

**Audits of Body Worn Camera Footage
Pursuant to City Code 2.10.200
December 2022**

SUMMARY

This memorandum constitutes a random audit, pursuant to City Code 2.10.200.E, of body worn camera recordings for the month of December 2022. The ordinance requires that any findings of material non-compliance with state law, City Code and Police Department policy to be referred to the Chief of Police, the Mayor, the Council Chair, the Mayor’s Chief of Staff, and the City Attorney.

The system used by the Department, at the time this audit was conducted, cannot randomly generate a body worn camera recording based on a particular timeframe. Because of that limitation, a random number generator was used to identify 5 case numbers (out of 4,659 case numbers) from the month. If a case number had multiple recordings for that case number, a recording was randomly selected for review.

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.

BODY WORN CAMERA REVIEWS

Case No. 1

Summary

Officers respond to Palmer Court on a call from the Sandy Police Department to pick up a male juvenile runaway. Officers are invited into a residence to pick up the male. The officers take the male into custody and arrange for transport of him to juvenile detention.

Findings

The officers appear to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 2

Summary

Officers respond to call in a downtown parking garage where a person self-reported that he collided into a parked vehicle when exiting the parking garage. The officers work to facilitate the exchange of information so that the owner of the damaged vehicle will be notified.

Findings

The officers appear to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 3

Summary

Officers arrive at Home Depot on a call to trespass an individual. Officers encounter the person and inform her that she’s been trespassed from the property and the call concludes.

Finding

The officers appear to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 4

Summary

Officers arrive at one of the Homeless Resource Centers on a call to trespass an individual. Upon entering the HRC, the officers confront the person to be trespassed who indicates that he knows he has to leave. The officers work to walk him to the sidewalk, and as they do so, the person to be trespassed curses out the officers (who remain calm and friendly to the person). Officers indicate that they will note in their reports that he's been trespassed.

Finding

The officers appear to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 5

Summary

Officer arrives at a fast food restaurant to assist on a call where a person has died. The officer was given instructions on attempting to make notification of the death to the person's next of kin. The video concludes as the officer walks back to her car to drive to the residence.

Findings

The officer appears to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

CONCLUSION

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.