

Motion Sheet Wasatch Community Gardens Map Amendments
Petitions PLNPCM2018-00024 & PLNPCM2018-00025

1. Positive Recommendation to the City Council (Consistent with Staff Recommendation):

Based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council approve the proposed Zoning Map Amendment, Petition PLNPCM2018-00024, to rezone the properties at 629 and 633 East 800 South from the RMF-30 (Low Density Multi-Family Residential) zoning district to the R-MU-35 (Residential Mixed-Use) zoning district *and* the proposed Master Plan Amendment, Petition PLNPCM2018-00025, to amend the future land use designation of the subject properties in the Central Community Master Plan from Low Density Residential (1-15 dwelling units/acre) to Medium Residential/Mixed Use (10-50 dwellings units/acre).

2. Negative Recommendation to the City Council (Not Consistent with Staff Recommendation):

Based on the findings and analysis in the staff report, testimony, and discussion at the public hearing, I move that the Planning Commission *not* forward on a positive recommendation to the City Council for the requested Wasatch Community Gardens Zoning Map Amendment, Petition PLNPCM2018-00024, and Master Plan Amendment, Petition PLNPCM2018-00025.

- The Planning Commission shall make findings on the zoning map amendment standards and specifically state which standard or standards are not being met.

(21A.50.050: STANDARDS FOR GENERAL AMENDMENTS)

A decision to amend the text of this title or the zoning map by general amendment is a matter committed to the legislative discretion of the city council and is not controlled by any one standard.

B. In making a decision to amend the zoning map, the city council should consider the following:

1. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the city as stated through its various adopted planning documents;
2. Whether a proposed map amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance;
3. The extent to which a proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties;
4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may impose additional standards; and
5. The adequacy of public facilities and services intended to serve the subject property, including, but not limited to, roadways, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection, schools, stormwater drainage systems, water supplies, and wastewater and refuse collection. (Ord. 56-14, 2014)