

**SALT LAKE CITY HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION MEETING**  
**This meeting was held electronically without an anchor location**  
**Thursday, October 14, 2021**

A roll is being kept of all who attended the Historic Landmark Commission Meeting. The meeting was called to order at approximately 5:30 PM. Audio recordings of the Historic Landmark Commission meetings are retained for a period of time. These minutes are a summary of the meeting. For complete commentary and presentation of the meeting, please visit <https://www.youtube.com/c/SLCLiveMeetings>.

Present for the Historic Landmark Commission meeting were: Vice-Chairperson Michael Vela; Commissioners Aiden Lillie, Babs De Lay, John Ewanowski, Kenton Peters, Victoria Petro-Eschler, Carlton Getz, and Michael Abrahamson. Chairperson Robert Hyde and David Richardson were excused.

Planning Staff members present at the meeting were: Deputy Director Michaela Oktay, Planning Manager Kelsey Lindquist, Attorney Paul Nielson, Senior Planner Nelson Knight; Principal Planner Kristina Gilmore, Associate Planner Michael McNamee, Senior Planner Sara Javoronok, Principal Planner Caitlyn Tubbs, Administrative Assistant Aubrey Clark.

**APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 2, 2021 MEETING MINUTES**

**Commissioners Carlton, Kenton, Michael Vela voted “aye”. Commissioner Michael Abrahamson abstained. Commissioner Babs De Lay called the motion passed.**

**REPORT OF THE CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR**

Commissioner Babs De Lay filled in as Chair. She stated there is nothing to report.

**REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR**

Deputy Direct Michaela Oktay reported Michael Abrahamson is present as a new Commissioner.

Commissioner De Lay interjected that she missed asking for votes from some Commissioners. Commissioner Victoria Petro-Eschler and John Ewanowski confirmed their presence and voted “aye”. Commissioner Michael Abrahamson maintained his abstention since he was not present at the previous meeting.

Deputy Direct Michaela Oktay stated that it would be Michael Abrahamson’s first meeting.

Commissioner Michael Abrahamson introduced himself.

Deputy Direct Michaela Oktay notified the Commission that they had an appeal of the Historic Landmark Commission decision on the Brigham Cemetery fence and that it would go before the Appeals Hearing Officer on November 18, 2021. She also announced that there have been changes to Special Exceptions for fence height throughout the City. She clarified that there are some remaining Special Exceptions that will still come before the Commission before it's officiated by City Council.

She let the Commission know that Carl Leath who was a Planner for Salt Lake City was going to be recognized with a lifetime achievement award from Preservation Utah.

Commissioner Kenton Peters shared that the 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue pump house at the bottom of Memory Grove had been completed and encouraged the other Commissioners to look at it.

## **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There were no public comments.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

### **Major Alterations and Special Exception at the Elks Building located at approximately 139**

**E. South Temple** - David Davis, of Dale Gardon Design, representing Property Reserve, Inc, has submitted applications to the city for a project centered around the former Elks Club Building located at approximately 139 E South Temple. These items for review will focus specifically on major alterations to the Elks Building where a special exception and certificate of appropriateness must be reviewed and approved by the Historic Landmark Commission. Major alterations of the Elks Building include alterations to the existing entrance, removal of incompatible additions to the building's exterior and construction of a new rooftop addition on the building. A special exception would also be required for an additional three feet of height for the addition. The property is zoned R-MU (Residential Mixed Use) and subject to the Historic Preservation Overlay because it is within the Avenues Historic District. The subject property is within Council District #3, represented by Chris Wharton. (Staff contact: Nelson Knight at 385-226-4493 or nelson.knight@slcgov.com)

### **Case number PLNHLC2020-00816 & PLNHLC2021-00672**

Senior Planner Nelson Knight reviewed the petition as outlined in the staff report. He stated that Staff recommends approval of the updated design changes to the Elks building. He stated that there was one modified recommendation, number 3, which states: A permeable coating or other appropriate finish shall be used on the unpainted masonry of the non-contributing parking garage connected to the Elks Building.

Commissioner John Ewanowski asked for clarification on the south windows wondering if they are non-historic. Staff stated that they would go to the property with the owner and staff to determine which are original and which should be replaced. He said the front side should be

preserved and repaired. That being condition 2 in the Staff recommendation.

Commissioner Babs De Lay asked for clarification on a permeable coating and why it is necessary. Staff clarified that painting or applying other non-permeable coating is not good for the integrity of the brick.

The applicant David Davis said that he hopes the Commission will approve of the design changes they have made to the stair assembly and tunnel on the Elks building. He explained that they met with stakeholders including Preservation Utah to work out a solution that would be the best solution.

Commissioner Babs De Lay opened the public hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Dr. David Amott, speaking on the behalf of Preservation Utah, stated that they are in support of the new proposal of the Elks Building.

Commissioner Michael Abrahamson asked for clarification on the stone on the berms matching the existing stone. The applicant explained that the original supplier has the same product available, and they will use them for the project.

Commissioner De Lay, seeing that no one else wished to speak, closed the public hearing.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Kenton Peters stated, Based on the analysis and findings listed in the staff report, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Commission approve petition PLNHLC2020-00816, which is a request for major alterations of the Elks Building at approximately 139 S. Temple, and petition PLNHLC2021-00672, which is a request for a special exception for additional height to accommodate construction of a new addition, with the following conditions:**

- 1. The existing stone tunnel entry and stairs, including the tunnel entry, wall, steps, flanking walls, and columns as detailed on the applicant's proposal, shall be repaired and reconstructed in a new location approximately 30 inches from the front sidewalk, to allow space to plant a hedge and complimentary landscaping.**
- 2. Remaining original/historic windows on the south (front) facade shall be preserved and repaired. The applicants will work with planning staff to determine what windows shall be retained.**
- 3. A permeable coating or other appropriate finish shall be used on the unpainted masonry of the non-contributing parking garage connected to the Elks Building.**
- 4. Approval of all final design details, including specific direction expressed by the**

**Commission shall be delegated to Planning Staff.**

**Commissioner John Ewanowski seconded the motion. Commissioners Kenton Peters, John Ewanowski, Victoria Petro-Eschler, Michael Abrahamson, and Carlton Getz voted “aye”. Commissioner Michael Vela had previously recused himself from the project and did not vote. The motion passed.**

Commissioner Aiden Lillie joined the meeting.

**Minor Alteration Re-roof at approximately 1024 E 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue** - Dynamic Roofing & Construction, representing the property owner, is requesting approval for a Minor Alteration at the address listed above. The Minor Alteration is to accommodate the construction of an already completed re-roof request. The property is presently occupied as a single-family residential house and is located within the Avenues Local Historic District and is zoned SR-1A (Special Development Pattern Residential). The subject property is within Council District 3 represented by Chris Wharton. (Staff contact: Krissy Gilmore at (385) 214-9714 or kristina.gilmore@slcgov.com) **Case number: PLNHLC2021-00605**

Principal Planner Kristina Gilmore reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report. She stated the work was carried out without a certificate of appropriateness. Staff concluded that the shadow design on the shingles does not comply with the standards of review and adversely affects the historic district. She stated that Staff recommends denial of the request.

The applicant was not able to share his presentation due to technical difficulties. Commissioner De Lay agreed to move the item further down the agenda so the applicant would have time to email their presentation to the planner to be able to share it.

Commissioner Victoria Petro-Eschler as for clarification on the outcome of denying the certificate of appropriateness.

Commissioner De Lay moved to item three on the agenda.

The meeting returned to this item after item 3 was completed.

The applicant Joe Bell shared the series of events prior to the reroof stating that a permit was applied for and that it would be a historic landmark review. He did show that there was a COA approved and then revoked a week later. He showed a presentation of how the shingle would look. He shared the reason staff denied the product but stated he doesn't agree with the reasoning. He shared examples of other homes in the Avenues Historic District that have similar “Carriage House” style roofing with the faux shadow lines some of which had gone before the Historic Landmark Commission and were approved. He showed evidence that the fish scale cedar shingles are in the historic district overly. He stated that any asphalt shingle is a modern material and not misrepresenting history. The applicant shared that they received 50 or more signatures from their neighbors stating that they do not feel the roof detracts from the historic appearance of

the neighborhood and does not create a false sense of history.

Commissioner De Lay asked the Commission if they had any questions.

Commissioners, Staff, and the Applicant discuss:

- The timeline of the initial Certificate of Appropriateness, the instillation, and the revocation of the Certificate of Appropriateness.

Commissioner De Lay opened the public hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Cindy Cromer – commented that 209 A, shown in the slides shared by the applicant, was an enforcement case that was a difficult issue for the Commission.

Commissioner De Lay closed the public hearing.

The Commission discussed:

- Whether an asphalt shingle that looks a certain way is creating a false sense of history.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Michael Vela stated, Based on finding that it meets the standards, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Commission approve the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a re-roof with faux shadow shingles, as presented in petition PLNHLC2021- 00605. Evidence has been presented that demonstrates that the proposal complies with the following standards: (Specifically, the commission should make findings related to which standard or standards the proposal comply with)**

**2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.**

**3. All sites, structures and objects shall be recognized as products of their own time. Alterations that have no historical basis and which seek to create a false sense of history or architecture are not allowed.**

**Commissioner Kenton Peters seconded the motion.**

**City Attorney Paul Nielson clarified the motion is to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness and deny Staff findings.**

**Commissioner Peters said he takes exception to the word faux, and Michael Abrahamson**

agreed. Commissioner Getz said he agrees with the application of the word.

Commissioners Aiden Lillie, Kenton Peters, John Ewanowski, Victoria, Michael Vela, and Michael Abrahamson voted “aye”. Commissioner Carlton Getz voted “no”. With 6 “aye” and 1 “no” the motion passed.

A break was called. The meeting resumed with item 4 at 7:17 PM.

**Minor Alteration Faux Slate Re-Roof at approximately 474 E 2nd Ave** - Moises Cook, property owner representative, is requesting a certificate of appropriateness for the replacement of roof shingles on the main portion of the home and detached garage. The main portion of the home has a Mansard roof. The flat portion of the roof is covered with a Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO) membrane, and the applicant is proposing to replace this with another TPO membrane. The gabled portions of the roof are covered in asphalt shingles, and the applicant is proposing to replace these with composite slate. Additionally, the applicant is seeking approval to replace the roof on the detached garage, located in the rear yard. The roof on the garage currently has asphalt shingles and the applicant is proposing to replace these with architectural shingles. The property is located at 474 E 2nd Avenue and is a contributing structure within the Avenues Local Historic District. The subject property is zoned RMF-35 (Moderate Density Multi-Family Residential) and is located in Council District 3, represented by Chris Wharton. (Staff Contact: Michael McNamee at michael.mcnamee@slcgov.com or 801-535-7226) **Case number PLNHLC2021-00668**

Associate Planner Michael McNamee reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report. He stated that Staff recommends denial of the applicant’s request and recommends that the applicant use an asphalt shingle instead.

The Commission and Staff discuss:

- If reroofing the flat portion of the roof with copper trim is acceptable. Staff said there is no issue with that.
- What the roofing material on other Mansard roofs is throughout the city. Staff and commission discuss asphalt shingles being the norm.

The Applicant, Shannon Young, stated the idea is to make the home look more historic. She stated that the proposed product is recyclable.

The Commission, Staff and the Applicant discuss:

- What the proposed product material is made of. The applicant clarified that it is a composite faux slate that is 100 percent recyclable with a 50-year lifespan.

Commissioner De Lay opened the public hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Commissioner Perto-Eschler asked what the applicant thought made the product look more

historically appropriate. The applicant indicated that throughout Europe slate shingles with coppers accents are done on Mansard style roofs in the 1880's.

Cindy Cromer – Cited another home with a Mansard style roof going before the commission due to having a slate roof.

Commissioner Kenton Peters asked what the original material was when the home was constructed. Staff stated there is an indication of wood and possibly tin.

Commissioner De lay asked if the Commission had ever allowed this kind of material previously. The applicant replied the material had not been allowed intentionally.

Commissioner De Lay closed the public hearing.

The applicant's contractor, Moises Cook, reviewed the reason for requesting the use of the faux slate product. He stated that the existing roof could not handle the weight of real slate and referred to other structures in the Avenues that have a faux slate roof.

Commissioner Vela asked the contractor if he has done any other projects in the Avenues. The contractor stated that he had not.

Commissioner John Ewanowski referred to the Devereaux Mansion having previously come before the commission asking for a composite slate. Staff clarified that it was installed without a certificate of appropriateness and that the commission did not approve it.

Commissioner Peters brought up national historic regulations and said he does not have an issue with the faux slate shingles.

Commissioner De Lay pointed out that they have never been allowed in the neighborhood.

Commissioners and Staff discuss:

- Whether the recommendation would be different if the product was mineral slate
- What the life span of the shingle is
- How the proposed product improves public safety
- If the product qualified for tax credits

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Michael Vela stated, Based on the information listed in the staff report, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Commission approve the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a re-roof with**

faux slate shingles, as presented in petition PLNHLC2021-00668. Evidence has been presented that demonstrates that the proposal complies with the following standards: (Specifically, the commission should make findings related to which standard or standards the proposal comply with)

2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

3. All sites, structures and objects shall be recognized as products of their own time. Alterations that have no historical basis and which seek to create a false sense of history or architecture are not allowed.

5. Distinctive features, finishes and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.

6. Deteriorated architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced wherever feasible. In the event replacement is necessary, the new material should match the material being replaced in composition, design, texture, and other visual qualities. Repair or replacement of missing architectural features should be based on accurate duplications of features, substantiated by historic, physical, or pictorial evidence rather than on conjectural designs or the availability of different architectural elements from other structures or objects.

8. Contemporary design for alterations and additions to existing properties shall not be discouraged when such alterations and additions do not destroy significant cultural, historical, architectural, or archaeological material, and such design is compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the property, neighborhood, or environment.

Commissioner Michael Abrahamson seconded the motion. Commissioners Carlton Ghetz, John Ewanowski, Kenton Peters, and Aiden Lillie voted “no”. Commissioners Michael Abrahamson, Michael Vela, Vitoria Petro-Eschler, and voted “aye”.

Paul Nielson interjected that the Chairperson could vote when there is not a majority vote.

Acting Chairperson Commissioner Babs De Lay voted “aye”. The votes tied and the motion failed.

Discussion on what they are voting in favor of took place.

Commissioner De Lay asked for a revote since there was some confusion.

Commissioners Carlton Getz, John Ewanowski, Aiden Lillie voted “no”. Commissioners Michael Abrahamson, Michael Vela, Victoria Ptreo-Eschler, Kenton Peters and Babs De Lay voted “aye”. With 3 “no” and 5 “aye” the motion passed.

The meeting returned to item 2 on the agenda.



**Enforcement Case at approximately 1106 E. South Temple** - Stephen Merrel, property owner representative, is requesting a certificate of appropriateness for alterations to the property located at the address listed above. The alterations include the reconstruction of a two-story front porch, and the replacement of two street-facing basement windows and three non-street facing basement windows. Additionally, the applicant is also seeking approval to repair and replace sandstone within a foundation that was previously cut and removed on both the north and south elevations of the subject property. The property is currently under zoning and building code enforcement for conducting work outside the scope of the previously issued certificate of appropriateness and building permit. The property is located at 1106 E. South Temple and is a contributing structure within the South Temple Local Historic District. The subject property is zoned R-2 (Single and Two-Family Residential) and is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdamoros. (Staff Contact: Kelsey Lindquist at kelsey.lindquist@slcgov.com or 385-226-7227) **Case number PLNHLC2020-00376**

Planning Manager Kelsey Lindquist reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report. She stated that Staff is recommending approval of the proposal with conditions.

Commissioner Ewanowski asked if the state architect was involved because of the tax credits. Staff did confirm that he was involved to help the property owner with the reconstruction of the eyebrow dormer.

Commissioner De Lay asked about the eyebrow dormer doesn't function. Staff referred to venting being an issue.

Commissioner De Lay opened the meeting to the applicant.

Applicant, Philip Harvey, stated there was a miscommunication on how the egress was to be executed with the company that did the work. He said that their intention is to fix up the home and restore it.

Commissioner De Lay asked the applicant if he had been the owner since the noncompliance and violations had been recorded. The applicant stated that he had and that things were made difficult since they bought it as Covid started and getting permits through the city were hard.

Commissioner Ewanowski asked why there was a need for additional egress. The applicant stated that it would be used for bedrooms in the basement. Staff clarified that the home was bought as a tri-plex.

The applicant spoke on the driveway permit stating that he wasn't aware that it needed to be approved by the commission.

The applicants partner spoke on the front porch stating that it came down because it was already coming down and they are proposing to restore the porch to resemble the original.

Commissioner Ewanowski asked if Staff approves of the design of the proposed porch. Staff said

that they do approve.

The applicant stated that this is the first historic home that he has ever owned, and it's been a challenge.

Commissioner Peters asked if the applicant plans to move forward with the recommendation of the commission. The applicant said that that is his intention. The applicant's partner stated that they didn't understand the complexity of construction in a historic district.

Commissioner Vela stated that he hopes that the applicant takes the information they learned and continue to move forward. Commissioner Getz agreed and stated that he would like to see fluted rather than smooth columns that would be more in character with the building.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Commissioner De Lay opened the public hearing.

Cindy Cromer stated the importance of maintain the home.

Commissioner De Lay closed the public hearing.

Commission and Staff discuss:

- Clarification on whether all of the details have been rectified with the applicant.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Michael Vela stated, Based on the analysis and findings listed in the staff report, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Commission approve petition PLNHLC2020-00376, a request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the Minor Alteration of a contributing structure at 1106 E. South Temple with the following conditions:**

- 1. Any replacement sandstone to the existing foundation will match the existing sandstone foundation, as close as possible.**
- 2. Any changes to the reconstruction and restoration of the incorrect egress openings be forwarded to the Historic Landmark Commission.**
- 3. That the porch balusters on the lower and upper portions of the porch reconstruction be spaced 2" apart.**
- 4. Any additional details of the porch restoration be delegated to staff for final review.**
- 5. Any additional conditions required by the Historic Landmark Commission.**

**Commissioner Carlton Ghetz seconded the motion. Commissioners Carlton Getz, Michael Abrahamson, Michael Vela, Victoria Petro-Eschler, John Ewanowski, Kenton Peters, and Aiden Lillie all voted "aye". The motion passed unanimously.**

**Third Avenue Townhomes New Construction at approximately 860 E Third Avenue** - Oren Hillel, on behalf of the property owner, is requesting a certificate of appropriateness for the construction of 6 new townhome units located at the address listed above. The property is located in the R-MU-35 zoning district and is located in Council District 3, represented by Chris Wharton. (Staff contact: Caitlyn Tubbs at 385-315-8115 or caitlyn.tubbs@slcgov.com) **Case number PLNHLC2021-00567**

Principal Planner Caitlyn Tubbs reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report. She stated that Staff recommends approval of the request.

There were no questions from the commissioners for staff.

The applicant, Oren Hillel, said that they made updates, since the work session, to the elevations.

The Commission and Applicant discuss:

- How the applicant has addressed the outdoor seating areas

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Commissioner Babs De Lay opened the public hearing. Seeing that no one wished to speak she closed the public hearing.

The Commission and Applicant discuss:

- The concerns on the south facing façade being addressed.
- Wood clad site walls being installed in front of the seating area.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner Kenton Peters stated, Based on the information in the staff report, the information presented, and the input received during the public hearing, I move that the Historic Landmark Commission approve the proposed petition PLNHLC2021-00567 and grant a certificate of appropriateness for the construction of six single family attached townhome units at approximately 860 East 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue.**

**Commissioner Michael Vela seconded the motion. Commissioner Aiden Lillie, Kenton Peters, John Ewanowski, Victoria Petro-Eschler, Michael Vela, Michael Abrahamson, Carlton Ghetz voted “aye”. The motion passed unanimously.**

**New Construction and Special Exception at approximately 245 N. Almond Street** - Jeff Douglas of Axis Architects, on behalf of the property owner, Chance Butterfield, is requesting approval from the City to construct a single-family residence at the address listed above. The property is currently vacant and is within the Capitol Hill Historic District and is in the RMF-45

(Moderate/High Density Multi-Family Residential) zoning district. This proposal requires Historic New Construction and Special Exception review.

- a. New Construction: This project request requires approval for new construction in an historic district. **Case number PLNHLC2021-00253**
- b. Special Exception: The applicant is requesting two special exceptions for this project. **Case number PLNHLC2021-00723**
  - i. The applicant requests a modification of the north side yard setback from 10 feet to 4 feet.
  - ii. The applicant requests a modification of the front yard fence height from 4 feet to 6'5" with 4 feet at street level.

The subject property is within Council District 3, represented by Chris Wharton. (Staff contact: Sara Javoronok at 385-226-4448 or [sara.javoronok@slcgov.com](mailto:sara.javoronok@slcgov.com))

Senior Planner Sara Javoronok reviewed the petition as outlined in the Staff Report. She stated that Staff finds the proposal meets the historic design standards and complies with the residential design guidelines. She stated that Staff supports the two special exception requests as identified and recommends approval.

Commissioner De Lay asked about the fence height abutting the neighbor to the east. Staff clarified the fence height would not affect the neighbor negatively.

The Applicant, Jeff Douglas, stated that he didn't have much more to add than what Sara had presented.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Commissioner De Lay opened the public hearing. Seeing that no one wished to speak Commissioner De Lay closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Kenton Peters felt the applicant has done a good job and said he would recommend approval. Commissioner Mike Vela agreed with Commissioner Peters.

Commissioner Lillie asked Staff if a special exception for the setback had been done previous at the larger degree requested. Staff replied that they personally could not think of any. That it is more common to ask for one or two feet.

Commissioner Abrahamson asked if the stucco would be consistently colored. The applicant said it would be a light grey.

## **MOTION**

**Commissioner John Ewanowski stated, Based on the findings listed in the Staff Report, the information presented and input received during the public hearing, I move that the Historic Landmark Commission approve the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the new construction of the residence and special exceptions for reduction in the north**

side yard setback and an increase in the front yard wall and fence height for the proposal at 245 N Almond Street, as presented in PLNHLC2021-00253 and PLNHLC2021-00723, with the condition listed in the staff report.

Commissioner Michael Vela seconded the motion. Commissioners Carlton Getz, John Ewanowski, Victoria Petro-Eschler, Michael Vela, Michael Abrahamson, Kenton Peters, and Aiden Lillie voted “aye”. The motion passed.

**National Register Nomination for the Mexican Branch LDS Meetinghouse & Multiple Property Documentation Form: Historic Latinx Resources in Utah, 1776 to 1942** - The State

Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) has received a request to add the Mexican Branch LDS Meetinghouse, located at 232 West 800 South, to the National Register of Historic Places. SHPO will consider this nomination and the multiple property documentation form at their Board meeting on November 18, 2021. They are requesting review and input from Salt Lake City as the Certified Local Government in this matter. The property is not located within a Local Historic District or listed as a Local Landmark Site and would not be subject to any local preservation standards found in the H Historic Preservation Overlay zoning district. The property is located within Council District 4 represented by Analia Valdemoros. (Staff contact: Sara Javoronok at 385-226-4448 or sara.javoronok@slcgov.com)

Senior Planner Sara Javoronok reviewed the nomination request as outlined in the Memorandum. She stated that Staff recommend the Historic Landmark Commission forward a positive recommendation to the State Historic Preservation Office and National Park Service.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

Commissioner De Lay opened the public hearing. Seeing that no one wished to speak she closed the public hearing.

**MOTION**

Commissioner Victoria Petro-Eschler stated, Based on the information in the memorandum provided by staff and the attached National Register of Historic Places Registration Form, I find that the Mexican Branch LDS Meetinghouse, located at 232 West 800 South, and the Multiple Property Documentation Form: Historic Latinx Resources in Utah, 1776 to 1942 appear to meet the National Register criteria and I move that the Historic Landmark Commission forward a positive recommendation to the SHPO for the listing of the Mexican Branch LDS Meetinghouse and Multiple Property Documentation Form: Historic Latinx Resources in Utah, 1776 to 1942.

Commissioner Michael Vela seconded the motion. Commissioners Carlton Getz, John Ewanowski, Victoria Petro-Eschler, Michael Abrahamson, Michael Vela, Kenton Peters, and Aiden Lillie all voted “aye”. The motion passed.

**OTHER BUSINESS**

### **Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson Elections**

Commissioner Michael Vela was appointed as Chairperson.  
Commissioner Babs De Lay was appointed as Vice-Chairperson.

The meeting adjourned at 8:35 PM