

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/17/2023 8:29	Harrison Celone	Concerned Citizen	<p>Hi Dan, I hope all is going well. My name is Harrison Celone and I'm a resident of the Bonneville Hills neighborhood of district 6. I can only assume that a disproportionate number of emails you receive are based on issues or concerns, so let me start by saying that my family and I absolutely love this district/neighborhood and feel very positively overall about the experience of living in this area. I am, however, writing you about an area of concern of mine, which is the traffic situation evolving on 1900 east. I live very close to the 1500 south area of 1900, but I believe the concern applies generally to the area north of 2100 and south of Sunnyside.</p> <p>According to my research, the city master plan designates this street as accommodating "local" traffic, as opposed to a collector street that is designed to handle larger traffic volumes and higher speeds. The issue is that 1900 seems to be evolving as a "cut through" and handling higher volumes of traffic than intended. I frequently observe vehicles traveling well in excess of the 20mph speeds designated for local streets. This is especially concerning given the recent spate of tragic accidents involving pedestrians in salt lake city As a neighborhood street in close proximity to Clayton middle school, this is creating an unsafe right of way conflict between students, neighborhood pedestrians and vehicle traffic. Speed control measures (like raised crosswalks) are scant and stop signs are limited, which is allowing travel at higher rates of speed than intended. I know there are a lot of potential conflicting interests in how the the right of way is used, but i believe there is a great opportunity here to show that our community values walkability, bicycling and natural beauty above vehicle use by discouraging vehicle traffic on this pedestrian heavy, neighborhood street. While i recognize this would not be an immediately viable near-term solution, I'd even go as far as to advocate the "daylighting" of emigration creek at its intersection with 1900 and the wholesale closure of the road to vehicle traffic at this point. Again, i think this would send a powerful message about how our community thinks about nature, walkability and environmental factors. Please let me know your thoughts here and if you believe there is anything that can be done in both the short and long terms to resolve this evolving conflict between vehicle traffic and neighborhood safety.</p>
5/17/2023 8:38	John Malooly	Quick question on noise ordinance	<p>Hi Dan, John Malooly here. We moved into a house that buttresses Emigration Creek, and the first fairway of Bonneville golf course in 2020. One surprise that we had moving in here is how early they start mowing the grass. In April it is 7:00 am. This week they fired up the mowers at 6:00 am... By July last year the started at 4:40 am. I know they have to get out ahead of the golfers, but being woken up at or before 5:00 am by a loud mower multiple days per week gets old. The noise and proximity are such that our Nest cameras capture the sound and head lights in the morning. There are a lot of great facets to living on a course, this is the only thing that is negative. Question, is the golf course exempt from a general noise ordinance? I don't need them to hold off until 7:00, but after 6:00 am or even 6:15 would be ideal. I would like to get ahead of it this year before the start with the very early starts and I am wondering if I have any thing that might persuade them to start a bit later. Thanks for any help you might provide here.. Thanks! John malooly</p>
5/17/2023 16:49	Rod Fisher	budget comments	<p>I am be submitting comments on the SLC budget. My concern is around pot holes, in our alley. I shared a vidio and comment's with the city street department only to find found there is no funding for city owned alleys ways, despite their dreadful state of disrepair. I know our streets are in bad shape too, but find it interesting that the "sexy" projects like the 9th street trail connector seem to be getting all of the funding. We must keep the and maintain our existing infrastructure in good repair. My wife's small car gets bounced around way too much. I filed a comment with the city street department twice earlier this year to no avail. Your help would be greatly appreciated. Our alley is between Windsor and 800 East, 1300 South and Princeton. Best regards, and thank you for your service.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/17/2023 16:50	Merchant McKinley	Pot Holes and dog park	Hello, I would like to see money go towards fixing the road on 900 west between 600 north and 1000 north. It's such a problem. I would also like the dog park at Rosewood park weeded. Its overgrown. It would also be lovely to get the pickleball lines back up there as well at that parks tennis courts. Thank you,
5/17/2023 16:51	Steve Mecham	Proposed Water Rate Increases	Here is my comment related to the postcard I received concerning the proposed water rate increases. Thank you for the opportunity to express my view on water rates. My comment is simple, straightforward and two-fold. 1 – Charge as much as possible for each gallon of water a user consumes. Start with charging for basic/low usage at the same rate per gallon as it would cost to buy a gallon of water at the grocery store. Doesn't matter how or where the user uses the water, just make us all recognize the value of water by feeling the economics of every drop we use. Then significantly increase the per gallon rate as usage increases to make the cost even more tangible. Try to get people to use less water. 2 – Remove the property tax component of funding for water districts. For example, on my Millcreek home, on my 2022 property tax notice, I paid \$136.49 to the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWC). This should not be. If CUWC needs money they should get it from a service charge directly to me, not indirectly through my annual property tax bill. Best,
5/17/2023 16:55	Lisette Gibson	Text Amendment for the H Historic Preservation Overlay District on May 24 Planning Agenda	Hello Amy, Would you please send me detailed information about the proposed Historic Overlay. How could this impact properties (homes) in LDH's and those only listed in a National Register District? I want specifics and details. It seems that this is far reaching with many possible future consequences for a lot of the city. Why isn't something this important taken on a road show to individual neighborhoods to encourage attendance and feedback? Giving only an opportunity to show up at a Planning or City Council meeting to speak is grossly unfair. I hear that showing up in Person goes over better than via zoom. I am immunocompromised and can't be in a large indoor crowd. How is the City supporting people like myself? I send letters but don't get responses and don't know if my words are heard. Please forward a copy of this letter to the Mayor. I didn't see her email online. Surveys are sent out by the city to get our opinion all the time. Why not on this? I eagerly await your response. Thank you, Lisette Gibson SLC resident, Dist 6
5/18/2023 16:48	J SYNDERGAARD	squirrel Problems	Hi, I'm calling because I have squirrel problems, they are all over, what can the city do? maybe someone from the office can come out and take care of them. I'm so struggling with them. Your budgeting, Why don't you spend some money to hire someone to take care of them before they destroy the whole little town? Thank you.
5/18/2023 16:50	Wilma ODELL	City budget	Hi, I'm voting on the budget for helping children, the homeless and pothole repair. Thank you

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/19/2023 8:40	James Alfandre	FW: (EXTERNAL) Comments for Downtown Building Height and Street Activation	<p>Councilmembers, I wanted to send some comments on the Downtown Height Ordinance that is before you now. I am excited about the opportunity to enhance the public realm of our city. I have a unique perspective as a resident of Salt Lake and developer and have some brief comments for your consideration, after listening to the discussion on May 16th, to improve the equity and liveability of our great city. FBUN2 Setbacks: Our unusually wide rights-of-way already make the pedestrian scale challenging and unfriendly, but allow for great flexibility. Instead of minimizing space for housing by introducing the proposed setbacks into the FBUN2 zone, let's minimize space for automobiles. Our rights-of-way have tremendous potential for increased landscaping and trees to combat heat island effect. Setbacks are an important element of the pedestrian scale and experience. Setting our buildings farther back exacerbates the unfriendly scale and will create a nonuniform urban wall with varying setbacks. Something the city's adopted "Urban Design Element" warns against. Creating a more robust landscape ordinance for property owners that requires more plant material and larger trees in the park strip rights-of-ways will be much more impactful for minimizing heat island effect than requiring larger setbacks on private property. The FBUN2 zone has had tremendous success in the C9 neighborhood. It has created so many varying types of housing and retail surrounding a TRAX stop. This is the kind of density we need for the future health of our city. We shouldn't be reverting back from it because there are some property owners who don't like the changes that come from gentle density. These same owners are fortunate enough to be steps from TRAX and many great retail and commercial services. Grace Period: Hundreds of properties will be affected by this ordinance. Most of these property owners aren't aware of this potential ordinance change which will materially affect future plans. There are projects being designed to the current zoning that have a substantial amount of money invested in architects, engineers and designers. A grace period of at least 6 months should accompany these ordinance changes to give owners a grace period of understanding how these new ordinances affect their properties. I would love to tour each of you through Central Ninth to show how great the current FBUN2 zone has been in achieving city and master plan goals.</p> <p>Sincerely, James Alfandre Resident of District 6</p>
5/19/2023 15:38	Judi Short	Corporations as Owners of Apartment Buildings in Utah	<p>This is a great series of videos on msn.com that Cindy Cromer found on May 5. They are short. If you watch just the first two or three, you will get the gist of the conversation. Apartment buildings owned by corporations are making the rents go up, adding lots of fees and little maintenance, and it is a big problem in Utah. The map shows 45 apartment buildings owned by one corporation. As a result, people are paying way over 30% of their income for rent and utilities. Utilities are based on the average for a building, not an individual units actual use. Lynn Schwarz and I verified this about a year ago, interviewing renters from one new apartment building, who began looking to move after a few months because the fees just kept increasing each month without explanation. So, just adding "units" doesn't always help the housing situation. Judi Short 801.864.7387 c From: cindy cromer <3cinslc@live.com> Sent: Friday, May 5, 2023 6:03 AM To: cindy cromer <3cinslc@live.com> Subject: corporations as owners https://www.msn.com/en-us/video/peopleandplaces/how-corporations-in-utah-rental-market-drive-up-cost-of-living/vi-AA1aLmla?ocid=hpsmsn&cvid=54b52f941</p>
5/22/2023 16:39	Suzanne Stensaas	increase in waste services, garbage	<p>I think the increases should be proportional to size of container If 40 gal is \$20 then 9- gal should be \$40 If increase on 20 gal is \$2.10 then 90 should be at least \$4.20 Let us encourage people to make less waste and recycle and compost by increasing the fees on larger containers. You might not increase it at all on the 40 gal and raise it only on the 60 and 90 gal to provide an incentive.</p>

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/22/2023 16:40	Emma Chacon	Comments on the Proposed Waste and Recycling Rate Increases	Once again, the current the Mayor and the City Council prove how completely tone deaf to the concerns of residents of Salt Lake City. People are struggling and what do you do? You propose the largest budget in City history which already assumes you will have increases in revenue as you gouge the people of Salt Lake City. No wonder so many people are talking about leaving. What happened to that 15 million in over collected property tax. I know, you will respond by saying those funds are earmarked in statute or city code. But we all know those can be changed. How badly are you going to suck the blood from your residents while you continue to waste our hard-earned money on unnecessary projects that cater to a few residents and property developers. While at the same time you destroy neighborhoods and create more unnecessary congestion. This madness will stop when you are all voted out of office. In the meantime, could you care, just a little for once and stop bleeding the people.
5/22/2023 16:44	Brain Chin	Water increase	Hello, I do not support the water rate increase, I would like to ask the council to rethink the water rate proposing. A lot of people are having a hard time right now.
5/22/2023 16:47	Patricia Gunderson	Water, Garbage increase	Hello, I just received the thing in the mail about the garbage rate increase and me and my husband isn't putting out the garbages every week and I don't think it's fair for us to have to pay the same amount as everybody. Thank you
5/22/2023 16:50	Edgar Stocking	Call back	Hi, I just got the proposed rate from slc on cycling, I would like a call back, please.
5/22/2023 16:52	Janet Speery	Size of garbage can	Hi, I'm trying to find out the size of the most usage garbage size that we have, if you don't mind to call me back would be great. Thanks
5/22/2023 22:33	Anonymous Constituent	waste collection rate increases	An ~10% rate increase on top of previous rate increases only signals poor management. Invest in technology and atuomation to solve these problems, or they will never get better.
5/23/2023 2:52	Anonymous Constituent	proposed rate increases	Most people will probably complain about proposed increases for infrastructure, water, garbage collection, etc. But I just wanted you to know that I understand the need for these increases. It is important to maintain the integrity of our city services. I am willing to pay for what I get. Thank you for everything you do to make our lives better.
5/23/2023 9:07	Blake Blake Quinton	Garbage Rate Increase	Hi, The garbage rate increase is absurd. Yes, costs have gone up. Yes, probably by 10%, but this method of rate increase penalizes the lightest users (generally less well off) the most. We should be charged per pickup and by the size of the can. It takes weeks for my family to fill the recycling or 40 gallon garbage can...yet I pay the same as my highly consumerist neighbors. Yet, the cost of getting garbage picked up is mostly driven by the amount of time for the driver and truck, the number of stops (worn out mechanical parts), the number of lifts (hydraulics can lift lots of weight, but a limited number of times before failure), and storage costs. This is the time for SLC to aim towards a greener future. A step is changing how our garbage and recycling is paid for, this minor step, will help the least well off the most, encourage the buying of more stuff, help people consider their use of single use plastic, and reduce the amount of air pollution in the valley (the less time the trucks operate per pickup the less pollution). Rethink our bills. Switch to per pickup. Blake Quinton
5/23/2023 9:07	Alan Sernholt	SLC City Mailer Regarding Proposed Rate Increases.	Dear SLC Sustainability Department, We have just received your mailer on increasing rates for "garbage"? Note: your mailer is confusing. Inside the mailer, your heading states.. "Your Waste & Recycling Services". But below your "Proposed Residential Garbage Fee Increase Schedule" There is "Fine Print" which states recycling is no extra cost. We are calling you out!!! What is the real purpose of this? Is it insurance, employee costs or rising interest rates? My residence does not always place a garbage, recycle or compost can out to our street based on us filling a can. For instance, it may take three weeks for me to place my recycle can onto the street. In conclusion, your mailer states the city of SLC is committed to providing "High Quality" refuse service. We see the city of SLC providing the "Standard" service (which is once a week) and nothing more. We are not clear on what your department states "Quality" service. Please explain. Regards, Alan Sernholt

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/23/2023 9:08	Bryan Peterson	Sustainability Departments proposed rate increases	Dear Council, I am struggling to make my Salt Lake City Corporation bill payment every month. I do not have any income. I take advantage of the limited assist programs that are available. A rate increase for me becomes a tremendous burden. I appreciate the job that the Sustainability Department does and hope that you will be able to provide some accommodation for those citizens that are struggling. Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Brian Peterson
5/23/2023 10:06	Susan Hagen	Sustainability Department proposed rate increases	Hi, i received your flyer on the rate increase and following are my comments: Citizens are responsible to manage their waste and the system should incentivize reductions in waste. The Council needs to consider incentives to have people move to using the smallest bin (40 gal). People who use the largest bin (90 gal) are generating more than twice as much waste! They should NOT be subsidized by people who use the smallest bin (40 gal). I have seen a lot of recyclable material in the garbage bins that should be in the recycle bins. The Council needs to find ways to educate/enforce/incentivize use of the recycle bins. My proposal is to increase the cost of the 90 gal bins by twice as much as the 40 gal bins. So something like a \$6.22 increase per month (2.02% increase). Please consider this proposal and lets get rid of excess waste. Thanks in advance, -- Susan
5/23/2023 10:07	Oman Tracy	Drug traffic	Please spend \$ on stopping drug traffic at 66 South Main St, SLC. Every afternoon and night drug users gather, trade and use drugs with some bedding the night next to the planters. Please make north Main street safe and clean from drug users. Thank you O. M. Tracy
5/23/2023 10:09	David Troester 1/2	Funding to remove sewage contamination	Council Chair Puy and City Council members, I'm requesting that the council fund \$850,000 in next year's budget to complete a CIP project approved Aug. 16, 2022, by the City Council. The project is "Three Creeks West – Roadways." Ale, at a public meeting last fall at the Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center, when I asked if you would ensure funding for this project, you replied that you were committed to seeing the project completed. I take you at your word. City staff also told me they were committed to seeing the funding approved. If the project is not fully completed, as outlined in the constituent application, it calls into question the very legitimacy of the constituent capital improvement program, as well as the good will and good faith of the City Council and city. Below are the legal, ethical, and practical reasons my neighbors and I would like the project to be fully funded. • The city has known for several years that septic tanks are failing on 1000 West and 1300 South where the streets run beside the Jordan River. • The septic tanks don't meet modern standards and are leaching into the groundwater and the Jordan River. • The Utah Department of Environmental Quality says surface water samples are contaminated with unhealthy levels of E. coli. • A 2021 city report notes that one home has a failed septic system, resulting in standing water in the yard. • Nine homes are on septic systems. They all will need to connect to city sewer, and grants are available to pay for it. One home has sewer available and is in the process of applying for grants to connect. The others need the main sewer line installed to be able to connect their homes. • The City Council approved \$1.36 million for this project Aug. 16, 2022. That was intended to include installation of sanitary and storm sewers, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and street reconstruction. • A few weeks after the council approved the funding, city staff realized they underestimated the cost by \$850,000 and asked me to submit another CIP for that amount. • The Aug. 16 Council approval did not prioritize or allocate funds for one part of this project over another. The funds were not designated for roadway reconstruction over sewer installation. • It makes sense economically, logistically, and for efficiency to install the sanitary sewers before or during street reconstruction. • The CDCIP advisory committee and Mayor Erin Mendenhall in April ranked this \$850,000 addendum project as 13th in priority of 59 total projects. The committee recommended using extra funds but extra funds were not available. • Even though we all thought "Three Creeks West – Roadways" was approved Aug. 16, 2022, it was the city's error that led to this addendum application, and failure to fund it means residents and the city's goodwill and good faith will suffer. This constituent proposal is now in its fourth year.

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Subject	Description
5/23/2023 10:09	David Troester 2/2	CONTINUED!! Funding to remove sewage contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The city should rectify this mistake. It's the right thing to do, to complete the entire project approved by the City Council and supported by constituents and endorsed by the Glendale Community Council. This project would provide basic sanitation to eliminate health threats, provide adequate sewage disposal, and improve environmental quality in Glendale. Ale and city council members, please let me know if you will allocate the \$850,000 necessary to complete this project, to remove health threats and improve sanitation and water quality while maintaining the good faith of the council's and city's commitments. Thanks, DB Troester
5/23/2023 11:21	Tonia Torrez	Rate Increases	<p>Hello, you are again proposing increase on people who use the least that are greater than people who use the most. I am tired of it! Doesn't anyone there know about percentages? If someone uses a 40 gallon can they will be charged a large increase, I am not sure exactly but around 20%. Then people who use a 90 gallon can, are only going to be increased by 10%. It should be the other way around. The people who use the most, have the most trash should be charged the most. The last time you did this you did the same thing. People who conserve are being charged more than people who waste. What is the matter with you people. Please revise this so that the people with the larger containers are charged more and the people who use the least are charged the least. Thank you very much.</p>
5/23/2023 11:23	Anonymous Constituent	Rate Increases	<p>With the rate increases how are people on disability going to make it? The City goes up and we only get so much on disability. Please don't raise them up.</p>
5/23/2023 13:00	Robert Reed	Concerns with Public Utilities Protocol for Water Shutoffs	<p>5/23/23 @ 12:10 Bob called to let Council Members know he is concerned with the protocol for water shutoff when someone is behind on a water bill. He reports that he received his water bill around 5/20, which showed his past due amount, and then his water was shut off the following week. He would like the policy reviewed and hopes there is a better way to inform people, and possibly give more notice prior to shutoff.</p>
5/23/2023 18:09	Matthew Monahan	FY 2024 Massive Police Budget Increase	<p>The last few years have seen an absolutely relentless increase in police funding, while far more important concers, like transportation, wither. The fact that this increase to the police will put then at around 5x the transportation budget is awful and illogical. We need massive transit and housing investment, not subsidizes or incentives for other profit-oriented entities, but direct city operation. Keep the police budget as is, or better yet decrease it, and invest in things that reduce the supposed need for police, like public housing, free transit, etc. hell we supposedly cant afford to keep restrooms in the parks open year round because its 'too expensive.'</p>