Salt Lake City Council Reminders / Inquiries

May 21, 2019: No new requests

Reminders from earlier requests: Asked May 7, 2019

1. PMAA Range

- a. Questions:
 - i. What is the Administration's plan for the PMAA range, including the plan to allow continued public access?

2. Millcreek - new request

- a. Questions:
 - i. We would like to formally request that a representative of Economic Development and the Redevelopment Agency be included in the coordination and elected official meetings with Millcreek, in addition to the City Attorney and the other departments already included.

Asked February 19, 2019 Questions to the Mayor

1. Comprehensive Administrative review on funding requests:

a. Question: Will the Administration incorporate a practice that all funding requests from the Administration include an Administrative analysis, to include feedback from the City Attorney, Finance Department, and relevant City Departments? For any capital funding request through either the General Fund or RDA, could the Administration incorporate a practice for the City's Impact Fee consultant to review the item and identified any opportunity for the use of impact fees as a funding resource.

(Refer to previous "Questions to the Mayor" documents for specific details.)

2. Pending meetings:

- a. Housing working group
 - i. Follow-up note: the first meeting has been held and a plan is being developed for moving ahead. Preliminary meetings are being set up with the group participants.
- b. Impact Fee working group Follow-up note: Council Staff understands that the Admin is pursuing i through iii and will come back to the Council sometime in the summer.
 - i. Contract revisions
 - ii. Addition of Streets
 - iii. Addition of Public Safety items, including Fire apparatus
 - iv. Northwest Quadrant current fees; ability to make improvements in the NW Quad using current impact fees; policy discussion on the role of impact fees, if any, in relation to the Inland Port.

Asked Feb 5, 2019:

1. Surveyor Error on the Exchange Place property appraisal

- a. Question: Has the Administration pursued litigation against the surveyor who made the mistake on the Exchange Place property?
- b. Follow-up Note: During the February 19th meeting, Mr. Litvack indicated that they were reviewing this with the City Attorney's Office.
- c. March 5: The Council indicated an interest in receiving an update on their review.

Pending Items – expected future update / information Impact Fee Plan update

- a. Follow-up note: Council Staff understands that the Admin is pursuing a basic impact fee update and will come back to the Council sometime in the summer with their recommendation.
- b. Question: When will an amendment to the Plan be ready for review and Council action?
- c. Concerns:
 - i. Needed purchases / uses are not currently listed on the Plan, which means Impact Fees cannot be used for those items.
 - 1. Low hanging fruit: streets that are part of the GO bond, fire trucks, updated estimate for the East Side Precinct
 - 2. NW Quad streets (since we're collecting fees but can't spend them there until the plan is updated)
 - ii. Rate that Impact Fees are being refunded, which includes interest from the date of collection.

Golf Fund

a. Question:

- i. Follow-up note:
 - The Council discussed this with the Administration during the last legislative intent briefing. Following an Administrative transmittal, the Council will schedule an overall public services/golf briefing in April
 - 2. May 21: the Council held a briefing on the Mayor's Recommended budget for the Golf Fund for Fiscal Year 2019-20.
- ii. What is the status of a transmittal to discuss avoiding a general fund subsidy of the golf fund?
- iii. What is the current estimate for a general fund subsidy to the golf fund this year?

b. Concern:

- i. During last year's budget adoption, the Council was specific about wanting to avoid any general fund subsidy of the golf fund.
- ii. In the absence of a recommendation for golf fund health, a subsidy of general fund dollars is expected.