

SALT LAKE CITY POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD
2nd QUARTERLY REPORT 2018

July 26, 2018

PANELS

Note: the use of the letter S denotes the subject officer(s)

Panels/Polls initiated in the 3rd quarter of 2017 with case numbers included in that chart:

C2017-0079

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

Panels/Polls initiated in the 2nd quarter of 2018 with case numbers included in that chart:

C2018-0038

Allegation: S – Conduct Unbecoming

Panel Recommend: S – Sustained

SLCPD Finding: S – Pending

Trends/Issues Identified and Relayed to the Police Department

In a pending, upcoming case that is currently being examined, the term “Line of Engagement” was heard being used by two SWAT members. A check of the SWAT manual and in discussions with multiple SLCPD officers, the term applies to areas of responsibility during a tactical situation and the related positioning of the officers. It does not apply to the position of the Subject nor is it a shoot/don’t shoot line. This term, which is SLCPD SWAT SOP is also known as “Area of Engagement” or even “Area of Responsibility” by other Agencies. All are describing roughly the same issue: which officer is responsible for what and is implemented to ensure all areas are properly covered and to eliminate any crossfire situations. Although the referenced investigation has not found any confusion within the operational Emergency Action Team, all SWAT members, it could be an issue if an EAT is formed that involves non-SWAT members, as occurred during the Trolley Squares incident and likely will again. PCRB is requesting a review/change of this terminology to ensure all officers understand what is being spoken of and to ensure that others, citizens, who may hear it, are aware that the term does not imply an arbitrary line that if crossed, allows for the employment of Deadly Force.

**Internal Affairs Cases
April 1 – June 30, 2018**

23 Vehicle accidents
0 Intelligence cases
2 Pursuits
4 Firearms discharge
29 IA cases

Dispositions: AD: Administratively Declined
PO: Polled the PCRB
PA: PCRB Panel Held

File #	Category	Sworn Y / N	Use of Force Y / N	Disposition	Status	Summary
C2018-0022	1	N	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant alleges officer created a hostile work environment.
0023	M-File	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complaint: Rude and unprofessional behavior.
0024	1	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Dispatcher reported a recording of an officer possibly having sex in police vehicle.
0025	2	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Excessive time off, failure to follow supervisor counsel and submit reports at end of shift.
0026	M-File	Y	N	AD	Closed-Info Only	Complainant feels officer did not take appropriate action.
0027	Complaint	Y	N	AD	Open	Complaint: Officer overstepped boundaries and was discourteous to other officers.
0028	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Officer acted unprofessionally with an unruly student and was potentially sleeping on duty.
0029	2	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Officer made insensitive comments regarding a subject.
0030	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Officer drove over something damaging vehicle without making a report.
0031	M-File	Y	N	AD	Open	Complainant alleges she

File #	Category	Sworn Y / N	Use of Force Y / N	Disposition	Status	Summary
						was hurt during an arrest two years prior.
0032	2	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Officer failed to report to shift or contact supervisor prior to shift.
0033	M-File	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Complaint: Excessive speeding.
0034	M-File	Y	N	AD	Closed-Info Only	Complaint regarding how a call was handled.
0035	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Complaint: Officer was rude and violated HIPAA by asking subject about marijuana use.
0036	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Complaint: Inconsiderate contact.
0037	2	Y	N	Pending	Open	Complaint: Officer pinned subject against a fence and encroached on a civil matter.
0038	1	Y	N	PO	Open	Officer acted inappropriately at a uniformed part-time job.
0039	M-File	Y	N	AD	Closed-Info Only	UHP observed officer driving erratically while manipulating his cell phone.
0040	2	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant was unhappy with behavior of responding officers and felt they were calling her a liar.
0041	1	Y	Y	Pending	Open	Complainant says officers used excessive force while arresting her combative son.
0042	2	N	N	AD	Open	Crime lab vehicle had inappropriate word written on it possibly by another employee.
0043	2	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Officer did not book evidence in a timely manner.
0044	1	Y	N	AD	Open	Officer did not properly handle evidence belonging to a victim.
0045	M-File	Y	N	AD	Closed – Info Only	Complaint: Officers were rude.
0046	2	N	N	AD	Open	Employee continued

File #	Category	Sworn Y / N	Use of Force Y / N	Disposition	Status	Summary
						using unpaid time despite coaching.
0047	M-File	Y	N	AD	Open	Complainant said officer was rude and unprofessional.
0048	M-File	Y	N	AD	Sustained Handled in Division	Officer working OT while on parental leave.
0049	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Citizen Complaint: Officer was rude and unprofessional.
0050	M-File	Y	N	AD	Closed – Info Only	Citizen Complaint: Officer grabbed arm too tight and had attitude.
P2018-0005	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Pursuit of vehicle from which shots had been fired.
0006	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	Out of Policy	Pursuit of vehicle possibly involved in a vehicle burglary.
S2018-0001	Firearm Discharge	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Injured Deer
0002	Firearm Discharge	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Injured Deer
0003	Firearm Discharge	Y	Y	Pending	Open	Suspect killed in SWAT standoff.
0004	Firearm Discharge	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Injured Deer

OVERVIEW of IA MATTERS (2nd Quarter 2018)

58 Total Internal Affairs (IA) Matters

-29 Administrative (23 Accidents; 4 Firearm Discharges; 2 Pursuits)

-29 IA Cases

--Cat 1	5
	---1 allege “Use of Force” of some manner
--Cat 2	13
	---0 “Use of Force” allegations
--M Files	10
--Complaint	1

Personnel Involved

- 26 Sworn

- 10 involve Rudeness, Inconsiderate Contact, and/or poor Driving Habits

- 3 Civilian Employees