Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/9/2024 8:39	Leila Dethlefsen	Stop the expansion of fossil fuels	Dear Wharton, As you know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after study has shown that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human health. In short, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate action and public health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel Non-Proliferation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already passed one, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will demonstrate your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global action against the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to pass SAFE (Stand Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually every continent around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to Baltimore from the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are fighting fossil fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are connecting these neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies that take concrete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of people, hundreds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the United Nations. The Treaty is aiming to end the exploration for new fossil fuels, phase out existing production in line with a 1.5°C scenario, and implement a just transition for every worker, community, and country. As a member of your co

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/9/2024 10:30	Jim Jenkin	Removal of Partial Indemnification	Dear Chris, I would like to request your attention to the matter of the removal of Partial Indemnification for Community organizations from the City code. As this was discussed in last month's Salt Lake Community Network meeting, you may have already heard of this; I left on vacation shortly afterward and have only just returned. In that meeting Amy Hawkins described the removal of partial indemnification for community organizations in a Text Amendment approved by the City Council in June of 2023, and originally initiated by the City in 2016. She reports
			that this change to 2.60.070 was not noticed in the Staff Report and first appeared on page 64 of a much longer document issued on 16 May 2023. She further reports that this change was submitted by the City Attorney's office in an attempt to avoid the City defending harassment. This was a surprise to me and to many of the participants. Assuming that the above is correct, this is very concerning to me it seems to me that: 1. The Language as it existed prior to the change specified the protection applied to the normal business operations of community organizations and would not, therefore, obligate the City to defend any illegal activities. Objectionable speech is a matter of taste and generally protected by constitutional rights. 2. The removal of this protection places Community Organizations without sufficient income streams for private insurance in a position where they can be intimidated by anyone threatening a law suite, and therefore, interferes with public process and suppresses free speech. 3. The late insertion of this change in the text amendment process and its omission from the Staff Report constitute insufficient public process, as well as a lack of civic courtesy, as clearly demonstrated by the fact that numerous community organizations were unaware the change had been made for nearly a year. I would greatly appreciate
			your views and advice on the above, Sincerely yours, Jim Jenkin Jim Jenkin

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
Date/Time Opened 10/10/2024 9:57	Contact Name Carmela Sudano	Our community should phase out fossil fuels and fast track clean energy solutions	Dear Wharton, As you know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after study has shown that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human health. In short, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate action and public health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel Non-Proliferation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already passed one, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will demonstrate your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global action against the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to pass SAFE (Stand Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually every continent around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to Baltimore from the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are fighting fossil fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are connecting these neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies that take concrete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of people, hundreds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the
			Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2024 10:07	Mary Kessler	Our community deserves to be healthy and safe	Dear Wharton, As you know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after
			study has shown that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human
			health. In short, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate
			action and public health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel
			Non-Proliferation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already
			passed one, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will
			demonstrate your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global
			action against the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to
			pass SAFE (Stand Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually
			every continent around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to
			Baltimore from the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are
			fighting fossil fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are
			connecting these neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies
			that take concrete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The
			Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of
			people, hundreds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the
			United Nations. The Treaty is aiming to end the exploration for new fossil fuels, phase out existing production in line
			with a 1.5°C scenario, and implement a just transition for every worker, community, and country. As a member of
		your community, I'm asking you to endorse the Fossil Fuel Treaty and show your commitment to ending the	
			expansion of fossil fuels in and around our homes. Together, we can keep our community and planet safe from
			fossil fuels, speed up the shift to clean, renewable energy, and create good, long-term jobs. I look forward to
			hearing back from you and working with you to stop the proliferation of fossil fuels. Thank you, mary kessler Sandy,
			UT

action and pu Non-Prolifers passed on demonstrate action agains pass SAFE (St every conti Baltimore fr fighting fossil connecting th that take con Fossil Fuel 1 people, hund United Nations with a 1.5°C s your comr expansion o	on, As you know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after own that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human it, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate blic health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel ation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already it, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global at the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to and Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually ment around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are see neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies crete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The freaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of eds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the freaty is aiming to end the exploration for new fossil fuels, phase out existing production in line cenario, and implement a just transition for every worker, community, and country. As a member of nunity, I'm asking you to endorse the Fossil Fuel Treaty and show your commitment to ending the fossil fuels in and around our homes. Together, we can keep our community and planet safe from a speed up the shift to clean, renewable energy,

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2024 10:12	Justin Grover	Endorse the Fossil Fuel Treaty	As you may know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after study has shown that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human health. In short, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate action and public health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel Non-Proliferation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already passed one, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will demonstrate your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global action against the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to pass SAFE (Stand Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually every continent around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to Baltimore from the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are fighting fossil fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are connecting these neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies that take concrete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of people, hundreds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the United Nations. The Treaty is aiming to end the exploration for new fossil fuels, phase out existing production in line with a 1.5°C scenario, and implement a just transition for every worker, community, and country. As a member of your community, I

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2024 12:38	Melissa Delacruz 1/2	Sunnyside Avenue Safety Improvement Project	Hello Dan, My name is Melissa De La Cruz and I live on Sunnyside Avenue across the street from the East High football field. I
			wanted to reach out to you and express my concern, as well as my fellow neighbors', in regard to the "Sunnyside Avenue Safety
			Improvement" project. I also wanted to see what steps need to be taken to stop the advancement of this project. I went to the
			community forum last Thursday where I saw several of my immediate neighbors as well as a few other concerned citizens in the
			neighborhood. It seemed like a very productive meeting but I'm not sure that it didn't fall onto deaf ears. It's unfortunate you were
			unable to attend but understand it's difficult and schedules can be demanding. Besides the 3-4 individuals that work for the city,
			there were about 7 (possibly 1 or 2 more that I didn't see or speak with) in attendance that collaborated and spoke about the
			concerns resting on the Sunnyside project. Everyone was concerned, and really between the 7 of us it boiled down to thisWe
			are all opposed to every proposal except for the addition of the two crosswalks- one by East High parking lot and the other at the
			top of the hill by the future Rowland Hall High School, as we feel that they would be an advantageous addition to the Sunnyside
			landscape. That being said, we all agreed that a crosswalk at the proposed location at the eastern corner of 1400 East and
			Sunnyside Avenue is a poor choice. Not only does it eliminate left turns onto 1400 East from Sunnyside and from 1400 East onto
			Sunnyside, which are crucial passageways into and out of our neighborhood; but it doesn't properly serve the pedestrians that
			are in need of this crosswalk. The natural path or flow of students and visitors that use the East High parking lot and cross the
			street to the stadium is further west, by the entrance of the parking lot. This is where they jaywalk. We feel that placing a
			crosswalk where they are already walking would cause them to use the crosswalk, as opposed to going up the hill, and then
			making a 90-degree left hand turn to cross at the proposed crosswalk location. Students would be the majority of the
			pedestrians crossing at this point and we all want to keep them safe. We also agreed that the area for a crosswalk a bit further
			west by the parking lot entrance is more open, for pedestrians and traffic to better see each otherAs mentioned above, we are
			opposed to putting in a landscaped median at the above-mentioned intersection that would block access to our neighborhood.
			It would put undue additional traffic stress at Guardsman Way/Greenwood Terrace as well as 1300 East. Both are already very
			congested. I imagine it would also cause many to make the right-hand turn from 1400 East, only to make a U-turn to go
			westbound on Sunnyside. It would be unwise to close that essential corridor. More importantly, it would make that intersection more unsafe. Students that park in that parking lot often enter it by way of 1400 East, and many already turn left into oncoming
			traffic to enter the parking lot. They do not use the median to make the second left turn into the parking lot. We felt that putting a
			landscaped median there would only increase the pattern of teens driving into oncoming traffic as to not have to make the
			extensive detour to parkWe are opposed to a landscaped median. With the ones that are already put in throughout our
			neighborhood, specifically regarding the one further east on Sunnyside Ave at 1730 E./Diestel Road, it is extremely difficult to
			see pedestrians, especially shorter ones and strollers, with the high shrubbery. That contradicts the narrative of "Sunnyside Ave
			Safety Improvements". In addition to safety, which is most important, it will become an unnecessary eyesore because Salt Lake
			City does not maintain their medians. They get overgrown with weeds and are a safe haven for trash blowing in the winds we get
			from Emigration Canyon.
			non Emgadon odnyon.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2024 12:38	Melissa Delacruz 2/2	CONTINUED!! Sunnyside Avenue Safety Improvement Project	-We do not want the westbound lane on Sunnyside to become one lane. I understand the desire to make bike lanes throughout the city. Sunnyside is not an ideal place for that. There is already a shared bike lane westbound. It is not used by very many bikers, but hundreds of cars use that lane daily. With the building of the new Rowland Hall High School and U of U housing on Sunnyside, traffic will only increase. The westbound lane will be bottlenecked and have traffic backed up to Foothill Drive. Not only will reducing traffic down to one lane make it extremely difficult to navigate, but there will be constant slow/standing traffic in front of our homes polluting our air, more so than it already is. In addition to 400 South and 2100 South, Sunnyside is the only other major artery to the east side. We understood that, as well as our Sunnyside neighbors, when we purchased our homes. Insignificant desires should not be turned into detrimental problemsWe do not want green rectangles painted in the bike lane at the end of each of our drivewaysWe feel that adding an additional streetlamp on the southwest corner of 1400 East and Sunnyside is excessive and unnecessary. There is already a streetlight 10-15 feet to the west of the proposed site for a new one. We do not want a raised crosswalk at the end of 1400 East at Sunnyside. With snowy or slushy streets, it can already be difficult to make it up that small hill from the stop sign at 1400 East. There is already a crosswalk thereMy neighbor on the corner of that intersection does not want the added bulb. She does not want to shovel it. I don't blame her. I do not want the bulb either, on her part. It is unnecessary. The city has already extended that corner out to slow down traffic on the right turn. A bulb is just obnoxious. She already has more shoveling from the previous extension that the city put in. On a different note, something that was brought up by a lady at the forum the other night, was that every time there is a major rainstorm, her basement gets f
10/10/2024 14:28	Bill Hanewinkel	Request for Information Regarding Budget Increase	Hello, There is a proposed property tax increase of 20% requested by the Salt Lake City Mosquito Abatement District. This is coming on the heals of rather steep fee increases in 2024 (water and sewer). In detail please, what increasing costs are there in the SLCMAD budget? Thank you. Bill Hanewinkel

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/10/2024 16:34	Roy li Zhang	Homeless in District 4	Hello I am a Resident in District 4, We can't seem to avoid being harassed by the homeless asking us for money and food is one thing, and I will always feed people however, recently they become more aggressive, forcing me to have to call the police as a matter of fact today, as I was out walking my dog around 9 o'clock this morning I had to remind a couple of the homeless that hang out on the corner that they needed to keep their dog in a leash as my dog is reactive towards theirs. I had to pick up my dog and hold her away, I'm used to the homeless and I have to walk past them constantly and like I said, I will feed them and I do, however when I told these people that I needed to control their dog, one of them proceeded to chase after me up main Street until I got to the bookstore and I called 911 the same homeless person didn't just chase me but he was screaming at me. I will quote exactly what he said "I'm gonna fuck you up the ass you dumb cop" and he was carrying a stick. It is beyond me how we have a homeless task force we have a police department and actually we even have a satellite police department closer to city. Creek just off of Main Street without being said why are the city ordinance is not being enforced there are ordinances about camping their ordinances about panhandling their ordinances about loitering and actually harassment is against the law. I feel very strongly that I should be able to walk outside of my apartment and walk my dog and not be harassed and not be assaulted by the homeless and their dogs. I'm not sure what the entire solution is, but I do know that the ordinances need to be enforced once I do have one suggestion have it at the garbage cans in front of the Apollo Burger should be removed. Those garbage cans in front of the Apollo Burger other reason why they hang out in front of the Apollo Burger and on the corner of 400 S. and Main Street they dig in there for food I get it. They're hungny, but it makes it where the rest of us can't walk past them because they harass us assau
10/10/2024 16:36	Anthony Tobler	Rio Grande Plan + Rio Grande District Plan = Great Success	Hi, I just want to ask the council to include the citizen proposed Rio Grande Plan as part of your Rio Grande District Plan. It seems to me that any District Plan that doesn't include the Rio Grande Plan is going to be subpar at best and unsuccessful at worst. Because currently the Rio Grande District is cut off from the rest of the city on both sides. By dirty rail yards on one side and by an unused Rio Grande Depot on the other side. But with the Rio Grande Plan you would instead have the city's central train station on one side of the district, and on the other side you would have removed the rail yards, which would open up 50-75 acres of land for redevelopment. So I think combining the plans is necessary for the Rio Grande District to reach its full potential as a central and uniting part of the city. Thank you! Anthony Tobler

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/11/2024 10:19	Moises A. Lopez	NOISENOISE (train horns)	Dear Council Member, It has been like two weeks were we have been getting noise from the trains. To be honest, we cannot stand it and we cannot live normal lives for the ones living right next to the train rails. Is there something we can help? What is the procedure so we can stop that from happening? This cannot be the "new normal" Please, be kind enough to write me back or leave me a detailed voicemail. Thanks, Moises Moises A. Lopez
10/11/2024 13:35	Michael Barnes	A.D.A violation against Salt Lake City Parks Department	Nick, I have been dealing with A.D.A. issues involving Salt Lake City for the last seven years which included having to file a complaint with the Justice Department when the city would not make the City and County Building accessible to those of us who were dependent upon a mobility device could not gain access into this building which bring me too the bridge closure at the Cottonwood Park and the section of sidewalk which was removed on the south side of this park both of these items are a violation of the A.D.A. Act and the cites failure to provide a way for those of us using a mobility device to gain access around this closure just goes to show how little the city cares about the disabled members of our community which is why I plan to file a complaint with the Justice Department concerning this matter and the cities A.D.A. Coordinator has been made aware of this problem.
10/14/2024 7:45	Anonymous Constituent	Homeless taken over Riverside Park and camping infront of Elementary School	I have reported the camp that has been camping around Backman Elementary school for about 6 weeks now. The folks that run SLC mobile tell me it has been addressed and yet the camp gets worse everyday. I cannot walk around my own neighborhood without seeing tons of trash and needles everywhere. The homeless have literally taken over Riverside Park. I own a home in the area. It has never been this bad. I was told by police to keep calling dispatch and they would remove these people. I do, and they never come. I had a homeless man urinate in my house the other week from one of these camps in the park. I also had my solar lights stolen off my porch. The people camping next to Bachman elementary started a fire that the fire department responded to a few weeks ago. I get they're homeless and have nowhere to go, but to allow this to go on in a residential area and infront of an ELEMENTARY SCHOOL and park where children play sports everyday is unacceptable. I have pictures of these people with their arms tied up shooting needles into them. INFRONT OF AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. Aren't there supposed to be drug-free zones within 100 feet of schools and recreational areas? as defined in Utah Code § 58-37-8(4). These zones carry enhanced penalties for drug offenses. It's concerning that these violations continue near the elementary school and park. ADDRESS THIS AREA IMMEDIATELY BEFORE IT GETS MORE OUT OF HAND. I'm begging you. I am so sick of reporting this to the police and they never come. I am so sick of reporting this to SLC mobile and they don't do anything.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/14/2024 9:03	Jennifer Baugh	Stop the expansion of fossil fuels	Dear Wharton, As you know, fossil fuels pose a threat not only to our planet, but to our community. Study after study has shown that extracting, transporting, refining, and burning fossil fuels harms environmental and human health. In short, fossil fuel expansion is undercutting the good work local governments are undertaking on climate action and public health. I'm writing to you today to ask you to please pass a resolution that calls for a Fossil Fuel Non-Proliferation Treaty and pledges to stop the expansion of fossil fuels in our community (and if we've already passed one, please continue working to pass concrete policies to do the same). Passing this resolution will demonstrate your intent to keep our community healthy and safe. You'll also be showing your support for global action against the climate crisis via the Fossil Fuel Treaty and showing your commitment to taking local action to pass SAFE (Stand Against Fossil Fuel Expansion) policies that stop fossil fuel expansion. All around us, on virtually every continent around the globe, a movement is growing. People from Canada to California from Boulder to Baltimore from the Amazon Sacred Headwaters to the Rhineland from Capetown to Jakarta – and beyond – are fighting fossil fuel expansion: and more often than not, they're winning. The Treaty and SAFE Cities movements are connecting these neighbors, local groups, elected officials, and government staff and helping them pass policies that take concrete and lasting action to protect community health and safety and address the climate crisis. The Fossil Fuel Treaty has been endorsed by hundreds of organizations collectively representing tens of millions of people, hundreds of municipalities like ours, and 13 nation-states. It's even gaining traction within the halls of the United Nations. The Treaty is aiming to end the exploration for new fossil fuels, phase out existing production in line with a 1.5°C scenario, and implement a just transition for every worker, community, and country. As a member of your co
10/15/2024 10:23	Margaret Holloway	Just Another Tax	Just blows my mind how developers push everyone around. The state creates their own tax districts takes city property. Then we have to pay with the council permission for what. To spray the bugs. Which they built the prison there. The new abatement building was just completed .a few years ago. Our city only grows tall not out. So why are the developers not going to pay for additional services? Why is it on us again? Do you ever say. Not our responsibility. You built there, No. We are an endless pot of money Just blows my mind we get walked on and walked on yet nobody fights back, Margaret We have been sold out with nothing in return.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/15/2024 12:37	Katie Pappas	Mosquito Abatement District Property Tax Increase	My comments are regarding Agenda item #3 on the 10/15/24 council agenda. I oppose the Salt Lake City Mosquito Abatement District's request for a property tax increase. With new revelations regarding the inclusion of PFAs in many pesticides, the last thing we should be doing is increasing pesticide use. Instead, we need to pay attention to the science, adopt stricter regulations and discontinue their use wherever possible. PFAs are synthetic chemicals, referred to as "forever chemicals" because they don't readily break down and accumulate in the environment and living things. Their presence leads to a long list of health problems, and they readily move from mother to fetus. Labeling of pesticides does not require PFAs be identified as such so you may be spraying them around your home without your knowledge. Between local mosquito abatement districts and private businesses, we don't even know how much pesticide use there really is. It's horrifying to see a pest control company spraying around my neighbor's home where three small children live. People think because it's allowed, it must be safe. This is an issue that impacts every single one of us. Maine and Minnesota have taken steps to curb and ban PFAs. There is no reason the state of Utah shouldn't be doing the same. I oppose my tax dollars going to fund the spraying of toxins, anywhere. Why would we want to increase this harmful practice? Katie Pappas Salt Lake City
10/15/2024 13:35	Steve Sugiyama	Phone call to City Council	Constituent called regarding the 50/50 City sidewalk policy. I know the City has a program not like any other City I have come across. They charge the homeowners 50% for sidewalks and repairs. I find this to be troublesome. We can't control the sidewalk, we can't own it but we have to pay for it? You would think the homeowner would have some say in what happens with the sidewalk. How it looks or who can walk on it. Why should the homeowners have to pay when we have no say and the City collects taxes for public right away. Where is all that money going to? No other City I have ever seen does this and I think it should be a policy that is changed. Please consider changing the policy. Thank you.
10/15/2024 17:48	Zack Scriven	General Comment	Not having the Rio Grande Plan as part of the Depot District is a glaring oversight.