

Motion Sheet for Yalecrest – Douglas Park – I Local Historic District

Motion to recommend approval:

Motion 1 - Based on the findings listed in the staff report, testimony and information presented, I move to forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to designate a new local historic district for the Yalecrest – Douglas Park – I as proposed.

Motion 2 – Based upon the information presented, I move to forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to adopt the changes to the building ratings from the 2005 Reconnaissance Level Survey as attached in Attachment E.

Motion to recommend denial:

Based on the testimony and information presented and the following findings, I move that the Historic Landmark Commission forward a negative recommendation to the City Council regarding the request to designate a new local historic district for the Yalecrest – Douglas Park – I. The Commission makes this recommendation based on the following findings:

10. Standards For The Designation Of A Landmark Site, Local Historic District Or Thematic Designation: Each lot or parcel of property proposed as a landmark site, for inclusion in a local historic district, or for thematic designation shall be evaluated according to the following:
 - a. Significance in local, regional, state or national history, architecture, engineering or culture, associated with at least one of the following:
 - (1) Events that have made significant contribution to the important patterns of history, or
 - (2) Lives of persons significant in the history of the city, region, state, or nation, or
 - (3) The distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction; or the work of a notable architect or master craftsman, or
 - (4) Information important in the understanding of the prehistory or history of Salt Lake City; and
 - b. Physical integrity in terms of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association as defined by the National Park Service for the national register of historic places;
 - c. The proposed local historic district or thematic designation is listed, or is eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places;
 - d. The proposed local historic district contains notable examples of elements of the city's history, development patterns or architecture not typically found in other local historic districts within Salt Lake City;
 - e. The designation is generally consistent with adopted planning policies; and
 - f. The designation would be in the overall public interest.
11. Factors To Consider: The following factors may be considered by the Historic Landmark Commission and the City Council to help determine whether the proposed designation of a landmark site, local historic district or thematic designation meets the criteria listed above:
 - a. Sites should be of such an age which would allow insight into whether a property is sufficiently important in the overall history of the community. Typically this is at least 50 years but could be less if the property has exceptional importance.
 - b. Whether the proposed local historic district contains examples of elements of the city's history, development patterns and/or architecture that may not already be protected by other local historic districts within the city.
 - c. Whether designation of the proposed local historic district would add important knowledge that advances the understanding of the city's history, development patterns and/or architecture.
 - d. Whether approximately 75% of the structures within the proposed boundaries are rated as contributing structures by the most recent applicable historic survey.
13. Boundaries Of A Proposed Local Historic District: When applying the evaluation criteria in

subsection C.10 of this section, the boundaries shall be drawn to ensure the local historic district:

- a. Contains a significant density of documented sites, buildings, structures or features rated as contributing structures in a recent historic survey;
- b. Coincides with documented historic boundaries such as early roadways, canals, subdivision plats or property lines;
- c. Coincides with logical physical or manmade features and reflect recognized neighborhood boundaries; and
- d. Contains non-historic resources or vacant land only where necessary to create appropriate boundaries to meet the criteria of subsection C.10 of this section