Minutes for the Salt Lake City Police Civilian Review Board meeting held Monday, October 3, 2005 at 5:00 p.m. in Room 126, City County Building, 451 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Board Members In Attendance: Teddi Velarde, Nafitalai Unga Kioa, Daniel Levin, Daniel May, Nancy Appleby, Patti Esham, and Susan Webster.

Absent: Brent Ward, Claudia O'Grady, Scott McCoy, Antje Curry and Cristina Trujillo,

#### Also In Attendance:

Administrator: Ty McCartney
Police Board Advisor: Dean Carr
Deputy City Recorder: Chris Meeker

# I. The meeting convened at 5:17 p.m. Vice Chair Susan Webster presided and conducted.

## II. Approve minutes of July 11, 2005 meeting:

Ms. Appleby referred to Page 2, Paragraph 2 of the minutes. She asked that the last two sentences be changed to read "He said the no determination is possible statistic went down in all categories. He said the goal was to reach a determination."

Motion: Mr. Kioa moved and Mr. Levin seconded to approve the minutes as corrected for July 11, 2005, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

#### **III.** New Business

# a. Review and approve quarterly board report for July through October 2005.

A discussion was held regarding many cases where the Chief had not reached a decision and some cases going back to 2004 that showed as incomplete. Mr. McCartney said in the Chief's letter he said the reports had not been getting to him in a timely fashion. He said once clarifications had been made regarding the ordinance all incompletes were finished. He said the decisions were not posted in the Board Members' packets because the packets had already been sent out. He said the next quarterly report would reflect the old incompletes.

Ms. Appleby said on Case 27 she had been on the panel but the report did not reflect her as being present. Mr. McCartney said he would check into the issue.

Ms. Webster asked what the Chief's turnaround time was for decisions. Mr. McCartney said the ordinance stated the Chief should receive the report within ten business days of the pre-disciplinary hearing. He said there was no specific time limit to dispose of a case. He said his time requirement was that within two days of the completion of the investigation he had five business days to convene a panel.

Mr. McCartney pointed out that in the last report the decrease in sustain rates of complainants from people of color was 59% compared with the current rate of 50%. He said changes had also occurred in the sustain rate with regard to complaints against officers. These had increased by 6%.

Motion: Ms. Appleby moved and Mr. Levin seconded to approve the Quarterly Board Report for July through October 2005, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

### b. Nomination for Board Chair and Vice Chair for 2006.

Motion: Ms. Webster opened nominations for the position of Board Chair. Ms. Appleby moved and Ms. Webster seconded to nominate Mr. Levin, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

Ms. Appleby moved and Mr. Levin seconded to close nominations for the Board Chair position, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

**Motion:** Ms. Webster opened nominations for the position of Vice Chair of the Board. Ms. Velarde nominated Ms. Appleby for the Vice Chair position and Ms. Appleby declined.

Mr. Levin moved and Mr. Kioa seconded to **nominate Ms. Webster as Vice** Chair of the Board, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

Ms. Appleby moved and Mr. Esham seconded to close nominations for the Vice Chair position, which motion carried, all members present voted ave.

## **IV.** Additional Business:

## a. Policy of admonishment not to discuss investigations:

Mr. McCartney said in his previous experience with investigations all parties involved in the investigation were admonished not to talk to one another. He said this stopped corroborating stories. He said Salt Lake City had no policy addressing this. He said he felt the integrity of the investigation relied upon officers not talking to one another. He said he felt a policy was needed. He asked the Board how they felt about the need for a policy and if a written recommendation should be sent to the Chief of Police. He said this could also be

a Union issue. He asked the Board if they felt the Police Department should investigate the issue. All members present felt the Police Department should investigate the issue.

Mr. McCartney said he would talk to the City Attorney's office regarding a policy. He said he would attach a cover letter discussing the purpose of the Civilian Review Board's request.

## Adjourn:

Motion: Mr. Levin moved and Ms. Velarde seconded to adjourn, which motion carried, all members present voted aye.

The meeting adjourned at 5: 45 p.m	
Civilian Review Board Chair	
Deputy City Recorder	