

**SALT LAKE CITY POLICE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD
1st QUARTERLY REPORT 2018**

April 24, 2018

PANELS

Note: the use of the letter S denotes the subject officer(s)

Panels/Polls initiated in the 3rd quarter of 2017 with case numbers included in that chart:

C2017-0055

Allegation: S – Excessive Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

C2017-0066

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

C2017-0070

Allegation: S/S1 – Excessive Force
S – Courtesy in Public

Panel Recommend: S/S1 – Exonerated

S – Sustained

SLCPD Finding: S/S1 – Not Sustained

S – Sustained

C2017-0079

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

S2017-0016

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Deadly Force

Panel Recommend: S – Within Policy

SLCPD Finding: S – In Policy

S2017-0017

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Deadly Force

Panel Recommend: S – Within Policy

SLCPD Finding: S – In Policy

Panels/Polls initiated in the 4th quarter of 2017 with case numbers included in that chart:

C2017-0090

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

C2017-0092

Allegation: S – Improper Use of Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

Panels/Polls initiated in the 1st quarter of 2018 with case numbers included in that chart:

C2018-0001

Allegation: S – Excessive Force

Panel Recommend: S – Exonerated

SLCPD Finding: S – Not Sustained

Trends/Issues Identified and Relayed to the Police Department

A recent case occurred wherein the brother of a serving officer was involved in an incident involving SLCPD officers. The case itself was reviewed by a Panel and will not be recounted here, but it did identify a need for the Chief of Police to put out a “Chief’s memo” reminding officers to not intervene on behalf of family members in ongoing police, IA or PCRB reviews. SLCPD policy prohibits such actions already, but like so many items within the policy manual, certain categories rarely occur and therefore the use of a memo can remind employees of what they can, and cannot do. It is important that serving officers do not intervene in police matters involving their family members because unless the officers were actually present, they also do not know the facts of the case other than their family member was involved and provided their side of the story. It is equally important to remember that the average citizen who is involved in a police interaction does not have a family member on the Department and therefore does not have the ability to influence police actions. In the referenced case, the responding officers were not influenced by the serving officer, nor were the two reviews of the case, IA and CRB, but nonetheless, the involvement of the serving officer was unprofessional.

**Internal Affairs Cases
January 1 – March 31, 2018**

28 Vehicle accidents
0 Intelligence cases
4 Pursuits
0 Firearms discharge
21 IA cases

Dispositions: AD: Administratively Declined
PO: Polled the PCRB
PA: PCRB Panel Held

File #	Category	Sworn Y / N	Use of Force Y / N	Disposition	Status	Summary
C2018-0001	1	Y	Y	PA	Not Sustained	Inappropriate Force
0002	2	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant says he was only arrested because officer knew restaurant owner.
0003	2	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant says officer was rude and biased because of her race.
0004	M-File	Y	N	AD	Resolved by Bureau	Officer violated FMLA policy.
0005	2	Y	N	AD	Sustained	Officer did not get oil changed in a timely manner.
0006	2	N	N	AD	Open	Employee feels work environment is hostile and harassing.
0007	Info Only	N	N	AD	Closed	Jail informant heard 3 rd hand that an officer intimidated someone.
0008	Handled in Division	Y	N	AD	Closed	Failure to support another officer as a co-worker.
0009	2	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant feels officers trespassed.
0010	M-File	Y	N	AD	Info Only	Complainant says officer failed to file report on assault.
0011	1	Y	N	AD	Resigned	Officer violated policy regarding uniform cleaning.
0012	2	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Complainant says School Resource Officer was demeaning and

File #	Category	Sworn Y / N	Use of Force Y / N	Disposition	Status	Summary
						threatening to high school students.
0013	1	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Officer violated policy in regards to Quartermaster uniform allowance.
0014	1	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Office allegedly involved in domestic dispute. No arrest, another agency screening for charges.
0015	M-File	Y	N	AD	Open	Complainant-Rudeness
0016	M-File	Y	N	AD	Not Sustained	Officer reports sergeant was verbally aggressive creating hostile work environment.
0017	M-File	Y	N	AD	Open	Complaint-Driving habits, speeding.
0018	1	Y	N	AD	Resigned	Officer working another job while on paid leave.
0019	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Officer went into a liquor store while driving marked patrol car.
0020	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Complainant doesn't feel officer did an adequate child abuse investigation.
0021	2	Y	N	AD	Open	Improper handling of a/p's personal property.
P2018-0001	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Officers pursued carjacking suspect.
0002	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Officers pursued aggravated kidnapping suspect.
0003	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Officers pursued wanted felon with aggravated assault warrants.
0004	Pursuit	Y	N	AD	In Policy	Officer pursued burglary suspect.

OVERVIEW of IA MATTERS (1st Quarter 2018)

53 Total Internal Affairs (IA) Matters

-32 Administrative (28 Accidents; 0 Firearm Discharges; 4 Pursuits)

-21 IA Cases

--Cat 1	5
	---1 allege "Use of Force" of some manner
--Cat 2	9
	---0 "Use of Force" allegations
--M Files	5
--Handled in Div.	1
--Info Only	1

Personnel Involved

-19 Sworn

-- 4 involve Rudeness, Inconsiderate Contact, and/or poor Driving Habits

-2 Civilian Employees