	CONTINUED!!! Concerns and Opposition on the Sugar House Park Hotel	<p>A business traveler rushing to meetings, a family or friend trying to connect with locals, a person in town for a special concert or sporting event, or a vacationer hoping to hit the ski slopes, check out Temple Square or gaze at the Great Salt Lake is unlikely to hop on a bicycle or take the bus to reach these destinations. We do not believe the engineering study has taken this adequately into account and is primarily focused on hotel guests being dropped off when they arrive or picked up when they leave. • The developers are pitching the new hotel as a restaurant destination because of the rooftop eatery – so additional guests in vehicles would be arriving and leaving the hotel during mealtimes. This is addressed in the study, but not adequately. 6. Preserving the “crown jewel” of Salt Lake City’s parks. The most critical question before the city should be the preservation and future vitality of Sugar House Park. It has been an oasis of relaxation, recreation, and retreat from the impacts of a burgeoning urban center with explosive growth. During the public hearing on October 22, arguments were made that this corner lot at 2100 South and 1300 East was just an extension of the Sugar House Central business district. Sugar House Community Council representatives in attendance said that had never been acknowledged in their planned documents. In other words, 1300 East had always been the business boundary. Advancement of the business district eastward will bring additional pressure to the park. Rezoning will likely invite more upzones along the park’s northern border where low-rise commercial enterprises and residential homes currently exist, opening the way for higher buildings and more density. Salt Lake City has built more new housing in the past few years – tens of thousands of apartments – than at any time since World War II. Open spaces like Sugar House Park represent an essential antidote to the pressures of modern urbanity, offering balance and the serenity of nature. A reminder: On the park’s east end, Highland High’s new remodel will center the school at the edge of 2100 South. 7. Sugar House Park is a haven for migrating birds and an important causeway for Parley’s Creek. It is an important habitat for geese, ducks, seagulls and other species. While Salt Lake is experiencing hotter and hotter summers, the Park is a vital sanctuary and buffer against the corrosive “heat island” effect. If built, a hotel will only contribute to the park’s environmental erosion – adding heat, auto exhaust, solar glare, artificial lights, and noise. Respectfully, Yalecrest Neighborhood Council Board East Bench Community Council Board East Central Community Council Esther Hunter, chair, and board members Debbie Fedor and Gwen Crist. Greater Avenues Community Council Jim Jenkins, Land Use Committee</p>
12/23/2025 10:47	Alex Churchward	Closure of North Temple	<p>Good morning. I am a constituent of District 3 and a resident of the Avenues. Closures related to the renovation of the LDS temple over the past several years have made it painful to access downtown and the West side of Salt Lake City. It's my understanding that the LDS Church has requested to close North Temple to accommodate their upcoming temple open house events. I oppose the closure of a public street to benefit a private entity. They already took over Main Street, they don't need to inhibit any further public access for their private gain. Thank you, Alex Churchward</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/30/2025 14:08	Tabitha Allred	Parking issues near 900 West and concerns with RMF-45 zoning	<p>Hello Council Member Puy, My name is Tabitha Allred, and I am a resident of District 2 near REDACTED Glendale neighborhood. I recently moved into a new construction townhome and wanted to share ongoing concerns about parking and the proposed RMF-45 zoning. While I understand that I missed the public comment period for this issue, I hope that my experience and concerns can help shape future enforcement and planning efforts. Our townhome development was built with no on-site parking, and since moving in, street parking in front of and around our complex has become a constant issue. Vehicles regularly park directly in front of our driveway entrance, making it difficult and sometimes unsafe to enter and exit. This happens daily and has created significant frustration for residents who are simply trying to access their homes through the only entrance/exit. I am particularly concerned that the RMF-45 zoning allows dense residential projects to proceed without adequate guest or visitor parking. While I understand and support the need for more housing, the lack of parking requirements shifts the burden onto surrounding streets and existing residents. What we are experiencing feels like a predictable outcome of these policies rather than an isolated problem. I would appreciate your support in two areas. First, I would appreciate your help in requesting no-parking signage near our driveway to improve safety and support enforcement. Second, I would like you to consider stronger parking mitigation requirements or enforcement strategies as RMF-45 zoning moves forward, especially in areas without reliable transit access. Additionally, better enforcement of existing parking regulations (campers on streets, abandoned vehicles, etc.) on 900 West would be appreciated. I would love the opportunity to discuss this further or provide additional details. Thank you for your time and for representing our neighborhood. Sincerely, Tabitha Allred Resident of District 2</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
12/30/2025 14:45	Ashley Hinckley	Concerns Over Wastewater Project Near Homes	<p>. Hello, I'm writing, as a current resident of Pierpont Avenue, because I am concerned about a major wastewater infrastructure project moving forward in Poplar Grove with limited transparency and significant potential impacts on the neighborhood. Salt Lake City Public Utilities is preparing to construct a wastewater biotower near Pierpont Ave and 1000 W, directly adjacent to homes. The only public notice residents received was a single mailer sent roughly a year ago, which provided very little detail about the project, its impacts, or its timeline. Since then, no follow up information has been provided, and many neighbors remain unaware that construction is imminent. Why this matters The proposed biotower raises several serious concerns for the surrounding community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Odor and air quality impacts from biological wastewater processing • Mechanical noise from pumps, blowers, and ventilation systems • Visual and height impacts from a large industrial structure placed near homes • Increased traffic from construction and ongoing maintenance • Property value concerns for nearby homeowners • Environmental justice issues, given the long history of disproportionate infrastructure siting on the Westside Across the United States, biotowers are almost always located inside full wastewater treatment plants, typically hundreds to thousands of feet from the nearest residence. They are not normally placed in mixed residential neighborhoods. Placing a biotower within roughly 100–150 feet of homes, as proposed here, would make this one of the closest sited biotowers in the country. There is no known precedent for a biotower being placed this close to existing residences, raising concerns that the project is experimental in terms of siting and deviates from industry standard buffers. The Westside Master Plan—adopted by the city—explicitly calls for: • Reducing environmental burdens on Westside neighborhoods • Preventing further concentration of industrial and utility uses • Improving buffers between industrial and residential areas • Enhancing quality of life and livability • Ensuring equitable treatment of Westside communities <p>Placing a new wastewater biotower near homes directly contradicts these goals. Instead of reducing impacts, the project adds another major utility facility to an area that already carries more than its share of citywide infrastructure. What we are asking for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transparency about the project's purpose, timeline, and impacts • Updated and detailed communication to all affected households • A public meeting held in the neighborhood • An explanation of how this project aligns with the Westside Master Plan • Consideration of alternative locations or mitigation measures <p>Sincerely, Ashley Poplar Grove Resident</p>
1/6/2026 16:40	Rachel Schaefer	Sugarhouse Tear Down	<p>To whom it may concern, Please, please do not tear down Sugar House Alley. This space is home to so many local businesses and community members who have poured their time, creativity, and livelihoods into these shops. We do not need more high-rise apartments—we need investment in the local communities that already exist and make this area special. One of my favorite places is Sew Lake City, and I love walking through the alley and supporting the small businesses there. Spaces like this create connection, creativity, and a sense of belonging that cannot be replaced. Please keep these local businesses intact. I would truly love to discuss this further. Thank you for your time, Rachel (She/her/hers) Rachel Schaefer Salt Lake City resident Social Work Student Advocate (she/her/hers)</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/7/2026 11:59	Tyler Tuft	Phone call to City Council	Tenants at the duplex are creating a chaotic environment for constituent in 2294. Too many cars from the duplex blocking the curb where constituents trash cans need to go, blocking driveways, etc. Constituent wants to know what can be done with occupants in the duplex and what rules/guidelines can be established to create a better environment not just for him, but other homeowners on the street. He made a call to Civil Enforcement, who suggested they call CM Young.
1/7/2026 14:25	Ray Morrison	Christmas Light Pollution	Dear Council Member Dugan, You and I have spoken about light pollution in the past and I'm hoping that you might initiate a council discussion with the aim toward developing legislation in its regard and specifically "Christmas" lights. Wherein, more and more people are having permanent "Christmas" lights installed and, apparently, feeling compelled to entertain themselves and the rest of us with obnoxious options from their phone apps such as changing color, simulating movement and too often looking like a cheap motel, I believe it's time for some codified limitations. I completely understand not wanting to climb a ladder before and after the holidays to install and remove such lights so I'm not against the permanent installation. However, too often I see them in use well after the holiday season. My next-door neighbor, for example, uses her "Christmas" lights 365 days a year. A few other neighbors use tasteful static lights at 2,700K but others too often have theirs set at 5,000K which is glaringly garish. The Salt Lake City Clark Planetarium's Utah Dark Skies link suggests turning off lights when not needed and using shields to direct the light downward. https://www.saltlakecounty.gov/clark-planetarium/utah-dark-skies/ Salt Lake City's 21A.24.010: GENERAL PROVISIONS K states: Lighting: On site lighting shall be located, directed or designed in such a manner as to contain and direct light and glare only to the property on which it is located. My neighbor breaks this in spades and to me it's no different than playing loud music that I would hear inside my home. Park City has the following lighting ordinance which I applaud: Within Park City Limits (84060 zip code): Seasonal lights that do not cause light to spill out unnecessarily or interfere with the reasonable use and enjoyment of property are permitted from November 1 through March 1. Residential seasonal lights must be turned off by 11:00 p.m. I see no reason for people to leave their "Christmas" lights on all night long. Young children are hopefully in bed by 10:00 PM so I wonder whom these owners think is their target audience? Why the need to simulate movement and or color change and why add to light pollution when most people are in bed? And doesn't the year-round use diminish their impact of celebrating the holidays? Will every house, keeping up with the Jones, use them eventually thereby making every neighborhood look like the Vegas Strip? I believe the following limits, similar to the Park City ordinance, should be implemented for residential neighborhoods: - Off by 11:00 PM (exception for Christmas Eve?) - No color morphing or movement simulation other than during the holiday season November 1st through January 31st - Year-round static use no more than 3,000K (I'd prefer the warmer -- and more tasteful -- 2,700K) Thanks for your attention and I'll keep my fingers crossed. I'd also like to have a discussion regarding residential speeding but that's for another day! Cheers, Ray Morrison

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/8/2026 12:30	Daniel Punt	Email	<p>Dear Councilmember Lopez Chavez, My name is Daniel Punt, and I am a homeowner and District 4 constituent living at REDACTED. I am writing to respectfully request your support in re-evaluating Salt Lake City's prohibition on artificial turf in front and corner side yards. I was surprised to recently learn that artificial turf is outright prohibited under Salt Lake City Ordinance 21A.48.080.B.4, which bars artificial turf in any location where landscaping is regulated by the City. I strongly support Salt Lake City's environmental and neighborhood goals, particularly in District 4, where we balance historic character, density, and sustainability. That said, given our high-desert climate, persistent drought conditions, and the urgent need to conserve water to protect resources such as the Great Salt Lake, I believe it is worth reassessing whether this policy still reflects today's conservation priorities.</p> <p>Outdoor irrigation represents a significant share of residential water use, and artificial turf—when used thoughtfully and in limited applications—can materially reduce water demand. Many water-stressed cities in the Southwest have moved toward incentive-based or flexible approaches rather than outright bans. In parts of the Phoenix metropolitan area, for example, cities encourage homeowners to replace water-intensive lawns with low-water alternatives through rebate programs, recognizing the need to adapt landscaping policies to modern water realities. As my District 4 representative, I respectfully ask whether you would consider supporting a discussion or review of this ordinance, including the possibility of pilot programs, conditional allowances, or other conservation-oriented updates. I would also appreciate any guidance on what steps I, or other District 4 residents, can take to help build thoughtful, community-supported momentum around potential policy change. Thank you for your time and for your service to our district and city. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this further. Sincerely,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Daniel Punt</p>
1/9/2026 10:31	Kerry Lehtinen	Cities have taken the responsibility for libraries	<p>Cities have taken the responsibility for libraries I grew up in Ashtabula, Ohio (along with Urban Meyers). It had about 40,000 people and was the largest iron ore port in the world plus a huge industrial complex. It also had a classic Carnegie Library where I spent a lot of time. Along with the libraries attached to the high schools. Kent State also had a branch campus with a well equipped library. Both my mother and aunt were librarians. With the Anderson Library being redone, please work to see that it receives maximum support and funding. A larger public room could probably also be used by scout troops and other community groups. The City could also do a better job of promoting the libraries they fund. For example, few people know that Anderson is also a drop off point for food donations to the Veteran's Food Bank. (Shame that we have to have food banks to support our troops or veterans.) A library is a non-threatening way to bring citizens together in a neutral and safe place. It also might not hurt to reserve some space for a neighborhood police sub station. (Read a book while you are waiting to get "booked.") PS/ I met Bob Hope a couple of times and he always said "Ashtabula" somewhere in his shows or movies. I asked him why. He said he started as a boxer in Cleveland and dated a girl in Ashtabula. Liked the way the word sounded and started to always use it. Is it time for you to run for re-election? Kerry</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/12/2026 12:34	Tessa SPERRY 1/2	No Camping Ordinance and Crime Technology Issues	<p>Dear Council Members, I have resided in Dan Dugan's district for decades and we developed, own and operate 283 apartment units across 3 projects in the heart of Sugar House (Sarah Young District) and at 315 West Pierpont Ave downtown (Eva Lopez District). We have hundreds of residents that call these urban neighborhoods home, and we continue to be very concerned about public safety and crime in these neighborhoods.. I am writing to urge you to complete the drafting of the new ordinance regarding the definition of illegal "Camping" on public property in such a way that the police can do their job and remove illegal campers. The current ordinance is ineffective because it apparently requires that a structure be erected in order to be defined as "camping". There are many "camping" situations where the parties have household items, blankets, sleeping bags, and everything else spread around the park - essentially they have set up "camp" yet they are not technically considered as campers. This has to change, because it is facilitating illegal camping in parks and public areas. If it "walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, it's a duck. Please fix the definition of camping. Another part of the ordinance rewrite which is critical is adding language that explicitly prohibits Recreational Vehicles "RVs" from "camping" by parking on the street. The current ordinance is unworkable because it simply limits the time an RV can park on the street and the RV Campers game the system by moving around the neighborhood for weeks and months effectively "camping" and staying within the prescribed time limits yet not leaving the neighborhood. Essentially these campers have been winning the game of cat and mouse with the police. You might say, oh well the RV campers are not doing any harm. To the contrary they bring a very dangerous element to the neighborhood. Just a month ago, on 2150 S Lincoln in Sugar House, a fatality resulted from an altercation over drugs that centered in an illegally parked RV that had been a menace to this neighborhood for many months. During that time period we made over 10 incident reports with photos to SLC parking enforcement. For the most part the parking enforcement would follow through and issue notices, mark tires etc. and the RV camper would just move around the corner. This went on for months and months with a number of incidents where the RV camper threatened us for taking photos of his illegal activity. We believe he was operating a drug dealing business out of the RV for many months. It all came to an end when his drug creditor shot him to death a month ago. This is of great concern to us as it occurred one half block from our project with 200 residents that are close to harm's way. This simply has to stop and again, it is an ordinance problem. Please clarify the ordinance such that RV Campers cannot use the streets of this city as an RV Campground. Make no mistake - there is a high correlation between "homeless" RV Campers and violent and deadly crime. It must stop and we must reclaim our neighborhoods.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/12/2026 12:34	Tessa SPERRY 2/2	CONTINUED!! No Camping Ordinance and Crime Technology Issues	Another area of concern is helping the police use technology to prevent and fight crime. A recent incident on Pierpont avenue involved assailants firing 6 shots at police officers. They fled without the police being able to see their license plates. There is technology called license plate readers that could have read the plates and a drone could have followed the vehicle but the police don't have the equipment. I was very disappointed to see on the news that the City Council voted to "defer" a \$200,000 federal grant for license plate readers that would have put this equipment in the police's hands to save lives in our city. The "deferral" appeared to be based on some misguided politically correct views about immigration enforcement using the plate readers. Very misguided and sad that the council would put public safety as a low priority to political considerations. In summary, please rewrite the No Camping ordinance to broaden the definition of camping and to explicitly include RV Campers in the prohibition. Please fund technology equipment that will enable the police to prevent and fight crime, and please restore Public Safety as the top priority of this city. John Gardiner
1/13/2026 13:17	James Miska	Comments for City Council before Jan 13th meeting	To the City Council, My name is James Miska, and I am a local resident, homeowner, and small business owner in the tourism industry, here in Salt Lake City. I am writing because I want you all on City Council to take actions and engage in whatever you can do to legally restrict and limit action and presence by the federal enforcement agency known as Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and any of its agents or deputies, in Salt Lake City. These actions include: • passing a unified resolution calling for the abolition of ICE • publicly making a unified statement to say they are not welcome in Salt Lake City • requiring judicial warrants for any federal agency to get to use city resources. This includes prohibiting use any city funding, any city staff including police, and any city facilities or property for their use, unless demanded by judicial warrant. • Fund a community advisory committee, or multiple community advisory committees, to monitor civilian rights • Provide your citizenry with consistent, accurate educational resources as to how they can help keep ICE out of our communities as well. • Salt Lake City Council and the Mayor of Salt Lake City can join with its citizens and civilians to help keep our city safer. It is disgustingly evident that ICE nor its agents care a shred about keeping any of us safe, and we do not want their violent presence active within our City. Thank you, James Miska
1/13/2026 13:18	Scott Narus	Council Formal Meeting, Jan 13, 2026, Agenda Item 6: Ordinance: Consolidated Fee Schedule Corrections - Public Utilities	Dear Council Members, I am attaching a document summarizing our ongoing concerns with the new fire water line rates the Department of Public Utilities (DPU) has requested for FY26 and the ordinance proposal to "correct" the Consolidated Fee Schedule resulting from this. This document provides more detail to the public comments I plan to provide at the January 13th Council Formal Meeting. I am also attaching a letter we previously sent to DPU (and copied to our Council representative, Dan Dugan) detailing our concerns with the new fire water line fees. There is more correspondence we have had with DPU and Council members on this topic and we would be happy to share this with you if desired. Thank you. Scott Narus
1/13/2026 20:16	Kelton Baker	ICE	ICE has terrorized all of our streets and communities Ice is out
1/13/2026 20:19	Brianna Puga	ICE	My name is Brianna and live in the 84101, I am demanding the City Council to protect are community by refusing voluntary cooperation with ICE
1/13/2026 20:23	Kelton Baker	ICE	I want to make myself heard as a constituent of District 4, that ICE must be prohibited in SLC and the US . Our neighbors deserve to feel and be safe. Remember the names of Renee Good, Keith Porter and the rest of those murdered by ICE in 2025-26

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
1/13/2026 20:31	Kelton Baker	ICE	I'm here to advocate for all of the latinos and all the immigrants that are being brutalized and mistreated. My mom crossed the boarder when she was to come here and give me a better life. She has done nothing but work her ass of in this country. She deserves to be here just as much as anybody else. America was built on the backs of immigrants America is not being made safer by tearing families apart. Pepper spraying young children in the face and murdering innocent civilians and killing our culture our lively hood our freedom an our heritage and everything that Americans once claimed to stand for.
1/13/2026 20:35	Kelton Baker	ICE	We are dealing with a massive violation of human rights. These people being detained are hard working individuals and they deserve to exist in this place the same as you and I. They provide our our communities with diversity culture and kindness. ICE is invading schools, workplaces, and other community centers. They are disregarding cause and due process to enact a regiment that is totalitarianistic and brutal. Their cause is not just and they are not acting upon the freedom that every person legal or not deserves.
1/13/2026 20:39	Kelton Baker	ICE	ICE has terrorized this community and serves only to scare everyone. I have seen arrests done and have seen ICE intimidate both citizens and non citizens life. After the murder of Renee Good, it is impossible to feel safe surrounded by masked men who can kill us and just claim self defense, facing no consequences even before Rene 30+ people have died in ICE custody. Let SLC be oin the right side of history and refuse to cooperate with ICE.
1/13/2026 20:43	Kelton Baker	Mosquito abatement	I am concerned about the mosquito abatement district. Every year, my neighborhood in Rose Park is overwhelmed by mosquitoes we spend hundreds of dollars every year, personally trying to diminish the problem it feels like we are fighting the battle alone. Is mosquito abatement actually doing anything in our neighborhood?
1/13/2026 20:46	Anonymous Constituent	ICE	We write on behalf of those affected by the actions of ICE in our local and national communities. We ask our Salt Lake City Council to take action to protect these communities by not voluntarily complying with ICE specifically we ask the council to ensure that none of our City's funding of workforce are used for federal immigration enforcement. Our money should be used to protect and uplift our people not persecute them unjustly.
1/13/2026 20:50	Anonymous Constituent	ICE	It has become clear that cooperation with ICE is a critical matter of public safety for all residents. I ask that our City government take all legal measures possible to protect the people it serves, including but not limited to: requiring judicial warrants for all city property, partner with community immigrant rights organizations to keep the public safe and informed, disallow use of any City funds, resources or personnel.
1/13/2026 20:57	Anonymous Constituent	ICE	Your job is to be a civil servant. To represent and also lead upholding the oppressive system will only violate that duty and further disconnect you from your humanity. Either way your reckoning with the people is coming. Choose wisely