

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/2/2024 9:28	Debra Anderson	sales tax increase and ryan smith's robbery	<p>City Council Members and Mayer Mendenhall, I am expressing my position on the .05% sales tax increase that the legislature and you are so 'generously' offering to BILLIONAIRE Ryan Smith. This is unconscionable for many reasons. As citizens you and the legislature have circumvented the voters who have every right to have had this put to a vote for the people to decide if they want to fund a BILLIONAIRES billion dollar project. Raising the sales tax for those in Salt Lake City is a penalty put upon us that the rest of the valley/state does not have to shoulder. Many lower income folks just cannot sustain another tax increase with recently raising your salaries, utility cost going up, cost of groceries and gas, and property taxes increasing! This is insulting that you are gifting \$900,000 of taxpayers money without our consent to a BILLIONAIRE! Your claims that this will benefit all is absurd. The 'sports and entertainment district' will benefit the wealthy and the developers. Trickle down economics has been proven a fallacy. I am so very angry about this whole debacle!! Put this to a vote for the people to decide. Anymore, between the mayor, city council and the legislature, the will of the people and respect for the people are being ignored!!</p> <p>Make the right honest decision of putting this to a vote to the people! Debra Anderson</p>
10/2/2024 9:32	Ricklen Nobis	Abravanel Hall and the Participation Agreement between Salt Lake City and the SEG	<p>September 30th, 2024 Dear City Council Member Wharton, As you are aware, at the recent meeting of the Legislature Revitalization Zone Committee the vote was unanimous to approve the Participation Agreement, and to return it to the City Council with the recommendation that the Council add specific language to the Agreement to protect and preserve Maurice Abravanel Hall in its current location and form. It was also at this meeting that County Mayor Jenny Wilson publically committed to preserving the Hall (a County facility) and helping to find the means for its maintenance and upkeep. I know you are also aware that on September 5th The Utah State Historic Landmarks Commission board voted unanimously to forward the nomination of Maurice Abravanel Hall to the Federal National Register of Historic Places. I served as the Principal Keyboards Player with the Utah Symphony for over 26 years, and have had the opportunity and the privilege of performing on the stage of Abravanel Hall many, many times. You are the representative on the City Council from my District, and I've written to you before concerning Abravanel Hall. I'm writing you again now in order to urge you to add preservation language to the PA to satisfy the Legislature's intent to preserve and maintain Abravanel Hall. Sincerely, and with many thanks! Ricklen Nobis</p>
10/2/2024 10:01	Anonymous Constituent	Sales Tax Increase	<p>Still couldn't make sense of why the taxpayers pay for private venue innovation. For the people don't care for the games or don't want to go downtown (pay expensive parking fees), it is unfair. The temple construction has been there for 4 or 5 years. Now more construction is coming. Can city do something really benefit the taxpayers for real? The utilities bill just went up in July. Sales tax goes up in next year. Nice job for boosting the gov revenue!</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/2/2024 10:05	David Porter 1/2	Abravanel Hall and SEG	<p>Dear Chris, I listened with unsurprised disappointment to today's work session regarding the SEG development. While I know it is completely pointless, I will once again convey my complete opposition to both the 99-year land giveaway and the .5 percent regressive tax increase. Oh, and the ticket tax too, which proportionally penalizes the working class fan who can only afford the 25 dollar ticket compared to the loaded fan buying the 2000 dollar ticket. The least you could do is make it proportional! At today's work session, I learned once again how hard everyone has worked at the City and how wonderful y'all are. What an utterly vacuous exchange of the same old talking points, and the same self-congratulatory back slapping. I took the time to read through all of the comments sent to the City Council. Have you? Do you think you are truly serving the interests of your constituents when the vast majority of citizens who took the time to write in are vehemently against this elective stadium deal? You don't like that term, but 525 million of the taxpayers is going into the stadium, and there is not one sentence in the entire agreement that states SEG has to put a dime of their own money into the district. Do you think you have answered their questions and allayed their concerns? I don't. Here are some questions I would want answered before giving a billionaire almost a billion dollars in a type of investment that has been found again and again to be a bum deal for the taxpayer. I would send you supporting links, but I won't bother, as many of my fellow constituents already did, and it seems our local liberals have embraced the same head-in-the-sand anti-science stance that our conservative friends have on climate change. Speaking of which, how does this district tackle big issues like climate change? Oh yeah, you get 100 million for the homeless thanks to your regressive ticket tax, or really for whatever the city decides it wants to do with it. That's eight percent of the 1.2 billion you are wasting on an billionaire's elective development. Way to illustrate our priorities. There are scant details of what this development even entails. It's like buying a vehicle sight unseen, with no details regarding color, size, model, type, brand, or quality. We don't even know for certain who gets to drive it, but we do know it costs the taxpayer 1.2 billion and probably a few more billion down the line. Trust us though, the car dealer's a good guy and only wants what's best for you. The idea that the City is being transparent and responsible is laughable. Either the City is giving taxpayer money to a developer with almost no idea what is being developed, or it is knows what's being developed but hasn't revealed it. One is irresponsible, the other opaque. My guess is that it's a combination of the two. Here are specific questions that should be answered before any vote takes place: 1. What is being built on the two blocks west of the Delta Center? 2. The tax is expected to raise 1.2 billion dollars. What happens to the 300 billion that isn't going to SEG? 3. Why is SEG leasing the land on which Abravanel Hall sits? 4. Where is any supporting material illustrating that this deal will be a net positive financially for the SLC taxpayer?</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/2/2024 10:05	David Porter 2/2	CONTINUED!! Abravanel Hall and SEG	<p>5. Can you show me other examples of SEG developments to illustrate their building acumen? 6. Why did the project area maps change? The Convention Center on the East block used to be in the area, and now it isn't. Why is the Convention Center out of it, but AH is in it? (Please see maps below.) New Versions: Old Versions: 7. How much more than the 1.2 billion dollars will the taxpayer have to shell out to meet the terms of the Participation Agreement, which includes tearing down and rebuilding the Convention Center, burying 300 West, "renovations" to Abravanel Hall, and a new art museum? Three billion, or more like four? 8. Has the City explored other ways that Salt Lake City could spend 1.2-4 billion dollars that experts would find would be a better investment than this stadium deal? Could you give an example of deals that would be worse? 9. What other cities have even considered tearing down their world-class, beloved concert hall for a sports mall? Is that responsible management? Was it transparent and open for the city and county to attempt to conspire to move Symphony Hall to Main Street? If you are going to vote "yes" to this abomination, I hope you will at least support Eva Lopez Chavez's amendment stating that "I move that the City Council recognizes the importance of Abravanel Hall as a cultural and architectural icon in Salt Lake City and its significant role in the future of the Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention District. The City will continue to collaborate with the County, SEG, and other stakeholders and develop a comprehensive plan for the preservation, renovation, and ongoing operation of Abravanel Hall at its current location. I further move we recognize the value and importance of Utah Museum of Contemporary Art and look forward to its continuing contribution to the district." If you truly want to represent your district and what's best for Salt Lake City, you will vote "no" on this heist of taxpayer funds for a billionaire's pet project. Sincerely, David David Porter</p>
10/2/2024 15:54	Anonymous Constituent	Salt Lake City Listened to the Lovers of Abravanel Hall	<p>After some reticence, the Salt City Council will consider an amendment to the Participation Agreement between the City and Smith Entertainment group to develop the Entertainment District, tonight at the City Council Formal Meeting, The amendment will commit the City to preserve Maurice Abravanel Hall. The amendment is sponsored by District 4 Council Representative Eva Lopez Chavez. She has lined up enough support for this amendment to pass. Here is the language of an amendment to the Entertainment District Participation Agreement. "I further move that the City Council recognizes the importance of Abravanel Hall as a cultural and architectural icon in Salt Lake City and its significant role in the future of the Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention District. The City will continue to collaborate with the County, SEG, and other stakeholders and develop a comprehensive plan for the preservation, renovation, and ongoing operation of Abravanel Hall at its current location." I further move we recognize the value and importance of Utah Museum of Contemporary Art and look forward to its continuing contribution to the district. Thank you to Council Member Eva Lopez Chavez for bringing this language to the table.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• You may watch the Formal Meeting at this link.</li> <li>• If you wish to speak you may do so in person or online at this link.</li> </ul> <p>The the Participation Agreement discussion and public hearing is the first Item of Business after the Opening Ceremonies. The meeting starts at 7:00 PM, tonight, October 1, 2024. The effort to preserve Maurice Abravanel Hall is not over. This language is a first step to making sure the Hall continues to delight music lovers for generations to come. Thank you for being part of this effort. Your support and voices in support of preservation have been very important in preserving this historic and artistic landmark. Next up is getting Salt Lake County to make an even stronger commitment to Maurice Abravanel Hall.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/2/2024 15:56	Mark Hancock	Capital City Revitalization Zone	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council Members: My name is Mark Hancock and I am the executive vice chairman and one of the founders of PACS Group inc which is a \$6 billion public company (NYSE: PACS) based in Farmington Utah. We are a healthcare services company with over 35,000 employees deployed at one of our 240+ affiliated healthcare facilities around the country. Our home office in Utah employs all of our support services including finance, accounting, payroll, HR, IT, legal, and tax. We have steadily been growing and expanding our office staff in Farmington Utah since 2016 but recently engaged commercial brokers to evaluate relocating our home office and service center to Salt Lake City. Over the past several months I have personally toured most of the available downtown office space but to date have not found a good solution. The Capital City Revitalization Zone is excited for a big employer like us as we look to consolidate office space in a vibrant downtown city. The proposed entertainment zone solves for all the things we are looking for with quality lodging, dining, and entertainment options. Annually our company brings thousands of staff from around the country to our offices for training and team building. Having convenient, safe, and walkable access to hotels, restaurants, and sports entertainment will continue to drive our success in recruiting, hiring, and retaining top talent. We have even held our annual leadership conference in the city despite limited 5-star accommodations and conference/ballroom space in the central business district. In summary, we are just one of many businesses that I am aware of that are super interested and excited about the prospects of being part of a revitalized downtown Salt Lake City. Please add my company and me personally to the list of supporters for this project. Thank you, Mark Hancock Executive Vice Chairman</p>
10/2/2024 15:59	Elizabeth Watson	Vote NO to create a Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention (SECC) District around the Delta Center and increase citywide sales tax to support its development	<p>Salt Lake City Council Members, As a Salt Lake City resident vote I firmly request that you vote NO on a billionaire's proposal to create a Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention (SECC) District around the Delta Center and increase citywide sales tax to support its development. I do not believe we should hand over the keys to any individual or private entity and absolutely Salt Lake Citizens should NOT be asked to support such a crazy idea with a sales tax for the next 30 years. Subsidies to private entities never are fair and are only a handout to the greedy. And the idea they entertained that the Abravental Hall, a historic monument to culture and arts for almost 50 years is too "old" and more important than a hockey rink. Seriously??? The mere idea that the SEG would have a major public relations blitz yesterday that they will cut the \$5 cost of bottled water in half is outrageous. Do they think we are stupid. It should be free given that we already have paid for it through our taxes. I wonder how many pockets of Governor, Mayor and State Legislators have been lined. This is an outrage. It is time for all of our elected officials to stop the sale of our State, our County and our City. Vote NO, No, No. Sincerely, Elizabeth Watson</p>
10/2/2024 16:01	Jim Ngo	Shameful	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, Do you think that overpriced beers at generic sports bars or national chain restaurants constitutes "culture?" Do you think that the poor and middle class residents of Salt Lake City can afford the \$100-\$1000 per game for Jazz tickets, even though they will have to pay the incredible new tax rate – 9.25% – that is now among the highest in the country? We are already suffering from high housing costs, high rents, and high property taxes. What you've done is make Salt Lake City even less affordable for struggling families. The Salt Lake City Council and Salt Lake City Mayor Erin Mendenhall have let us down by putting the entire \$1 Billion tax burden on Salt Lake City businesses and residents and giving a blank check to one very wealthy billionaire to make himself richer. Shameful. Sincerely, Jim Ngo</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/2/2024 16:03	Bernie Hart	Please start walking the talk	<p>Mayor Mendenhall, Someone on your staff should maybe start rethinking your policies and the methods Salt Lake City uses when engaging with the chronically homeless in our community. A whole bunch of stuff going on at a high cost and nothing is changing. Last week I saw a number of police cars in Liberty Park. This morning I counted seven (7) police cars on 300E engaging with the campers at Library Square... which happens to be right across the street from SLCPD. Seven (7) times \$1,500.00 to move a group of campers from Library Square back into Liberty Park. The \$10.5K may be a low estimate, plus 20K a day for SLCPD and emergency visits to the shelters and not even considering calls to the permanent supportive housing locations in the city... it is a lot of money going into programs that are not reducing the number of campers in the city. Please, unless you can start walking the talk, stop talking about what a great job you all are doing. Bernie Hart</p>
10/3/2024 10:55	Tim Richards	Your vote on tax hike	<p>Hello City Council Members: I just wanted to express my disappointment on your vote to approve a tax hike to pay for the “sports Area” forcing some people ( Non sports residents) to pay for something that they “will never use”. This city is becoming unlivable for certain people, we have so many rising cost of living (rent, groceries, utilities, and personal property tax’s) and now you raise the tax for all other purchases. I will not be making purchases within the “Tax Zone” Salt Lake City as soon as the tax begins. I will drive to Davis County and purchase all my every day living items, I will recruit others to do the same. This is a sad day for residents that want to support local business. Disappointed, Tim Richards (Your neighbor)</p>
10/3/2024 12:04	Margaret Holloway	D1 Street Condition Concerns	<p>I have reported the issue on SLC MOBILE. A few times. Beautification BEFORE safety is unacceptable. There is nothing wrong with the condition of 600/700. North. Except. The mayor wants bike lanes. You could have fixed the section 1000w to 1400 west. Before it got this bad knowing 600 north was going to be fixed No other 3 block area in the state has 5. Schools where kids are driven. So yes we know the mayor allots what gets fixed first. But they are overlaying side streets that are not in need of repairs and telling us that. They don't have money to do this in a temporary fashion . It is a shame I have to get this in the Tribune to get attention on this. We don't care if 600 is being redone to add bike lanes . The amount of traffic on 1000 north will increase even more. But you know those new bike lanes take precedent don't they. We have 5 schools on that street. 600. Has NONE..</p>
10/3/2024 13:59	Richard Hendron	SEG District vote/ "No" Thank You	<p>Dear Councilwoman Young- Salt Lake City is a wonderful city, with a great deal of potential. Today we are limited by a lack of housing (as are many cities), aging infrastructure, and the need for a long-range plan (a new Master Plan) to guide our future. We need: Two new high schools The Rio Grande Project to re-unite our city Park renovations and updates for everyone A large number and wide variety of very affordable housing units With all of that happening, we also need to preserve and protect our cultural resources, such as Abravanel Hall, Japantown, and Greek Town. They have not always fared well during our downtown projects. Balanced against those needs, we have the request from Mr. Smith to cede a very large amount of financial and land resources for a sports team. As we have seen over the past decade, sports teams are often transient investments. They frequently move from one city to another, taking their promised benefits with them. Thus, I recommend that our financial resources, including taxes, remain under the control of Salt Lake City's elected officials, for the benefit of all our citizens, rich and not-so-rich. Salt Lake City should vote "no" on giving up all of these resources. Mr. Smith will still have his funds to renovate the Salt Palace if he wishes. Or perhaps he could lease it from SLC. These are standard business deals. This will preserve our heritage and resources, for our future. All zoning and financial decisions would remain within the realm of our elected officials. I appreciate your time and resources, and the research and planning materials the City has sent to me. They have been extremely useful. Best wishes- Rich Hendron</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/3/2024 14:35	Jerry Philpot	(EXTERNAL) 2024 proposed revised bargaining resolution <b>**Attachement 1 - 4 pages</b>	Dear Salt Lake City Council Members, I hope this message finds you well. On behalf of AFSCME Local 1004, I am writing to express our concerns regarding the joint bargaining resolution currently under consideration. We believe it is crucial to address specific issues that may impact our members and the broader community. Attached to this email is a letter that outlines these concerns in detail. We appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to discussing it further. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Thank you, Jerry Philpot President AFSCME Local 1004
10/3/2024 17:58	Anonymous Constituent	Smith center downtown	Why did you vote that in? huh? the community members that have been here longer than Smith have been ignored. I've been a good citizen of SLC by raising responsible sons and my volunteer inside this community has been cheapened by your decision to back him not the least with a tax that already has added to the low to not so low middle class family budgets. Greed once again reigns and not putting the resources toward saving the GSL, air pollution, homelessness is dispicable. I am not going to proof this one bit as I hope my disappointment comes thru this letter to all of you. Who the heck wants hockey downtown? No one asked us.
10/4/2024 10:30	Alyson Heyrend	for Sarah Young - D/7	Dear Sarah - I'm a constituent who thinks you are doing a good job representing District 7. I'm concerned about the fast decision-making timeline regarding SEG's downtown district proposal. I realize that much was controlled by the state legislature. I hope you'll continue to be a watchdog for residents' tax dollars, knowing that we're facing many increases from the school district, the city and the county. I'm skeptical of promises made by billionaires regarding how much "good" they are doing the city. It's hard when there's so much commercial and institutional pressure on the City Council, but please know I value your willingness to be a thoughtful representative. Best, Alyson Heyrend
10/4/2024 11:48	Kristen Saad	Voicing Opposition to Sales Tax Increase - D/7	Voicemail Transcription from 10/1/24: Hi there, my name is Kristen Saad, I am a resident of Sugar House. I'm just calling because I just recently learned of the City Council meeting today that's actually going to have a vote on a proposed tax increase to fund a Sports and Entertainment District. I just wanted to voice my really strong opposition to this. I think it is actually ludicrous that there is going to be an increase of taxes across the board without any real public weigh in on this issue. It was not very well publicized and I think that it's crazy that we can't do things like fund school lunches but we will give billionaires handouts to build stadiums that no one really asked for. I really hope that you vote against this. With how expensive everything has gotten lately and inflation I think it is really crazy to think about this being a benefit to people who live in the area. I hope you are able to voice those concerns on behalf of your constituents tonight. Thank you very much.



September 27, 2024

*Sent via electronic mail (jonathan.pappasideris@slcgov.com)*

Jonathan Pappasideris  
Salt Lake City Attorney's Office  
451 South State Street, Suite 505A  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5478

***Re: 2024 Proposed Revised Bargaining Resolution***

Dear Jonathan:

AFSCME Local 1004 ("AFSCME" or "Union") has serious concerns about the draft of the proposed Collective Bargaining and Employee Representation Joint Resolution ("Proposed Resolution") that is on the City Council ("Council") agenda for consideration on Tuesday, October 1<sup>st</sup>. AFSCME writes to share those concerns, request that the Proposed Resolution be removed from the upcoming meeting agenda, and propose bargaining to discuss alternate options.

The Union's first concern is that Salt Lake City ("City") administration first sent this to the Council for its consideration on August 13<sup>th</sup> without consulting with AFSCME, violating the agreement that currently exists between AFSCME and the City. Specifically, the Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") states, "The City recognizes the value of collectively bargaining with AFSCME the terms and conditions of employment for eligible employees . . . ." As discussed in detail below, the Proposed Resolution directly affects provisions in the current MOU. Thus, it is a violation of the MOU not to have discussed this Proposed Resolution with AFSCME.

Second, when we discovered the Proposed Resolution in the September 3rd City Council work session materials, the Union inquired what changes were being made and why. Both this inquiry and the public summary of the changes were misleading in stating that the new Resolution was only to "create[e] a process to determine whether a group of eligible employees should be represented by a different labor union or exclusive representative." Ostensibly, this was to allow for the Fraternal Order of Police to challenge the Salt Lake Police Association, the current police union, as the exclusive representative. This is not an accurate summary of the Proposed Resolution. Instead, this proposal would do irreparable damage to labor-management relations at the City.

Third, the proposed Resolution takes aim at provisions of the 2011 version that are not only fundamental to the current AFSCME MOU but eliminates many historical tenets of labor-management agreements more generally. Specifically, it:

- Eliminates “just cause” for discipline;
- Eliminates paid union time for union officers, board members, and stewards;
- Confuses mandatory topics of negotiations;
- Prohibits the expansion of job titles in the bargaining unit;
- Eliminates the requirement that the City annually provide a list of employees in the bargaining unit;
- Eliminates closed door negotiations;
- Alters impasse options;
- Eliminates enumeration of unfair labor practices by the City, while listing them for the Union; and
- Includes a process to decertify a current labor organization.

While AFSCME expects that the City understands the import of each of these changes, we will briefly explain the impact to employees of each of these proposed modifications. A just cause standard for discipline is a key aspect of employee protections to ensure job security for Union members. Removing a core protection for workers is a massive change in the Resolution, and doing away with just cause discipline would undermine labor management relations and expose City employees to arbitrary discipline, even termination, without recourse.

Additionally, compensation of union officers, board members, and stewards for their work is vital to successful labor management relations. AFSCME officers and stewards juggle full-time positions and their role in the Union, and paying them for that work is crucial not only to ensure that employees’ concerns are prioritized but also is a message that the City values that work.

Further, the Proposed Resolution distorts the mandatory bargaining subjects between the Union and the City. Historically, the mandatory bargaining subjects include wages, hours and working conditions. These have been the very subjects that the parties have bargained over. The Proposed Resolution muddies this history. Furthermore,



*Jonathan Pappasideris*  
*September 27, 2024*  
*Page 3*

because topics are limited to the extent the City determines it is a “management right,” this perverts mandatory bargaining.

Also, the Proposed Resolution freezes the eligible employees for AFSCME according to the job titles of Appendix A of the most recent MOU between the City and the Union. Changing the definition of “eligible employees” significantly restricts AFSCME’s ability to organize future types of City employees. Most immediately, this would impact the current organizing drive among City library employees.

The problem with eliminating closed door negotiations, which is historically and universally the way labor agreements have been bargained, is problematic for both the City and AFSCME. Private negotiations allow the parties to fully engage without fear of public backlash and allow the parties to be candid and direct. Taking this provision away, would lead to more political and lengthy bargaining sessions.

The Proposed Resolution alters impasse by specifically allowing the Mayor and/or the City Council to unilaterally bypassing the Union to impose a new MOU, creating a sham of the bargaining process. This eliminates the effectiveness and ameliorates labor bargaining power. This is highly problematic, as the City can simply refuse to bargain with AFSCME and then implement its own plan through the impasse resolution process.

The Proposed Resolution maintains language on unfair labor practices for an Exclusive Representative but removes the mirrored language that prohibits the City from committing unfair labor practices. This inequity is unacceptable, as mutual protections from unfair labor practices is fundamental to the agreements signed by AFSCME and the City, and protects the employees from overreach of both labor and management.

Finally, the Proposed Resolution includes a process to change the labor representative of bargaining unit members. No such process exists in the 2011 Resolution. While AFSCME is not opposed to such employee choice, decertifying a current union has enormous impact on employees (and the City), thus, such should require a higher threshold of legitimate interest before any decertification election is held. For this reason, AFSCME proposes that decertification require 40% percent showing of interest.

Because elections are being expanded to decertification, AFSCME must insist that it receive annually a list of bargaining unit employees. Eliminating this requirement hampers AFSCME’s ability to communicate with and fully represent its members. The

*Jonathan Pappasideris*  
*September 27, 2024*  
*Page 4*

parties should have clarity, at least once each year, of those employees covered by the MOU.

This letter serves simply as an overview of AFSCME's concerns with the proposed Resolution. There are further details and language that AFSCME would like to discuss with the City and we look forward to working with you on this matter as we collaborate to foster a positive relationship that best serves City employees. To that end, we request that the City table discussion of this Proposed Resolution at City Council until we are able to meet and discuss the impacts of the changes within it.

Sincerely,

**SCHOLNICK THORNE HOLLAND**

/s/ Lauren I. Scholnick

cc: Jerry Philpot  
Brad Asay

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
10/8/2024 9:08	Walter Denison	Fwd: Concerns Regarding Excessive Train Horn Noise	<p>Dear City Leaders, My name is Walter Denison. I live at REDACTED. I am writing to express my deep concern about the excessive train horn noise disturbing neighborhoods in Salt Lake City. The frequent and loud train horns are significantly impacting our quality of life, making it difficult to sleep, work, and enjoy our homes. I understand the new policy comes from federal regulations. And I understand the importance of train horns for safety. However, I believe alternative solutions could mitigate the noise without compromising safety. Perhaps additional signage, warning lights, or other measures could be implemented to alert pedestrians and motorists of approaching trains. I kindly request you investigate this matter and consider implementing measures to reduce the noise pollution caused by train horns in our community. Please address this with federal regulators to work toward an amicable solution. Thank you for your attention to this matter. CC'ing my HOA Management who can provide more information as requested on the adverse effect on resident quality of life. Sincerely, Walter Denison</p>