

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/2/2025 9:17	Anne ruth Ruth Isaacson	Zoning Consolidation Written Comments	Annie reached out to express her concerns about the proposed MU zoning consolidation, particularly as it pertains to the "design review" and "planning review" workarounds. In addition, she requests that rear-yard setbacks not be allowed to go to zero as she believes this negatively affects the adjacent property owner sharing the rear yard property line.
7/3/2025 13:16	Karma ellen Ellen Garrett	Dead trees and overgrown weeds	I live on A Street in Salt Lake City. Across the street is Memory Grove. Along the street line are overgrown and dead weeds, along with dead trees and branches. It is city property and a fire hazard for me and my neighbors. The weeds need to be cut and the trees removed or dead branches removed. I contacted the city once before and nothing has been done. Please care for the existing areas of the city. Thank you. Council member Chris Warden is my Councilman. Ellen Garrett
7/3/2025 13:25	Scott Johnson 1/2	Public Comment for July 1, 2025 Council Meeting	<p>Dear Council Members and City Recorder, I am submitting this comment to formally enter my prepared remarks from the July 1, 2025 Salt Lake City Council meeting into the official public record. Due to the two-minute limit, my live comment was cut off just before the final lines, so I am providing the full intended version here as prepared and delivered. For context and clarity, I have also included the full text of the relevant Salt Lake City and Utah Code sections cited in my statement. I respectfully request that this text be attached in full to the official meeting record for transparency. Prepared Statement Happy Fiscal New Year, Council. I'm not here for that, but because today also marks two other events this council and taxpayers should not ignore. First, today is day one of the County's new 0.2% sales tax increase. When combined with the State's Jan. 1st 0.2% increase and this Council's own 0.5% downtown revitalization tax, city sales taxes are now nearly a full percentage point higher than a year ago today — putting Salt Lake City's rate higher than even San Francisco's. Second, today is the city's 2025 campaign contribution filing date. In that connection, with campaign season upon us and because this body approved the largest share of the sales-tax increases — and has levied nearly \$3 billion total to benefit billionaire investors — voters deserve full transparency about any perks, gifts, or exclusive access that influenced your decisions. For this election cycle, there are two conflicts deserving full disclosure and explanation. First is a trip to the L.A. LIVE Entertainment District — Ryan Smith said at the new NHL team's first press conference that public officials traveled to LA with him. Who from this Council attended? What were the travel details — and who paid for flights, lodging, or any other perks? Second, within days of your vote to approve the billion-dollar arena-renovation and downtown-development tax, which members of this Council attended the Hockey team's historic first game at Delta Center? I have reason to believe at least one of you running for reelection attended the game in SEG's private skybox. Even cheap seats to the game were hard to get and priced well beyond most voters' budgets, with some tickets reselling for thousands. Even councilors who paid the team some form of face value or so-called "fair market" price for this exclusive access should disclose it. Voters deserve the details to judge for themselves the extent of any influence or reward. Both Salt Lake City Code (§2.44.080) and Utah Code (§67-16-5) contain restrictions on public servants accepting gifts or access that might influence — or appear to reward — official actions. Release receipts. Report it in this campaign disclosure cycle. Don't just follow the letter of the law — honor its spirit too. That's the least taxpayers should expect when they're paying more at the register to cover costs for pro sports teams' multi-billion-dollar development. Thank you.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/3/2025 13:25	Scott Johnson 2/2	CONTINUED!! Public Comment for July 1, 2025 Council Meeting	Appendix – Relevant Code Sections Salt Lake City Code §2.44.080 – Gifts Prohibited 2.44.080: No city officer or employee shall solicit or accept any gift, gratuity, favor, entertainment, loan, or any other thing of monetary value from any person who is seeking to obtain contractual or other business or financial relations with the city, or who has interests that may be substantially affected by the performance or nonperformance of the officer's or employee's official duties. Utah Code §67-16-5 – Acceptance of Gifts, Compensation, or Loans 67-16-5(1): It is an offense for a public officer or public employee, under circumstances not constituting a violation of Section 63G-6a-2404, to knowingly receive, accept, take, seek, or solicit, directly or indirectly for themselves or another, a gift of substantial value or a substantial economic benefit tantamount to a gift that would tend improperly to influence a reasonable person in the person's position to depart from the faithful and impartial discharge of the person's public duties. 67-16-5(2): It is an offense for a public officer or public employee to knowingly receive, accept, take, seek, or solicit, directly or indirectly for themselves or another, a gift of substantial value or a substantial economic benefit tantamount to a gift: (a) given for the purpose of rewarding the public officer or public employee for official action taken; or (b) given for a purpose related to the public officer's or public employee's position or duties. Respectfully submitted for the official record. Scott Johnson
7/3/2025 16:10	Adam Gmyrek	SLC Public Safety Plan 2025 under performing__29.	Mayor Mendenhall, Ms. Petro-Eschler, & Mr. Puy, As you all know, it has been 164 days — 5 months 16 days since your team and you presented the 2025 SLC Public Safety Plan to the residents of SLC; as the photos below and the past 28 emails have shown there has been no reprieve from homeless and/or RV encampments on the Westside Community. Mayor Mendenhall, is this the change you spoke about happening in the Westside Community during our 10-minute Zoom meeting in June 2025? Mayor Mendenhall, Ms. Petro-Eschler, & Mr. Puy, your priorities are skewed; for some reason it is more important to focus on raising the parking fees for meter parking and circumnavigating H. B 778 instead of enacting your public safety plan released on January 17, 2025. <a href="https://www.fox13now.com/news/local-news/northern-utah/cost-increases-hour-changes-for-salt-lake-city-parking-meters-go-into-effect-today">https://www.fox13now.com/news/local-news/northern-utah/cost-increases-hour-changes-for-salt-lake-city-parking-meters-go-into-effect-today</a> <a href="https://www.slc.gov/mayor/2025/05/06/salt-lake-city-adopts-four-flags-to-represent-residents-visitors/">https://www.slc.gov/mayor/2025/05/06/salt-lake-city-adopts-four-flags-to-represent-residents-visitors/</a> Its appearant Mayor that you, Ms. Petro-Eschler, & Mr. Puy are not serious about enacting the “suggestions” in the 2025 SLC Public Safety Plan. Is it that difficult to create and install no parking signs, or no camping signs along the highly utilized / abused streets? There are “No Camping” signs along State Street in the City of Salt Lake, yet it is more important to raise parking fees & circumnavigating H.B.77 than enacting the 2025 SLC Public Safety plan. Again, Mayor Mendenhall, Ms. Petro-Eschler, & Mr. Puy, your priorities are skewed and the Westside Community needs solutions to all of your excuses, not apathy. Regards, Adam Gmyrek What is the logic behind this? There are no camping signs along State St in SLC yet the City of Salt Lake cannot place no camping signs along Gladiola St, De Long St, Indiana St, Redwood Depot Lane; should I continue?
7/7/2025 14:08	Diane E Stewart	Phone call to City Council	The Constituent called and left a message to Sarah and She also would like to speak with Sarah. She would like to see about the plans for the business in the Sugar House area that effected by the construction. She mentioned that sometimes they're putting up the sign saying the sidewalk closed but it's not closed so she is worried about the small business in the area

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/7/2025 16:41	Bernie HART	Really Impress the world	Good Morning, <a href="https://edition.slttrib.com/html5/reader/production/default.aspx?pubname=&amp;pubid=4ade819d-942f-40e0-b9bf-543905485bdd">https://edition.slttrib.com/html5/reader/production/default.aspx?pubname=&amp;pubid=4ade819d-942f-40e0-b9bf-543905485bdd</a> This effort could impress the world if we could find a way to help the homeless living on the downtown streets, rather than just moving them somewhere else. That would really impress the world. It could turn Salt Lake City into a place people wanted to visit to find answers. Anyone can build something, but not everyone can solve a universal human problem. I believe we can. Bernie Hart Understanding Us Salt Lake City, Ut
7/7/2025 16:47	Adam Gmyrek 1/3	Salt Lake City parking ordnance not enforced__15.	<p>Ms. Petro-Eschler, As you know, a resident of the Rose Park neighborhood (Ms. Margaret) brought up a concern about commercial tractor trailers parking in a no parking zone during the September 2024 Rose Park Community meeting. Ms. Margaret also voiced her concern about the homeless community in the same area and how she did not feel safe while visiting a salon in the strip mall north of the Loves Travel Center (I paraphrase). Fast forward to July 2025 (10-months later) and the illegal parking situation with the commercial tractor trailers has not improved; it has only deteriorated. Yes, the City of Salt Lake has posted new no parking signs. However, the signs are ignored and patrols by the City of Salt Lake parking enforcement department are limited at best. It is my understanding talking with Jordan Smith that there were going to be patrols by her department each evening around 2030-2130hrs; It appears the said patrols are not happening. The City of Salt Lake should hold the Love's Travel Stop accountable for creating a constant blight and an unsafe atmosphere within the WestPoint / Jordan Meadows neighborhood(s). Picture shows 7-Tractors parked in a no parking zone 4 tractors and one pickup truck in violation of ordinance 12.56.100 MySLC app request# 00213410 documents: 15 tractors parked in a no parking zone 10 tractors violating City ordinance 12.56.100 Also, the homeless community has moved back into the area and the loitering and nefarious behavior is back — broken window theory in action. <a href="https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/broken-windows-theory">https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/broken-windows-theory</a> Additionally, the SLC PD mobile CCTV trailer has been brought back and placed on Duder St; now the SLC PD can observe and document the flagrant City ordinance violations; all the while, continuing to turn a blind eye to the infractions that constantly occur. Passive observation or mindless observation? I have had several conversations with SLC Police Dispatch, SLC PD officers while on patrol, Parking enforcement supervisor Jordan Smith, and spoken about the issue at Community monthly meetings, each conversation eludes to, SLC PD does not enforce parking ordinance violations; enforcement falls upon the SLC parking enforcement department via the MySLC app request(s) — a reactive model that has failed &amp; continues to fail the Westside Community and the City of Salt Lake. It appears the philosophy of the Parking Enforcement department is, if no requests are submitted via the MySLC app then no parking violations are happening within the City limits of Salt Lake. Regardless who one talks with about parking ordinance enforcement concerns within the City limits the same general consensus materializes, it is not in the purview of SLC PD to enforce parking ordinance infractions; yet SLC PD chooses to enforce parking ordinance violations along People Way near the SLC Public Safety Building — see article below. <a href="https://www.ksl.com/article/51201204/salt-lake-police-to-crack-down-on-illegal-parking-near-public-safety-building">https://www.ksl.com/article/51201204/salt-lake-police-to-crack-down-on-illegal-parking-near-public-safety-building</a> How does this work? Who signed off on this? No duality here. Great optics SLC PD! I have sent emails to Josh Rebello and Jordan Smith about the parking issue on Learned and Duder Streets; I have yet to receive a response from Mr. Rebollo. Daily no parking zone violations on Learned St &amp; Duder St__1. October 4, 2024 @0805MST — email sent to Josh Rebello Daily no parking zone violations on Learned St &amp; Duder St__2. October 7, 2024 @ 0808MST — email sent to Josh Rebello Daily no parking zone violations on Learned St &amp; Duder St__3b. January 15, 2025 @ 1344MST — email sent to Jordan Smith I have spoken extensively with Jordan Smith via cell phone about the parking issues along Learned &amp; Duder Streets. I have spoken with you Ms. Petro-Eschler and Sam Higginson — chair for Jordan Meadows, about the issue at the Love's Travel stop as well.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/7/2025 16:47	Adam Gmyrek 2/3	CONTINUED!! Salt Lake City parking ordinance not enforced__15.	<p>Furthermore, I have suggested several options for the City of Salt Lake could initiate to resolve this issue; my suggestions either fall on deaf ears and/or they are ignored; all the while, the parking violations continue to be allowed by the City of Salt Lake and the SLC PD. Please, HELP ME understand why the data from the MySLC app requests, the citations issued by the City of Salt Lake parking enforcement department, and the CCTV footage from 2024 and current CCTV footage is not enough data to communicate, exhibit, and suggest to the City of Salt Lake &amp; too the SLC PD that there is a SERIOUS illegal parking problem taking place on Learned and Duder Streets? Help me understand why the City of Salt Lake cannot see this? Help me understand why the City of Salt Lake is dragging its heels to resolve this issue? Ms. Petro-Eschler is it asking too much for HELP pertaining too this issue? It is a legitimate concern and issue for the community; and it will need to be addressed once the Miller Development is in place; apparently it is better to kick the proverbial can down the road. The City of Salt Lake should hold the Love's Travel Stop accountable for creating a constant blight and an unsafe atmosphere within the WestPointe / Jordan Meadows neighborhood(s). Think about this scenario, what happens if the SLC fire department needs to maneuver a ladder truck on Learned and/or Duder St to control a fire at the Love's Travel stop and/or the business complex across the street; all the while, there are 15 semis parked illegally along Learned &amp; Duder Streets... Sounds like a law suit waiting to happen. I have submitted a minimum of 106 MySLC app requests documenting the parking ordinance violations along Learned &amp; Duder Streets in SLC, UT — see below. Finally, Ms. Petro-Eschler, may you take this legitimate issue seriously and begin dialogue with the City of Salt Lake, its parking department, and the SLC PD to help resolve the illegal parking problem along Learned &amp; Duder Streets. I look forward to a timely and insightful response. Thank you. Regards, Adam Gmyrek Loves Truck Stop - Learned &amp; Duder Streets parking violations: 30 no parking violation requests were submitted using the SLC Mobile App Date Request</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/7/2025 16:47	Adam Gmyrek 3/3	CONTINUED!! Salt Lake City parking ordinance not enforced__15.	<p># Time 1) 01/01/2025 00179299 one truck-violating 12.56.100 – Learned Ave 1a) 01/02/2025 00179412 same truck-violating 12.56.100 &amp; parked for 24 hours – Learned Ave 2) 01/18/2025 00182099 1349 3) 01/21/2025 0018223677 0800 4) 01/23/2025 00182795 0830 5) 01/24/2025 00182951 0812 6) 02/01/2025 00184312 2125 7 semis in no parking zone / 6 violating 12.56.100; 1-dropped trailer 7) 02/02/2025 00184340 1712 8) 02/02/2025 00184386 2208 14 semis in no parking zone / 9 violating ordinance 12.56.100 9) 02/03/2025 00184416 11 semis in no parking zone / 8 violation ordinance 12.56.100 10) 02/04/2025 00184741 0600 5 semis in no parking zone / 3 violating ordinance 12.56.100 11) 02/04/2025 00184813 2215 6 semis in no parking zone / 3 violating ordinance 12.56.100 12) 02/05/2025 0018483 6 semis in no parking zone / 3 violating ordinance 12.56.100 13) 02/06/2025 00185141 1406 7 semis in no parking zone / 4 violating ordinance 12.56.100 14) 02/08/2024 00185379 0905 4 semis in no parking zone / 4 violating ordinance 12.56.100 15) 02/19/2025 00187280 2030 9 semis in no parking zone / 5 violating ordinance 12.56.100 16) 02/20/2025 00187437 2233 11 semis in no parking zone / 5 violating ordinance 12.56.100 17) 02/21/2025 00187615 2237 2 semis in no parking zone 18) 04/15/2025 00197232 2026 15 semis in no parking zone / 9 violating ordinance 12.56.100 19) 04/20/2025 00198113 2015 17 semis in no parking zone / 11 violating ordinance 12.56.100 20) 04/21/2025 00198377 2015 13 semis in no parking zone / 4 violating ordinance 12.56.100 21) 04/23/2025 00198850 2020 8 semis in no parking zone / 7 violating ordinance 12.56.100 22) 04/27/2025 00199428 0616 13 semis in no parking zone / 7 violating ordinance 12.56.100 23) 04/27/2025 00199506 2011 19 semis in no parking zone /10 violating ordinance 12.56.100 24) 05/04/2025 00200869 1842 16 semis in no parking zone / 9 violation ordinance 12.56.100 25) 05/04/2025 00200868 1831 over flow onto S. Temple 5 vehicles in no parking zone 1 violating ordinance 12.56.100 26) 05/19/2025 00204069 2000 14 semis in no parking zone / 7 violating ordinance 12.56.100 27) 05/20/2025 00204339 2030 7 semis in no parking zone / 4 violating ordinance 12.56.100 28) 05/21/2025 00204636 2100 6 semis, 1 RV in no parking zone / 4 violating ordinance 12.56.100 29) 06/02/2025 00212992 9 semis in no parking zone / 3 violating ordinance 12.56.100 30) 06/06/2025 00213410 0715 15 semis in no parking zone / 10 violating ordinance 12.56.100 From: 09/30/2024 – 10/11/2024 76 no parking violation requests were submitted using the SLC Mobile App MySLC Request ID# 4615237__ Request ID submission_#1 4615234 4611742 4610419 4611745 4611742 4615768 4615783 4615776 4618568__ Request ID submission_#10 4618571 4618572 4621928 4621931 4621932 4621934 4621935 4624487 4624489 4624490__ Request ID submission_#20 4624493 4624495 4624497 4624759 4624761 4624763 4624765 4625395 4625280 4625279__ Request ID submission_#30 4625272 4625270 4625267 4625266 4626194 4626196 4626198 4626202 4626207 4626192__ Request ID submission_#40 4626209 4626212 4626435 4629177 4629180 4629183 4629185 4629186 4629187 4629190__ Request ID submission_#50 4632512 4632507 4632506 4629443 4629448 4629450 4629452 4633123 4633129 4635570__ Request ID submission_#60 4635575 4635580 4635578 4635572 4635359 4635358 4635357 4635355 4635352 4635350__ Request ID submission_#70 4635349 4638154 4638153 4638072 4638069 4638066__ Request ID submission_#76 The above “Request ID submission #’s” can be verified with the SLC Mobile App information technology department and/or City of Salt Lake parking enforcement department.</p>
7/8/2025 8:59	Adam Gmyrek	Westside Passivity__12.	<p>Ms. Petro-Eschler and Mr. Padilla, Please help me understand why my email request: Westside Passivity__7, sent on June 17, 2025 @ 0639MST has been ignored? It is a legitimate request and concern. 21-days later and the pile of trash is still on the parking strip and the pile of trash continues to grow... Yes, directions were sent within the email — please reread the email — Westside Passivity__7. Mr. Padilla, if you do not have the email please reach out to Jorge Zavala and/or Ms. Petro-Eschler, they both were sent the email as well as the Mayor of SLC. Will this pile of trash be removed by the owner of the apartment complex or will the City of Salt Lake allow it to continue to grow? I look forward to a timely response and update. Thank you. Regards, Adam Gmyrek</p>
7/8/2025 13:39	Aaron Stillman Pond	SLC is still a Commuter City!	<p>Aaron reached out as a resident of a building in D4 that does not have a parking lot. They state that many residents there feel left out of the decision to raise the parking price. Aaron asks if there is a means for providing residents with a Residential Parking Permit.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/8/2025 16:02	Anonymous Constituent	Voicemail to City Council	<p>Hi my name is Kai Barrera, I live in District 4 and work in a business that is directly across from the Ballpark. NEXT proposal plan, a company called Davis Audio Visual. There are 3 things that I see with this plan that are not being properly addressed for the Ballpark area. 1. I don't think this plan truly considers the long term health and success of the CURRENT Ballpark residents. I feel that is more focused on the future higher class people that they would like to move into this area. The reason is because there isn't much information about the housing units being provided, how many will be below market rate and available for low income individuals. I believe, If this plans goes on as it is now, it will increase the cost of living in the area and disproportionately affect those already living in the area and gentrify them out of the area. I think it needs to focus how this plan will enrich and add value to the peop0le who are living and not drive them out. Another thing that I don't think has been talked about at all is how will this affect local business. In 2 ways I think it may not be fully considered what the construction may look like in the area. I would hate to see a similar situation that is happening in Sugar House now. Where lots of business are struggling to stay open or have already closed their doors due to relentless construction and inaccessible foot and vehicle access which is causing more damage to the community in the long term. Once those businesses are gone, they never come back. They usually get replaced by box stores or larger chains. One thing I think would be very beneficial is to consider what businesses are already in the area, like the one I work for Davis Audio Visual. They provide services for venues and events. Which is perfect to add for the Ballpark plan. They have been there for decades and we have not had anyone reach out to us to be involved in the plan even though there is an event venue right down from the business front door. I think if there was more community activation with the businesses at store level and more talk about how to get more local business, artists and community members engaged or even funding grants to start businesses in the Ballpark area. That would be a huge step in the right direction to create more sustainability, more economic long term generational probability for the Ballpark area and it's current residents. I think the last thing I want to touch on it how these feel, more specially the creek park area on the north part of the plan. I don't see that area being comfortable to live or be in, especially with the hotel on the street across from it. I see this turning 13th south into a very congested area. Which would mean lots of vehicle traffic and the Creek Park would be very loud to be in with the air and noise pollution from all of the vehicles.</p>
7/8/2025 16:20	Anonymous Constituent	Voicemail to City Council	<p>Hi my name is Nikki Doanne. I have lived in SLC my whole life. this has to do with the Ballpark plan. 1 thing I think the plan is really good for is the walkability. Having nature and it is good to native plants as well. One of the big aspects, that is just as important as housing and making housing affordable, is there are a lot of local businesses that don't have much. If we are serious about protecting this community, one the things we need to do is interrogate the local businesses in that area. If not, they are going to get pushed out and it will be brutal. IU don't think any of these business owners have the means to combat or fight that or to get any place. But if we are integrating the local businesses, we need to keep rent lowered for them as well. I Don't think there is a ethical way to bring in more local business that isn't in this area. I don't think it is ethical to charge these businesses a bunch of money up front either. I hope this is taken into extreme consideration, especially since this helps the community that is already there and the people that are there and truly make this a space for everyone. Thank you.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
7/8/2025 16:25	Anonymous Constituent	Voicemail to City Council	Hi I saw a Instagram story with this but I am not really sure what the format is supposed to be but I want to submit a request. I think asbestos testing in homes should be provided by funding from the government. I know you had a program with lead paint but considering how many old homes are in Salt Lake, it is like a public health issue. I know you have a list of 300 or something options but they are all quiet expensive. I think it should have government involvement. I just wanted to submit that request. My name is Jennifer Hederal. Thank you.