

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/22/2024 9:43	Freda Swensen	Phone call to City Council	The caller is a teacher at the Waterford school and would like to gather information on what the Council and Mayor are proposing or doing about teen homelessness. She has students doing a project in which they will make plans as if they were a City Officials. They would like any information possible as soon as possible for the children's research.
2/22/2024 9:49	Martin Cuma	FY25 CIP - Bicycle Advisory Committee Letter of Support <b>**Attachment 1 - 3 pages</b>	Dear Mayor, CDCIP Board Manager, and City Councilmembers, Attached you will find a signed letter of support from the Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) in regard to funding for CIP projects for FY25. We ask you to take this letter into consideration as decisions are being made for funding. We value the investment being made into making Salt Lake City safer for all. Sincerely, Martin Cuma Bicycle Advisory Committee Chair
2/22/2024 12:19	Terry Marasco	Issues on North Temple Corridor	Comments to Mayor and Salt Lake City Council 2/20/2024 Regarding Issues Along the North Temple Corridor and Jordan River Trail Terry Marasco, Chair Jordan Meadows Community Council 1. The JR Trail has gotten worse since I was on Ch13 in October despite some increased police presence; 2. I learned duck and goose eggs are being harvested for food; 3. I convened a working group of folks concerned about the issues along the N Temple Corridor comprised of business owners, state (DNR) and local law enforcement, citizens, Fair Park staff, and Community Council chairs to work to address the issues. The Miller Group, KOA, and Red Iguana owners were in the room. Everyone in the room who was there was concerned about the issues or believed not enough was being done; 4. How does the City expect the major project developers coming to the west side to be convinced that you have the ability to deal with the big picture and not have the resources of ability to clean this up? 5. This is what the city needs to do now, not in months, now. The mayor supported by the Council needs to pick up the phone and develop a group of partners to work to get these issues addressed first thing in the morning: A. Full-time police presence from 700 N to 1700 S on the Jordan River Trail B. Bring in the DEA to address the drug issue C. Bring in a cleanup crew to remove trash along the JR Trail and North Temple from I15 to 2200 W D. Develop a temporary sanctioned tent camp to accommodate the unsheltered as just chasing them away will find them spread out in our neighborhoods. Waiting for the legislature to allocate money which may be minimal is not acceptable. Best, Terry Marasco Salt Lake City, Utah 775.293.0189 "Objects in the mirror are closer than they appear"
2/23/2024 10:22	Wendy EVANS	Multi-use fields in Sugarhouse Park	Dear Commissioners, I'm writing in support of the 5 multi-use fields with lights in Sugarhouse Park. My kids practice team sports there during the spring and fall every year and it would be so much safer and better for kids to play on well-lit multi-use fields. Thank you, Wendy Evans
2/23/2024 10:53	Bernie Hart	Is it time to deal with the uncomfortable questions	Wayne, Eventually you and those who create the policy's that govern how we "help" the homeless in our community are going to have to answer the questions no one wants to be even asked. Like: What does it mean when someone says "enhanced policing seems to be working"? or Are folks moving into supportive housing transitioning into some degree of independent care or are they stuck in place? What are the numbers? And once again....how effective are the programs Mental Health and Drug Court are referring people to? And, just how committed is the effort you head to doing a real assessment of what is or is not working? The folks in our programs and the folks you and others want to help deserve answers to these questions. So do the taxpayers. So, once again, does anyone have the courage needed to deal with these questions? Bernie

SALT LAKE CITY BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
A standing committee of the TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY BOARD

Salt Lake City Transportation Division Office - 349 South 200 East, Suite 150 - P.O. Box 145502  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5502

---

February 21, 2024

RE: Letter of Support – FY25 CIP funding in Salt Lake City

Dear Mayor Mendenhall, City Council Members, and members of the CIP Review Board,

The Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) has reviewed the proposed list of the Transportation Division and Constituent CIP projects as presented to the committee. Keeping in mind the importance of providing safe spaces for all users of the Salt Lake City transportation network, we support the funding of projects listed under CIP for Fiscal Year 2025.

The CIP project list was reviewed by the BAC and the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB). Both groups were able to provide a project priority ranking, which was then compiled into an overall priority score. Attached is the ranking of a combined list of both Transportation Division and Constituent CIP projects. The list is ordered by score, where the higher the score, more priority was given. Of the 12 members of the TAB and 7 members of the BAC who voted, there is high priority given for funding the existing programs which the Transportation Division is overseeing. Using the scoring column as a metric, the top ten projects ranked similarly with a range from 202-279, showing general preference for these programs, but no clear indication of a preference of one program over another. These programs include the Livable Streets Program, Safer Crossings Citywide, the Neighborhood Byways Program, and the Urban Trails Program, among others.

While many constituent location-specific projects did not rank as a high priority in this list, the BAC would like to express that there is support for funding some of these projects, especially those with lower costs like the 500 E raised crosswalk. We understand the unique needs for improvements throughout the city, specifically at these locations mentioned in the project list, but also recognize that the programs implemented require funding to accomplish those same goals in many places throughout our city. In addition, many of these programs will also improve the safety of children as they find safe routes to school. The TAB encourages the Mayor's Office, City Council, and the CIP Review Board to approve funding for the projects listed in the CIP, with an emphasis on the existing programs, so that Salt Lakers can continue to move safely and comfortably throughout our city.

Sincerely,



Martin Cuma Chair  
Salt Lake City Bicycle Advisory Committee

---

*The Committee's mission is to promote, enhance, preserve and, where necessary, restore physical, social, political and economic environments in which bicycling is recognized as an essential element of a clean, healthy, and vital community.*

Here is the combined list. Please drag and drop them into the right column in priority order, with #1 being the highest priority.

Number of responses: 19

Rank	Choice	Distribution	Score	Times Ranked
1.	Livable Streets Program \$3,000,000		279	19
2.	Safer Crossings Citywide \$600,000		270	19
3.	Neighborhood Byways Program \$1,600,000		269	19
4.	Urban Trails Program \$1,500,000		245	19
5.	California Avenue Pedestrian Safety Improvements Construction - Concord St/Glendale Dr. \$807,000		230	19
6.	Transit Capital Program / Funding Our Future Transit \$1,500,000		220	19
7.	Conceptual Design and Cost Estimates for FY26-FY28 Projects \$600,000		214	19
8.	Traffic Signal Replacement and Upgrades Program \$2,700,000		209	19
9.	Green Loop \$10,000,000 (Public Lands submitted with Transportation as a partner)		205	19
10.	Transportation Corridor Transformations \$4,600,000		202	19
11.	Guadalupe Neighborhood Streets Improvement \$2,517,000		196	19

## COMBINED (continued)

12.	600 South Safety Improvements \$530,000		174	19
13.	Marmalade-Fairpark East-West Connector Study \$80,000		174	19
14.	500 East Raised Crosswalk (400 Block) \$115,000		161	19
15.	Route 209 - Accessibility, Bus Shelters, Benches, and Trash Cans \$500,000		137	19
16.	Pedestrian Safety / HAWK at Richmond St. and Zenith Ave. \$500,000		135	19
17.	Pedestrian Safety / HAWK at Richmond St. and Elgin Ave. \$500,000		127	19
18.	700 East Median Tree Planting Project \$2,400,000		92	19
19.	Westminster Urban Forestry and Traffic Calming Measures \$477,000		71	19
		Lowest  Highest		

## Participating in this poll:

- 12 members of TAB (out of 13 current members)
- 7 members of BAC (out of 12 current members)

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/23/2024 13:19	Tim Funk	Montgomery Street rezone	<p>Hi Dan, Just a quick word to let you know we are fully supportive of the Montgomery Street rezone being discussed this afternoon. We think this parcel and many others in the city will be an important part of creating new and continuing efforts for providing an energetic, progressive mix of affordable housing across the city. Please contact me if you have any questions. Best regards, Tim Funk Crossroads Urban Center</p>
2/26/2024 20:55	Bonnie Gordon	After 4/30/24 homelessness	<p>please fund long term housing and mental health services, etc. to keep people housed after 4/30/24 throughout summer/fall (year round). Thank you. Last Summer through November our neighborhood was overwhelmed by them and their garbage. It was devastatingly sad, sketchy/scary, terrible for the spirit of our community, not to mention our property values.</p>
2/27/2024 17:07	Matt Monson	4th North Underpass D2	<p>Hi Alejandro - My name is Matt Monson, a constituent of yours from Fairpark. On our Chicago Street neighborhood text chat thread, we've been discussing (with some concern) the recent news stories stating that UDOT is backing off of the 4th North Underpass project due to our neighborhoods "not wanting" it. That is not at all the sentiment on Chicago Street. My neighbors and I saw the 4th North underpass as one of the few silver linings included in the I-15 expansion project, and were excited for it to happen. Any throughway that helps connect the East and West sides of the city would be completely welcome. I'm not sure where other neighborhood concerns were coming from. For example, the 3rd North underpass isn't a hotbed for homeless encampments, drug deals, or the like. I'm not sure what people imagine will happen to 4th North - but I think their fears are largely unfounded - and that the underpass would be a net positive for both Fairpark and Guadalupe neighborhoods. My partner and I will be part of the art team that will restore the murals on the 3rd North underpass this Spring. I've always loved the artwork of that underpass, and am excited to be a part of its restoration. Having an underpass on 4th North would make walking, biking, and driving in and out of our neighborhoods so much better. I really hope the City will reconsider and allow for more input before calling this project dead. If you'd like to meet up to discuss this further, I'd welcome the opportunity. There are several neighbors from our street that would also be interested in joining / adding their voices. I just feel there wasn't thorough enough of community input on this decision before calling it done. I appreciate your time and leadership - and look forward to hearing back. All Best, Matt</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/28/2024 9:44	Hilary Jacobs	SE Group presentation/Foothills update	<p>Hello Chris, Want to get a quick note to you before Dan and I leave town tomorrow for most of March. We attended the meeting at Public Lands February 22 when Tyler Fonarow presented the final report from the SE Group. We are encouraged that PL seems to have changed their paradigm of constructing trails first and foremost, no matter the cost, to now recognizing that the Foothills are vulnerable natural lands that need holistic stewardship to protect the flora and fauna, and the very nature, that the majority of recreationists want to experience. Hopefully PL will follow the recommendations of the SE Group which includes developing management and maintenance plans, collection additional user data, as well as involving a large cross-section of the community in making decisions before moving forward. Several members of the mtn bike community (who have been the most vociferous pushing the original plan which they would still like to see completed as originally planned) attended virtually. Kenton Peters (SLTrails Alliance) said it was time to cut to the chase and wanted to know when the trails on the 2020 plan were going to be built, including Twin Peaks and Dry Creek, that the obfuscating had gone on long enough. Both Tyler Fonarow and Tyler Murdock made it clear that the process recommended by the SE Group was going to be followed. PL said they are going to go before the CC in March (or April) to request the \$350,000 that had originally been budgeted to build the Phase 1 trails in the 2020 Plan. They indicated at the public meeting that they will request to use the funds to initiate the processes outlined by the SE Group including developing maintenance and management plans, and setting up a Community Action Group to assist with decision making. The new PL full time ecologist said he intends to prioritize protecting the Foothills habitats, and endemic flora and fauna. Unfortunately Dan and I will not be here for the Council's March 5 or March 26 public meetings. (We will, however, be here in April.) I am sure that the mtn bike advocates will line up their HS teams to pressure the CC to promise more trails now. However we would like the CC to encourage PL to follow the SE Group recommendations to steward the Foothills holistically, including defining the needs and purposes of both existing and potentially new trails before moving forward. Anyway, just wanted to give you a heads up. If the PL presentation is on the April agenda we will be there. Thanks! And enjoy the arrival of spring.</p> <p>It's chilly today but the air is clear and the iconic SLC views are beautiful! Hilary</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/28/2024 9:48	Migan Orton	UTA bus stop ID 102137	<p>Hi All, Today, it was noticed that UTA has marked the ground, presumably for this bus stop to be moved a couple of feet to the east of where the bus stop is currently located. In my opinion and the opinion of several neighbors, this is not the best solution for this stop location, as it will be located even further into our residential neighborhood, as it continues to serve LDS Hospital ED patients. We (the neighbors) have continued to experience several unstable LDS Hospital patients make their way to this bus stop location, in the past several weeks. The following is what has been reported to me, including what I have personally experienced (we have pictures and video of these incidents): On January 16th, a patient left LDS Hospital ED in a wheelchair and was followed by several security guards and hospital employees, as he eventually made his way into the middle of the road, on 7th Ave and C Street. The SLCPD were called and an ambulance responded. This patient was obviously impaired and disoriented and hospital employees did nothing to assist him, but waited for paramedics to arrive. It was unclear if this patient was attempting to access the bus, but had no other means of transportation. Neighbors who witnessed this event were saddened and concerned that these types of occurrences continue to happen. On January 17th, two biohazard bags were left on sidewalk at the bus stop location by a patient, who left LDS Hospital ED late at night. These bags were never picked up by UTA or LDS Hospital and continued to litter our neighborhood for several weeks, as nobody wanted to touch them, due to fear of contamination of infectious disease. On January 22nd, an individual (not a confirmed hospital patient) entered my backyard property and two of my neighbor's properties. When confronted, he reported he was waiting for the UTA bus. He was asked to leave several times, but continued to loiter on my property and my neighbor's property instead of waiting at the bus stop. On January 31st, a LDS Hospital ED patient camped out overnight at the bus stop located at the hospital, on 7th Avenue. They wandered around the neighborhood, knocking on doors, and asked neighbors for food and clothing. He left a sleeping bag, food, and several personal items, including medical waste at the bus stop and on the sidewalk. His waste wasn't cleaned up for several hours after neighbors reported the issue. Again, having a bus stop, that primarily serves LDS Hospital ED patients, located at a residential home with small children, in a neighborhood with small children, is not a safe or responsible decision. As UTA change day approaches, we are asking that this stop be removed or moved to hospital property, to better accommodate the people who are using it and to reduce the negative impact it is having in our neighborhood. We are proposing that UTA move this bus stop to 8th Ave and D Street, directly across the street from LDS Hospital ED, on the hospital property in front of the Avenues Surgical Center. This bus stop location will be ideally located to serve the Hospital ED patients who are leaving the ED and traveling downtown. Sincerely, Migan Lightfoot and Neighbors</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/28/2024 13:47	Seung joon Lee	Glass Bottle Recycling Plan Proposal <b>**Attachment 2, 7 pages</b>	Dear SlcGov I hope this letter finds you well. My name is Andy Lee, and I am a student at the University of Utah majoring in Biology with an emphasis in Ecology. I am writing to express my admiration for your commitment to eco-friendly policies and to present a proposal for further environmental improvements in Utah. I have developed a policy suggestion aimed at fostering a more sustainable environment in our community. The proposal, titled Utah Glass Bottle Redemption Program, is attached to this email for your review and consideration. I am truly passionate about environmental conservation and sustainability, and I believe that this policy has the potential to make a meaningful impact on our city's ecological footprint. I would greatly appreciate the opportunity to discuss this proposal with you in more detail and to explore how it aligns with your vision for a greener, more sustainable future for Utah. Please let me know a convenient time for you to meet or discuss this matter further. I am eager to collaborate with you and your team to explore ways to implement positive change in our community. Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to the possibility of working together on this important initiative. Sincerely, Andy Lee
3/1/2024 8:53	John Fife	Carolyn's Garden crushed by authoritarian goons-d3	. Councilman Wharton, This is NOT OK. <a href="https://buildingsaltlake.com/a-23-year-musical-neighborhood-tradition-goes-quiet-on-kensington/">https://buildingsaltlake.com/a-23-year-musical-neighborhood-tradition-goes-quiet-on-kensington/</a> Please legislate and fix this. Carolyn Turkanis and her garden represent everything that's good, right, and glorious about our fair city. Your constituent, John Fife
3/1/2024 8:55	Erin Clouse	are there any options?	Hi Chris, I'm an avenues resident on 11th avenue. Looking to start a dialogue about traffic calming on 11th avenue. Joggers, bikers, kids walking to school combined with traffic regularly 40-50 mph is a recipe for disaster. I'm sure you're aware the speed limit is 25 (would totally be ok with 20). I understand the fire engines creates complications with speed-bumps east of I street. Maybe that's different now (referencing speed bumps on 1300 south near foothill with the cutouts for the fire engines). I'm open to any and all ideas. The road as currently designed makes speeding so easy, I'm sure it isn't always intentional by the driver (slightly downhill and straight with few stop signs). Roundabouts? More stop signs? How about more trees along the sides and painted bike paths to make the road feel less wide? Speed bumps? Lighted speed signs? Thanks for all you do. Welcome a conversation anytime. Erin Clouse
3/1/2024 11:48	Janet Cortez	speeding in the avenues	Hi Chris, I live on the corner of Virginia Street and 3rd Avenue. Last night I heard the all too familiar sound of a car crash. I went to see if anyone would need any medical care, and the crash was not at the intersection of the four way stops as I assumed it would be. It ended up being a one car incident farther east. Someone apparently going 60 mph and hit a street light, two trees and a residents car! Within minutes SLCF and four or five police cars appeared, and of course the driver had fled (better than death for sure). This is CRAZY Chris! We have lived in our home for 17 years and there have been multiple incidents and near incidents in this same intersection. People continually run the stop signs, bicyclist and skateboarders are oblivious to cars and to any traffic rules. I have run outside more than once to check on U students longboarding who have jumped off and rolled just prior to being hit by a car! I have proposed a roundabout, speed bumps and flashing lights, all to no avail. Please work with us to make our neighborhood safer for those of us living there, as well as those who are just passing through. Someone, (who know, it might be me) is going to die if nothing is done! Thank you for your attention to this issue. Stay healthy. Be kind. Janet Cortez MS, RN, TCRN Trauma Program



## Utah Glass Bottle Redemption Program (UGRP)

Seung Joon Lee

University of Utah College of Science, Biology  
Former member of UserveUtah Youth Council

### I. BACKGROUND

The accumulation of 87,908 cubic meters of empty glass bottles annually, akin to erecting a four-story structure on a football field-sized base, signifies a pressing environmental challenge. Despite Utah's vast expanses of unused land, this reality persists, compounded by the adverse effects of landfill emissions—chiefly methane gas and groundwater contamination. While the recyclability of glass offers a sustainable solution, the inadequacy of existing recycling programs leads to the majority of glass bottles ending up in landfills. This plan is written to confront this issue in Utah.

Although my county hosts a public recycling program, it does not currently support glass bottle recycling, leaving it to individual citizens' discretion. To advocate for expanding recycling services, my high school club members and I collected glass bottles weekly from five bins that I installed near my home. I personally transported 1,880 pounds of glass to the Utah State University recycling center for about nine months in 2019. Drawing upon insights from industry experts like Pierre-André Mongeon of Machinex,<sup>1</sup> I am introducing policy recommendations tailored to address the unique challenges associated with glass recycling. This may include investing in advanced sorting technologies, expanding curbside collection programs, and incentivizing businesses to adopt sustainable packaging practices (Recycling Product News). Additionally, the proposal will advocate for legislative reforms to establish minimum recycling

---

<sup>1</sup> *Recycling Product News*. "Expert Q&A: Breaking down the factors behind scrap glass prices." An interview with glass recycling solution specialist Pierre-Andre Mongeon by Recycling Product News staff, 27 Nov. 2023.

standards<sup>2</sup> and promote producer responsibility initiatives, encouraging manufacturers to prioritize eco-friendly packaging solutions.

Community engagement will be paramount to the success of this policy proposal. Fostering partnerships with local governments, businesses, and grassroots organizations will enable us to mobilize public support for glass recycling initiatives. This may involve launching public awareness campaigns, establishing community collection centers, and providing incentives for citizen participation. By combining data-driven insights with stakeholder collaboration and legislative advocacy, we can pave the way toward a more sustainable future for Utah's environment and communities.

## II. PROSPECTIVE COSTS ANALYSIS

In formulating a comprehensive policy proposal to enhance statewide glass bottle recycling, it is imperative to conduct a rigorous cost analysis that elucidates the financial implications and feasibility of the proposed initiative. To align with the interests of the Executive Branch Agency, my plan includes Utah-based beverage distributors in the transportation process and designates them as 'Deposit Initiators.' By separating glass in the early stages as much as possible, we can reduce the contamination level of glass and obtain glass cullet with the highest level of purity. The purity of the cullet is the primary factor affecting its market price and, consequently, the profit structure of a material recovery facility. Glass scrap sells at a negative price at 80 percent purity, but cullet with a purity level up to 99 percent can earn about \$100 per metric ton. My plan utilizes the tractor-trailers of the beverage distributors and the hauling trucks of Momentum Recycling for transporting glass to the recycling facility. This approach enables a

---

<sup>2</sup> California requires glass beverage containers to contain a minimum of 35% postfilled (recycled) glass

glass-only stream collection, minimizing avoidable costs incurred from glass scrap contamination by maintaining the highest level of cullet purity.

Table 1 presents an overview of beverage sales and returns in glass bottles in California, serving as a foundational reference for projecting analogous figures for Utah upon the effective adoption of the Utah Glass Bottle Recycling Program tentatively named as UGRP. The data originates from the Beverage Container Recycling Fund Semi-Annual Report by CalRecycle.

Sales of beverages in glass bottles exceeding 24 ounces are extrapolated from the sales analysis report of the Utah Department of Alcoholic Beverage Service. The count for bottles under 24 ounces is derived from California's data, adjusted by the beer consumption rate for the respective year. The total number of returned bottles in Utah is estimated by applying California's recycling rate.

The principal components of revenue and expenditure within the UGRP financial framework are the program value-in, which is paid by beverage distributors upon selling beverages in Utah, and the program value-out, which constitutes the refund disbursed for the collection of glass bottle beverages. Unlike the California Redemption Value (CRV), which stands at 5 cents for containers of 24 ounces or less and 10 cents for larger sizes, the UGRP reimburses customers' deposits at a rate of 10 cents for containers of 24 ounces or less and 25 cents for larger sizes. This system is designed to incentivize customers to redeem their empty bottles at designated recycling centers and State liquor stores, rather than resorting to curbside collection or disposal methods.

A notable advantage of the UGRP is its utilization of State liquor stores as recycling hubs, particularly given that a substantial portion of beverage bottles exceeding 24 ounces are sold through these outlets. It's important to note that the Utah Department of Alcoholic Beverage

Service exclusively manages State liquor stores. According to the financial summary, the net profit generated from State liquor store operations amounted to approximately \$134,672,000 in FY 2022, after accounting for transfers to various funds. This surplus can be leveraged to cover handling fees associated with collection services in State liquor stores, thereby ensuring a sustainable budget to support Momentum Recycling while upholding a handling fee rate comparable to that of California (refer to Table 1).

Table 1: Projected Financial Statement of the Utah Glass Bottle Recycling Program (UGRP) 2022-23

	California (Actual)		Utah (Projected)	
	Sales of beverages in glass		Sales of beverages in glass	
	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-2023	2022	2023
Less than or equal to 24oz	2,769,314,935	2,547,791,920	110,457,006	97,080,100
Greater than 24oz	183,046,403	168,404,157	7,407,271	26,248,486
Total sales of beverages in glass bottles	2,952,361,338	2,716,196,077	117,864,277	123,328,586
	Returns in glass bottle		Returns in glass bottle (Estimate)	
Total Returned Bottles (count)	1,912,635,588	1,721,119,696	76,356,308	78,147,251
Recycling Rate (percent)	64.78	63.37	-	-
Beer Consumption in 2022 (gallon)	772.2M	795.2M	30.8M	30.3M
UGRP Value-In	\$156,770,387.05	\$144,230,011.70	\$12,897,518.35	\$16,270,131.46
UGRP Value-Out	\$101,560,949.72	\$91,391,455.86	\$8,355,431.39	\$10,309,581.09
Balance	\$55,209,437.33	\$52,838,555.84	\$4,542,086.96	\$5,960,550.37
Handling fee (1.5% of Program Value-in) retained by distributors	\$2,351,555.81	\$2,163,450.18	\$193,462.78	\$244,051.97
Handling fee (2.5% of Program Value-out) paid to recyclers and processors	\$2,539,023.74	\$2,284,786.40	\$208,885.78	\$257,739.53
Total Handling Fees	\$4,890,579.55	\$4,448,236.57	\$402,348.56	\$501,791.50
Ending Balance	\$50,318,857.78	\$48,390,319.26	\$4,139,738.40	\$5,458,758.87

The handling fee allocated to retailers, recyclers, and beverage distributors stems from unclaimed redemption value. As a result, an increase in redemption claims directly correlates with a decrease in available funds to cover these handling fees. As the recycling rate escalates, the available fund balance for handling fees is anticipated to concomitantly diminish. However, this nominal outlay pales in comparison to the exorbitant costs associated with landfill

expansion, particularly when factoring in the deleterious environmental consequences of such infrastructural endeavors.

By judiciously balancing handling fees with revenue streams derived from redemption value and transfers from Utah DABS profits, the proposed initiative not only fosters environmental stewardship but also underscores the economic rationale for embracing sustainable waste management practices. As Utah charts its course towards a greener and more resilient future, the proposed policy represents a prudent investment in both environmental preservation and fiscal prudence.

### III. FLOW OF GLASS BOTTLE CONTAINER AND REFUND VALUE

When consumers purchase beverages in glass bottles from retail stores, they are required to pay a 10-cent surcharge known as the UGRP Value. The retailer then transfers this surcharge to beverage distributors, who, in turn, send the 10-cent deposit to the UGRP program account, retaining 1.5 percent of the amount as their handling fees. Additionally, it is the responsibility of the beverage distributor to supply retail stores with an adequate number of bags or appropriate containers for packaging the returned glass bottles. The beverage distributors operating in Utah are required, as deposit initiators, to report their quarterly fund balance to the UGRP program.

1. Consumers (UGRP redeemers for 10 cents) bring empty and rinsed glass bottles to a designated retail store. Retailers accept empty glass bottle containers of 24 oz or less, matching the color and brand sold or offered for sale by them. Beverage distributing companies, which play a role as 'Deposit Initiators,' accept from retailers any empty glass bottle container of the size, color, and brand sold or offered for sale by them.

Additionally, State liquor stores, acting as another deposit initiator, collect glass bottles

of 24 oz or larger, returned for a 25 cents UGRP value-out. They can set a daily limit on the maximum number of bottles to be accepted if their handling capacity is limited.

2. Retailers package the returned containers in each bag provided by a beverage distributor and pass them to the distributor when a new beverage enters their business. State liquor stores collect the glass bottles returned and keep them in a pre-prepared UGRP recycling bin for Momentum Recycling to collect at the end stage.
3. Momentum Recycling, using its trucks powered by natural gas, collects the returned glass bottles at the beverage distributor's business site, most of which are located in the Salt Lake City metropolitan area, and delivers the glass bottle containers to its recycling facility. They also collect the glass bottles from the bins installed at the State liquor stores. The collecting frequency should be at least once a week to minimize possible contamination, especially in winter when a glass bottle is frozen commingled with rain or snow.

In Table 1, handling fees paid to designated retailers and recycler, Momentum Recycling, are calculated by multiplying the UGRP value-out by 2.5 percent, aligning with the rate in California. However, the projected ending balance in 2023 in Table 1 shows a large margin, allowing the UGRP to afford larger handling fees to retailers participating in the program. For example, reimbursing 3 cents per glass bottle to compensate a retailer for collecting, sorting, and packaging the empty containers, ready for transport, would cost only an additional 1.8 million dollars to the program. This would encourage more retailers to join the UGRP, and customers would be reimbursed 10 cents per glass bottle, regardless of where they purchased the beverage.

#### IV. RECOMMENDATION

Cullet allows glass manufacturers to substitute raw materials, resulting in a 40 percent energy savings because less heat is required to maintain furnace temperatures for melting glass. This leads to reduced greenhouse gas emissions. However, the high transportation costs associated with glass bottle containers and low efficiency, primarily due to contamination during the recycling process, pose significant obstacles to glass bottle recycling.

In Utah, residential recycling occurs through single-stream curbside collection, where glass bottle containers are mixed with other recyclables. Implementing a new recycling plan that separates glass bottle containers into a distinct stream would enhance cullet purity, thereby improving the cost efficiency of glass bottle recycling. Charging a 10-cent redeemable deposit to customers who purchase beverages bottled in glass containers can serve as a recycling 'nudge' and contribute to the preservation of our sustainable environment.

Additionally, I suggest that the abbreviation 'UT' be prominently displayed alongside 'OR' on every glass bottle container, similar to the successful recycling efforts managed by the Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative, which is owned by beverage distributors in Oregon.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/1/2024 13:21	Dan Strong	Westside Coalition positions on homelessness funding and the ballpark bill <b>**Attachment 3 - 2 pages</b>	Hello councilmembers, Attached are position statements the Westside Coalition is sending out on homelessness funding and the ballpark bill. Please feel free to share them with anyone interested in hearing the community voice on these topics. Thank you! Dan
3/4/2024 16:45	Lisette Gibson	Support Laird Heights Local Historic District	Council Members, I fully support the designation of LAIRD HEIGHTS as a Local Historic District. Thank you for your consideration. Lisette Gibson Yalecrest Resident
3/4/2024 16:48	Betty Iverson	March 5 follow-up briefing & potential vote: U of U Baseball Stadium & Sunnyside Park Improvements	Please defer the vote to a future meeting. March 5 is caucus night and many involved citizens will be at their local caucus meetings! Betty
3/4/2024 16:49	Tim Chambless	March 5 follow-up briefing & potential vote: U of U Baseball Stadium & Sunnyside Park Improvements	Council Members, thank you for this update. Very appreciative. Very helpful. Unfortunately, I cannot attend this March 5th Tuesday evening. Utah's Caucus meetings are the same night. I am very interested in the Council's deliberations on a proposed U of U baseball stadium involving Sunnyside Park. Please include me in this decision making process. Thank you, Tim
3/4/2024 19:15	James Webster	UofU baseball stadium land lease	Since 1976, living in Yalecrest I've witnessed the following land use violations by the City Council: 1. The federal War Department's Reversionary Clause by reasoning Mt Olivet property from open space (OS) to institutional (I). 2. SLC's Riparian Overlay Ordinance by widening Red Butte Creek over 400% in miller Bird Refuge. 3. The federal Migratory Bird law by removing avian and apian habitat trees during nesting season from 2014-22. 4. The recent East Bench Master Plan developed by the community and the UofU. The Council has adopted a "benefit" analysis from Tyler Murdock that anticipates a mere 8% reduction in the left field wall by accepting \$4.2 M to execute a land lease. This decision is being executed without any engineering review of cross-sections and elevations. According to Murdock, the a majority of the \$4.2 M will be spent to replace existing softball and field sports facilities. He does not account for large trees, a Maxicom irrigation system, cut and excavation importation/removal, and general reclamation. Very little, if any of the \$4.2 M will ever be allocated to "improvements". The damage to roadways and violations of the city's Ckewan Wheel Ordinance by the UofU will perpetuate if the lease is executed. A qualified hydrologist has not assessed the impact of runoff quantity and quality from artificial turf that contains carcinogens. The Council has failed to negotiate a joint use covered salt storage facility with the U as part of this process and will instead perpetuate the environmentally impactful open air storage in the Steiner Aquatic parking lot. In the final analysis, the Council will sabotage the expansion of the Salt Lake Recreation Center (Steiner) as was defined and approved by the council in the 1980's. This facility was funded by private donors and the School Board, not Salt Lake City. This us in addition to the attempt by the Council to remove open space land it does not own and prohibit use by the general public as was intended by the federal BLM. Oh and one last thought, the unprecedented commercial use (concessions, fan gear, etc.) on park open space property, light and noise pollution, traffic and street parking impacts are further negative affronts to the surrounding community. When is enough, enough? As a registered Landscape Architect I've designed campuses, parks, riparian trails, athletic facilities and executed environmental impact assessments throughout the country along with the provision of expert witness testimony related to land use administration.
3/5/2024 8:23	James Webster	Comment on U of U Stadium / D6	Dan, as you are well aware, UofU has trespassed on city property for over 25 years "storing" boulder and concrete debris, along with these light poles on your lecross field. Perhaps the city council might consider a retroactive lease. Will the council ever quit enabling the U and serve the needs of its constituents?





February 28, 2024

**Re: State Homelessness / Housing Funding**

**Board Members**

*Dan Strong, President*  
*Jason Wessel*  
*Charlotte Fife-Jepperson*  
*Jake Erickson*  
*Rick Silver*  
*Billy Palmer*  
*CJ Hellige*  
*Chaise Warr*  
*Daniel Tuutau*  
*Dorothy Owen*  
*Kevin Parke*  
*Esther Jackson-Stowell*  
*Chilton Hawk*  
*Terry Marasco*  
*Turner Bitton*  
*Izumi Okamura*

Dear legislative leadership,

The Westside Coalition advocates for the health, safety, and quality of life of Westside Salt Lake City residents. Our board includes representatives from the six Westside community councils, as well as other leaders elected by the community.

We write to urge you to approve funding for the housing and homelessness initiatives outlined in Governor Cox's budget. We know you have seen the devastating impacts the housing shortage, combined with the opiate crises, have had on Salt Lake City communities, especially on the Westside. While this may seem like an urban issue, in fact Salt Lake City is merely landing place for a statewide homelessness crisis.

The Governor's budget includes many bold proposals that experts tell us will go a long way toward addressing these issues in a lasting and meaningful way. However, it appears that only a fraction of the requested funding has been allocated. The funding currently anticipated isn't enough to cover services already being provided, let alone make any real progress.

We know funding is tight this year, but addressing this crisis is an urgent priority. It is unlikely state investments in the Westside and downtown areas aimed at sports and entertainment will succeed without substantial progress on homelessness.

If it is not possible to fully fund all of the homelessness initiatives in the budget, we ask that you prioritize: \$2.5 million to the Shelter City Mitigation fund and \$25 Million for Low Barrier Shelters. The mitigation fund is vital to maintaining the status quo in our neighborhoods, and we believe low barrier shelters are the most important next step towards making our communities *and* unhoused populations safer.

Thank you for considering our input. We look forward to working with you in partnership on these important matters.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dan Strong", is written over a white background.

Westside Coalition Board

February 28, 2024

**Re: House Bill 562 and Historic Investment in the Westside**

***Board Members***

*Dan Strong, President*  
*Jason Wessel*  
*Charlotte Fife-Jepperson*  
*Jake Erickson*  
*Rick Silver*  
*Billy Palmer*  
*CJ Hellige*  
*Chaise Warr*  
*Daniel Tuutau*  
*Dorothy Owen*  
*Kevin Parke*  
*Esther Jackson-Stowell*  
*Chilton Hawk*  
*Terry Marasco*  
*Turner Bitton*  
*Izumi Okamura*

The Westside Coalition advocates for the health, safety, and quality of life of Westside Salt Lake City residents. Our board includes representatives from the six Westside community councils, as well as other leaders elected by the community. We love the Westside, and we work to make it even better.

The Coalition supports House Bill 562, Utah Fairpark Area Investment and Restoration District, as well as the larger historic private/public investment in Westside neighborhoods it signifies.

During this legislative session, Coalition leaders have been in regular contact with representatives from the Larry H. Miller Company, Big League Utah, our state legislators, and city officials. We are confident that these partners share our vision for a bright future for the North Temple Corridor and the entire Westside.

While there are many details still to be determined about how the Fairpark area, the Power District, and a Major League Baseball stadium will be developed, we have been impressed with the stakeholders' commitment to understanding our neighborhoods' needs and working with local residents to envision its future. House Bill 562's inclusion of a voting seat on the Fairpark District Board for a Westside Coalition representative is an important signal of that commitment. We are pleased to be a leading voice at the table as this development moves forward, and we will work hard to pursue the interests of the Westside community.

Our most pressing issue presently is the restoration of the North Temple corridor and Jordan River Trail as safe and healthy community gathering places. We believe this unprecedented investment in this area will serve as a catalyst to restoration efforts already underway.

We are committed to working with state government, local government, private partners, and the community to preserve all we love about the Westside, while helping to build this corridor into the shining gateway to Salt Lake City it was always meant to be.

Sincerely,

Westside Coalition Board

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 10:18	Robert Mayhew	Phone call to City Council	Caller states he is in favor of the Yalecrest-Laird Heights Local Historic District that is on tonight's agenda for public comment.
3/5/2024 10:28	Roberta Mixon	Phone call to City Council	Caller stated that she would like to see the Sunnyside Park vote postponed. She stated more information keeps coming to light that can affect the community. The Community has a stake in the park and has been trying to protect it since before the Olympics in 2002. Please slow this process down and allow all information to be shared with the public.
3/5/2024 10:53	Jen Colby	Postpone Sunnyside park	<p>Voicemail states: My name is Jen Colby, resident of D4. I am urgently asking my rep and the entire Council to reject the last-minute proposal to sell an acre, which is a much higher impact, to the U of U, which already has 1500+ acres for a redeveloped baseball field. When we have a white elephant perfectly useable baseball field downtown that they can lease for a very small amount of money. This is a bad deal for the City. It is bullying and very strange. As far as I can tell the public hasn't gotten wind of this. I don't know what has been happening behind the scenes. It is also caucus night so to sneak this on the agenda, as far as I can tell, and try and ram it through is really bad policy. We need more Urban public parks not less and this is only the tip of the iceberg. This could have bad impacts and no public benefits. Again, the U of U is already encroaching so much into the City.</p> <p>Big lights, big field, more traffic, all of this is a terrible idea. At least table this until the public has more information or kill the idea and send them back to the drawing board. The best solution would be have the U lease the Bees stadium for at least the next 10 years. I don't understand the drive to build large sports buildings.</p> <p>Athletics has become a huge bully. Corrupting amateur and smaller athletics. Please please please table this idea that was lurking behind the scenes. We need more parks, don't give away Sunnyside. We have too much U of U along Sunnyside already. Thank you Jenn Colby</p>
3/5/2024 12:18	Isaac Roach	Laird Heights LHD	<p>Hello! I wanted to let you know that I support the designation of Laird Heights as a local historic district. My wife and I moved to this area in 2021 because we love Salt Lake City and we especially value historic homes and the connection they provides to the history of Salt Lake City. Please protect the historic nature of our neighborhood by approving the Laird Heights LHD. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. Thank you! Isaac Roach</p>
3/5/2024 12:21	Janine Bailey SHELDON	Laird Heights LHD	<p>As a property owner in the Yalecrest neighborhood, I strongly support the designation of Laird Heights as a Local Historic District. I grew up at 1784 Yalecrest, attended neighborhood schools and the U, and moved to California in 1979. When I returned in 2010 to help care for my elderly mom, I was horrified by what had happened to my former neighborhood. Gigantic, incoherent McMansions had replaced a shocking number of the lovely smaller homes that my sister, many of our friends, and I grew up in. My mom, a widowed 39-year-old secretary at the U bought our house for \$17,000 when I was in 5th grade. The neighborhood had many young families and a healthy mix of income levels. Salt Lake is making a mistake not to preserve old neighborhoods with smaller homes and tree-lined streets like Yalecrest. The McMansions cropping up in the neighborhood (The 1800 block of Herbert is the most egregious example) could have landed anywhere — they say nothing about this venerable 100-year-old neighborhood. I hope that my block of Yalecrest will be one of the next LHDs. Until then, I encourage you to do all in your power to respect and preserve this valuable neighborhood for another 100 years. Thank you for your consideration, J. B. Sheldon</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 13:19	Margaret Holloway	Benches at bus stop	<p>Have you noticed SLC officials have allowed UTA and possibly the city itself to install divided public benches at bus stops . If you don't fit within the minimal section you can't sit down. The older city parks don't have dividers. When you can find benches in the parks... I ask why are you discriminating against everyone who needs to sit? The response we don't want homeless sleeping at a bus stop. First have you ever seen a person on a non divided bench? Where? How many? Where they at a busy bus stop? NO. Drive around and look You can sleep sitting up. The few park non divided are not slept on during the day. People sleep on the ground. But what you have done is discriminate EVERYONE ELSE. Because you are afraid of someone you don't like. So let's punish older , over weight , people with heavy coats, disabled that make it hard to sit in a confined spot. Does this pass ADA? The dividers can be removed and still use the bench. But SLC officials blame UTA but we blame them for allowing it to happen. The small parks have undivided benches. But the large ones?? There are no benches in Warm Springs , Pioneer Park but 3 by the courts. Liberty has minimal except certain sections.Sugar House park?? But Pioneer Park where all those apartments No place to sit and eat your lunch. I am NOT talking about campers. I am talking about discrimination against EVERYONE ELSE. How do they justify this?? Again the reason for them ? Fear of what? Town Square is the perfect setup tables and undivided benches in the shade. So let's welcome everyone and remove the dividers. Margaret Holloway District 1 Salt Lake City</p>
3/5/2024 13:20	Jesse Frizzell	Comments regarding proposal PLNPCM2023-00361 & PLNPCM2023-00499	<p>Dear Council I'm writing you as a member of the neighborhood in which your decision will largely affect. I would like to ask you to remember that the people invested in, living in and making up this neighborhood will be the ones drastically impacted by your decision. I'm asking you to first protect our interests in the neighborhood and weigh lightly the money that has shown up to change it. A large complex at this location is not fitting for a list of reasons. Building of the appropriate number of houses at this location per the existing R-7000 zoning would preserve the aesthetic and welcoming feel of our neighborhood. I would like to point out that Salt Lake is quickly becoming the land of housing complexes. Where are the new houses being built in Salt Lake for those seeking the American dream of owning a home? This location is a perfect opportunity to build houses for those people and not add another complex to the growing number of mega structures across town. The neighborhood is asking you to please not push this unfitting complex on us and to not approve rezoning of this location. Please protect our investments in this neighborhood. Jesse</p>
3/5/2024 13:22	Lynn Pershing	March 5 follow-up briefing & potential vote: U of U Baseball Stadium & Sunnyside Park Improvements	<p>I strongly encourage the City Council to table a vote on the City and BLM land transfer from public open lands in Sunnyside Park to the University of Utah. The surrounding communities have NOT been shown ANY elevations of the proposed baseball stadium and a proposed parking lot nor received their GRAMA requests from the University of Utah. This lack of transparency and information is a serious misstep to our city process when the public is asked to given the only large green space in District 6. Public open space is disappearing in our City. It's important to city residents, sacred and can't be replaced. A 99 yr lease for \$1/year is NOT in the public interest. Please consider this issue carefully. Respectfully Lynn K. Pershing, Ph.D.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 14:10	Jan Hemming 1/2	Comments for the City Council <b>**Attachment 4 - 5 page photos</b>	<p>Council Members: I am sending a second request today to table any action on the University of Utah baseball stadium land lease deal with the city. I just received two important documents late this afternoon (3.4.24) — that relate directly to the land deal. They raise a myriad of questions about how this deal will impact Sunnyside Park and all who use it. One is a memo from Public Lands dated March 1, 2024 and the other is the Council Staff Report dated March 5, 2024. Withholding a vote would give adequate time for the public, affected communities and stakeholders to carefully review these documents and offer feedback.</p> <p>This is an important step in securing public trust. Much of what has taken place with the land deal has been done privately. These documents contain new information that the public has not previously seen — including new stadium design drawings, a 20-foot setback from Guardsman Way and new proposed uses of leased land. The Council has promised that through a public engagement process, the U's \$4.2 gift will be used to beautify and improve the park and create numerous public benefits. But these documents tell quite a different story suggesting that very little, if any money will be left over for park improvements, only park repairs from damage caused by the U's stadium. Here's what these city documents reveal: • It will cost \$3.2 million to replace two softball fields with lights and a parking area. • It will cost \$865,000 to replace the lacrosse field. These two items alone will consume most of the \$4.2 million. • No community use of the ball field. A direct quote from the PL document: "The operational cost required for hourly use (of the field) will likely be prohibitive for public recreational community field reservations." Implied: The University will set such a high fee for the field's use that almost no group or individual could afford it. • A 28-foot tall baseball hitting practice building will be constructed on leased property east of the ball field. Ironically, this is adjacent to the location where the U threatened to build a 35 foot wall in left field which the city claimed would harm the park's "sightline." The City now argues that the 28-foot high building won't affect "sightline" ..... "due to a grade change" in the sloping property. As such it will only appear to be 16 feet high to a park user. If this is true, the council must do the math and realize that this same grade change would make the 35-foot wall only appear to be 23 feet high. This new information must be disclosed to the public because it invalidates the 35-foot wall argument. • Trees: The city says only 4 giant Sequoia trees will be affected by the U's new stadium. At least 20 trees surround the perimeter of the stadium. The community would like more information about how those additional 16 trees will not be affected. Also during the construction process, there are 11 trees along Guardsman Way. Because of the new 20-foot setback proposed by the U, the preservation of the Guardsman Way trees appears to be in jeopardy. If trees are lost and need replacing this is more money that will need to be subtracted from the U's \$4.2 million gift. • Public bathrooms are not an additional benefit to the park since the U was going to build them anyway as part of the stadium plan. Suggesting that it will cost the U an additional \$600,000 to build bathrooms gets lost in their \$35 million proposed stadium. Collegiate baseball stadiums have bathrooms. • Suggestions that the softball fields and lacrosse field, which will be lost because of the stadium construction, could be paid for with City funds is highly speculative. Unless the city can immediately identify, earmark and set aside city funds for this purpose it seems doubtful funds will be found — especially within the 3-year park renovation timeframe. Sales tax receipts are reportedly down about \$3 million and each month, new budget amendments — seeking tens of millions of additional dollars — are presented to the Council for approval. The heady days of ARPA money are disappearing.</p>


Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 14:10	Jan Hemming 2/2	CONTINUED!! Comments for the City Council <b>**Attachment 4 - 5 page photos</b>	<p>How realistic is it that the city would step in and commit millions to Sunnyside Park? • It is a false claim that the community would get “additional programming that brings people out for art, events, programs, recreation and community” simply because the U is building a stadium in Sunnyside park. Why does the community have to wait to receive these benefits unless a stadium is built? • Another unsubstantiated claim — that the public could use University property for recreational purposes because of this stated obstacle: “provided the University agrees....” Please be aware that from the start, the U placed a No Trespassing sign on the existing practice field and has made NO attempt to share the field for recreational purposes. Another broken promise to the community. • Impact fees that would be charged to youth athletic leagues or the public to “free up funds from the University to use toward replacing the softball fields.” What hasn’t been disclosed: • No light towers appear on the design concept. It’s our understanding that a regulation, NCAA collegiate baseball stadium typically has 10 light towers with a foot-candle power (intensity) of 70-75. Contrast these intense stadium lights with other public lighting: 10-25 foot-candle at highway intersections, 1-2 foot-candle in residential areas, 5-10 foot candle in commercial areas. The U promised residents there wouldn’t be bright lights at the stadium, yet the U is under obligation to follow NCAA standards. Please have the U disclose the foot-candle (intensity) of their stadium lights, how many they will construct, the height of the towers and where the towers will be placed. See the attached NCAA lighting document. • How much fill will the U need to create the fan-friendly berm beyond the official baseball field — and what will be the height of the concrete retaining wall needed to secure the fill? Note the photo of the berm at Smith’s Park. It’s about 15 -20 feet long. Does the city have the dimensions of the U’s berm? They should be disclosed to the public. The new, proposed fan-friendly berm is near a cluster of mature shade trees in Sunnyside Park and would be located beyond the current practice field. How will the U avoid damaging or removing the trees? The photos below show the existing practice field wall and fence. Now visualize a new 15-20 foot berm beyond that. The steep slope is where fill must be added to create the berm — unless the U can show a different design plan. We strongly believe the trees in these photos will be affected by the new berm. A retaining wall 15-20 feet beyond the existing practice field wall will also cut into an existing soccer field. • Once the U strips land from the softball field and lacrosse field on the east side — which is about 12 feet higher than the practice field— a new retaining wall will need to be built to hold back whatever land remains after those parcels are removed. How will that affect the park’s appearance and its use? • Where are the engineering studies? • Where are the environmental studies? • Where are the flood plan studies? • How much of the \$4.2 million will be used to relocate irrigation lines and other infrastructure disrupted by the stadium? • Bottom line: the public won’t really be asked during a public engagement period about how to spend \$4.2 million on Sunnyside Park, because most of the money will be spent on reclamation projects. The promise that granting the U rights to build a stadium will enhance Sunnyside Park is untrue. The City has failed to make a compelling case for public benefits. And the University’s promise to “preserving the rich legacy of Sunnyside Park for generations to come” rings hollow. Respectfully, Janet (Jan) Hemming Chair Yalecrest Neighborhood Council</p>



**Summary:** Following these recommended best practices will help ensure quality of light needed for the safety of participants and the enjoyment of spectators, as required.

Horizontal light levels: 75 footcandles infield / 50 footcandles outfield  
Horizontal uniformity: 2:1 infield, 2.5:1 outfield  
Grid spacing: 30 ft x 30 ft

Recommended pole placement:



1. Shaded areas indicate recommended pole location.
2. Line drawn through each "B" pole location must be behind home plate to ensure lighting the portion of the ball the batter sees as it crosses home plate.
3. Consideration should be given to locating "B" poles between 1/3 and 2/3 distance of the foul line. This positioning towards the outfield foul pole allows the ball to be lit in a more consistent perpendicular illumance as it travels from the infield to the outfield.
4. Recommended pole size is 330-ft / 375-ft / 420-ft / 375-ft / 330-ft.
5. For new facilities or upgrades, it is recommended to consult a lighting professional for optimal pole placement.

# Attachment 4, photo 2





**Attachment 4, photo 3**



**Attachment 4, photo 4**



**Attachment 4, photo 5**



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 14:17	Jan Hemming	Comments for the City Council	<p>Jessica Wade, BLM Salt Lake City Field Manager Copy to SLC Council Members As chair of the Yalecrest Neighborhood Council it has been brought to my attention that a section of land involved in a proposed land lease deal between the City of Salt Lake and the University of Utah is under the control of the Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management (Source: BLM land patent #43-90-0014, signed February 16, 1990, pursuant to the original Act of June 14, 1926). With your authority as Salt Lake Field Office Manager, Bureau of Land Management, I am asking you to make an immediate request to the Salt Lake City Council to table and suspend any action on this land lease. Your intervention is time sensitive since the Council is expected to vote on this tomorrow, Tuesday, March 5 at its 7 p.m. formal meeting. The suspension – preferably 90 days – would allow you to initiate a joint convening process with the Yalecrest Community – which borders Sunnyside Park and the proposed land lease property – and other stakeholders (city and university) to understand how the loss of this land could trigger social, environmental and recreational impacts. Thus far, neither the city nor the U have sufficiently demonstrated how this land transfer is in the public interest and or offers real public benefits. For 40 years, the Yalecrest community has played a leading role in shaping what is now called the Salt Lake City Sports Complex – which includes a portion of the BLM petition land the city wants to lease. Community leaders like Kimball and Laurel Young, James and Maryann Webster, Betsy Hunt, Bob Bridge, Steve Lowe and Ramone Johnson raised millions in private money so the Sports Complex could be built on the petitioned land. The pool is called the Steiner Aquatic Center in honor of Bob Steiner’s generous donation. The Complex used to represent the best of public-private partnership. That partnership is now under assault. To ignore the contributions and opinions of these loyal residents and others in assisting the BLM to fulfill its legal and protective stewardship over these recreational lands would discredit and dishonor their tireless and faithful service as well as hundreds of nearby residents who will be significantly impacted. Respectfully, Janet (Jan) Hemming Chair Yalecrest Neighborhood Council</p>
3/5/2024 15:31	Monica Hilding	Table decision on U baseball stadium lease	<p>Dear City Council members, I sent this earlier but received a message that it had been blocked by the comments email site, so this is my second attempt. I believe the decision on the U baseball stadium should be tabled for this evening’s meeting because of county caucuses. This is a very impactful decision on the surrounding community and I see how this benefits the U, but I do not see how it benefits the neighborhood. Seems like a good deal for the U, but not for the city. Please table this vote to a later date where the public can be more informed about the design and implications for the neighborhood. Sincerely, Monica Hildng SLC, UT</p>
3/5/2024 15:32	Camilla Flint	Public Hearing for Properties at 803, 805, 807, & 815 Simondi Ave and 802, 806, 810, &814 W 300 North	<p>I live a couple of houses away from the proposed development site. The kind of housing I would like to see in our neighborhood would enable middle and low income working families to move to this community. Some new condos were built a couple years ago on 700 W and the people in them feel very disengaged from the community, probably because they cost so much that they have a different mindset than the people already living here. Apartment dwellers also tend to move in and out a lot and not really become part of the community. I don’t think subsidized housing would be ideal for our neighborhood either, just small but decent housing for couples and young families. People who are trying. Camilla Flint</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 16:07	Cindy Cromer 1/2	Re: your discussion of Laird Hts. on 2/6, hearing on 3/5	<p>A month ago, I sent you remarks that I had hoped to make right after your discussion of Laird Hts. I sent them as an e mail instead, in light of the traffic jam in the General Comments section. (Thank you for your efforts to address the traffic jam.) Here are the comments again for the hearing. I will provide a brief summary of them tonight. Onward, cindy c. _____ From: cindy cromer &lt;3cinslc@live.com&gt; Sent: Monday, February 12, 2024 9:03 AM To: Chris Wharton &lt;chris.wharton@slcgov.com&gt;; Petro-Eschler, Victoria &lt;Victoria.Petro@slcgov.com&gt;; Mano, Darin &lt;darin.mano@slcgov.com&gt;; dan.dugan@slcgov.com &lt;dan.dugan@slcgov.com&gt;; Eva.Lopez-Chavez@slcgov.com &lt;Eva.Lopez-Chavez@slcgov.com&gt;; sarah.young@slcgov.com &lt;sarah.young@slcgov.com&gt; Cc: Fullmer, Brian &lt;Brian.Fullmer@slcgov.com&gt;; michaela.oktay@slcgov.com &lt;michaela.oktay@slcgov.com&gt;; lex traughber &lt;lex.traughber@slcgov.com&gt;; Council Comments &lt;comments.council@slcgov.com&gt;; Lindquist, Kelsey &lt;Kelsey.Lindquist@slcgov.com&gt;; Thompson, Amy &lt;Amy.Thompson@slcgov.com&gt; Subject: Fw: your discussion of Laird Hts. on 2/6 Dear Council Members, I had planned to make a comment at your Formal Meeting last Tuesday, but the queue was so long that the most constructive thing I could do in the moment was to shorten your meeting by 2 minutes by leaving. Here is the comment I had planned to make, updated with some helpful information from Lex Traughber and Kelsey Lindquist in Planning. Comment to the City Council, drafted for 2/6 From Cindy Cromer Re proposal for Laird Hts. Historic District Last week I talked to the Landmarks Commission about how the Planning Commission had chosen to ignore the ordinance and send a negative recommendation regarding the proposed Laird Heights Historic District. You did not focus on the Planning Commission ill-conceived advice in your Work Session on 2/6, to my relief. You did focus on the requirements for new construction in historic districts. The big problem is with large multiple unit apartment buildings where the base zoning is not compatible with the historic overlay. This problem occurs frequently in the Central City District. But in Laird Heights, there are few noncontributing buildings which present opportunities for new construction of primary dwellings. There are only 2 vacant parcels. The proposed district is so important precisely because of the concentration of contributory structures. There are not many opportunities for new construction except as additions and ADUs. Here's the math: 66 primary dwellings with 3 which have become noncontributory since the survey in 2005. There are 2 vacant parcels (see the attachment), one of them clearly not buildable and the other unlikely to have a primary dwelling.* With the recent reinvestment in the 3 buildings which have become noncontributory and would, in an historic district, be eligible for demolition, I see almost no opportunity for new construction in the proposed district except as additions and ADUs.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 16:07	Cindy Cromer 2/2	CONTINUED!! Re: your discussion of Laird Hts. on 2/6, hearing on 3/5	Even if there were opportunities for new construction in the proposed district, we have fabulous examples of infill in the Central City, Avenues, Capitol Hill, and University Historic Districts. Here's an example of the walking tour that the Planning staff created during the pandemic showcasing the infill housing in the South Temple, Avenues, and University Historic Districts. These contemporary structures are welcomed and positive additions to their respective districts. preservation_passport.indd (slcdocs.com) Looking at the vacant parcels and noncontributory buildings in the proposed historic district, I see almost no opportunity for the construction of new primary dwellings in the foreseeable future. That is a good thing because they would be even more expensive than the existing housing. *Ironically, this narrow parcel has a better chance at development IF it is located in an historic district where the Landmarks Commission can grant relief from setback and height requirements.
3/5/2024 16:48	Jim Burdette	General Comment	For cooperating with U of U lease 1. 2A. which is 4% of the total 27.5 A. loss of 1 ballfield. No loss of soccer fields benefit a 4 mil. dollars from U for park improvements. High outfield wall is poor showing for U & teams.
3/5/2024 16:53	Gleed Toombes	General Comment	There is so much to say against the proposed stadium, I believe, if you continue to communicate with the public and listen and read everything you receive online via email. You will be able to delay decisions following your research.
3/5/2024 17:15	Amy Keele	B1-baseball	I am very much in support of the U.S. Stadium and the improvements to The Sunnyside Park. I think it's a great addition to our neighborhood.
3/5/2024 21:20	Cody O'Hare	Refusing To Hear Constituent Comments	I found the decision to end the 3/5/24 council meeting early and refuse to hear constituent comments a shameful act of cowardice and a dereliction of democratic duty. In no self respecting democratic society, should the active engagement of the community be ignored because it is inconvenient. The provided reasons for why this change was being enacted were wholly inadequate. Democracy dies in Darkness, voices must be heard. The claim that this is a waste of tax payer dollars is laughable in the face of the tax money used to fund violence in Gaza. The claim of fear of violence or your personal safety is unfounded as to date hundreds have appeared for multiple protests across SLC and each has been perfectly peaceful, only the activists themselves have been harmed by the actions of others. You may not like it, you may not feel comfortable, you may not agree, but the voices of of your people, Salt Lake's people are speaking, and it is your civic, moral, and personal responsibility to listen. The voices in your crowd wouldn't be so loud, if they didn't have to shout over the fingers you have jammed in your ears to ignore the, As an elected representative you have a responsibility to hear their voices whether that be for 30 minutes or six hours. If you can't bear to hear it, then act, that's how these activists feel when they hear of the thousands suffering each day. If you refuse to act, then step down and let someone with a bit of backbone and civic duty step up.
3/5/2024 17:38	Shea Spencer Hardy	General Comment	I am here today in support of Salt Lake City Council developing a ceasefire resolution. Just as many other cities have nationally, it is essential that in Salt Lake City we speak out for the over 35,000 Palestinians that have been killed in Gaza, speak out against the systematic starvation, the bombing of hospitals, capturing of medical staff, and so so many other war crimes.
3/5/2024 17:01	Ariel Malan	General Comment	Ceasefire permanent for Gaza. SLC Council needs to vote on a resolution that denounces genocide in Palestine.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/5/2024 16:59	Juls Marino	General Comment	I live in Eva Lopez Chavez's district. Myself and Anakbayan SLC support a permanent ceasefire and end to the occupation in Gaza. UNICEF has published a statement that they cant distribute essential humanitarian air without a ceasefire. Even the US is negotiating a temporary ceasefire and warning Israel not to invade Rafah at the UN. Israel not to involve Rafah at the UN. 70+ cities have already passed a ceasefire resolution.
3/5/2024 16:45	Shaste Lawton	General Comment	I'm here to support the ceasefire resolution. This genocide should have been stopped mouths ago.
3/5/2024 16:42	Kendra Keller	General Comment	We are supporting genocide. We demand a ceasefire.
3/5/2024 16:26	Kamille Corry	General Comment	I am here to stand in solidarity with our Palestinian community and ask that the city council demands Governor Cox & administration to call for a permanent ceasefire in Gaza and stop arming Israel. Enough is enough - stop being complicit in the killing, starvation, and maiming of Palestinians in Gaza. Do not be afraid or ashamed to stand for peace!
3/5/2024 10:23	Janiece Murray	call for an immediate ceasefire now	I write to you as a constituent and with Amnesty International USA with deep concern over the escalating genocide in Gaza. The U.S. government – including at the local level – is uniquely positioned to have tremendous impact in this genocide to ensure the protection of civilians and prevent further suffering by calling for an immediate and permanent ceasefire and divesting from funding Israel. Since October 7, we have witnessed genocide unfolding on an unimaginable scale in the Occupied Palestinian Territories. More than 2 million Palestinians in the occupied Gaza Strip are struggling to survive amid the Israeli military’s ongoing genocidal offensive, and the level of civilian casualties has been unprecedented. This morning alone (2/29) at least 77 people (probably many more) were gunned down by IOF tanks as they tried to receive flour from aid trucks to feed their starving families. They risked death for the flour because their families are dying of starvation. I am encouraging you to publicly call for an immediate ceasefire and appeal to the Israeli government to allow life-saving fuel, water, and food into Gaza. It's literally the least you can do. The majority of Americans support a ceasefire, but President Biden has yet to call for one. In response, towns and cities across the country are rising up to pass their own resolutions. I ask that you, in your capacity, do what you can to help to pass one here. The need for an immediate ceasefire by all parties is more urgent than ever to protect civilians, prevent a mounting civilian death toll in Gaza, prevent further displacement of Palestinians, and provide an opportunity to secure the safe release of the remaining hostages. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Janiece Murray

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/1/2024 12:12	Leah Solomon	No to Ceasefire	<p>Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are attempting to intimidate council members into submission. Their tactic is to harass the city council and instill fear until they get what they want. There are three reasons why we ask that you say NO to a ceasefire: 1. The war in the Middle East is an international affair, not a local matter. 2. A ceasefire could easily be achieved if Hamas would release the hostages. Hamas is the party rejecting ceasefire negotiations. 3. The real reason why protestors want a local ceasefire resolution is to fuel hatred against Jews and Israelis in our community. These intimidation tactics are promoting hate at a dangerous level. Antisemitism has increased by 400% since Oct 7th. In the past two weeks alone, we have witnessed Neo-Nazi marches in Nashville, the arrest of a shooter who wanted to kill all Jews in Indiana, an incident where a Jew was beaten up in a car because of their Jewish appearance in New York, and the cancellation of all college classes in Queens due to a terrorist threat. It is evident that criticisms of Israel are often a disguise for blatant antisemitism. This alarming pattern highlights the urgent need for government institutions to take a stand against all forms of hatred and bigotry. Anti-Jewish groups are following the guidelines outlined in the 1988 Hamas Covenant, which states the following: 1. 'Israel will exist and will continue to exist until Islam will obliterate it, just as it obliterated others before it.' (Preamble) 2. 'The day the enemies usurp part of Muslim land, Jihad becomes the individual duty of every Muslim. In the face of the Jews' usurpation, it is compulsory that the banner of Jihad be raised.' (Article 15) 3. 'Ranks will close, fighters joining other fighters, and masses everywhere in the Islamic world will come forward in response to the call of duty, loudly proclaiming: 'Hail to Jihad!'. This cry will reach the heavens and will go on being resounded until liberation is achieved, the invaders vanquished and Allah's victory comes about.' (Article 33) 4. There is no solution for the Palestinian problem except through Jihad. Initiatives, proposals, and international conferences are a waste of time and futile.' (Article 13) 5. 'The Day of Judgment will not come about until Muslims fight Jews and kill them. Then, the Jews will hide behind rocks and trees, and the rocks and trees will cry out: 'O Muslim, there is a Jew hiding behind me, come and kill him.' (Article 7)</p> <p>Intimidation tactics will be used against anyone who does not submit to the protestors. Senior Hamas official Mahmoud Al-Zahar stated on December 12, 2022, "The entire 510 million square kilometers of Planet Earth will come under [a system] where there is no injustice, no oppression, no treachery, no Zionism, no treacherous Christianity, and no killings and crimes, like those being committed."</p> <p><a href="https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Ftinyurl.com%2F5n8298r4&amp;data=05%7C02%7CJenna.Benson%40slcgov.com%7Cf7dd1ba9d8864edbc20c08dc38edf1d8%7C9fa2c952dd504b06ba6a4b9bd7adda03%7C1%7C0%7C638447842382518989%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoImCM4wLjAwMDAiLCJQJjoiV2luMzliLjBtIi61haWwILCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C80000%7C%7C%7C&amp;sdata=eVWuc%2FDZdR1kr%2BiF7Ebuhf8NPitxyk5rHDHbog34EWA%3D&amp;reserved=0">https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Ftinyurl.com%2F5n8298r4&amp;data=05%7C02%7CJenna.Benson%40slcgov.com%7Cf7dd1ba9d8864edbc20c08dc38edf1d8%7C9fa2c952dd504b06ba6a4b9bd7adda03%7C1%7C0%7C638447842382518989%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoImCM4wLjAwMDAiLCJQJjoiV2luMzliLjBtIi61haWwILCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C80000%7C%7C%7C&amp;sdata=eVWuc%2FDZdR1kr%2BiF7Ebuhf8NPitxyk5rHDHbog34EWA%3D&amp;reserved=0</a> We understand that this is a challenging time for you to lead our community, but we urge you to stand strong against hate. Thank you for your incredible service to the community. Sincerely,</p>
3/1/2024 12:10	Kiki Rothenberg	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 12:07	Eleanore Reznik	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 12:04	Desiree Rastegar	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 12:00	Carolina Sacio	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:56	Shohreh Selki	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:54	Cara Stein	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:52	Evelyn Sassoon	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:16	Jamie Schnel	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:14	Sheri Rob	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:10	Stanley Slater	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
3/1/2024 11:08	Ann Slochowsky	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
3/1/2024 8:58	Elana Kornreich	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 15:16	Steven Roth	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 15:13	David Shaulian	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 13:41	Mary Nazarian	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 11:03	Lisa Green	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 10:52	Phyllis Safman	Please call for an immediate ceasefire	{contact_data~salutation,} I write to you as a constituent and as a member of Amnesty International USA with deep concern over the escalating conflict in Gaza and Israel. The U.S. government – including at the local level – is uniquely positioned to have tremendous impact in this conflict to ensure the protection of civilians and prevent further suffering. Since October 7, we have witnessed horror unfolding on an unimaginable scale in the Occupied Palestinian Territories and Israel. More than 2 million Palestinians in the occupied Gaza Strip are struggling to survive amid the Israeli military’s ongoing offensive, and the level of civilian casualties has been unprecedented. I am encouraging you to publicly call for an immediate ceasefire and appeal to the Israeli government to allow life-saving fuel, water, and food into Gaza. The majority of Americans support a ceasefire, but President Biden has yet to call for one. In response, towns and cities across the country are rising up to pass their own resolutions. I ask that you, in your capacity, do what you can to help to pass one here. The need for an immediate ceasefire by all parties is more urgent than ever to protect civilians, prevent a mounting civilian death toll in Gaza, prevent further displacement of Palestinians, and provide an opportunity to secure the safe release of the remaining hostages. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, PHYLLIS SAFMAN
2/28/2024 10:38	Emily Glickman	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 9:42	NeedsFirstName KforlIsrae	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 9:39	Amy Landesberg	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 8:47	Bobby Kay	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 8:39	Jack Arbiser	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 8:31	Janice Brenman	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/28/2024 8:30	Clara Baum	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 16:07	Monica Bach	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:40	Julia Trosman	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:38	Donna Suelaz	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:36	Rebecca Tessel	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:34	Peter Tannenbaum	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:31	Vicky Kohn	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:29	Marilyn Tawil	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:23	Laurie Tansman	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:20	Alana Tinnin	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:18	Gilad Teherani	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:12	Andrea Thau	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 14:05	Liza Tacher	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/27/2024 14:03	Alice Tawil	No to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 13:27	Wendy Dreskin	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 13:09	Russell Feder	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 13:08	Jess Feinberg	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 13:05	Lisa Ganey	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 12:18	Eva Chaya Muller	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 12:16	Mary Missry	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 8:37	Rachel Bohnen	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/27/2024 8:37	Nina Davidson	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:59	Robyn Cantor	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:57	Yael Feder	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:54	Rebecca Shechter	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:52	Miriam Silberberg	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:50	Stephanie Sokol	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:48	Sara Shemia	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:45	Debby Rosenfeld	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:44	Rebecca Sara	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:41	Guy Serbin	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:37	Daniella Schwartz	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:35	Natascha Shaer	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:33	Alan Steinberg	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:29	Yemima Safra	No to Ceasefire	Dear honourable council members Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Ar
2/26/2024 16:27	Omid Rahmani	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:25	Hal Skopicki	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:23	Simon Sarraf	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:21	Daphne Ross	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:19	Basie Rosenblatt	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:15	Maleah Spinell	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:12	Jenn Solomon	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:10	Susan Shore	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:08	Deborah Smook	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:05	Bonnie Septimus	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 16:00	Suzanne Rozenburg	No to Ceasefire	PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/a
2/26/2024 16:00	Hilary Auerbach	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:59	Lee ann Benadiva	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:58	Judy Smilow	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:57	Gaby Cosgrove	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 15:56	Melissa Shamsian	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:55	Dean Abell	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:54	Chani Rekant	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:54	Melissa Birns	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:53	Bob Deutsch	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:51	Jay Scheidlinger	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:49	Cena Abergel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:48	Debbie Brody	NO to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:48	Michael Savetsky	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:46	Rina Schiowitz	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:46	Deborah Coopersmith	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:44	Alana Serota	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:44	Gregory Berlin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:42	Seyma Albarino	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:41	Oranit Shaked	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:39	Alisha Summers	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:36	Stephanie Rubin	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:36	Guy Baron	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:35	Jessica Askari	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:33	Riki Carignan	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:31	Steph Altman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:30	Stanley Snow	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:29	Hattie Dubroff	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:29	Jackie Nussbaum	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:27	Keren Tuvia Baron	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:25	Shira Arcus	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:23	Mira Duncan	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:21	Rachel Montag	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:21	Eve Baruch	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:21	Tammy Schwitzer	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:17	Marnie Rappaport	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:16	Craig Parsons	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:14	Nava Shaham	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:13	Cara Altman	Vote no to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:13	Robin Miller	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:11	Vicky Shamah	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:11	Wendi Abramowitz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 15:10	Danielle Posner	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:09	Toby Bleeck	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:07	Ilan Rogoszinski	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:07	Shelly Altman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:06	Marina Bank	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:04	Saloomeh Saadat	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:01	Debbie Beran	No to a Ceasefire	Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are attempting to intimidate council members into submission. Their tactic is
2/26/2024 15:01	Melissa Karmoza	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:00	Stacey Escott	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 15:00	Jonah Schnel	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:59	Didi Hutt	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:59	Alisa Berger	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:56	Marina Eisenberg	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:55	Shari Marchbein	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:55	Michael Serphos	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:54	Anita Hajioff	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:51	Elisa Wirtschafer	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:51	Df Rubin	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:49	Tanya Mazin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:46	Eileen Schwartz	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:45	Cindy Neiburg	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:43	Mindy Gartner	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:43	Tzivya Rudin	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:42	Lisa Friedman	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:39	Liat Ginsberg	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:38	Solly Haber	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:36	Adina Fischlewitz	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:34	Tova Goldring	FW: (EXTERNAL) No to hate	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:33	Lauren Field	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:31	Sari Mizrahi	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:30	Gail Friedman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:26	Jessica Pearlman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:24	Nushie Piroozian	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:16	Jessica Preid	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:16	Yaara Bank-Plotkin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:13	Helene Shaffer	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:13	Janis Marcus	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 14:11	Adam Singer	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:11	Jeffrey Menaged	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:09	Yaara Bank-Plotkin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:07	Robin Portman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:07	Shahnaz Dayan	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:07	Jay Spector	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:05	Sharon Schlossberg	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:05	Dana Cohen	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:04	Lauren Berger	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:04	Aiden Pollack	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:02	Jan Silverstein	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:02	Roni L Pick	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:02	Anna Baum	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 14:00	Omri Dahan	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:59	Deena Ganz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:59	Neli Roofian	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:58	Charles Manopla	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:58	Shadi Arzanipour	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:56	Michele Krebs Moscovitz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:56	David Friedman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:56	Gerald Darvish	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:56	Orit Ravid	No to Ceasefire	Dear Leaders of Salt Lake City, Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Is
2/26/2024 13:55	Rebekah Dembitzer	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:54	Ariane Eisman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:52	Michal Dancykier	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:51	Agus Marcelle	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:51	Kathy Emanuel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:49	Gala Vaisberg	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:46	Felix Shamash	Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:46	Adina Goldstein	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:44	Evelyn Gugel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:44	Nazy Maddahi	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:42	Carmella Mashian	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:42	Donna Feldman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:40	Stacey Hunt	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:38	Altchie Pelcovitz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:37	Rachee Ganchrow	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 13:34	Andrew Pomrantz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:30	Lisa Appel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:28	David Musher	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:25	Sheri Nassir	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:19	Sally Malech	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:14	Mindy Miller	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:14	Rakefet Abergel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:11	Ashley Cohen	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:10	Sara Markowitz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:08	Shani Nissel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:08	Rochelle Bodner	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:06	Sigal Boim	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:05	Elana Ben-Joesph	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:03	Sherrie Altaras	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:02	Ann Cohn	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 13:00	Ruth Fast	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:58	Tara Bogart-spiess	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:57	Nicole Greenwald	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:57	Elizabeth Brody	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:57	Marc Malnick	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:55	Jonathan Stein	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:55	Lisa Belsky	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:53	Rebecca Mischel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:53	Steve Blumner	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:52	Nancy Frankenberg	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:51	Jesse Bikman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:50	Murray Mizrachi	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:50	Lynda Feldman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:49		No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:48	Jodi Fine	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:47	Danielle Atkins	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:47	Yehudit Feinstein Mentest	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:45	Vicki Perler	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:45	Terry Friedkin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:44	Grace Arking	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:43	Michele Goren	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:42	Roya Cohen	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 12:41	Tovi Horowitz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:40	Harvey Marchbein	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:40	Sepideh Delijani	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:39	Yerachmiel Fried	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:38	Moshe Benayon	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:37	Nancy Bassiri	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:37	Elissa Einhorn	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:37	Lisuan Poh	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:35	Linda Chernoff	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:33	Marta Harmon	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:33	Ava Cushner	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:33	Laurie Minchenberg	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:30	E Ades	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:28	Eden Goldberg	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:27	Nancy Marder	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:25	Sarah Goldstein	Please Say No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:23	Ruth Oratz	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:22	Rachel Greenberger	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:21	Cynthia Margaretten	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:20	Jeremy Friedman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:18	Annie Mendelson	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:16	Adele Dubin	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:16	Helen Fuchs	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:15	Tamar Bryk	No to Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:14	Tibor Engel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:13	Alison Devlin	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:12	Rhonda Forest	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:11	Carolyn Ruben	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:10	Shirley Bassiri Rahmani	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:09	Andi Grossman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:06	Sarah Haar	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:03	Eddie Dayan	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 12:01	Emily Gerson	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:58	Ben Farkas	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:55	Lily Glater	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:49	Ellen Feig	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:46	Anna Haimoff	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/26/2024 11:44	Barbara Hochman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:40	Nir Hoftman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:36	R Joy	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:36	Yael Epstein	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:34	Lisa Feit	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:30	Leora Fineberg	Say NO to ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:26	Lauren Esakoff	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:23	S Ehrlich	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:21	B. Gol	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:17	Shirin Kiaei	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:16	Debbie Koegel	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 11:11	Craig Goldman	No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:57	Lenore Koster	no to a ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:28	Lisa Waller	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:28	Alan Wasserman	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:26	Nazy Zarabi	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:26	Yakov Yuffe	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:25	Lisa Wong	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:24	Cee Ziv	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:22	Tova Wieder	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:21	Marlene Yahalom	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:19	Elisa Wietschner	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:18	Melanie Wice	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:17	Alisa Wiener	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:16	Randee Wechsler	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:15	Mia Weiss	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:14	Shelly Zilber	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:12	Glenda Weiss	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:07	Olga Zilberstein	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:06	Felisa Wyman	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:03	Eden Zakai	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 10:01	Mati Weiss	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/26/2024 9:10	Anne Wasserman	(EXTERNAL) No to a Ceasefire	Please vote NO to a ceasefire resolution in relation to the Israel-Hamas war. Anti-Israel/anti-Jewish protestors are
2/23/2024 11:00	Arin Lynn	A concern from a constituent	SAY THE WORD CEASEFIRE



Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
2/23/2024 10:37	Mackenzie Matson	On the Matter of the Joint Resolution for Peace	<p>Dear City Council Members: Petro, Puy, Wharton, Chavez, Mano, Dugan, and Young, On Tuesday night the Council read the Joint Resolution for Peace. It was incredibly disheartening to hear the lack of acknowledgement for the thousands of deaths taking place in Gaza and the West Bank. The Council continued to use the word peace as though that would placate the pro-Palestinian plight. The request of those who come week after week, who take time to listen till the very last comment, who show up for dialogue and who consistently have pleaded with you to recognize the disparity of treatment among the Palestinian people and their Occupiers, have once again fallen on deaf ears. I honestly do not understand why you physically cannot say the word ceasefire. On Tuesday there were comments from the Jewish community toward Palestinians, and those who are echoing their cause, that sounded much like coded comments used to stifle members of other minorities. It sounded as if they were saying that the mere existence of Palestinians was a threat to their very lives. Any mention of a ceasefire, a sudden stop to the literal and ongoing destruction of the people of Gaza, is equal to a theoretical extermination of Jews everywhere, even in Salt Lake City. Do you recognize the ludacris notion of these comments? Do you not see through them? This is why it is so disappointing to read your resolution of joint peace. Not even in a ceremonial act can you say that Palestinian lives matter, that the children of Gaza exist and deserve a future, that bombing Palestinians daily and detaining innocent civilians is undeserving and criminal. The resolution was unapologetically vague and full of fluff. Did you mean to leave out the place where there's a "terrible loss of life" and where the "international conflicts" are taking place? Every day I continue to watch more children become orphans, more families literally being blown apart, women having babies in tents and the heavy gloom on their faces when they can't eat or feed their children. Please do not look away from their suffering and say there is nothing you can do. Obviously, the members in your community believe you do have the power to change our small corner of the world. We believe in your office of influence and plead with you to try again. Please rewrite your resolution to include specific language that recognizes the need for an end of violence in Gaza and that the 30k+ deaths are a tragedy and do not go unnoticed by the international community and the City Council of Salt Lake City. Say to the Palestinians, "We see you. We see your ongoing suffering and grieve the loss of innocent lives, especially the children, the future of Palestine." Please do better. Thank you, Mackenzie Wood</p>

## Statement Regarding Salt Lake City's Silence on the Gaza Conflict

As concerned residents of Salt Lake City, we are deeply troubled by the lack of acknowledgment and action from our city leaders regarding the ongoing conflict in Gaza. The recent resolution passed by the city council fails to address the urgent humanitarian crisis unfolding in Gaza, where innocent civilians are facing unimaginable suffering due to relentless bombing, blockade, and denial of essential aid.

Salt Lake City, as a community that upholds principles of compassion, justice, and human rights, cannot remain silent in the face of such egregious violations. The Salt Lake City Code of Ethics clearly outlines the responsibility of our city to promote the well-being and safety of all individuals, both within our borders and beyond.

By turning a blind eye to the plight of the people of Gaza, Salt Lake City is effectively condoning the actions of a government that perpetuates violence, terror, and genocide against its own citizens. This is in direct violation of the values and principles that our city claims to uphold.

**By failing to stand against the violence and aggression in Gaza, Salt Lake City is effectively sanctioning these atrocities and betraying the trust of its own citizens. This complicity makes our residents feel unsafe, threatened, and betrayed by their own government and elected officials.**

Furthermore, the resolution passed by the city council fails to fulfill its duty to protect vulnerable populations, including refugees and displaced persons, who are among the most affected by the conflict in Gaza. By not speaking out against the atrocities being committed, Salt Lake City is complicit in the suffering of innocent civilians and is effectively supporting state-sponsored terrorism.

As residents of Salt Lake City, we demand that our city leaders take immediate action to condemn the violence and aggression in Gaza, and to call for an immediate ceasefire to halt the bloodshed. We call upon the city council to revisit its resolution and to amend it to include explicit condemnation of the human rights abuses occurring in Gaza.

It is our moral obligation as members of this community to stand up against injustice and to advocate for peace, compassion, and human dignity for all people, regardless of their nationality or ethnicity. Salt Lake City must not be complicit in the perpetuation of violence and suffering, but must instead be a beacon of hope, compassion, and justice for all.

---

This statement is based on the principles outlined in the Salt Lake City Code of Ethics, which emphasizes the city's commitment to promoting the well-being and safety of all individuals, and condemns acts of violence, discrimination, and injustice.