

# **MEMORANDUM**

PLANNING DIVISION DEPARTMENT of COMMUNITY and NEIGHBORHOODS

To:	Salt Lake City Planning Commission
From:	Linda Mitchell, Principal Planner, linda.mitchell@slcgov.com
Date:	June 3, 2020
Re:	PLNPCM2019-01079 – Conditional Use for a Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit

**BACKGROUND:** On May 13, 2020, the Planning Commission held a public hearing and reviewed a conditional use for a detached accessory dwelling unit at approximately 1020 S Lincoln Street. At that meeting, the Planning Commission closed the public hearing and there was considerable discussion about the existing basement use of the single-family dwelling.

A motion was made to table the petition with the Planning Commission directing Staff to conduct research and report back on the use determination of the principal building; specifically, if the basement level is a separate dwelling unit.

**DISCUSSION:** The applicant has submitted photographs of the interior space from the exterior entry to the basement level (<u>Attachment A</u>). The photographs show the basement level has a shared space between the sleeping area and living room with a kitchenette consisting of a small refrigerator and a microwave, plus a full bathroom. The basement level does have free flow access to the main floor. The interior door between the main level and basement level does not have a locking hardware. Therefore, there is no restriction of free flow between the spaces. A single-family dwelling and family are defined below.

**DWELLING, SINGLE-FAMILY**: A detached building containing only one dwelling unit surrounded by yards that is built on site or is a modular home dwelling that resembles site built dwellings. Mobile homes, travel trailers, housing mounted on self-propelled or drawn vehicles, tents, or other forms of temporary housing or portable housing are not included in this definition. <u>All living areas of a single-family dwelling shall be accessible and occupied by the entire family.</u>

**FAMILY**: A. One or more persons related by blood, marriage, adoption, or legal guardianship, including foster children, living together as a single housekeeping unit in a dwelling unit; or

B. A group of not more than three (3) persons not related by blood, marriage, adoption, or legal guardianship living together as a single housekeeping unit in a dwelling unit; or C. Two (2) unrelated persons and their children living together as a single housekeeping unit in a dwelling unit.

The term "family" shall not be construed to mean a club, group home, residential support dwelling, a lodge or a fraternity/sorority house.

In reviewing the submitted photographs from the applicant and current use with the abovementioned definitions, the basement would not be considered an additional dwelling unit. The applicant has showed that there is free flow (i.e., no locked doors) between the main level and PLNPCM2019-01079 June 3, 2020 basement level and that the occupants conform to the zoning definition of "family". Therefore, the principal building on the property is considered a single-family dwelling and is in compliance with the Section 21A.40.200E.1.a of the Zoning Ordinance that limits the number of ADUs on a property to one. The conditional use request remains the same as what was presented at the May 13<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Based on the information in the staff report, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use request as proposed, and subject to complying with the following conditions:

- 1. Compliance with all Department/Division comments and conditions as noted in Attachment H of the staff report (<u>Attachment B</u>).
- 2. The property owner shall comply with the registration process as outlined in section 21A.40.200F of the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance.
- 3. No responsible party shall operate or allow the operation of a short-term rental (i.e., less than 30 days) in the single-family dwelling and the accessory dwelling unit as defined in the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance.

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. <u>Photographs From The Applicant</u>
- B. May 13, 2020 Planning Commission Staff Report
- C. Minutes From May 13, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting

## ATTACHMENT A: PHOTOGRAPHS FROM THE APPLICANT

[This page is intentionally left blank]





















## ATTACHMENT B: MAY 13<sup>TH</sup> PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

[This page is intentionally left blank]



# **Staff Report**

PLANNING DIVISION DEPARTMENT of COMMUNITY and NEIGHBORHOODS

	-
To:	Salt Lake City Planning Commission
From:	Linda Mitchell, Principal Planner, linda.mitchell@slcgov.com
Date:	May 7, 2020
Re:	PLNPCM2019-01079 – Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit

## **Conditional Use**

PROPERTY ADDRESS:	1020 S Lincoln Street
PARCEL ID:	16-08-328-015-0000
MASTER PLAN:	Central Community – Low Density Residential
<b>ZONING DISTRICT:</b>	R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential

**REQUEST:** Andrea Palmer, Modal Living and property owner representative, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a detached accessory dwelling unit (ADU) in the rear yard on a property located at approximately 1020 S Lincoln Street. The detached ADU would have a building footprint of approximately 425 square feet. The property is zoned R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential, which requires Conditional Use approval for the construction of an ADU.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Based on the information in this staff report, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use request as proposed, and subject to complying with the following conditions:

- 1. Compliance with all Department/Division comments and conditions as noted in <u>Attachment H</u>.
- 2. The property owner shall comply with the registration process as outlined in section 21A.40.200F of the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance.

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. Vicinity Map
- B. Plans
- C. Additional Applicant Information
- D. Site Visit Photographs
- E. Analysis of Standards Accessory Dwelling Units
- F. <u>Analysis of Standards Conditional Use</u>
- G. Public Process and Comments
- H. <u>Department Review Comments</u>

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

This petition for a Conditional Use is for a detached ADU to be placed in the rear yard of the existing single-family dwelling located at approximately 1020 S Lincoln Street. The subject parcel is located on Lincoln Street, between Belmont Avenue and Herbert Avenue.



The proposed detached ADU would be located between the detached garage and single-family dwelling. The building footprint is approximately 425 square feet. It would be a one-story structure containing one (1) bedroom and one (1) bathroom with a flat roof measured approximately 11 feet 9 inches (11' - 9") in height. The primary exterior building material would be stained charcoal six inch wide (6") vertical wood siding. The proposed detached ADU has a wraparound deck with the entrance facing the south interior lot line (*Figure 1*). There is a clerestory window on the west elevation located approximately six feet (6') above the ground level that faces the alley (i.e., rear property line). In addition, there is six feet by three feet (6' x 3') sliding, double-pane window facing the south interior lot line. This window is similar in size and profile as the windows found on the principal structure. There is a pedestrian access through the proposed hard surface walkway with access from/to the alley and an additional pedestrian access through the existing [north] side gate to Lincoln Street (*Figure 2*).



Figure 1. South Elevation Rendering

The parking for the existing house is accommodated by two (2) off-street parking spaces in the detached garage and an additional parking on the shared easement, both accessed from the alley. As shown in *Figure 2* below, the shared easement is approximately 8-foot in width, with five feet (5') on the subject property and the remaining three feet (3') on the abutting property. Off-street parking is not required because the property is located within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile of a bus stop and street parking is available along the frontage of the property.



Figure 2. Site Plan

## **KEY CONSIDERATIONS:**

## 1. Parking Location

The Zoning Ordinance requires a minimum of one (1) off-street parking space for an ADU. The parking requirement may be waived if legal on-street parking space is available in the front of the property or the subject property is located within one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) mile of transit stop. In this case, the subject property is located within one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) mile of a transit stop, with the nearest bus stop located near the intersection of 900 East and Herbert Avenue and there is a legal street parking space available along the street frontage.

## 2. Shared Easement

During the early notification process, staff received an email from a neighbor (<u>Attachment G</u>) expressing concerns about the possible encroachment of the proposed ADU on a shared easement between the subject property and the abutting property to the north. According to the Warranty Deed (<u>Attachment C</u>) for the subject property, the right-of-way easement is eight-foot (8') wide, with five feet (5') over the subject property and three feet (3') over the abutting property to the north (see *Figure 2*). The proposed ADU is approximately 8'-8" from the abutting property to the north. Therefore, the proposed ADU does not encroach on to the right-of-way easement (i.e., shared easement).

## 3. Housing Goals

The proposed ADU is consistent with the goals and policies outlined within The Growing SLC Housing Plan. One of the goals outlined in the Housing Plan, includes; increasing housing options. Accessory dwelling units provide and promote diverse housing stock through providing additional units, while minimizing neighborhood impacts. The proposed ADU is in-line with the goals established within the Housing Plan. Housing goals are also addressed under Standard 3 within Attachment F.

## 4. Central Community Master Plan

The proposed ADU is consistent with the following Residential Land Use Goals/Policies included in the Central Community Master Plan:

- Encourage the creation and maintenance of a variety of housing opportunities that meet social needs and income levels of a diverse population.
- Ensure preservation of low-density residential neighborhoods.
- Encourage a mix of rental properties for those who cannot afford or do not choose home ownership (RLU-3.2).
- Support the efforts of the Housing Division and the Redevelopment Agency to provide residential construction in all qualifying neighborhoods within the Central Community (RLU3.5).

The proposed ADU is consistent with the Central Community Master Plans as discussed in Attachment F.

## PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW:

The property is zoned R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential. A Conditional Use approval is required for any ADUs that are located in a single-family zoning district. For complete analysis and findings for compliance with zoning standards for Accessory Dwelling Units and Conditional Use standards, please refer to <u>Attachment E</u> and <u>Attachment F</u>.

## **NEXT STEPS:**

## Approval of Conditional Use

If approved, the applicant may proceed with the project, subject to all conditions imposed by City departments and/or the Planning Commission and will be required to obtain all necessary permits. Building permits and certificate of occupancy for the building would only be issued contingent upon all conditions of approval are met, including the registration process requirements outlined in 21A.40.200F of the zoning ordinance.

## Denial of Conditional Use

State and City code require that a Conditional Use be approved if reasonable conditions can be imposed on the use to mitigate any reasonably anticipated detrimental effects of the use. A Conditional Use can only be denied if the Planning Commission finds that reasonably anticipated detrimental effects cannot be mitigated with the imposition of reasonable conditions.

If denied, the applicant would not be permitted to construct an ADU. An accessory building would be permitted on the property subject to compliance with the development standards and requirements of the R-1/5,000 zoning district; however, no portion of the building may be used as a dwelling unit.

## ATTACHMENT A: VICINITY MAP



PROJECT TEAM	., Salt Lake City, UT 84105		PROPERTY LINES IMAGINARY LINES. SETBACKS, ETC., U. N.O. 3. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS, WITTEN DIMENSIONS GOVERN. 4. SEE BUILDING PLANS FOR ALL OTHER NOTES AND DIMENSIONS NO SHOWN HERE: 5. NO PART OF RETAINING WALLS AND FOOTINGS SHALL ENCROACH INTO	
PROJECT OWNER Sandra Crow 1020 S Lincoln St. Salt Lake City, UT 84105 - CIVIL ENGINEER WARD ENGINEERING John Bond John Bond John Bond John S, Ste. A Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Ph. 801.487.8040	Attn: Andrea Palmer Attn: Ian Kap	ecture + Design EPIC Engineering CLASSIC EXCAVATION, LLC Ian Attn: John Riley RUSSELL D. JULEY sarchitecture.com jriley@epiceng.net russdjolley@hotmail.com 3341 S 4000 W, Suite D 4297 SCENIC ACRES DRIVE y, UT 84106 West Valley City, UT 84120 RIVERTON, UT 84096	ADJACENT PROPERTIES OR EASEMENTS     TO THE CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL ON THE CONTROL OF THE PARCEL AND BE RESPONSIBLE TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO DOWNER THE PARCEL AND BE RESPONSIBLE TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO DOWNER THE PARCEL AND BE RESPONSIBLE TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO DOWNER THE PARCEL AND BE RESPONSIBLE TO THE PULSE OF THE LITAH DOWNER OF AR CUALITY, 1801 359-4000.     If INO GAS UTILITY INDE ON METER ARE SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS THEN THERE IS NO GAS SERVICE PROVIDED TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT ELEMENTS THE PULSE OF THE UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT THERE IS NO GAS SERVICE PROVIDED TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT ELEMENTS THE ROUTE ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT ELEMENTS THE PULSE OF THE UTILITY SERVICE AND THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT THE PULSE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT THE PULSE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT THE PULSE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE THAT THE PULSE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.     ALL UTILITY TENDEMINE TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTION. AND THE POLYMENT AND THE PULSE PRAVIDED ON THE SERVICE ON THE	DIRENT & SPOCO TDUCT PO
VICINITY MAP	STELOORT OL.	SHEET INDEX GENERAL GOO1 TITLE SHEET, SITE PLAN GOO2 TITLE SHEET, SITE PLAN GOO3 TITLE SHEET, SITE	THE PROVIDED SITE PLAN IS DRAWN SCHEMATICALLY, IT IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR (GC) TO EXECUTE ANYIALL REQUIRED SITE UTITY A PREPARATION WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES & THE AUTHORITY HAVINS JURISDICTION (A/J), GENERALLY, THE GO SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COORDINATION, EXECUTION AND APPROVAL OF ALL WORK OUTSIDE OF THE MODULAR UNIT BUILDING ENVELOPE.	
		NOTES SCHEDULES  G003 ASSEMBLIES  CVIL  C00 CERTIFIED SURVEY  P101 PLUMBING GENERAL NOTES  STRUCTURAL S00 CERTIFIED SURVEY  P101 PLUMBING ASANITARY PLANS, DETALS 8  STRUCTURAL S00 CENTRAL LANS ED01 ELECTRICAL SUBJECT ED03 ELECTRICAL SUBJECT ED03 ELECTRICAL SUBJECT ED04 ELECTRICAL SUBJECT ED05	LEGEND - SITE PLAN         MODULAR BUILDING FOOTPRINT         EXISTING STRUCTURE POOTPRINT         NEW DECKISTAIRS FOOTPRINT         ROOF OVERHANG ABOVE         EXISTING DRIVEWAY         DEMOLISH EXISTING STRUCTURE/HARDSCAPE         PROPERTY LINE         SETBACK LINES         FIRE HOSE PULLHYDRANT DISTANCE         APPROX EXISTING SEWEEL LINE - CONTRATOR TO         PROPOX EXISTING SEWER LINE - CONTRATOR TO	State 2 Control Action 1
PROJECT INFORMA address: Parcel record #: authority w/ jurisdiction: site area:	TION 1020 S Lincoln Street Sait Lake City, UT 84105 16083280150000 Sait Lake City .14 ACRES (5,894 SF)	NOTICE TO ALL: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF EACH AND EVERY CONTRACTOR, SUB-CONTRACTOR, AND/OR TRADE TO VERRY ALL ASPECTS OF THESE DRAWINGS AND TO MAKE ANY AND ALL CORRECTIONS, ALTERATIONS, AND/OR CHANGES NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH ALL CODE REQUIREMENTS, LOCAL REGULATIONS, AND PROPER DESIGN CRITERIA, UPON NOTIFICATION OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND DESIGNER AND TO ASSURE THE FUNCTION AND/OR OPERATION OF THE SAME, TO A REASONABLE STANDARD, AS REQUIRED BY LAW.	APPROX. NEW SEWER LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APPROX. EXISTING WATER LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APROX. EXISTING WATER LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APROX. EXISTING GAS LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APPROX. EXISTING GAS LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APPROX. EXISTING OVERHEAD ELECTRICAL' TELECOMMUNICATIONS LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE FER CODE     APPROX. NEW BELECTRICAL' TELECOMMUNICATIONS	EXISTING SINGLE
DESCRIPTION: ZONING: CONSTRUCTION TYPE: ZONING INFORMAT	MODULAR DETACHED ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT TO BE ADDED TO EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE PROPERTY. R-1-5000 VB (WOOD FRAME)	APPLICABLE CODES: ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING CODES: 2015 INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) AS AMENDED BY THE STATE OF UTAH 2018 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE (IFC)	APPROX.NEW ELECTRICAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS LINE-CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCAT: TRENCH & INSTALLATION SEPARATION PER UTILITY REQUIREMENTS & COCE FIRE SPRINKLING LINE - CONTRACTOR TO FIELD LOCATE - TRENCH & INSTALLATION SEPARATION FER UTILITY REQUIREMENTS & CODE. FIRE LINE TO BE 2- INCH FOR 13D SYSTEMS AND 4-INCH FOR 13R SYSTEMS	
SURFACE COVERAGE: 40% Lot Size: 5,85 Structure Coverage: Existing House: 988 Existing Garage: 410 New: 425	) <u>- SF</u> 23 SF (31%)	DEFERRED SUBMITTALS: DOCUMENTS FOR DEFERED SUBMITTAL ITEMS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO RANGE ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN, WHO SHALL REVIEW AND FORWARD THEM TO THE BUILDING OFFICIAL WITH A NOTATION INDICIATION THAT THE DEFERRED SUBMITTAL DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AND		EXERTING A VICEO TRACE IN 9 KR II. TO , HOINT HIBIN & BLOOD, A (CROMEN, MICH FILENDA & CROMEN, MICH
PARKING: *1 *No SIZE: 50% Existing House: 988 Proposed: 425	SF (43% of existing)	HAVE BEEN FOUND TO BE IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE TO THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT. THE DEFERRED SUBMITTAL ITEMS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE DESIGN AND SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY THE BUILDING OFFICIAL. THE FOLLOWING ARE DEFERRED SUBMITTAL ITEMS: 1. FIRE SPRINKLING SYSTEM		
SURFACE COVERAGE 50% Rear Yard Size: 3,47 Structure coverage: 835 Existing Garage: 410 New ADU: 425	6 MAX OF REAR YARD YES 78 SF 5 SF (24%) 5 SF			ANGLOSO (TRO IN POMAR, STOL) ANGLOSO (TRO IN POMAR, STOL) ANGLOSO (TRO IN POMAR, STOL) ANGLOSO (TRO IN POMAR) ANGLOSO (TRO
Side: *4	YES			UTLITY WORK IF RESZD H PUBLIC IR D W





PLNPCM2019-01079

NERRAL NOTES - FLOOR PLAN           Scheduler - Sched	And	
	MODAL 01 ADU – CROW MODAL 01 ADU – CROW 1020 S Lincoln St., Salt Lake City, UT 84705	
—(B) — (C) «	PLOT DATE CHEVENE PROJECT 2009 DRAWN BY XHINE CHECKED BY CHAR SHEET TITLE FLOOR, ROOF, FOUNDATION PLANS	
$\bigcirc$	A200	

ACTION THE SOLE A

EXTENT

D THEIR CONSULTANTS. " SUBJECT TO THE FULL

AND S IS









# ATTACHMENT C: ADDITIONAL APPLICANT INFORMATION

066480	
File No. 104258SL Mail Tax Notices To: Sandra Crow 1020 S. Lincoln Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84105	11066480 11/2/2010 10:53:00 AM \$12.00 Book - 9875 Pg - 967 Gary W. Ott Recorder, Salt Lake County, UT ADVANCED TITLE SLC BY: eCASH, DEPUTY - EF 1 P.
WARRAN	TY DEED
Joseph E. Geertsen Memorial United Trust dat August, 1994, John Robert Geertsen, Successo	
of Salt Lake County, State of Utah, hereby Conveys	and Warrants to
Sandra Crow,	GRANTEE
for the sum of Ten and no/00 and other good and valuable consideration the follow of Utah:	DOLLARS ing described tract of land in Salt Lake County, State
	nt Subdivision. Subject to and together with a Right of Way over: d Lot 35 and running thence North 8 feet; thence West 157 feet; Beginning.
Tax 1.D.: 16-08-328-015	
Subject to all covenants, conditions and restri	ctions, casements, and right of ways of record.
WITNESS the hand of said Grantor, this day	of November, 2010.
John Rolt Dear Ochn Robert Geertsen, Successor Trustec	
STATE OF UTAH ) :SS COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )	
On the $\underline{1^2}$ day of November, 2010, personally ap Trustee of the Joseph E. Geertsen Memorial United the within instrument, who duly acknowledged to me	l'rust dated the 23rd day of August, 1994, the signer of
My Commission Expires: 721 u Notary Publi Residing in	c SACT LATOR County, Utah
	MARCEL GILES NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF UTAH My Comm. Exp. 07/21/2011 Commission # 570008
	BK 9875 PG 967

## **ATTACHMENT D: SITE VISIT PHOTOGRAPHS**





Top Left: Rear yard facing the rear building facades

## Top Right: Rear yard facing the adjacent property to the south

**Bottom Left:** View of shared easement from alley

Bottom Right: View of shared easement facing towards the alley



PLNPCM2019-01079

## ATTACHMENT E: ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS -ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS

## 21A.40.200: Accessory Dwelling Units

21A.40.200: Accessory Dwellin	- 0	
Standard	Proposed	Findings
Size An ADU shall not have a footprint that is greater than fifty percent (50%) of the footprint of the principal dwelling, and shall not exceed six hundred fifty (650) square feet (SF).	Principal dwelling is approximately 988 SF. Fifty percent (50%) of principal dwelling equals approximately 494 SF. Proposed ADU is approximately <b>425 SF</b> .	Complies
Maximum Coverage The surface coverage of all principal and accessory buildings shall not exceed forty percent (40%) of the lot. [Rear] Yard Coverage: In residential districts, any portion of an accessory building, excluding hoop houses, greenhouses, and cold frames associated solely with growing food and/or plants, shall occupy not more than fifty percent (50%) of the total area located between the rear façade of the principal building and the rear lot line.	Lot size is approximately 5,894 SF. Forty percent (40%) of the lot is approximately 2,358 SF. Primary Dwelling: 988 SF Detached Garage: 410 SF Proposed ADU: 425 SF Total Coverage: 1,823 SF The surface coverage of all principal and accessory buildings (including the proposed ADU) is approximately <b>31%</b> of the lot. Rear yard area: 3,478 SF Detached Garage: 410 SF Proposed ADU: 425 SF Yard Coverage: <b>24%</b>	Complies
Building HeightThe maximum height of an accessorybuilding containing an ADU shall notexceed the height of the single familydwelling on the property or exceedseventeen feet (17') in height, whicheveris less.Side or Rear Yard SetbacksNew Accessory Buildings [ADU] shall	Height of proposed ADU is approximately <b>11' - 9</b> ". Side [North] Lot Line: <b>8' - 8"</b> Side [South] Lot Line: <b>15' - 6</b> <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> "	Complies
be located a minimum of four feet (4') from any side or rear lot line. Separation All ADUs [located in an accessory building] shall be located a minimum of ten feet (10') from the single family dwelling located on the same parcel and any single family dwelling on an adjacent property.	Rear [West] Lot Line: <b>39' - 2</b> <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> " The proposed ADU is approximately <b>15' - 6</b> " from the principal dwelling on the same parcel. The nearest single-family dwelling on an adjacent property is approximately <b>19'</b> .	Complies

<ul> <li>Entrance Locations The entrance to an ADU in an accessory building shall be located: </li> <li>(1) Facing a side or rear property line provided the entrance is located a minimum of ten feet (10') from the side or rear property line. </li> </ul>	The entrance for the proposed ADU is oriented towards the side [south] property line. The entrance is approximately <b>15' - 6</b> <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> " from the side property line.	Complies
<ul> <li>Requirement for Windows</li> <li>Windows on an accessory building containing an ADU shall comply with the following standards:</li> <li>(1) Windows shall be no larger than necessary to comply with the minimum Building Code requirements for egress where required.</li> <li>(2) Skylights, clerestory windows, or obscured glazing shall be used when facing a side or rear property line to comply with minimum Building Code requirements for air and light on building elevations that are within ten feet (10') of a side or rear property line unless the side or rear property line is adjacent to an alley.</li> <li>(3) Except as required in subsection E3g(1) of this section, windows shall maintain a similar dimension and design as the windows found on the principal structure.</li> </ul>	There is a high clerestory window for natural lighting on the west building elevation facing the rear property line. The dimension is approximately 6'-0" wide by 1'-8" high. There is a 6' by 3' sliding, double- pane window facing the side [south] property line. This window is similar in size and profile as the windows found on the principal structure.	Complies
<ul> <li>Parking An ADU shall require a minimum of one on-site parking space. The parking requirement may be waived if: <ol> <li>Legally located on street parking is available along the street frontage of the subject property; or</li> <li>The subject property is located within one-quarter (¼) mile of transit stop.</li> </ol></li></ul>		Complies

## ATTACHMENT F: ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS – CONDITIONAL USE

## 21A.54.080: Standards for Conditional Uses

A conditional use shall be approved unless the planning commission, or in the case of administrative conditional uses, the planning director or designee, concludes that the following standards cannot be met:

1. The use complies with applicable provisions of this title;

**Analysis:** The proposed ADU use is located in the R-1/5,000 zoning district, which allows for an ADU to be approved through the conditional use process, subject to meeting the specific regulations for an ADU in section 21A.40.200 of the zoning ordinance. As analyzed in <u>Attachment</u> <u>E</u>, the ADU complies with the requirements of 21A.40.200.

**Finding:** The proposed use will comply with the applicable provisions of the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance.

2. The use is compatible, or with conditions of approval can be made compatible, with surrounding uses;

**Analysis:** The proposed ADU use is a small residential unit that should be readily compatible with surrounding uses, which are all single-family homes. The proposed ADU meets all the requirements in terms of setbacks and separation requirements between adjacent houses and the principal dwelling on the property.

Finding: The proposed use is compatible with the surrounding uses.

3. The use is consistent with applicable adopted city planning policies, documents, and master plans; and

**Analysis:** The proposal is located in the East Liberty Park neighborhood within the East Central South neighborhood planning area as established by the Central Community Master Plan. The master plan designates the future land use of this area as low density residential and the existing zoning on the property is R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential.

The purpose of the R-1/5,000 Single-Family Residential District is to provide for conventional single-family residential neighborhoods. Uses are intended to be compatible with the existing scale and intensity of the neighborhood. The standards for the district are intended to provide for safe and comfortable places to live and play, promote sustainable and compatible development patterns and to preserve the existing character of the neighborhood.

The purpose of accessory dwelling units are to:

- 1) Create new housing units while respecting the appearance and scale of single-family residential development;
- 2) Provide more housing choices in residential districts;

- 3) Allow more efficient use of existing housing stock, public infrastructure, and the embodied energy contained within existing structures;
- 4) Provide housing options for family caregivers, adult children, aging parents, and families seeking smaller households;
- 5) Offer a means for residents, particularly seniors, single parents, and families with grown children, to remain in their homes and neighborhoods, and obtain extra income, security, companionship, and services;
- 6) Broaden the range of affordable housing throughout the City;
- 7) Support sustainability objectives by increasing housing close to jobs, schools, and services, thereby reducing greenhouse gas emissions and fossil fuel consumption;
- 8) Support transit-oriented development and reduce auto usage by increasing density near transit; and
- 9) Support the economic viability of historic properties and the City's historic preservation goals by allowing accessory dwellings in historic structures.

The proposed ADU is consistent with the following Residential Land Use Goals included in the Central Community Master Plan:

- Encourage the creation and maintenance of a variety of housing opportunities that meet social needs and income levels of a diverse population.
- Ensure preservation of low-density residential neighborhoods.
- Encourage a mix of rental properties for those who cannot afford or do not choose home ownership.
- Support the efforts of the Housing Division and the Redevelopment Agency to provide residential construction in all qualifying neighborhoods within the Central Community.

The proposal is also consistent with the goals and policies outlined in *Growing SLC: A Five Year Housing Plan* which aims to increase housing options, promote diverse housing stock, and allow for additional units while minimizing neighborhood impacts.

**Finding:** The uses are consistent with applicable adopted city planning policies, documents, and master plans.

4. The anticipated detrimental effects of a proposed use can be mitigated by the imposition of reasonable conditions (refer to Detrimental Effects Determination analysis below).

## 21A.54.080B: Detrimental Effects Determination

In analyzing the anticipated detrimental effects of a proposed use, the planning commission, or in the case of administrative conditional uses, the planning director or designee, shall determine compliance with each of the following:

Standards	Finding	Rationale
1. This title specifically authorizes the use where it is located;	Complies	The proposed ADU is an accessory residential use and is allowed as a conditional use within the R-1/5,000 zoning district. The proposed ADU complies with all specific regulations for an ADU including size, height,

		setbacks, distance to other houses, etc. as outlined in <u>Attachment E.</u>
2. The use is consistent with applicable policies set forth in adopted citywide, community, and small area master plans and future land use maps;	Complies	The uses are located in an area zoned and designated by the associated master plan for low density residential. This land use designation allows moderate sized lots (i.e., 3,000-10,000 square feet) where single-family detached homes are the dominant land use. Low-density includes single- family attached and detached dwellings as permissible on a single residential lot subject to zoning requirements.
3. The use is well suited to the character of the site, and adjacent uses as shown by an analysis of the intensity, size, and scale of the use compared to existing uses in the surrounding area;	Complies	Uses surrounding the subject property are generally single-family residential properties. The residential lots in this area are large enough to provide separation between the proposed ADU and the principal dwelling on the property as well as adjacent primary residences. The proposal complies with the size requirements for an ADU, which can be up to 50% of the footprint of the primary house up to 650 SF and is compatible with the scale of surrounding accessory buildings and adjacent uses.
4. The mass, scale, style, design, and architectural detailing of the surrounding structures as they relate to the proposed have been considered;	Complies	As discussed above, the scale of the proposal is compatible with the principal dwelling on the property as well as surrounding structures. The proposal also meets the building footprint and height requirements for an ADU. An ADU may have a maximum of 17 feet; however, the proposed ADU would have a building height of 11'-8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ". The proposed ADU is located in the rear yard of the site that minimizes impacts to adjacent properties.
5. Access points and driveways are designed to minimize grading of natural topography, direct vehicular traffic onto major streets, and not impede traffic flows;	Complies	The subject property has a shared easement with the adjacent property to the north with access from Lincoln Street and the alley. The proposed ADU will utilize the legally located on- street parking. No new access points are proposed and the proposal would not impede traffic flows.
6. The internal circulation system is designed to mitigate adverse impacts on adjacent property from motorized,	Complies	The proposed ADU would be accessible from Lincoln Street and alley. The proposed ADU is also located within ¼ mile of the transit stops located near the intersection of

nonmotorized, and pedestrian traffic;		900 East and Herbert Avenue and has legally located on-street parking. It is not anticipated that the proposed ADU would create any adverse impacts in terms of motorized, nonmotorized and pedestrian traffic.
7. The site is designed to enable access and circulation for pedestrian and bicycles;	Complies	The site is designed for pedestrian and bicycle access. There is a path from the parking space to the proposed ADU and a proposed pedestrian walkway to/from the alley.
8. Access to the site does not unreasonably impact the service level of any abutting or adjacent street;	Complies	There is vehicular access to the site. No unreasonable impacts to the service level of the adjacent streets is anticipated.
9. The location and design of off- street parking complies with applicable standards of this code;	Not Applicable	Off-street parking is not required because the property is located within <sup>1</sup> /4 mile of a bus stop and street parking is available along the frontage of the property.
10. Utility capacity is sufficient to support the use at normal service levels;	Complies	The Public Utilities department provided comments on the project. As proposed, the utility plan would be reviewed for compliance during building permit review.
11. The use is appropriately screened, buffered, or separated from adjoining dissimilar uses to mitigate potential use conflicts;	Complies	The proposed ADU may result in increased activity in the rear yard of the subject property. This must be taken into account for potential impacts to the abutting properties that appear to be single family residences. The property currently has a solid wood fence and chain-link fence along the property lines, and this provides screening from the adjacent properties.
12. The use meets city sustainability plans, does not significantly impact the quality of surrounding air and water, encroach into a river or stream, or introduce any hazard or environmental damage to any adjacent property, including cigarette smoke;	Complies	The project supports sustainability objectives by increasing housing close to jobs, schools, and services, thereby reducing greenhouse gas emissions and fossil fuel consumption.
13. The hours of operation and delivery of the use are compatible with surrounding uses;	Complies	The proposed use is an accessory residential structure and is compatible with the surrounding uses that are also residential.

14. Signs and lighting are compatible with, and do not negatively impact surrounding uses; and	Complies	Signs are not associated with this proposal. Any lighting on the accessory structure is not expected to have a negative impact on the surrounding uses or otherwise cause a nuisance.
15. The proposed use does not undermine preservation of historic resources and structures.	Complies	The property is not located within a Local Historic District and the proposal does not involve removal or any historic resources or structures.

**Finding:** In analyzing the anticipated detrimental effects of the proposed ADU, Staff finds that with the conditions identified in the analysis, the request complies with the criteria listed above.

## ATTACHMENT G: PUBLIC PROCESS AND COMMENTS

## **Meetings:**

• <u>December 9, 2019</u> – Notice of the project was provided to the East Liberty Park Community Organization (ELPCO) as well as property owners and residents within 300 feet of the subject property. ELPCO did not ask the applicant or staff to present or provide formal input on the proposal.

## **Public Notice:**

- Early notice of application mailed on December 9, 2019
- Public hearing notice mailed on May 1, 2020
- Public hearing sign posted on the property on May 1, 2020
- Agenda posted on the Planning Division and Utah Public Meeting Notice websites on May 1, 2020

## **Public Comments:**

Staff has received three (3) public comments regarding the proposal, two (2) emails and one (1) phone call. The phone call and an email had general questions regarding the proposal and did not indicate a position. The other email received was concerned about the potential encroachment of the proposed ADU on a shared easement between the subject property and adjacent property to the north.

Any public comments received up to the public hearing meeting will be forwarded to the Planning Commission and included in the public record.

#### Mitchell, Linda

From:	Martha Martin <
Sent:	Saturday, March 21, 2020 6:59 PM
То:	Mitchell, Linda
Subject:	(EXTERNAL) Conditional use at approximately 1020 S Lincoln St
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

Hi Linda,

I would like more information concerning the Conditional Use approval at approximately 1020 S Lincoln St. The petition number is PLNPCM2019-01079. I live across the alleyway from this property. Thank you,

Martha Martin



1

Mitchell, Linda

From:	Sharen Hauri < > > Friday, December 20, 2019 8:55 AM
Sent:	Mitchell. Linda
To: Subject:	(EXTERNAL) Conditional Use permit - 1020 S Lincoln Stree propety has the driveway in front and Sandra's has the
Attachments:	rear. t - neighbor comment HauriOrtman Deed.pdf
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Hi Linda,

We received a copy of Sandra Crow's petition for an ADU.

We are Sandy's neighbors to the north at **an expectation**. We are not opposed to the ADU, but we want to protect and respect a property easement between us. We have an unusual private driveway/alley between our two properties. It is a 10' wide strip from the front of the property to the back. It has been used as a driveway for both of us. We gave both fenced the sides of this driveway. We use the front (east) and she uses the back (west).

We both use this easement area and in particular, the rear (west) end of it is essential for either one of us using our garages, which point toward one another (not onto the alley), and have an area in between for pulling in and out of our garages. We park two cars in our garage every day. Sandy uses her garage less frequently. We cannot use our garage if this area is not kept clear. I want to be that the easement is not encroached on, and access is not compromised with either a structure or parking.

Also, the drawings show the ADU location extending beyond (north of) the easement line and current fence line. Because this ADU sits along our side yard, it is very visible from our home, and a large bank of kitchen windows)--the closest point to the ADU and most frequently used space in our house. I did review the zoning on how the ADU needs to be placed as a setback from a ROW or alley. I did not see how an easement is considered in the zoning, so I am not clear what is required in a case like this. It would help for the setback requirement to be used on the easement, as if it were the property line, and for the ADU to be behind the current fence line.

My deed describing the easement is attached.

Thank you and please respond with how this affects the application, Sharen Hauri

1

10700458 05/12/2009 02:25 PM \$14-00 Book - 9722 Ps - 634-635 GARY W- OTT RECORDER, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH UNITED TITLE SERVICES BY: ZJM, DEPUTY - WI 2 P.

United Title Services \ 00212951

MAIL TAX NOTICE TO TREVOR F. ORTMAN 1016 SOUTH LINCOLN STREET SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84105

## Warranty Deed

SHAREN D. HAURI AND TREVOR F. ORTMAN, Grantor

of SALT LAKE CITY, County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, hereby CONVEY and WARRANT to

TREVOR F. ORTMAN AND SHAREN D. HAURI, husband and wife

Grantee of for the sum of Ten Dollars and other good and valuable consideration the following described tract(s) of land in Salt Lake, State of UTAH:

Lot 34 and the North half of Lot 35, Block 2, BELMONT SUBDIVISION, according to the official plat thereof on file and of record in the Salt Lake County Recorder's Office.

Together with a Right of Way over the following described property:

Commencing 7.5 feet North of the Southeast corner of said Lot 35 and running thence North 10 feet; thence West 157 feet; thence South 10 feet; thence East 157 feet to the point of beginning.

Tax Parcel Number:

Subject to easements, restrictions and rights of way appearing of record or enforceable by law or equity and 2008 taxes and thereafter.

SDD02UT Rev. 08/24/07

## **ATTACHMENT H: DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMENTS**

## **Fire** (Doug Bateman at <u>douglas.bateman@slcgov.com</u>)

Fire apparatus access road shall extend to within 150-feet of all portions of the building(s) and all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of the building(s) as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the building.

Exception: There are not more than two Group R-3 or Group U occupancies

#### **Transportation** (Michael Barry at <u>michael.barry@slcgov.com</u> or 801-535-7147)

One additional parking space is required for the ADU. It appears that the additional parking space is located on street, which is acceptable.

## Public Utilities (Jason Draper at jason.draper@slcgov.com or 801-483-6751)

DRT Comments cover public utilities.

Conditional Use approval does **not** provide plan approval or utility permits.

Complete plans must be submitted and reviewed by Salt Lake City Public Utilities.

## **Building** (Jason Rogers at jason.rogers@slcgov.com or 801-535-7642)

Plans are incomplete to review for a full Building Code Screening -

Stamped design of the foundation and structure with a calculation for loads, wind, snow, lateral, live, dead are required. Full roof/ceiling design, A "REScheck" for energy efficiency compliant. ES reports for the materials used, interior layouts with full dimensions of rooms showing electrical, plumbing, and mechanical criteria for 2015 IRC are needed// Submittal to be in comparison of a new home requirements.

Planning (Planning (Linda Mitchell at <u>linda.mitchell@slcgov.com</u> or 801-535-7751)

Show and identify the right-of-way easement as described in the Warranty Deed No. 11066480 (BK 9875 PG 967).
# ATTACHMENT C: MINUTES FROM MAY 13, 2020 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

[This page is intentionally left blank]

# SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING This meeting was held electronically pursuant to Salt Lake City Emergency Proclamation No. 2 of 2020 (2)(b) Wednesday, May 13, 2020

A roll is being kept of all who attended the Planning Commission Meeting. The meeting was called to order at 5:40:34 PM. Audio recordings of the Planning Commission meetings are retained for a period of time.

Present for the Planning Commission meeting were: Chairperson Adrienne Bell, Vice Chairperson Brenda Scheer; Commissioners Maurine Bachman, Amy Barry, Jon Lee, Matt Lyon, Andres Paredes, Sara Urquhart and Crystal Young-Otterstrom. Commissioner Carolynn Hoskins was excused.

Planning Staff members present at the meeting were: Nick Norris, Planning Division Director; Molly Robinson, Planning Manager; John Anderson, Planning Manager; Paul Nielson, Attorney; Linda Mitchell, Principal Planner; Mayara Lima, Principal Planner; David Gellner, Principal Planner; Eric Daems, Senior Planner; Casey Stewart, Senior Planner; Nannette Larsen, Principal Planner; and Marlene Rankins, Administrative Secretary.

Molly Robinson, Planning Manager, provided participation options and instructions to the public.

# APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 22, 2020, MEETING MINUTES. 5:44:11 PM

MOTION <u>5:44:25 PM</u>

Commissioner Lyon moved to approve the April 22, 2020, meeting minutes.

Commissioner Lee seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Paredes, Scheer, Urquhart, and Young-Otterstrom voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

# REPORT OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR 5:45:19 PM

Chairperson Bell stated she had nothing to report.

Vice Chairperson Scheer stated she had nothing to report.

# **REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR** <u>5:45:30 PM</u>

Molly Robinson, Planning Manager, stated she had nothing to report.

# <u>5:45:57 PM</u>

<u>Conditional Use ADU at approximately 1020 S Lincoln Street</u> - Andrea Palmer, property owner representative, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a detached 425 square feet accessory dwelling unit (ADU) on a property located at approximately 1020 S Lincoln Street. The property is zoned R-1/5,000 Single Family Residential and is located within Council District 5, represented by Darin Mano. (Staff Contact: Linda Mitchell at (801) 535-7751 or linda.mitchell@slcgov.com) **Case number PLNPCM2019-01079** 

Linda Mitchell, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use with the conditions listed in the staff report.

The Commission and Staff discussed the following:

• Clarification on owner occupancy

- Whether basement was being used as an air bnb
- Condition of alley
- Clarification on easement photos

Andrea Palmer and Ian Kaplan, Modal Living, were available for questions.

The Commission and Applicant discussed the following:

- Whether there is conformation that the property is owner occupied
- Clarification on whether the basement is currently being rented

#### PUBLIC HEARING 6:00:57 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Darryl High, Community Council – Provided an email stating opposition of the request.

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support in the request.

Amie R – Asked; How and when are the percentages sent for filling up the yards with structures and how broadly does that apply for neighborhoods?

Sharen Hauri – Provided an email stating her support of the project.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

The Commission and Staff further discussed the following:

• Clarification on lot coverage and what percentage will be covered

# MOTION <u>6:17:24 PM</u>

Commissioner Lyon stated, I move to table this item. I'd like staff to look into the rental unit on the property to see if it is a separate dwelling unit.

Commissioner Urquhart seconded the motion. Commissioners Young-Otterstrom, Urquhart, Scheer, Paredes, Lyon, Lee, Barry, and Bachman voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

#### <u>6:18:45 PM</u>

<u>Conditional Use ADU at approximately 1371 South 500 East</u> - Alexis Suggs, property owner representative, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a detached 650 square foot accessory dwelling unit (ADU) on a property located at approximately 1371 South 500 East. The property is zoned R-1/5,000 Single Family Residential and is located within Council District 5, represented by Darin Mano. (Staff Contact: Linda Mitchell at (801) 535-7751 or linda.mitchell@slcgov.com) **Case number PLNPCM2019-01147** 

Linda Mitchell, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use request with the conditions listed in the staff report.

Alexis Suggs, applicant, was available for questions.

# PUBLIC HEARING 6:23:42 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request.

Amie R – Asked through the Webex Q&A: Whether ADU's permitted as hobby shops.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

The Commission and Staff further discussed the following:

#### MOTION <u>6:32:39 PM</u>

Commissioner Bachman stated, based on the findings listed in the staff report, the information presented, and input received during the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use request (PLNPCM2019-01147) as proposed, subject to complying with the conditions listed in the staff report.

Commissioner Scheer seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Paredes, Scheer, Urquhart, and Young-Otterstrom voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

#### <u>6:33:52 PM</u>

<u>Conditional Use ADU at approximately 613 S Emery Street</u> - Andrea Palmer, representing the property owner, is requesting Conditional Use approval for a detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) at approximately 613 S Emery Street. The applicant is proposing a one-bedroom structure with a building footprint of approximately 432 square feet. The structure will be approximately 11 feet 8 inches in height and located in the rear of the lot. The property is zoned R-1/5000 Single-Family Residential and is located within Council District 2, represented by Andrew Johnston. (Staff Contact: Mayara Lima at (801) 535-7118 or mayara.lima@slcgov.com) Case number PLNPCM2020-00107

Mayara Lima, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the request with the conditions listed in the staff report.

Ian Kaplan, applicant, was available for questions.

The Commission, Staff and Applicant discussed the following:

• Clarification on what would constitute a denial of a land use application

#### PUBLIC HEARING 6:48:08 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request.

Michael Nemelka- Provided an email comment stating his opposition because of the increase in on-street parking.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

#### **MOTION** <u>6:55:08 PM</u>

Commissioner Scheer stated, based on the information listed in the staff report, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Commission approve the request for Conditional Use for an ADU at 613 S Emery, as presented in petition PLNPCM2020-00107, with the conditions listed in the staff report.

Commissioner Bachman seconded the motion. Commissioners Young-Otterstrom, Urquhart, Scheer, Paredes, Lyon, Lee, Barry, and Bachman voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

#### <u>6:56:32 PM</u>

**Zephyr Lofts Design Review at approximately 360 West 200 South** - Micah Peters, property owner, is requesting Design Review approval for a 138-unit apartment building on a 0.73-acre lot located at approximately 360 W 200 S in the D-4 – Downtown Secondary Central Business District. The building is proposed to be eighty-five feet tall. Buildings in excess of seventy-five feet tall in the D-4 district are allowed through the Design Review process with Planning Commission approval. The subject property is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdemoros. (Staff Contact: David J. Gellner at (801) 535-6107 or david.gellner@slcgov.com) **Case number PLNPCM2020-00067** 

David Gellner, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). He stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the request with the conditions listed in the staff report.

Micah Peters and Julia Oderda, applicants, provided a presentation with further detailed information.

The Commission, Applicant and Staff discussed the following:

- Walkway height and width
- Clarification on how the walkway will be identified visually
- Materials and paving used for the walkway
- Access driveway and pedestrian use
- Pedestrian walkway and how to make it feel safe & inviting
- Landscaping near pedestrian walkway and along frontage
- Street frontage design & ADA ramp
- Design of the retail space on street level
- Building wrapping continuing into courtyards
- Warehouse National Historic District
- Exterior materials, color and integrity

#### PUBLIC HEARING 7:37:29 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request.

Tucker Samuelsen – Stated his support of the request.

John Benson – Provided an email comment stating his opposition of the request.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

The Commission and Staff further discussed the following:

- Clarification on the applicants request of additional height
- Character of the building
- Clarification on standards on height
- Colors and materials being proposed to be used
- Clarification on the commission purview over the project

#### MOTION <u>8:04:11 PM</u>

Commissioner Urquhart stated, based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission approve the proposed Design Review application for additional building height, file PLNPCM2020-00067 for the Zephyr Lofts Apartments located at approximately 360 W 200 S. This recommendation is based on the conditions of approval listed in the staff report. Final details regarding these conditions of approval are delegated to planning staff.

Commissioner Lyon seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Paredes, and Urquhart voted "Aye". Commissioners Scheer and Young-Otterstrom voted "Nay". The motion passed 6-2.

<u>8:06:09 PM</u> The commission took a small break.

#### <u>8:11:07 PM</u>

<u>Crossing at 9th Design Review at approximately 880, 876, & 866 West 200 South and 181, 175, 151, 153, & 141 South 900 West</u> – Gary Knapp, with JZW Architects, representing the property owner, is requesting approval of modifications to the design standards to construct a new mixed-use development at 880, 876, & 866 West 200 South and 181, 175, 151, 153, & 141 South 900 West. The standards proposed to be modified include the maximum length of a street-facing facade (360' where 200' would be required) and the maximum spacing between operable building entrances (50' where 40' would be required). The project site is located in the TSA-UN-T (Transit Station Area Urban Neighborhood- Transitional) zoning district and is located within Council District 2, represented by Andrew Johnston (Staff Contact: Eric Daems at (801) 535-7236 or eric.daems@slcgov.com) Case number PLNPCM2020-00015

Eric Daems, Senior Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). He stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the request with the conditions listed in the staff report.

The Commission and Staff discussed the following:

Clarification on the mixed use

Gary Knapp, applicant, and Joe Johnson, property owner, provided a presentation with further design details.

#### PUBLIC HEARING 8:40:02 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Michael Fife – Raised concern with the length of the proposal and stated his opposition.

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request.

Tyler Cole – Questioned whether there would be additional traffic impacts and anticipated parking impacts.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

The applicant addressed the public's questions.

#### MOTION <u>8:55:48 PM</u>

Commissioner Lyon stated, based on the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission deny PLNPCM2020-00015 Design Review for the Crossing at 9th mixed-use development because the evidence presented demonstrates proposal does not comply with standards:

- 1. D Large building masses shall be divided into heights and sizes that relate to human scale;
- 2. E Facades faces exceed the length of the building, don't include sufficient massing changes and material changes to break up that plane.

Commissioner Barry seconded the motion. Commissioners Young-Otterstrom, Urquhart, Scheer, Paredes, Lyon, Lee, Barry, and Bachman voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

# <u>8:57:44 PM</u>

<u>Granary on 9 Planned Development at approximately 110 West 900 South</u> – Alec Harwin of Sojourn Development SLC. LLC, is requesting Planned Development approval petition for a proposed mixed-use development at approximately 110 West 900 South. The proposal includes two separate principal buildings with a total of 19 residential units and two commercial units. The buildings will be approximately 40 feet tall. The reason for planned development review is for vehicles backing into the adjacent alley and for vehicle parking occupying more than 25 percent of the front facade length. The site is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdemoros (Staff contact: Casey Stewart at (801) 535-6260 or casey.stewart@slcgov.com) **Case number PLNSUB2019-01033** 

Casey Stewart, Senior Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). He stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission approve the request as proposed.

The Commission and Staff discussed the following:

- Clarification on whether the units are townhouses
- Main entrance location

Gonzolo Calquin, applicant, provided further design details.

The Commission and Applicant discussed the following:

- Clarification on garage locations for the residential units
- Architectural treatment
- Pedestrian engagement

#### PUBLIC HEARING 9:20:31 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Paul Johnson, Community Council – Has no problems with cars backing into the alley and they appreciate the creative use of the alley.

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request and the use of the alley.

Mike Fife – Stated his support of the request.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

Alec Harwin, property owner, stated he supports any recommendations regarding window treatments.

### MOTION <u>9:27:55 PM</u>

Commissioner Scheer stated, based on the findings listed in the staff report and the testimony and plans presented, I move that the Planning Commission approve the Granary on 9 Planned Development petition PLNSUB2019-01033 subject to the following conditions:

1. The façade of the residential building on West Temple - the ground floor be redesigned to have more of a residential look than a commercial look and to give staff the ability to approve that change

Commissioner Bachman seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Paredes, Scheer, Urquhart, and Young-Otterstrom voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

#### <u>9:30:30 PM</u>

**Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendment at approximately 2903 South Highland Drive** - Pierre Langue with Axis Architects, representing the property owner Highland Row LLC, is requesting to amend the Sugarhouse Master Plan and the zoning map for a property located at approximately 2903 South Highland Drive. The proposal would rezone the eastern portion of the property (approximately the eastern 55') from R-1-7000 (Single-Family Residential) to CB (Community Business) and amend the Sugar House Future Land Use Map from Low Density Residential to Mixed Use – Low Intensity. The proposed master plan amendment to Mixed Use – Low Intensity and rezone to CB is intended to accommodate a future development on the entire property located at 2903 South Highland Drive. The site is located within Council District 7, represented by Amy Fowler. (Staff Contact: Nannette Larsen at (801) 535-7645 or nannette.larsen@slcgov.com) Case numbers PLNPCM2020-00054 and PLNPCM2020-00053

Nannette Larsen, Principal Planner, reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report (located in the case file). She stated Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council.

The Commission and Staff discussed the following:

• Clarification on setback

Pierre Langue, applicant, provided further information and address public comments that were previously received.

The Commission and Applicant discussed the following:

- Rear-yard setback
- CB setback requirement clarification

#### PUBLIC HEARING 9:49:34 PM

Chairperson Bell opened the Public Hearing;

Judi Short, Land Use Chair for Sugar House Community Council – Stated she researched this parcel and as far as she can tell it's never been developed, so it's not like we are losing a house. She also suggested to the petitioner to make the buffer wider.

Steve Davis – Raised concern with additional traffic and safety of his children. He also raised concern with parking.

Zachary Dussault – Stated his support of the request.

Christine Frank - Raised concern with traffic and height difference.

Rachel Louchnor – Raised concern with added traffic, height and green space.

Bruce Robertson - Provided an email comment stating his opposition of the request.

Khristopher Shampeny – Provided an email comment stating his opposition of the request and his concern regarding traffic.

Seeing no one else wished to speak; Chairperson Bell closed the Public Hearing.

#### MOTION <u>10:06:44 PM</u>

Commissioner Lyon stated, based on the information in the staff report I move that the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council approved the proposed master plan amendment, as presented in petition PLNPCM2020-00053. Additionally, I move that the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council approve the proposed zoning map amendment, as presented in PLNPCM2020-00054.

Commissioner Urquhart seconded the motion. Commissioners Bachman, Barry, Lee, Lyon, Paredes, Scheer, Urquhart, and Young-Otterstrom voted "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

The following is a list of Q&A's that were received during the meeting:

Q&A Session for Planning Commission Meeting - May 13, 2020

Session number: 961164179 Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 Starting time: 5:02 PM

-Darryl High (darrylhigh@gmail.com) - 5:56 PM Q: Molly I'm online Darryl Priority: N/A-

-Darryl High (darrylhigh@gmail.com) - 5:56 PM Q: Molly - Darryl High Priority: N/A--Nick Norris - 5:57 PM A: Daryl, do you want to make comments?

-Darryl High (darrylhigh@gmail.com) - 5:57 PM Q: I'm not able to unmute Priority: N/A--Nick Norris - 5:57 PM A: Molly will unmute you--Nick Norris - 6:01 PM A: we have tried to unmute you, but cant hear or tell if you are speaking. Have you tested your microphone? Darryl High (darrylhigh@gmail.com) - 6:01 PM

Q: We are not against ADU's – and have supported all others within our boundaries They need to abide by their stated purpose They should not create negative impacts on immediate neighbors, or on the adjacent street, or set precedents

Priority: N/A-

-Nick Norris - 6:02 PM A: We read your submitted comments into the record, anything else to add?

Amie R (amierosenberg@yahoo.com) - 6:03 PM

Q: How and when were the percentages set for filling up yards with structures? Priority:  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}\xspace{\mathsf{A}}\xspace{\mathsf{A}}$ 

-Nick Norris - 6:05 PM

A: The total lot coverage requirements have been in the zoniing ordinance for about 15 years. The ADU requirements for size were adopted in 2018.-

Amie R (amierosenberg@yahoo.com) - 6:04 PM Q: I am a next door neighbor Priority: N/A--John Anderson - 6:04 PM A: Amie would you like to speak

Amie R (amierosenberg@yahoo.com) - 6:09 PM Q: Why is alley included as part of yard coverage? Priority: N/A--Nick Norris - 6:12 PM A: The zoning ordinance defines lot area as "LOT AREA: The total area within the property lines

of the lot plus one-half (1/2) the right of way area of an adjacent public alley."

Jason Leiser (jasonsleiser@gmail.com) - 6:19 PM Q: I just lost audio Priority: N/A--Marlene Rankins - 6:21 PM A: Jason - try to log out and log back in --Brenda Scheer - 6:21 PM A: Can you hear us?

Amie R (amierosenberg@yahoo.com) - 6:23 PM Q: Are ADUs permitted as hobby shops? Priority: N/A Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 6:37 PM Q: Great question! Priority: N/A

Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 7:16 PM Q: echo coming from julia's mic Priority: N/A

Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 7:24 PM Q: YES Priority: N/A

Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 7:25 PM Q: Bad echo coming from juilias mic Priority: N/A

PAUL JOHNSON (pjslc@yahoo.com) - 7:32 PM Q: Hello Molly. This is Paul Johnson, chair of the Central 9th Community Council. I'm unable to stay on any longer. Is it okay if I provide you a comment on agenda item 6? Priority: N/A--Molly Robinson - 7:34 PM

A: Yes, please submit your comment to planning.comments@slcgov.com-

PAUL JOHNSON (pjslc@yahoo.com) - 7:35 PM Q: Thank you! Priority: N/A

Christine Franke (franke38@comcast.net) - 9:51 PM Q: It used to be a restaurant. The beefeater I suggest you do more research Priority: N/A

Steve Davis (sadavis1978@hotmail.com) - 9:55 PM Q: tha Priority: N/A

Steve Davis (sadavis1978@hotmail.com) - 9:56 PM Q: this street is too wide for that traffic flow nonsense Priority: N/A

Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 10:00 PM

Q: @previous commeter, drivers will drive at a speed they feel the road supports, regardless of spped limit. A narrower street provides trafic calming and make drivers hesitate. Hevily utilized on street parking also slows traffic and protects the sidewalk Priority: N/A

Zachary Dussault (zacharytdussault@gmail.com) - 10:09 PM Q: we made it! goodnight everyone Priority: N/A

The meeting adjourned at <u>10:11:07 PM</u>