Motion Consistent with Staff Recommendation

Motion to Approve

Based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission approve the requested modifications to the previously approved design for Kensington Tower located at approximately 89 E. 200 S., Design Review petition PLNPCM2019-00786 as proposed.

<u> Alternate Motions – Not Consistent with Staff Recommendation</u>

Motion to Approve with Conditions Modified by the Planning Commission:

Based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission approve the requested modifications to the previously approved design for the Kensington Tower located at approximately 89 E. 200 S., Design Review petition PLNPCM2019-00786 with the following modifications:

1. (List the conditions of approval that are to be modified, added, or removed. The Commission shall make findings to support this motion based on the standards of approval for any conditions of approval that are modified, added, or removed. The applicable Design Review standards are included in Attachment B of the Staff Report.)

Motion to Deny the Changes (Not Consistent with Staff Recommendation):

Based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission DENY the requested modifications to the previously approved design for the Kensington Tower located at approximately 89 E. 200 S., Design Review petition PLNPCM2019-00786 as proposed because evidence has not been presented that demonstrates the proposal complies with the following standards:

1. (The Planning Commission shall make findings on the standards and specifically state which standard or standards are not being complied with. Please see Attachment B in the Staff Report for the applicable Design Review standards.)