

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 11:30	Jon Ribbon	<p>My name is Jon Ribbons. I represent Wasatch Tenants United and our interface with the city government. I spoke at the council meeting on 4/6 and specifically addressed you. We are planning a series of demonstrations, and it is important we understand where the city councilors stand on this issue. We believe your intentions are good, and we are aware of you being one of the few councilors to stand with the protests over the summer and recognize your connection to the community in this regard. However we also believe this mass rezone is a serious threat to the dignity of working people, and we understand you are a likely 'yes' vote. For the time being we are assuming that you, and Amy Fowler are not pushing this rezone due the money saving, and property value increases this will reap for real estate developers, or because of a lack of care for the quality of life for working class residents. But this mass rezone stands to seriously degrade living standards in the valley with no real guarantees of any positive effects, with specific and particular danger posed to LGBTQ youth, and other marginalized groups. We intend to act on this issue, and if it passes we intend to politically retaliate against yes votes in a number of ways, and have messaging and literature drafted for your district. We also view people who oppose this mass rezone on grounds of bringing 'increased crime' to their neighborhood extremely adversarially, and as a serious barrier to real solutions to homelessness coming to fruition. At this time we are being forced to make common cause with these groups to oppose this mass rezone, and we are being given little room to introduce nuance into our equation on this proposal because of this. The council people representing these political tendencies have also been the only ones willing to sit down with us. I would like to meet with you instead to discuss some possible solutions, compromises, and chances to collaborate. I can do it in person, or zoom, or even phone if it's convenient.</p>	
4/13/2021 11:06	Phillip Amerian Heritage Windows	<p>The homeless camping on the parkway in my neighborhood. PLEASE... Give them some space to go. Not all homeless want you shelters. I know, how about the old golf course, Wingpoint G.C. out by the airport. Perfect second hand uses of my tax dollars. Bathrooms and a club house, super alternate use. The place has no neighbors too. The sooner that problem leaves here the better. We have a good idea for you, do more than now. Our property values are not being helped by the failure to control the homeless problem better than this. You need to hold up property values and not let them ruin the hard work and money already spent and given. I pay a lot for what I get around here. Yes, we all need for better than this at the present.</p> <p>Sent from Mail <https://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=550986> for Windows 10</p>	Homelessness
4/13/2021 11:18	Ethan Shaw	<p>From: Ethan Shaw Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 8:17 PM [REDACTED] Subject: (EXTERNAL) Vote AGAINST SRO housing proposal Hello, Please Vote AGAINST SRO housing proposal. Thank you. -Ethan</p>	

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:46	Larry Framme	Please consider the potential of adding a park in the redevelopment of the Fleet Block. Any rezone that jeopardizes this option of being on the table in the future, I am not in support of. I live on [REDACTED] Thanks for taking my comment into consideration. Sincerely, Larry Framme	Fleet Block
4/7/2021 13:41	Kathy Bray	Dear Members of the Salt Lake City Council, Please accept this email correspondence as written comments from Volunteers of America, Utah related to City federal funding for ESG and CDBG. I am writing in reference to three applications: 1. Emergency Solution Grant (ESG), Part 1: Shelter Operations, Volunteers of America, Youth Resource Center Requested: \$55,000 CDCIP and Mayor Recommend: \$44,000 Comment: We are pleased with the recommendation and would greatly appreciate Council's support. 2. Emergency Solution Grant (ESG), Part 1 : Shelter Operations, Volunteers of America, Geraldine E. King Women's Resource Center Requested: \$60,000 CDIP and Mayor Recommend: \$30,000 Comment: We appreciate the recommendation and would greatly appreciate Council's support. 3. Community Development Block Grant, Public Services, Volunteers of America, Geraldine King Women's Resource Center Requested: \$108,967 CDIP and Mayor Recommend: \$0 Comment: Last year we received \$100,000 so this is a significant loss. Our application scored higher than other applications in the category and we are in compliance with our current City contract, but no funding was recommended. I would respectfully request your consideration of the recommendations and provide at least partial funding to help operate the Geraldine Women's Resource Center. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. We greatly appreciate the partnership with Salt Lake City and the support of the Salt Lake City Council. Sincerely, Kathy	FY21 Budget

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:45	Jason Wheeler	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council and Mayor Mendenhall, With the generous support of Salt Lake City, ASSIST has been strengthening neighborhoods and helping residents of our community remain in their homes since 1969 with our Emergency Home Repair, Accessibility Design Assistance, and Community Design programs. Through the strategic use of CDBG funds, our organization provides small construction grants and project coordination to perform critical repairs for households with significant financial need. Repairs such as replacing leaking roofs, broken sewer lines, and non-functioning furnaces, while not glamorous can often be the key difference between an individual or family being able to stay in their home or needing to move and find some other form of accommodation. Accessibility modifications, ranging from installation of a simple grab bar to ramps and full bathroom remodels, provide dignity and independence not only to those with physical disabilities but also to aging individuals who have been dedicated contributors to their neighborhoods for decades. One-by-one, these small interventions improve lives, relieve stress, and provide stability for those that are housing insecure. Taken in aggregate, over the last 5 decades these interventions represent nearly ten million dollars of investment in supporting the physical and social fabric of our city. Over the past several years, our organization has seen steadily increasing need for these critical services. Since 2017, we have been committing all of our CDBG funding by the end of the third quarter, leaving a gap in services for the last few months of each year. Housing costs are quickly outpacing earning capacity, leading to an increase in multi-generational households and decreased discretionary income—while these pressures are felt by all, they are particularly acute for those in lower income brackets. *Continued 1/2*</p>	FY21 Budget
	Jason Wheeler	<p>*Continued 2/2* The past year has further exacerbated these needs, as costs of construction and building materials have doubled and even tripled. ASSIST has been able to meet many of these needs in stride, including structural repairs for households impacted by last March’s earthquake and replacing electrical services for individuals whose power masts and breakers were damaged during September’s wind storm. Moreover, we have leveraged our design capacity to provide architectural services for other non-profit agencies including a new bathroom, ramp, and laundry room for the Inn Between, and a significant remodel currently underway at the city-owned building currently operated by the Salt Lake Acting Company—this remodel includes the addition of an elevator that will allow persons of all abilities to benefit from SLAC’s community-oriented productions. The mayor has recommended ASSIST receive \$700,000 in CDBG funding for the 2021 fiscal year. While this represents a significant increase over past-years’ funding levels, as an organization we look forward to using this funding to offset increased construction costs, to help address current unmet needs in our community, and to continue to bolster the stability of Salt Lake City’s naturally occurring affordable housing. We are grateful for this recommendation, and are excited to continue to serve the citizens of our community in years to come. Sincerely, Jason Wheeler</p>	

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 8:48	Patrice Dickson	SLC EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT, FY 21-22 APPLICANT PROJECT/PROGRAM SCORE REQUEST CDCIP BOARD FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS MAYOR FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS Utah Community Action Rapid Re-Housing Program 88.83 \$ 121,637 \$ 84,304 \$ 82,022 Utah Community Action Diversion Program 87.12 \$ 59,784 \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000	FY21 Budget
4/7/2021 13:24	John Doe	I just wish to chime in although I live in Cottonwood Hts, not SLC. But I do visit the city. The city needs funding to clean up homeless encampments, but only when and if there is funding for help in getting housing and drug treatment services and mental health and other health services so that the problem is more thoroughly addressed. Thx.	Homelessness
4/7/2021 13:26	Rochelle Kaplan	Dear Council members, I live in Cottonwood Hts but go into SLC for various meetings, dr. appointments, cultural events, restaurants, parks. The homelessness problem is pervasive and multifaceted. I do think clean up of encampments is needed, with sufficient dollars, but concurrently with sufficient dollars for affordable housing, and housing subsidies, for increased mental health and other health needs of the population, and for drug or substance abuse treatment. Thank you. Sincerely, Rochelle Kaplan	Homelessness
4/7/2021 10:02	Peter Wright	Chris, Please find attached a letter from The Preserve Our Avenues Zoning Coalition in relation to Ivory Homes latest revision to this application. Best Regards, Peter Wright	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 10:04	Maxine Johnson	<p>Dear Mr. Echeverria, I have written to you previously (jointly with my husband on June 20) to express my objections to the proposed zoning change for the 3.2-acre lot located at 675 North F Street. I have read the latest documents submitted by Ivory Homes. I have no objection to Ivory Homes building 11 homes plus ADUs on the site under the current zoning of FR-3/12,000. Some of the supporting documents Ivory has included contain incorrect information. Per the purpose statement in 21A.33.050 FB-UN1 zoning is among other items to create a neighborhood that provides: 1. Options in terms of shopping, dining, and fulfilling daily needs within walking distance or conveniently located transportation options 2. Access to employment opportunities within walking distance or close to transit 3. Appropriately scaled building that respect the existing character of the neighborhood The Avenues streets, especially above 11th Avenue, are very steep. Although the distance to a grocery store, a hospital and medical facility and a bus stop are close to the property, walkability is limited as is public transportation. In fact, the first bus leaves from the Salt Lake Central Station at 7 a.m. and arrives at the University Medical Center at 7:33 a.m. and reverses its course to arrive back at the SL Central station at 8:09 a.m. The final bus leaves downtown at 7:00 p.m., completing its circuit at 8:11 p.m. In other words, the bus goes only once per hour in each direction and additionally does not operate on weekends. Contradicting the statement by UCAIR, there is little chance that residents would opt for public transportation over using a car to get downtown to shop, dine or enjoy other opportunities that Salt Lake City usually offers. Employment opportunities in the Avenues are limited. While there are jobs at the airport, in town, and in other places in the valley, it is unlikely that with such infrequent bus service someone would rely on it as their only form of transportation to work. The Avenues has a wide variety of homes. I live in a Northpoint estates condominium. We have 49 units plus a clubhouse and pool located on slightly over 13 acres of land. Although the project was built before the current masterplan and zoning were in effect, it nearly meets the FR-3/12,000 requirement. Appendix G of Ivory's submission states that surrounding land uses are denser than what they are requesting. This is not true. In addition, the Meridien complex and annex which is zoned as RMF-35 actually has just 30 units, not the 57 units shown in Appendix G. *Continued</p> <p>1/2*</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
	Maxine Johnson	<p>*Continued 2/2* It was granted an exception based on the historic value of the building it occupies. Another concern I have is with the Traffic Impact Study, which was performed on Tuesday, August 18, 2020, during the pandemic and in the summer. No children were catching the school bus at Capital Park Avenue and F Street during the 7:00 to 9:00 morning time; less people were commuting to work; many people were staying home; the University of Utah was not in session. The study should have included this mitigating information. Through their actions, it feels like Ivory is more interested in its bottom line than in respecting the existing character of the neighborhood. The masterplan was devised in the 90's for the purpose of preserving the character of the Avenues, as developers were beginning to construct buildings that were detrimental to that preservation. While Ivory Homes has offered two building plans, there is no guarantee that either of these plans would actually be built. Changing the zoning allows for potentially even more density than is being proposed. I hope you agree that there is no compelling reason to change the zoning. Ivory Homes should develop the land under the current zoning, FR3/12,000. Ivory's zoning request should be denied. Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Sincerely, Maxine Johnson</p>	
4/7/2021 10:05	Leo Sotiriou	<p>Dear Mr. Echeverria, The zone change that Ivory Homes is trying to pass would be very detrimental!!!! Ivory Homes is trying to pack way too many homes in an area that cannot handle the traffic and pollution. 11th Avenue, F Street, and Capitol Park roads are too narrow and dangerous to cope with the traffic from the number of homes Ivory is proposing. Families who have built homes in this area have followed zoning rules that allow only so many homes to be built. Ivory Homes should be held to the same zoning rules!! Sincerely, Leo and Cynthia Sotiriou</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 10:05	Matthew Tyler	<p>Dear Planning Commission members, I am writing to express my opposition to the rezoning of the lot located on the corner of Capitol Park Avenue and F Street as proposed by Ivory homes. I do not oppose development under the current zoning, which as I understand would allow 11 single family homes with 11 additional dwelling units (ADU) for a total of 22 housing units. However, permitting changes to the established foothill zoning restrictions would have a negative impact on the community. F Street and Capitol Park Avenue are ill suited to handle increased traffic. Capitol Park Avenue is a private street which was developed as part of a PUD and as such does not meet city requirements for width and slope. I live on perhaps the steepest section of Capitol Park Avenue and have witnessed many cars unfamiliar with the road slide out of control on slippery wintry streets as they descend. Likewise, on many occasions I have slid on snowy F street without being able to stop before sliding into 11th avenue. Fortunately the traffic levels are such that I have never been in an accident, but the roads in this area are not suited for higher traffic levels. The location is not well served by public transit. Although there is a UTA bus stop nearby, the level of service is infrequent and impractical for most. Unlike other higher density developments in the city, the proposed development is not near any shopping areas with the nearest store, Smiths, being 7 steep blocks away. A foot trip from Smiths to Capitol Park Avenue bears more resemblance to a hike than a walk. In 17 years living on Capitol Park Avenue, no member of my household has walked to Smiths for groceries even though we are avid walkers. Adding additional housing units in the upper avenues will increase vehicle traffic in the city with all the concomitant negative environmental impacts. I enjoy the recreational aspects of my neighborhood and frequently bicycle on 11th avenue. Although there is a dedicated bike lane there, I have had many near misses as unaware vehicle operators cut off the bike lane as they turn without yielding to bicycles. Increased development will add to traffic on 11th avenue and change the recreational aspect. When Ivory homes bought the lot, they were aware of the existing zoning. I feel they are trying to convince the planning commission that their plan would be a model to address housing shortages within Salt Lake City, whereas in reality it is a plan to increase their profits on the development. The homes they are proposing would cost around \$1 million and do very little to address affordable housing in the city. Please vote to keep the zoning unchanged for this lot. Sincerely, Matthew Tyler</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/8/2021 13:20	Lisa Buckworth	No yards and very little green space. The proposal doesn't fit the character of the established neighborhood.	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 13:22	Gina Koziatek	Dear Daniel, I am writing to you in strong opposition to changing the zoning on the Ivory Homes property at F Street and 13th Avenue. I am opposed for the following reasons: 1. Form Based Zoning is not appropriate for this location because of the extreme density it would allow. 2. The location is effectively a dead-end street and would require all vehicles to funnel in and out on the same street. The zoning change would allow for significantly more vehicles and the increase in traffic is inconsistent with the master plan zoning for this neighborhood. 3. Changing the zoning is a terrible precedent, especially with the goal of increasing density, and may open the floodgates for all property owners in the Avenues. Please do not approve this unreasonable zoning change. Respectfully, Gina Koziatek	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/8/2021 13:23	Brian Ruggles	Dear Mr. Echeverria, I am a neighbor of the proposed application to rezone the property at 675 North F Street. I am strongly against Ivory's attempt to rezone this property. I am not against Ivory developing the property under the current avenues zoning laws. That would be just fine. Ivory's attempt to rezone this piece of property to FB-UN1 would be totally out of character with its neighbors and is frankly dangerous with their proposed density for the current infrastructure. With their proposal there would be too many people and too many vehicles in a confined space, with substandard, limiting, private roads. It seems insulting to me that they wrap their proposal up in a nice ribbon trying to justify the changes by advertising their proposal as affordable housing in a nice walking neighborhood. It is a nice neighborhood, but none of their claims about easy walking to restaurants, grocery stores, churches is true. We live in the upper avenues where the terrain is very hilly and the nearest social facilities are many blocks away and NOBODY walks to work, church or the grocery store. There is a nearby bus stop, two and a half blocks away, with bus service ever hour as long as it doesn't snow. (The snow emergency route is many blocks away.) There are no late evening buses and no service on the weekend. Oh, and by the way, if you want to buy one of their "affordable" houses be prepared to pay 800,000 to one million dollars! Please reject this attempt to rezone this property, Brian Ruggles	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 13:24	John Hoffmann	<p>Dear Mr Echeverria I am writing in strong opposition to the rezoning and proposed development of 675 N F Street by Ivory homes. Our family first moved to the Avenues in 1983. We have cherished the diversity , amenities, recreation and historical significance of the Greater Avenues. The unique blend of small historical Victorian cottages, historic apartments, grand mansions and large contemporary homes makes this a one-of-a-kind neighborhood. There is NO place for the cookie-cutter monotonous development that is proposed by Ivory Homes. Even their scaled down version does not belong in this area. The proposed development is far too dense and is unlike anything else in the Avenues. It will bring far too many additional vehicles into the neighborhood. This will pose a significant public safety risk to the walkers, cyclists, hikers and school children who enjoy this unique area every day. There is scant public and commercial development already in the Avenues with only one grocery store serving the entire greater Avenues. This development will tax these resources even more. This will also put a strain on the already inadequate public, fire and EMS resources that serve this area. Aesthetically, this proposed development is unlike anything in the Avenues. The uniform and monotonous design of the houses and lack of green space will be an eyesore and a detriment to all the houses in the immediate area and throughout the greater Avenues. This parcel also is environmentally unique as it is near the eastern slope of City Creek canyon. This development will endanger the deer and other wildlife that frequent this area. It will also likely force the wildlife further down into the Avenues where they will be at risk for collision with vehicles, cyclists and others. The proposed zoning changes and development is so wrong in so many ways for Salt Lake City. To tarnish this historically significant and aesthetically unique area would be a great loss for our city. We strongly encourage you and other members of the zoning committee as well as The Salt Lake City government to oppose this development and not allow any zoning change for this parcel Sincerely, John Hoffmann</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/8/2021 13:25	Jane Durcan	<p>Dear Mr Echeverria I am writing to ask that you do not allow the zoning of 675 North F Street. There are so many downsides to this request from Ivory Homes. The development would be much too dense, allowing up to 35 dwelling units into an area I believe is zoned for 11. This would add up to 70 cars to the area which is already a busy intersection. The additional cars would create a safety issue for walkers and bikers and especially for school children going too and from school. The proposal does not allow for much green space and very little yard space at all. This is very out of character for the neighborhood. We have lived in the avenues except for one period of time that we had to leave SLC since 1983. We love the area and the feel of the neighborhood. Please do not allow this change to occur in this lovely historic neighborhood. Maybe if Ivory Homes is unable to make the profit they are clearly hoping to make by increasing the density of homes on this lot they might consider selling the land to the city for a park. Sincerely F. Jane Durcan</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/8/2021 13:25	Lynn Keenan	<p>Dear Mayor Mendenhall, Daniel, and Chris, This represents the pure hubris by Ivory. Lynn M Keenan MD</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 13:26	Daniel Gaffin	<p>Hi Chris, Just wanted to write a quick note to let you know I am deeply displeased at the group Preserve our avenues zoning. While I am not a huge fan of Ivory homes I feel they are bringing to the neighborhood housing that is desperately needed. I know it's not "affordable" but with the smaller size and ADU units on some of the homes it will allow people that would not be able to afford the neighborhood to actually be able to live here by creating an additional income stream. It is also ideal for people who may want to have a parent live in the ADU saving them from putting their parent or loved one in a pricy assisted living space. Still allowing that person who may not be able live on their own have some independence. This also cuts down on over all traffic and pollution because there are less trips visiting and caring for the person. Also, these ADU units typically rent for much less than a comparable rental in a larger apartment complex. On a bigger picture look the city is at a crisis point that many other cities like Denver, Portland and others have been experiencing for the past 5+ years. They are building the wrong type of housing which is causing the traffic, and pollution problems. Just think if these 22 or so homes are not built. That means 40+ people will be moving to the suburbs driving further to get to work causing more traffic problems and pollution because their daily miles driven will increase substantially. Also, we won't have that additional inventory which will increase the pressure on the already high prices in our neighborhood. Making it harder for people to move into the neighborhood. My last point I would like to make is the preserve the zoning aspect. What are they preserving? The current zoning laws were put in place in the 1970's onward to basically allow only large single family homes to be built. *Continued 1/2*</p>	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
	Daniel Gaffin	<p>*Continued 2/2* This in its self is not good policy for a city. What makes people love the avenues so much? It is because of the diversity of the people which happens because of the divinity of the housing. From the people living in small studio apartments to the large single family homes. We have it all with in a small area and its vibrant and a great place to live. But if we were to wipe the slate clean and do away with all of the development that happened prior to the current zoning laws. We would have less then half of the amount of residences living here and would be like every other suburban neighborhood that surrounds the city, lifeless and car dependent. In fact the people that are opposing it the most living in the Meridian would not be here! Salt Lake city has the opportunity to avoid many of this problems if we have the courage to stand up to the NIMBY fear based mentality and have meaningful conversations about housing. I know it will be hard because right now this group is so miss-informed that they are making choices out of fear and not on facts. We know what happens when a group of people that make decisions based on bad information and fear do. They make bad choices that can negatively impact a lot of people. If you would like to have a more detailed conversation on this issue which is going to come up more and more I would be happy to. Thanks for your time. Daniel Gaffin</p>	

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 13:27	Hilaree Collins	Good Morning- I would kindly ask you to consider my request to oppose the Ivory Homes proposal to re-zone the property located at 675 North F Street. As a resident I have several concerns about this proposal. The second proposal posed by Ivory Homes does not address parking issues from so many dwellings on such a small property. Traffic would significantly increase in a community that does not have the infrastructure to support the burden of such heavy traffic. When Ivory Homes purchased this land, the zoning was already established. The property in question is zoned FN-UN1 and that zoning was a thoughtful zoning consideration with the Avenues Community in mind. To change the zoning would be detrimental. Last, but not least, Ivory Homes has not demonstrated that they would be a good partner in our community. They have not listened to our concerns or addressed them in this second proposal. I implore you to keep the zoning as is and reject the Ivory Homes proposal. Thank you for your consideration. Hilaree Collins	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/12/2021 13:08	Ed Bedell	Dear Mayor Erin Mendenhall, Council Member Wharton and Senior Planner Daniel Echeverria. Attached is Tom Keen's letter "COMMENTS IN REGARD TO REVISED APPLICATION OF IVORY DEVELOPMENT TO REZONE 675 NORTH F STREET AND AMEND THE MASTER PLAN", dated March 1, 2021. My wife and I reside at [REDACTED] Salt Lake City, UT 84103 and we are next door neighbors to the [REDACTED]. I could not have worded our objection to the Ivory Homes/Ivory Development rezoning application better than Tom Keen's letter. We have lived in our residence on 12th Ave for 20 years and greatly fear what this proposed development would do to our neighborhood. I hope you do the correct thing and not approve this improper rezoning. Ed & Leah Bedell *See corresponding attachment*	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/12/2021 13:10	Alan Hayes	Dear Mr. Echeverria, cc: Ms. Mendenhall and Mr. Wharton Please add the attached letter to the public record in opposition to Ivory's proposed rezone of 675 N. F Street. Sincerely, Alan B. Hayes *See corresponding attachments*	Ivory Homes Rezone Request
4/8/2021 10:41	David Woodward	Re-Open Parley's Gun Range! Please open Parleys Gun Range. It will save SLC money and provide great training to help us be safer. Thanks, David Woodward	Parley's Shooting Range
4/8/2021 10:43	Robert Miska	Re-Open Parley's Gun Range! Dear Mayor Mendenhall and members of the Mayor's Office and City Council; As a local physician and long time shooter who has previously made extensive use of the PMAA Range (aka Hendriksen Range) for both practice and professional firearms training, between the time of my moving here in 1991 and the closing of the range in 2019, I'd urge you to accept the proposal of the ParleysGunrange.com group to renew the lease and re-open the range under private ownership. Not only will these actions result in the desired and necessary lead cleanup on the property at no cost to taxpayers or to the city or county, but lead control on the property will thereafter be maintained, and of course a resource for law enforcement personnel training and practice, (previously there for many years), as well as for private citizens, will again become available. Sincerely , Robert M. Miska MD Rocky Mt. Neurology & EMC [REDACTED] 84103 Utah Dept. of Public Safety, Drivers License Division, Medical Advisory Board Expert Panel Member	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/8/2021 10:46	Jay Svoboda	I have read the proposal to reopen the Parley's Gun range and strongly support the effort. We badly need places to shoot that are closer to SLC and monitored by responsible range officers. If opened I would support it by paying to shoot there and contributing to clean up efforts. Henry Svoboda Responsible, law abiding, tax paying gun owner	Parley's Shooting Range
4/8/2021 10:48	Karen Gee	Please reconsider the closing of the gun range. It's a useful tool for gun enthusiasts to practice markmanship.	Parley's Shooting Range
4/8/2021 11:03	Scott Christensen	Mayor Mendenhall, We respectfully request that you re-open the Parleys Gun Range. We are trying to be respectful with our gun sports and wish to have safe places to practice and enjoy shooting at. When actual gun ranges are closed people will travel into the wilderness and shoot where they do not have as many safety procedures. We like going to good well maintained gun ranges for our sport. We think it is safer and more respectful to non-gun enthusiasts. Also Mayor, why are you going to impose 50 years of lead cleanup liability (2 million -10 million) on SLC taxpayers for a liability created by a private corporation. It isn't the citizens that are responsible for this lead contamination. It is a private corporation's. After all if I were to contaminate my own property I would have to pay for it to be cleaned up. I couldn't get the citizens in my neighborhood to pay for it. That just seems ridiculous that others will be saddled with the bill for something they didn't do. -- Precinct Chair Scott Christensen < https://drive.google.com/uc?id=0BwGXeDdeke36ek1NbW9rTkk3ZWM&export=download >	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 11:32	Adamant King	Dear Salt Lake City Officials, I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is a far more responsible option than permanent closure which will incur huge costs to the City and tax payers for environmental compliance. Thank you. -- Adamant King, Sandy 84092	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 11:36	Kepler Kramer	The proposal to re-open Parley's Gun Range looks like a fantastic idea! http://parleysgunrange.com/ Reclaiming the lead at no taxpayer expense and an experienced receptive range committee would make this a great attraction for SLC residents like myself. Please give due consideration to this idea. Thank, Kepler Kramer	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 11:37	John Spangler	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. John Spangler Salt Lake City	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 11:39	Kerry Lund	Hi, I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is a more responsible option than permanent closure, which would incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Kerry Lund Clearfield, Utah PUBLIC NOTICE PRIVATE: This is Not A Public Communication! This email is considered a transactional or relationship message, which is specifically excluded from the federal law regulating email communication. Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent / Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal This private email message, and any attachment(s) are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is for the sole use of the intended recipient and contains privileged and/or confidential information. To all public servants, including but not limited to Federal, State, or Local corporate government(s): I accept your oath of office as your firm and binding contract between you and me, one of the People, whereby you have promised to serve, protect, and defend me, guarantee all of my inalienable rights, and defend the Constitution for the united States of America. Any/all political, private, or public entities, International, Federal, State, or Local corporate government(s), private International Organization(s), Municipality(ies), Corporate agent(s), informant(s), investigator(s) et. al., and/or third party(ies) working in collusion by monitoring My (this email) email(s), and any other means of communication without My express written permission are barred from any review, use, disclosure, or distribution. With explicit reservation of all My rights, without prejudice and without recourse to any of My rights. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or all intellectual property rights or reserved rights.	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 11:40	Adam Toone	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. AdamToone	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 11:41	Ken Matthews	Dear Salt Lake City Officials I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Ken Matthews Sandy, UT	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 11:52	John Manning	< https://utahshootingsportscouncil.us14.list-manage.com/track/click?u=fce6a3479bd65a8d59aadeff2&id=34423d1314&e=a81e374229 > Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. John Manning	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 12:14	Virgil Kelly	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Virgil Kelly	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 12:58	Kevin Bell	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Kevin Bell	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:13	Scott Rosenbush	Dear Salt Lake City Officials, I ask that you support a new lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range. This will allow a reopening of the range and provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for both public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of a valuable asset. This is a responsible option which will save the City from incurring undetermined (but substantial) costs for environmental compliance if the range is permanently closed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Scott Rosenbush	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:14	Paul Evans	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you, Paul Evans	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:19	David LANE	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. David R. Lane Syracuse, Utah	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 13:20	Sheldon Brown	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. [your name]	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:23	Michelle Camp	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I'm writing to ask you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Michelle Camp Your Positive Collection Partner The information contained in this email, including attachments, may be confidential. This email is intended to be reviewed only by the individual it is addressed to. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by return message and destroy all copies of email and any attachments. Confidential health information is protected by state and federal law. If this is an attempt to collect a debt, any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Thank you. Subscribe to our newsletter! < http://www.expressrecovery.com/signup >	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:26	Mickey Begent	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you, Mickey Begent	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:27	Carey Palmer	Dear Salt Lake City Officials, I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Carey Palmer Salt Lake County resident.	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:29	Kent Braithwaite	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Respectfully, Kent Braithwaite	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 13:30	David Grimshaw	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. Thank you, David Grimshaw	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:35	Geofery Kimball	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com < http://parleysgunrange.com/ > ." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Geofery Kimball Sent from my iPhone	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:41	Michael Menser	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com < http://ParleysGunRange.Com > ." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. M.W. "Mike" Menser President Utah Chapter Association of the United States Army (AUSA)	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:46	Randy Lee	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Randy Lee FASNC	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:47	Larry Landen	Dear Salt Lake City Officials I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Larry A. Landen	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:48	Joe Hooton	Dear Salt Lake City Officials: I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. -Joe Hooton	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 13:50	Brent Nielson	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. This range has always been my favorite and I would love to see it opened again. Thank you, Brent Nielson Sandy, UT	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 13:51	Eric St Pierre	Dear Salt Lake City Officials - I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This is the ONLY surviving outdoor shooting facility on the east side of the entire Salt Lake metro area. If not returned to use as a range, it will require a very expensive environmental clean up at taxpayer expense. This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common-sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is a much more responsible option than permanent closure that will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Eric St Pierre	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:05	David Woodward	Please open Parleys Gun Range. It will save SLC money and provide great training to help us be safer. Thanks, David Woodward	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:07	Corbin Cook	I am writing to urge you to re-lease the old PMAA shooting range to the nonprofit behind http://parleysgunrange.com/ . This range is a valuable asset to firearms owners, shooters, and instructors in the greater SLC area and provides a safe, friendly venue for practice, and training for all gun owners in the area. With a record number of new firearms owners nationwide, we need more, not less, safe and knowledgeable ranges for education and safety training for those who are new to firearms ownership. Sincerely, Corbin Cook	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:09	Ryan West	As an avid shooter, hunter, and customer of the PMAA in the past I would request that your office consider re-opening the Parley's gun range. Presently there are few ranges that allow outdoor shooting near SLC. This was a great range and can easily become one again. Thank you, Ryan West	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:10	Paul Hubenthal	Honorable Mayor and Council, It is time to move forward on this issue. It is the responsible thing to do. Our community needs a safe and supervised place to learn safe practices. If this range remains closed, they will use the surrounding hills, county and BLM landscape. We can avoid this and save the city MILLIONS. Dodging the matter will not make it go away. It is time to do the sensible thing. We the people want this. I'd appreciate your thoughts on the matter and look forward to seeing this action approved. Paul Hubenthal	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 14:14	Rachael Yensen	<p>- Parley's Gun Range Proposal - ParleysGunrange.Com made a proposal to Salt Lake City Mayor Erin Mendenhall to re-lease the range. The proposal includes provisions for cleaning up the lead bullets discharged onto the property, which would be accomplished at no cost to the city. It also includes provisions for purchasing fire suppression equipment and purchasing \$2 million in range insurance coverage, which the range never had in the past. A cleanup has not occurred in the fifty years the range has been in operation. If Salt Lake City does not re-open the Parley range, then the city is violating the federal CERCLA statute and EPA's regulations concerning final remediation of the property. - Final remediation Requirements - EPA's regulations for final remediation of a shooting range require the property to be returned to natural state. This will include working with state authorities to meet the applicable regulations, which will be expensive. ParleysGunrange.Com estimates the cost to Salt Lake City taxpayers to restore this property will be three to ten million dollars. ParleysGunrange.Com's proposal to Salt Lake City would restore the property to 95% lead free condition and provide fire risk management including providing fire-fighting equipment for the range. An important aspect of our proposal to the city is that a reopened shooting range will provide a facility for law enforcement training that is desperately needed. In addition, ParleysGunrange.Com would create an environmental fund paid for by the users of the range that would accumulate over the term of the lease to pay for the final EPA-required cleanup, which currently is the responsibility of the city. This is a win-win situation for all. The alternative would open up SLC to Federal and EPA oversight and cost your constituents millions. Thank you!</p>	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:16	Adam T	<p>ParleysGunrange.Com made a proposal to Salt Lake City Mayor Erin Mendenhall to re-lease the range. The proposal includes provisions for cleaning up the lead bullets discharged onto the property, which would be accomplished at NO COST to the city. It also includes provisions for purchasing fire suppression equipment and purchasing \$2 million in range insurance coverage, which the range never had in the past. A cleanup has not occurred in the fifty years the range has been in operation. In addition, ParleysGunrange.Com would create an environmental fund paid for by the users of the range that would accumulate over the term of the lease to pay for the final EPA-required cleanup, which currently is the responsibility of the city. Why is Mayor Erin Mendenhall going to impose 50 years of lead cleanup liability (2 million -10 million) on SLC taxpayers for a liability created by a private corporation? As one of your constituents I am NOT happy about this. I am sure none of your other constituents will be when they find out. If Salt Lake City does not re-open the Parley range, then the city is violating the federal CERCLA statute and EPA's regulations concerning final remediation of the property. So a cleanup at NO COST to the city, OR a 2 to 10 million dollar LIABILITY put on SLC taxpayers from a private corporation. As one of your constituents in SLC this is something that should not even be up for debate. Watching. Appreciate your service.</p>	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 14:18	K WINSOR	Please support law enforcement training, there's no reason not to accept this proposal	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 14:21	Jerome Borden	Madam Mayor and Council Members, Parley Canyon Gun Range operated for approximately fifty years and was used by both the public and Salt Lake police agencies. Thus, it has proven to be a viable public resource that should be available to the public as well as local agencies that have a proven need. Parleysgunrange.com has the experience and capability to re-open the range, provide public benefit, and eliminate exposure to the Salt Lake taxpayers to massive environmental liabilities that would be incurred should the City and or County attempt to abandon the range or sell the property. Love Canal is a horrible example of a land sale gone wrong. The EPA is a Federal agency with decades of experience in mitigating environmental damage and assessing appropriate penalties which would fall on the local tax payers if such an assessment was made. On the other hand, the proposed lessors of the property would assume responsibility for mitigation (mostly lead contaminates that accumulated during the previous fifty year operation) and improvements to the facility. If the range reopens, the Public, City, and local using agencies would be the clear winner. As an added attraction, it should be noted that shooting events are part of the Olympic Games, should they return to Utah. Yours Truly, Jerome C. Borden	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 14:23	Craig Gleason	<p>To: All addressees From: Craig Gleason (Lt. Retired, SLCPD) Via: Email SUBJ: TOP NOTCH FIREARMS TRAINING PREVENTS UNNEEDED USE OF FORCE – AND REQUIRES RANGE FACILITIES Thank you in advance, for your time, and open mind as you read my comments. I would concede I am mistaken about many things as an ongoing process of living life – but – in these particular matters I have some very applicable training and experience, and ask you let me bend your ear. I spent 28.5 years as a SLC Police Officer. I had ZERO (0.0), complaints from any member of the public in regards to my interaction on any call for service. I can attest that the early to middle 90s were a waterfall of crime, and gang crime and drive bys, and I spent years out at the tip of the law enforcement spear. I was AT Alta View Hospital in the hallway when the gas came drifting down the stairs. I was on a rifle at the Taco Bell hostage crisis, looking at Gino Montoya through a scope. I made entry at SLC Library hostage crisis, as the gunfire lit off. I was on the entry team that formed up at the KSL shooting. I was there at the Church genealogy shooting, and at Trolley Sq. All these events stretch across decades of time, to my last call as a SLC Police Officer (Lieutenant, Watch Commander) where I made what I believe was about a 32 yd shot on a constantly moving, man with a rifle who had just postured in a manner that filled me with the belief he was about to attempt to shoot me in the head. (That man had just shot two other people, and had sent a volley of shots with deadly intent at SLC Police Officers. He was in a neighborhood chock full of innocents. It’s a good wager, that the skills and abilities that I brought to the table that day, kept me and my troops and the people in the area from serious injury or death.) Stretched across this constellation of events, are thousands and thousands and thousands of calls for service. Men with guns, homicides, stabbings, gang fights, car crashes, domestic violence, runaways, suspicious person . . . and so on and so on. I chased people in cars and on foot. I hit people with batons, and sprayed them with chemical agents. I fought and wrestled and took into custody who knows how many people –*Continued 1/2*</p>	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
	Craig Gleason	<p>*Continued 2/2* AND did it in a manner that not a ONE of them, or their family or friends or any other citizen who observed what happened felt moved to call and lodge a complaint. When one is a commercial pilot, one spends time in the simulator. That time isn't spent cruising on auto pilot from JFK, to LAX. Bad things happen. Gear fails, flaps malfunction, systems crash and via repetition and error in scenarios, those pilots build themselves a deep, wide base of training and experience that makes them competent and capable across the spectrum of skills needed in their job. This IS EXACTLY, what happens when LE Officers spend time in "worst case," scenarios in top notch training as it applies to firearms. These skills are not separate from each other. One doesn't "guess" correctly and reach into your bag of "tools," and pick arrest control, or deadly force, or verbal skills and get a correct answer. The real world is fluid and dynamic and requires officers to move across the force spectrum smoothly and professionally. To do that, they MUST be exposed to ongoing training of that nature. That training MUST include firearms/use of force or you are sending people out to be "pilots," and specifically excluding them from training that applies to when the plane is trying to crash. As I described earlier, I think it fair to say I have been sprinting toward trouble for the duration of the SLC career. I sincerely and emphatically believe that it was the superior "force," training that I had via SLC PD, and sought out on my own that allowed me to be in all these high risk situations and get consistently positive outcomes with professional choices about what "force," to use. Part of (and it's a critical piece) of top notch use of force training is the technical skill of firearms, and that requires range facilities. If you deny those cops the assets they need to experience quality, real world scenario training on use of force it WILL show up in long term trends on force and complaints. Competent, professional, well trained cops who are confident in their skills make BETTER choices. Let them have the things they need, and one of those things is a range facility to train on. Respectfully Submitted, C. Gleason</p>	
4/9/2021 14:25	Michael Schultz	Parley's gun range has a wonderful history and a great future. I would like to see the range re-opened. Thank you for your consideration.	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 16:42	Rob Orullian	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com < http://parleysgunrange.com/ > ." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you, Rob Orullian Sent from my iPad	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 16:43	Grant Pierce	Dear Salt Lake City Officials, I would like to respectfully urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you, Grant Pierce	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 16:46	Alan Peterson	Council Member Fowler, I am writing to ask for your support in approving a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com < http://parleysgunrange.com/ > ." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for public and government users alike. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of an irreplaceable asset. This is much more responsible option than a permanent closure which will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you for your service to the community as Council Member. Thank you for thoughtfully considering this matter, Alan Peterson	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 16:48	Paul Hubenthal	We need to focus on infrastructure by opening up Parley's Gun Range and ending practices that force minorities and women to drive long distances to the unprotected desert where access to water is limited and they are put at additional risk. Please act now. P. Hubenthal	Parley's Shooting Range
4/9/2021 16:50	Julia Gasperini	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is much more responsible option than permanent closure will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Julia Gasperini	Parley's Shooting Range
4/12/2021 16:40	Gordon Brokaw	Dear Salt Lake City Officials, I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is a much more responsible option than permanent closure which will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. And we all know who will ultimately pay those huge costs; the tax payers. I have personally used that range with my children in the past and would appreciate being able to take my grandkids there in the future. Shooting ranges are great places to teach responsible firearm handling and safety. Thank you for your consideration. Respectfully, Gordon Brokaw	Parley's Shooting Range
4/12/2021 16:43	David KEAHEY	Dear SLC Mayor and City Council, I want to express my support for reopening the Parley's Canyon Shooting Range formerly known as the PMAA range. The loss of this convenient and well-used facility has negatively impacted the ability of the shooting community to safely enjoy this activity at a reasonable distance from Salt Lake City. It also relieved the pressure on the only other outdoor facility on the west side of the city. I strongly encourage you to consider the reasonable proposal made by ParleysGunrange.com. Shooters wishing to enjoy their sport must travel longer distances and often resort to using BLM lands where the associated fire danger is greater. Please reopen the Parley's Canyon shooting range. Dave Keahey	Parley's Shooting Range

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/12/2021 16:44	Derek Christensen	Dear elected representative, I have enjoyed training at the Parley's Gun Range in past years. This is a great asset. Please accept the plan that has been presented to responsibly manage the Parley's Range and allow many responsible citizens the use the facility. ... government of the people, by the people, and for the people... Sincerely, Derek G. Christensen South Jordan, UT Sent from Mail < https://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=550986 > for Windows 10	Parley's Shooting Range
4/12/2021 16:46	Duane Smith	Dear Salt Lake City Officials- I urge you to support a lease of the Parleys Canyon Gun Range to "ParleysGunRange.Com." This will provide needed facilities for gun safety training opportunities for the public and government users. This is a cost-effective, common sense use of irreplaceable assets. This is a much more responsible option than permanent closure which will incur huge costs to the City for environmental compliance. Thank you. Duane Smith	Parley's Shooting Range
4/7/2021 11:18	Thomas Keen	The methodology problems aside, your statement that "his overall findings aren't wrong", is the most important and disappointing fact. How can policing resources be reduced in the face of these statistics? When my wife and I moved to Salt Lake City in 2001, I proudly and confidently told friends and family in Ohio, where we had previously lived for 30 years that Salt Lake City is one of the only major cities in the country where we would feel comfortable living so close to the it's downtown. I was thinking of Columbus and Cleveland, Ohio for example. I felt pretty foolish for having said that as we sat on our front porch and watched and listened to the chaos that was taking place throughout downtown and at the Capitol building during the recent protests. Freedom of assembly and speech are protected rights, but the property damage and other criminal activity that accompanied the protests are not. These crime statistics, however flawed in terms of methodology, tell a story that the problem goes well beyond the sound and fury generated by a few hundred protestors. Please don't let Salt Lake City become Portland, Chicago, Minneapolis or just another American city that inspires families to flee to the safety of its suburbs. Salt Lake City should aspire to set a standard of success that serves as a model for others. It can be a liberal city without being a lawless city, but to do so will require adequate policing resources that are actually utilized and responsibly led by their civilian masters. Thomas W. and Lynn A. Keen	Police Funding
4/7/2021 13:27	Mia White	Hello my name is Mia and I am a resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget. This issue is important to me because historically the police use extra funds to brutalize homeless people and Black and Indigenous people with no accountability. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund pricing supplies and shelter to the homeless community and treat them with the respect they deserve. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. Thank you for your time and attention.	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:29	Devin Boyle	Hello my name is Devin Boyle and as a resident of Salt Lake City I am astounded that after everything that has transpired in the wake of the BLM movement this past summer, that SLC council would consider granting the SLCPD a budget increase of \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police. We know that the easiest step into creating a more equitable world is to allocate resources to those who need them, rather than over policing. We need more social workers, more homeless resource centers, food banks, etc. Criminalizing homeless people does not solve the problem, we as a community should provide them with resources. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. Thank you for your time and attention. Devin Boyle	Police Funding
4/7/2021 13:30	Alexsis Lever	Hello my name is Alexsis Lever and I am a resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget, despite having a family member in the SLCPD. This issue is important to me because not only is the police force directly historically constructed purely for racist means, but also because I do not feel that Salt Lake City's actions meant to help homeless people, improve our air quality, protect the gorgeous environments within the state, and increasing accessibility via improved public transit are sufficient. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund homeless relief programs such as affordable housing, rehab, and potentially aiding in the job search. I would like the city to fund environmentally conscious public transit plans that protect our canyons, such as that proposed by Save Our Canyons. I would like the city to utilize the funds to push for companies to reduce their emissions to improve our air quality. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. Promises are not enough if the following actions go against them. Thank you for your time and attention. Sincerely, Alexsis Lever	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:31	Madison Sudweeks	Hello, My name is Madi Sudweeks and I am a resident of Salt Lake City District 5. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget. This issue is important to me because I am a social worker who focuses largely on the disparities that exist in opportunity based on race and class in our region. I have seen firsthand the systemic violence that folks experience in many facets, but clearly in the criminal legal system which disproportionately affects communities of color. Criminal legal intervention is too often used in cases that do not require it and those interactions can be dangerous and leave a lasting impact on the well-being of our community members. There are countless other interventions that could more effectively and sustainably address issues our community face than policing. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund public transportation, affordable housing, public health efforts, and other systems-level change issues that will truly make our communities more safe and healthy to live in. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget and hold yourselves accountable to those commitments. Thank you for your time and attention. -- Madison Sudweeks	Police Funding
4/7/2021 13:32	Rylee Marron	Hello my name is Rylee Marron and I am a resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget. As a volunteer in the unsheltered community, the police do not need more money to displace unhoused folks and to do nothing helpful but push people around. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund more programs and education for the unsheltered community. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. Thank you for your time and attention. Thank you, Rylee Marron	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:33	James Miska	<p>Dear Salt Lake City Council, My name is James Miska and I am a homeowner, small business owner (tour operator), and resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge you as my elected City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am specifically not in support of any increases whatsoever to the Police Department budget. This has been an ongoing important issue to me because citizens of our city were promised a decrease in police funding as a result of ongoing peaceful protests last year, some of which I was proudly a part of. Many of the people who have been killed by police, many of which whose likenesses cover multiple walls upon the building at 800 South 300 West had suffered from mental illness/es, and police were responsible for acting poorly and murderously toward those folks (and more). Had the City poured more money in the past into hiring Social Workers and those trained to help folks with mental illnesses (as we have since been promised), especially unsheltered folks, then these deaths could have been avoided. I would hope that it's the aim of everyone upon City Council's aim to avoid future injuries and deaths at the hands of police, who seem to notoriously have poor judgment in spontaneous situations (which is what seems to be demanded of them, as police!). Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police for the many reasons already outlined (such as an absurd \$537,337 for so-called "Protest Costs"), I would like the city to fund community organizations that pursue restorative justice within their communities, such as The Rose Park Brown Berets (https://www.instagram.com/rosepark_brownberets/) or Decarcerate Utah (https://www.decarcerateutah.org/) or the hiring of trained social workers that can de-escalate situations, or would not be as likely to escalate a situation in the first place, which is what so many of SLC's police officers already do. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. Thank you for your time and attention.</p> <p>Sincerely, James Miska</p>	Police Funding
4/7/2021 13:33	Ciana Bataineh	<p>Hello my name is Ciana Bataineh and I am a resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget. This issue is important to me because homeless populations often don't have the resources to seek help when they are abused by police. It's very important to me that homeless people have LESS police interaction, not more. Being poor is not illegal and should not be treated as such. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund services for the homeless that do not include police interaction. I want to see more mental health treatment, housing, shelters, and food for the homeless. The police do not need more money to break up homeless camps when homeless people have nowhere to go. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. We are still here, paying attention to what you do. Thank you for your time and attention. Ciana Bataineh</p>	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:34	Ciana Bataineh	Hello my name is Ciana Bataineh and I am a resident of Salt Lake City. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am particularly against any increases to the Police Department budget. This issue is important to me because homeless populations often don't have the resources to seek help when they are abused by police. It's very important to me that homeless people have LESS police interaction, not more. Being poor is not illegal and should not be treated as such. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund services for the homeless that do not include police interaction. I want to see more mental health treatment, housing, shelters, and food for the homeless. The police do not need more money to break up homeless camps when homeless people have nowhere to go. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget. We are still here, paying attention to what you do. Thank you for your time and attention. Ciana Bataineh	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:42	Sally Goodger	<p>Hello, My name is Sally Goodger and I work in Salt Lake City doing administrative work for a homeless service provider. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. Increases to the police budget will not solve the problems facing Salt Lake City, particularly the issue of homelessness. Having worked in the housing and homelessness sector, I have seen first hand, as well as have connected with colleagues across the country who see the same thing, that an increase of police presence does not help address the issue of homelessness or improve the lives of our unhoused neighbors. The \$650,000 budgeted in Amendment No. 7 for police participation in the Community Connection Program could be much better spent on providing even more mental health services, substance abuse treatment, food, and particularly shelter and housing. Studies have shown that a Housing First model - where we focus on getting people housed quickly, regardless of what other issues they are facing (mental illness, substance abuse, etc.) - is the most effective way to reduce homelessness in communities. The Housing First Fact Sheet published by the National Alliance to End Homelessness states the following: "A variety of studies have shown that between 75 and 91 percent of households remain housed a year after being rapidly re-housed." Furthermore, "Providing access to housing generally results in cost savings for communities because housed people are less likely to use emergency services, including hospitals, jails, and emergency shelter, than those who are homeless. One study found an average cost savings on emergency services of \$31,545 per person housed in a Housing First program over the course of two years." Rather than investing this \$650,000 on police services, if we put that money towards housing and connecting people with housing providers and services, we can not only provide the housing our neighbors deserve, but we can save money in the long run that can be further invested in our community. I have repeatedly heard the City and the Health Department express concerns about the health and safety of homeless encampments across the city, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Providing more housing is a much more effective and ethical way to address these concerns and keep those living in the encampments safe than periodically "cleaning up" and "sweeping" the camps. Everyone deserves a place to live. Housing is a basic human right and it is within the ability of the City Council to invest money towards housing as many people as possible. Here is the report I've referenced: http://endhomelessness.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/housing-first-fact-sheet.pdf Additionally, in Budget Amendment No. 7 the \$1,589,008 that would move social worker funding back to the police should remain with the social workers. *Continued 1/2*</p>	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
	Sally Goodger	<p>*Continued 2/2* More will be accomplished for the members of our community who are struggling by having well funded social workers than by having more money funneled into an already well-funded police department. Police only respond to crisis with punishment - arrest, fines, citations. Social workers can build relationships with at risk members of the community and connect them to services such as housing programs, substance abuse treatment, mental health services, physical health services, childcare, education, employment training, etc. These services will ultimately improve the lives of those at risk and enable them to become stable. This is a much more ideal outcome for those individuals and for our community, rather than more encounters with the police and the criminal justice system. These are just two instances of ways the money in Budget Amendment No. 7 is better spent providing necessary services to our community. However, every dollar outlined in this amendment could be better utilized providing necessary services. And the services I have mentioned throughout this email are necessary. Housing, healthcare, access to food, substance abuse treatment, mental health services - everyone in our city should have access to these. These are basic rights and, again, it is well within the power of the City Council to invest money in them. Especially since last summer the Council promised to decrease the police department budget. Please keep that promise. Please vote against Budget Amendment No. 7 and put this money to much better use for our city. Thank you for your time and your attention. Kind regards, Sally Goodger</p>	
4/7/2021 13:47	Timothy Dalton	<p>Hello, My name is Timothy Dalton and I am a Salt Lake City resident in the People's Freeway neighborhood. I am writing to urge the City Council to vote against Budget Amendment No. 7. I am personally and especially against <i>*any*</i> increases to the Police Department budget. This issue is particularly important to me because my neighborhood has been the site of many enclaves of unhoused people, which have repeatedly been destroyed by the city. The police are constantly blocking traffic, harassing unhoused residents, and inconveniencing us. No matter how much tax revenue we pour into them, they never solve the problem. These unhoused people are pushed around to different parts of my neighborhood but it never helps their situation. Rather than give an additional \$1.4 million from the General Fund to the police, I would like the city to fund another public housing program to solve the unhoused crisis facing our community. Destroying camps and pushing people around <i>*does not work*</i>. Giving people an apartment to live in <i>*does*</i>, and for much cheaper. Please remember the promises you made last summer to decrease the police department budget - your constituents do. Thank you for your time and attention. Timothy Dalton People's Freeway, Salt Lake City, UT</p>	Police Funding

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:49	Jessica Eichbauer	Police at camp abatements stand around and talk about their weekend plans. One suv detective told me he did not want to be there but his supervisor made. Do you know how many survivors of sexual violence don't want that suv detective displacing people without shelter when he could be fighting for their justice. My daughters suv detective hung up on me and said he didn't have time because he had to go find a missing old man with Alzheimer's. This is why 1 and 10 children are sexually abused in Utah because they get away with it. All I see is a mayor who let a police agency get away with shooting someone in the back 34 times and then let them get away with shooting a 13 year old child and now he doesn't have an arm. And all she cares about is giving these same guys money to keep people without shelter away from her over priced apartments. Give the money to survivors of sexual violence for SAAM (April is sexual assault awareness month)	Police Funding
4/7/2021 14:45	John Doe	Jace would like to urge the Council to vote against Budget Amendment #7. He is concerned about the increase in PD funds. Does not like the funding to cover the protest. He works to teache pedestrian and bicycle saftey. He is concerned about the increase in bike/pedestrian budget for the PD he doesn't believe the program warrents an increase in budget. He worked with the PD for the Road Respect program and left early two years in a row because of the behavior of the PD. He would like to see the money routed anywhere else there might be saftey concerns instead of going to the PD.	Police Funding
4/8/2021 15:35	Cyan Larson	Dear City Council, My name is Cyan Larson, a resident of the greater Salt Lake City. I am writing to you all to urge you to deny amendment 7 for the new budget; the amendment that gives 1.4 million dollars to the police. I am asking you all to vote against this amendment because increased police presence means increase of police brutality incidents. Their has been a lot of data to show that giving more money to the police to diversify or train them does not work to decrease police brutality. Further, I feel unsafe with a police presence at free speech events since they overwhelm crowds that are majority unarmed. When the police are at these events, they choke free speech, they instill a police state, which is reflective of a fascist state. When police have shown up to my door for mental health checks, they have minimized my problems and overall responded in a negative way to my crisis situations. They should not be showing up for mental health crisis interventions- an increased police presence means to me that there will be more negative experiences by me and others in crisis. Thank you for your time, A lot of us have emailed you all to defund the police, which means for them to stay defunded and ultimately unfunded. Please vote against amendment 7 and listen to the majority of us instead of singular figure heads that don't believe in defunding the police. Cyan Larson	Police Funding
4/7/2021 10:01	Dianne Budig	Hi Andrew I just wanted to ask you to consider voting in favor of RCV as I believe it will make elections more civil and less vindictive. The candidates have to appeal to a broad range of constituents. RCV will save our city money because we won't need primaries. RCV is intuitive. Everyone knows how to make choices in order of preference. We need to make a change. Please help to make this happen. Dianne Budig	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:55	Carol Blackwell	Hi Darin, Carol, a D5 resident, calls to urge you to vote in favor of Ranked Choice Voting. Here are her reasons why: - Appeals to a broader range of constituents; - Allows a vote to count more when their first candidate is not chosen; and - It moves people to the middle rather than just holding up for extreme positions. No call back was needed and I'll add this to the RCV matrix.	Ranked Choice Voting
4/7/2021 21:16	Marianne O'Brien	Please pass on my request for support for ranked choice voting. It gives the voter the most power. Thank you, Marianne K. O'Brien	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 5:25	Kathy Adams	Dan Dugan is my City Council member, please opt in for RCV	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 10:23	Nancy McHugh	Ranked Choice Voting Ms. Valdemoros - You were absent for the RCV discussion in the Work Session on Tues, so I --- a constituent of. yours--- do not know where you stand on the suj, or how you will vote on April 20th. I URGE YOU to vote in favor of using RCV for Salt Lake's elections in Nov. 2021. The education materials are prepared and available -- with State money to pay for them !- and the cost to the City of a RCV election vs. a traditional election saves over \$50,000, PLUS - voter turn-oyt goes up, voter confidence that their vote counts goes up, and campaigns are more civil. All positive. Please vote YES ! -- Nancy Alice McHugh Salt Lake City, UT 84102 [REDACTED]	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 13:45	Emily Swanson	I am a resident of District 3 and I would like to encourage the adoption of Ranked Choice Voting (RCV) in November. RCV allows my vote to count even if my first choice doesn't win. RCV helps to make politics more civil because candidates hve to appeal to a broader base of voters in order to win. The city will save money because we won't need primaries. Finally, it is intuitive, everyone knows how to make choices in order of preference. Thanks for your time and consideration.	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 15:34	Margie McCloy	Hello, I strongly urge the Council to support a trial of Ranked Choice Voting in Salt Lake City. In our heavily gerrymandered region, this is one step toward an individual feeling h/she has an electoral voice. Best, Marjorie McCloy	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 15:44	Olivia Burton	I am writing to encourage a vote in favor of ranked choice voting! Thank you for considering this issue. I look forward to seeing the results of the vote.	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 16:03	Brian Vance	I supoort salt lake adopting ranked choice voting.	Ranked Choice Voting
4/8/2021 16:04	Wesley Reid	Ranked choice voting is the right choice for Salt Lake, for Utah, and for America! This voting process will help strengthen our democracy and ensure that all voters truly have a voice! Vote yes for ranked choice voting!	Ranked Choice Voting
4/9/2021 11:28	Elizabeth Zeldes	Greetings Council member Wharton: I live at [REDACTED] Salt Lake City, UT 84103 and strongly favor ranked choice voting because I feel it will save on the cost of primaries and allow my voice to be heard, even if my first choice doesn't win. I urge you to opt in to try ranked choice voting in November. Thank you, Elizabeth	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/9/2021 11:29	Kate L Lambert	I am writing to ask your support for Ranked Choice Voting (RCV). RCV has many advantages but the one I am most interested in is the possibility that it will lead to candidates who appeal to moderate voters, not just those at the extremes. Campaigns are also likely to be more issue oriented under this system as candidates will be motivated to not alienate likely voters of their opponents. Kate Lambert	Ranked Choice Voting
4/9/2021 15:48	Carrie Ulrich	Hi, Councilmember Mano, I'm a long-time supporter of ranked choice voting, and I'm excited that the Council will be considering it. Ranked choice voting lets citizens vote their conscience to support the candidates they genuinely prefer. I hope you'll support ranked choice voting when it comes up for discussion. Regards, Carrie Ulrich	Ranked Choice Voting
4/10/2021 8:50	Jacob Cloward	I just want to express my support for ranked choice voting!	Ranked Choice Voting
4/12/2021 9:02	Gregg Schultz	Council, I am the co-Chair of Salt Lake Indivisible, a local grassroots political organization of about 2500 active members in Salt Lake City. Over the last 2 years one of our top priorities has been the implementation of Ranked Choice voting. We have spent countless hours at the city, state, and county levels working on this initiative, and it is our utmost hope and expectation that the council pass it unanimously. I won't go over why RCV is one of the most positive things that the council can do right now, because I am sure you already know that. I'm glad to do so if you want. Our commitment to you is to prioritize education on RCV once it is passed. Please know that SLI views a yes vote on RCV as a prerequisite to our support. As an organization whose primary goal is the protection of democratic institutions, we will oppose candidates who stand in the way of reforms that expand the franchise, promote free and fair elections, and reflect the will of voters. RCV is such a reform. I hope you all do the right thing. Gregg Schultz Salt Lake Indivisible	Ranked Choice Voting
4/12/2021 12:02	Logan G Affleck	Hi Councilwoman Valdemoros, I am a constituent of yours living on 100s a few blocks east of City Creek. I am writing to hopefully encourage your support of the ranked choice voting proposal the council will vote on soon. With ranked choice voting, voters will feel like their voice has been acknowledged, and with the winner gaining a majority of votes, ranked choice voting will also foster increased democracy in SLC's 4th district by encouraging people to vote for who they believe in and not "the lesser of two evils." Thank you for taking the time to read my email! Thanks, Logan G. Affleck	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/12/2021 12:05	Jack Jowers	<p>Dear Ms. Valdemoros, I write in support of implementing a ranked-choice voting system in our city. I saw that you will be considering and voting on a proposal on joining the pilot program in your April 20th city council meeting. I am 22 years old and a second-year student at the University of Utah, currently living in the Sunnyside area. As a political science and economics major, I have been able to look at a wide variety of voting procedures and institutions. From this research, I have become increasingly convinced that RCV is one of the best procedures for ensuring accurate representation. I am increasingly concerned about our toxic political dialogue and stark partisanship, and I don't believe it is indicative of the vast majority of people's preferences. I believe RCV would allow for more issue-focused and positive campaigns, and, from my research, it seems that the implementation costs and potential educational lag are negligible compared to the long-term positive effects it has on democracy and a community. I admire you for your willingness to serve your community in public office. Your service inspires me to ensure that I find ways to serve and contribute to my community as I advance in life. I know you will make the decision that you feel will best serve your constituents, and I write as a concerned citizen that is passionate about making democracy work for everyone, not just those at the ends of the political spectrum. I have attached a memo I prepared for a class earlier this year on the topic of RCV. I hope it can be a resource to you as you research this pressing and important issue. I would be very proud of my city if we could be one of the pioneering cities to implement ranked-choice voting. Please reach out to me if you have any questions or if there's any way I can help. All the best, Jack Jowers</p>	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/12/2021 15:59	Susan Sandack	<p>My name is Susan Sandack. I am a resident in District 7 and my comments have to do with the City Council’s discussion about Rank Choice Voting on April 6, 2021. I appreciate hearing many thoughtful questions most of you raised regarding the fiscal impact this change will have for the City Budget, timing issues during an election year, and how to eventually best educate the public on this proposed new voting method if passed. I implore you to consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently spending \$120,000 on an election with a primary versus \$72,000 on an election under the Rank Choice Voting method with no primary seems the most cost efficient reason to adopt RCV. • Regarding money that the State is allocating (\$10,000) to cities and municipalities assisting with community education efforts, I do not recall hearing any questions as to whether or not the money from the state would still be available if the City Council were to decide to postpone a decision to adopt this next year. Those incentive funds should be used now in case they go away next year. • By adopting RCV, candidates and voters are charged with being concise. Voters are given a simplified way to learn about the candidates and make informed decisions. At the same time, the candidates are challenged to make certain their qualifications and issues are well articulated. Candidates should win elections based on their qualifications and how their constituents want to be represented and less on how much money they have. We have seen it happen too many times in a general election where more than two candidates are competing and those running behind always end up taking important votes away from one or another of the top two candidates which results in elections too close to call. This causes expensive, laborious and unnecessary recounts and run-offs. • Ranking choices should result in no need for a primary. It does not make sense to support RCV and schedule a primary so that the community can ease into the voting method change. Just let it happen the way it should. The top two individual candidates will gain more quality time to strategize their campaign platforms and outreach to the community. It only makes sense to commit to this pilot program NOW during an election year and equalize the effort with no primary. *Continued 1/2* 	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
	Susan Sandack	<p>*Continued 2/2* There was mention that three current City Council Officials are up for re-election some still undecided whether or not they even want to run for their seat again this election year. The comments to wait until newly elected officials come on board makes no sense whatsoever. They will be too new, too green and the learning opportunities too curved. I applaud the current Council for taking time to understanding the benefits of Rank Choice Voting. You all have had multiple trainings and mock presentations to understand the value and methodology. You are ready to commit now – not later because that feels easier. By postponing a decision to join this pilot program next year, the Council will lose valuable time and resources you have already invested to understand Rank Choice Voting. • On the subject of training, you are all well aware the League of Women Voters has arranged mock training to better understand RCV. I am certain they would be willing to arrange more Council trainings to help see you through this transition. • Finally, the most important reason I can think of has to do with leadership. Salt Lake City as the Capitol City for the State of Utah and should always take the lead when change is necessary to strengthen the community. With all the discussion nationally about election compromises, steals, fraud and suppression, it only makes sense to strengthen voter rights now by a City commitment to adopt the Rank Choice Voting method. Please support RCV on April 20, 2021 and sign up with Salt Lake County by the proposed deadline of May 10, 2021 or sooner. Thank you! Susan Sandack</p>	
4/13/2021 8:45	Jack Jowers	<p>Support for Ranked-Choice Voting Dear Mr. Dugan, I write in support of implementing a ranked-choice voting system in our city. I saw that you will be considering and voting on a proposal on joining the pilot program in your April 20th city council meeting. I am 22 years old and a second-year student at the University of Utah, currently living in your district in the Sunnyside area. As a political science and economics major, I have been able to look at a wide variety of voting procedures and institutions. From this research, I have become increasingly convinced that RCV is one of the best procedures for ensuring accurate representation. I am increasingly concerned about our toxic political dialogue and stark partisanship, and I don't believe it is indicative of the vast majority of people's preferences. I believe RCV would allow for more issue-focused and positive campaigns, and, from my research, it seems that the implementation costs and potential educational lag are negligible compared to the long-term positive effects it has on democracy and a community. I admire you for your willingness to serve your community in public office. Your service inspires me to ensure that I find ways to serve and contribute to my community as I advance in life. I know you will make the decision that you feel will best serve your constituents, and I write as a concerned citizen that is passionate about making democracy work for everyone, not just those at the ends of the political spectrum. I have attached a memo I prepared for a class earlier this year on the topic of RCV. I hope it can be a resource to you as you research this pressing and important issue. I would be very proud of my city if we could be one of the pioneering cities to implement ranked-choice voting. Please reach out to me if you have any questions or if there's any way I can help. All the best, Jack Jowers -- Jack Jowers [REDACTED]</p>	Ranked Choice Voting

Date/Time Opened	Contact Name	Comment	Topic
4/7/2021 13:36	Sarah J Balland	<p>Good Evening, Council Members: My name is Sarah J. Balland and I live in District 1. I have one general comment to submit for the record during this evening's meeting, which I will also be delivering on the record during the general comment portion of the meeting. My comment is a follow-up to the March 16th council formal meeting discussing the RMF 30 item; at approximately 1 hour and 30 minutes into that discussion, you will recall that Council Member Johnson made a point of personal privilege and mentioned inclusionary zoning as part of the list of items he would like to see the council explore throughout the continuance of future RMF 30 conversations. I want to express support for the council to follow-up on inclusionary zoning at the state level; I hope that Salt Lake City is actively pursuing statewide inclusionary zoning again for the 2022 General Legislative Session. With the Utah Legislative interim right around the corner and the Legislative Management Committee meeting next Wednesday, April 14th to discuss legislative interim committee study item assignments for the year, I and many others will be paying attention to see that inclusionary zoning is one of the study items that cities in Utah take up with the state over the course of the next interim. Thank You, Sarah</p>	RMF - 30

March 7, 2021

Re: Ivory Homes Application to Rezone 675 North F Street

Dear Daniel Echeverria, Senior Planner, Mayor Erin Mendenhall, and Council Member Chris Wharton,

There are many misstatements and inaccuracies in Ivory Homes' revised submission dated January 26, 2021. One such item is Appendix G: Surrounding Zoning Designations and Land Uses (Page 28). Deliberately or otherwise, Ivory seeks to portray existing development as of far greater density than it actually is and thereby assert that their high-density proposal fits in when it does not. They write:

“With the exception of the western boundary, the surrounding land uses are much more dense than what could be built on the subject property under the current zone. Adjoining this property to the north is a 49 unit gated, attached condominium community. The southern neighbor is a five story, stacked condominium complex zoned RMF-35, arguably the most dense zoning island of property in the area.”

On the diagram on the same page they describe The Meridien as a “57 Unit Multistory Condominium Community”.

The above statements are incorrect with regard to both Northpoint and The Meridien.

Current zoning for 675 North F Street is FR-3 12,000 Foothills Residential District, which was enacted in 1995. This prescribes a minimum lot size of 12,000 square feet, limiting development to protect the sensitive foothills environment. This equates to 3.63 dwellings per acre.

To the north is Northpoint Estates, built in the early to mid 1980s, which predates FR-3 zoning. The 49-unit Northpoint sits on 13.28 acres giving it a density of 3.69 dwellings per acre, very much in line with current FR-3 zoning density.

To the south is The Meridien which was a conversion of the old Veterans Administration Hospital to condominiums in 2006. Ivory states that this contains 57 units -- this is incorrect. The old Veterans Administration Hospital conversion was permitted in two sections, The Meridien and The Annex, now renamed the Wright Building. Both were rehabilitated under a development agreement that restricted the number of units. The development agreement allowed 29 units for The Meridien and 7 units for the Annex for a total of 36 units, not 57. Some units in The Meridien have now been combined such that today it contains only 26 units and the Wright Building, currently under development, will contain only 4 units, for a combined total of 30 units. The actual number of dwelling units and thus the density is around half of that stated by Ivory in Appendix G.

The Meridien sits on 3.83 acres and the Wright Building on 1.82 acres for a combined total of 5.66 acres, giving a permitted density of 6.36 dwellings per acre and an actual density of 5.30

dwelling units per acre. Ivory's revised proposal has 35 dwellings, a mix of single-family homes and ADUs, on 3.20 acres for a density of 10.94 dwellings per acre making Ivory's proposed development of far greater density than either of these neighboring developments.

Please note that all acreages include roads which represents the fairest method of comparison, particularly since both Ivory's development and The Meridien will use Capitol Park Avenue, which is owned by The Meridien. We are also considering an Accessory Dwelling Unit as a dwelling unit. Each of these ADUs that Ivory proposes will have their own entrance, garage, and people and likely have separate utilities and addresses. Although this does not seem in any way incorrect to us and we believe we were given guidance by the Planning Division that this is accurate, we observe that Ivory does not follow this practice.

The density of The Meridien/Wright Building, which is the only exception made to the FR-3 zoning in the locale, does exceed that of FR-3 but this must be put in context. This exemption was granted to preserve a beautiful 1932 neoclassical building listed on the National Registry of Historic Places. Preserving the old Veterans Administration Hospital honors the important role this prominent landmark has played in our city's history. This building was becoming derelict and its restoration was widely welcomed by the neighboring residents. This is in distinct contrast to the almost unanimous opposition to Ivory's proposal within the community. It should also be noted that The Meridien gets its density in a totally different way. As a five-story building, it has a far lower impact on the land, facilitating extensive landscaping and setbacks that allow it to blend into the neighborhood in a way that Ivory's overly dense development with 26 structures, minimal setbacks and limited green space does not.

Thus, the information Ivory has provided on the density of the developments to the north and south of their property is clearly inaccurate. These inaccuracies are reiterated by Professor Nelson in Appendix N, page 143.

Indeed, the density of Ivory's development in terms of dwelling units is almost three times as dense as Northpoint and almost two times as dense as the combination of The Meridien and the Wright Building. Ivory's analysis of comparative density of neighboring developments is grossly inaccurate and provides no justification for a rezone.

Sincerely,
Alan B. Hayes, Northpoint Estates
Peter Wright, The Meridien

Mr. Daniel Echeverria
Senior Planner
Salt Lake City Planning Division

March 16, 2021

Dear Mr. Echeverria,

At the Greater Avenues Community Council meeting on March 3, Chris Gamvroulos of Ivory Homes rejected the idea of putting ADUs on custom homes at 675 North F Street. Peter Wright of the Preserve Our Avenues Zoning Coalition (POAZC) had argued in his presentation that under FR-3, Ivory could build 11 custom homes and up to 11 ADUs for a total of up to 22 dwelling units on this plot and this was a sensible compromise position between the original 11 single family homes that FR-3 dictated and Ivory's proposal. In response to a question, Chris Gamvroulos stated bluntly that no one building a custom home will want an ADU in this development. I think that response is inaccurate and self-serving. Do people of means not have situations where they want a family member to live with them, but still be in independent quarters? Do people who can afford a custom home not have a need for live-in caregivers as they age? What if a U professor wanted a rental ADU to house graduate students or international students? What if having some rental income would make it possible for someone to buy a nicer home? In fact, in the July 2020 GACC meeting during the Q&A, Mr. Gamvroulos had said that Ivory would also put ADUs on the five custom homes on F Street. So now Mr. Gamvroulos is rejecting completely the validity of the compromise position of up to 22 dwelling units on this plot.

In fact, I happen to know of at least one of the custom homes on 12th Avenue in the Capitol Park development that has such a mother-in-law apartment. And I have another friend who is building an ADU onto a very nice home on Scenic Drive above Wasatch Boulevard so that his son's family can live close by, but in separate quarters.

When local people move into a nicer home in Salt Lake City, they still free up their old home for a new family. Any new housing helps address the housing shortage. Is it really worth another dozen housing units for the City to approve a zoning change that crowds a cluster of homes into a neighborhood where they are out of character and are very likely to create a number of problems that the neighbors must then live with?

Sincerely,

Alan B. Hayes

[REDACTED]

Salt Lake City, UT 84103

COMMENTS IN REGARD TO REVISED APPLICATION OF IVORY

DEVELOPMENT TO REZONE 675 NORTH F STREET AND AMEND THE MASTER PLAN

March 1, 2020

Dear Mayor Erin Mendenhall, Council Member Wharton and Senior Planner Daniel Echeverria

My wife and I live at [REDACTED], which abuts the Meridien Condominium property along its southern property line and is one block south of the Ivory Homes project site. **We oppose the requested rezoning.** Although it has made minor changes in its development plan, Ivory Development has not altered its initial rezoning request and all of the objections that we previously expressed on June 23, 2020 remain unaddressed. Please treat this letter as a continuing objection to the requested rezoning. We also request that you reread our June 23rd letter. Housing trends set in motion by the Covid-19 pandemic make our earlier objections even more relevant to the issue you face.

This may be Salt Lake City's last chance to avoid making a serious mistake by approving a radical, untested ***"first of its kind in Utah plan"*** (the quoted words of Christopher P. Gamvroulas, President of Ivory Development, January 26, 2021). This is a housing proposal that is so radical that it should have never gotten past initial discussions with City officials, yet the developer implies that it enjoys support from the City and is engaged in an aggressive campaign to secure full approval for the project.

As you know, the plan involves the extensive use of independent functioning ADU's coupled with a rezoning of the current FR-3 zone to a FB-UN1 zone thereby increasing the number of permitted lots. This *"first in its kind in Utah plan"* would permit the construction of 35 dwelling units on land originally zoned for 11 single family houses on 11 lots. The plan would convert the 11 lots to 20 through the rezoning and then incorporate ADU's within 15 of those 20 lots to produce 35 dwelling units. That is 318% of the currently permitted occupied structures on the 3.21 acre development site. While the plan is being promoted as the solution to a multigenerational housing problem, Utahans have long dealt with multigenerational housing problems by constructing separate living areas, often including kitchens and separate entrances, within the footprint of their existing homes. Many of the larger homes in the Greater Avenues area include these separate areas. Ivory Development could do the same thing without any rezoning. But the dwelling units in *"the first of its kind in Utah plan"* have been designed to assure that the purchaser of a principal residence need not worry about living with other generations of their family. The ADU's are fully independent of the principal residences in all respects and are really rental units, just like those being built elsewhere throughout the City. And, because they are fully independent, they result in the principal residences being reduced in size and require the dedication of large surface areas of the development site for duplicative driveway, parking and other functions dictated by their independence. So, what is gained in terms of the number of dwelling units is lost in terms of outdoor amenities, open space and quality of life considerations for occupants of all the dwelling units and even their neighbors outside the development area. There may be other areas of the City where a *"first of its kind in Utah plan"* would be appropriate, but this site has some unique attributes which make it particularly inappropriate. It is not within easy access to public transportation, employment opportunities, retail shopping, recreational areas or other of the requisite preconditions for this type of development. This is a neighborhood that requires the use of automobile transportation.

Moreover, the development site is only a few hundred feet from a wildlife area consisting of thousands of acres. Ivory Development completely ignores this fact, though it did secure a token environmental study

which is confined only to the 3.21 acre site. Not surprisingly, it showed no adverse environmental concerns with the proposed development. The site has been denuded of all vegetation, except for a few mature trees, fewer trees than we have on our own .25 acre lot. The wildlife that SWCA Environmental Consultants could not find on the denuded site are alive and well in our neighborhood, one block to the south of the studied site. There they can be found daily, feasting on insects, pine nuts, vegetation and occasionally one another. These include species that migrate to and from City Creek Canyon. They merely need to cross the empty development site to find food and shelter, something that would be made difficult as a consequence of the *“first of its kind in Utah plan”*.

It's no wonder that this proposal has aroused widespread opposition, not only throughout the Greater Avenues, but throughout the City. If approved by the City this *“first of its kind in Utah plan”* would create a precedent for a similar corruption of existing zoning and housing patterns throughout the City. How did we get here? The plan exposes to public scrutiny for the first time that an aggressive exploitation of the ADU concept, coupled with a more favorable zoning status, could result in a multi-unit residential site morphing into one with 3 times the number of previously permitted dwelling units. This was certainly not understood by the general public, or perhaps even ADU housing advocates, when the recently adopted ADU legislation was under consideration. For example, Jake Young, planning manager for Salt Lake County and a strong advocate for ADU's was quoted in a September 1, 2019 Salt Lake Tribune article as follows:

“It's one unit at a time...It's not a subdivision or an apartment complex of 200 units. [They] have little impact on actual neighborhoods and streets. They often blend in and are unnoticed”

Was he unaware of the potential for this *“first of its kind in Utah plan”*, or was this part of an effort to allay the public's natural concern for the impact of a new law affecting their homes and neighborhoods?

There is nothing in the ADU ordinance itself or the “Build An ADU, Guide To Accessory Dwelling Units” published by the City that suggests the possibility of incorporating ADU’s into a multi-lot development. Whatever the City officials thought at the time, the public was never made aware that such a development could be done and, even more shocking, that it could be done in combination with a rezoning of the sort proposed here by Ivory Development. Since there was no public awareness of this prospect prior to the adoption of the ADU ordinance, the “*first of its kind in Utah plan*” is a first in more ways than one.

Ivory Development would like you to believe that opposition to the development plan is, in part, a case of NIMBYism. Professor Nelson was obliging enough to refer to this phenomenon in his September 28, 2020 opinion and even provided a footnote citation in support of this supposed obstacle to the proposed development. But, this is a project that is opposed by citizens living throughout the Greater Avenues. That’s a big, diverse, sophisticated, well educated, liberal neighborhood! Mere allegations of NIMBYism can’t be used to denigrate and dismiss all opposition to change. In fact, what the “*first of its kind in Utah plan*” is facing is really an informed electorate, and democratic control is still the ultimate test that developers, and even well intended housing experts and public officials, must meet.

The NIMBYism suggestion is particularly galling to those of us who are immediate neighbors of the proposed development. The redevelopment of the former sites of the Children’s and VA Hospitals which began in the 1990’s has taken nearly 35 years and is only now nearing a conclusion. To this point it has been challenging for developers and purchasers of residences. Many of the initial developers were undercapitalized and the site required the construction of expensive infrastructure, including private streets. A particularly serious challenge was created by the historic VA Hospital and its Annex. The residents of the Greater Avenues area, the City itself and the initial developers wanted to restore and readapt the building to residential use. Meanwhile, construction of single family homes began on the parts of the overall development area which were suitable for new residential development. This was done in accordance with the applicable FR-3/12000 zoning, but sales and construction progress was slow. Prospective purchasers had to suspend their understandable disbelief that the VA Hospital and Annex could be successfully restored and

readapted to residential use. Those of us who purchased homes in the Capitol Park Subdivision took large financial and other risks when we committed to make our homes in this area. Eventually, in the mid 1990's, conditional RMF-35 zoning approval was given to the VA Hospital and Annex site, but finding a sufficiently capitalized developer and addressing myriad construction, infrastructure, planning, lot split, legal and financing issues still lay ahead. Ivory Development has now acquired the last remaining portion of the overall development site, including access to infrastructure that was created by the efforts, investments and risk taking of others over the previous 35 years. It seeks to build an overly dense, environmentally insensitive "*first in Utah*" collection of "*cottages*" at the principal entrance and gateway to a system of private streets created and maintained largely by others, including the Meridien Condominiums, the Wright Building Condominium and the Capitol Park Home Owners Association. **Yes, this is our yard, but it's our front yard!**

In considering the Ivory Development Proposal, the City should address this question...What would have happened if the Ivory Development "*first of its kind in Utah plan*" came first, rather than last? Would the Meridien and Wright Buildings have been saved and redeveloped? Would the houses throughout the Capitol Park Subdivision exist in the form they do today? Would this area of the City be as attractive to families? Would the tax revenues of the City be enhanced? Would the Avenues be a better neighborhood in which to live? Ironically, if approved by the City, the precedent which would be created by the "*first of its kind in Utah plan*", and the process of its approval, may make it harder to get a second of its kind.

Thomas W. Keen and Lynn A. Keen

██████████, Salt Lake City, UT 84103

