



# Staff Report

PLANNING DIVISION  
COMMUNITY & NEIGHBORHOODS

---

To: Salt Lake City Appeals Hearing Officer

From: Lex Traughber – Senior Planner  
(801) 535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com)

Date: April 30, 2020

Re: PLNAPP2020-00080 – Appeal of an Historic Landmark Commission decision to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for New Construction for Petition PLNHLC2019-00860, Masonic Temple Apartments (Regius Flats)

---

## APPEAL OF A DECISION BY THE HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION

Property Address: 33 S. 600 East

Parcel IDs: 16-06-227-002, 003, 004, 005 & 013

Historic District: South Temple & Central City

Zoning District: RO – Residential/Office

Master Plan: Central Community Master Plan - Residential/Office Mixed Use

Design Guidelines: Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City

Commission Hearing Date: January 16, 2020

Appellant: Philip G. McCarthy represented by Gary Sackett

### BACKGROUND AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

In 2019, DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, submitted applications for a Master Plan and a Zoning Map Amendment for a portion of the property located at 650 E. South Temple. The proposals were to amend the Central Community Master Plan Future Land Use Map from Institutional to Residential/Office Mixed Use, and to amend the Salt Lake City Zoning Map from I – Institutional to RO – Residential/Office. These amendments were approved by the City Council on September 3, 2019 (Ordinance 43 of 2019).

On September 10, 2019, DB Urban Communities submitted a request for new construction in an historic district on behalf of the Masonic Temple Association. The Masonic Temple Apartments project (Regius Flats) is to be a new multifamily residential development of approximately 125 dwelling units.

On January 16, 2020, the Historic Landmark Commission heard the proposal at a public meeting. After presentations by Planning Staff and the applicant, after taking public comment regarding the proposal, the Historic Landmark Commission voted unanimously to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed new construction. The HLC staff report and meeting minutes are attached.

On January 28, 2020, Philip G. McCarthy, represented by Gary Sackett, filed an application to appeal the decision by the Historic Landmark Commission to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction for the Masonic Temple Apartments (Regius Flats).

**BASIS FOR APPEAL:** See Attachment C

This is an appeal of an Historic Landmark Commission decision and therefore, the Appeal Hearing **Officer's decision must be made based on record**. This is not a public hearing, no public testimony shall be taken.

**NEXT STEPS:**

If the decision is upheld, the decision of the Historic Landmark Commission stands and can be appealed to the Third District Court within 30 days. If decision of the Historic Landmark Commission is not upheld, the matter would be remanded back to the Historic Landmark Commission.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

A – Vicinity Map

B – Historic District Map

C – Appeal Application and Documentation

D – **City Attorney's Brief**

E – Record of Decision

F – HLC Minutes (1/16/2020)

G – HLC Staff Report dated 1/16/2020

H – Agenda, Notice, and Mailing List of the HLC Hearing 1/16/20



I – Early Notification Letter/Open House Date and Mailing List 11/12/19

J – Work Session Notice and Mailing List 12/5/19

# ATTACHMENT A: VICINITY MAP



# ATTACHMENT B: HISTORIC DISTRICT MAPS



★ *Approximate Project Location*

# ATTACHMENT C: APPEAL APPLICATION & DOCUMENTATION

Gary G. Sackett (USB 2841)  
Gregory Cropper (USB 5057)  
JONES WALDO HOLBROOK & McDONOUGH, P.C.  
170 South Main, Suite 1500  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101  
(801) 534-7336  
(801) 328-0537 fax  
gsackett@joneswaldo.com

*Attorneys for Philip G. McCarthy, LLC*

---

**BEFORE THE SALT LAKE CITY  
APPEALS HEARING OFFICER**

---

PHILIP G. MCCARTHEY, LLC,

APPELLANT,

v.

SALT LAKE CITY HISTORIC LANDMARK  
COMMISSION,

RESPONDENT.

**APPEAL OF**

**PHILIP G. MCCARTHEY, LLC**

**CASE NO. PLNHLC 2019-00860  
MASONIC TEMPLE APARTMENTS**

---

**Preliminary Matters**

1. Pursuant to Salt Lake City Code § 21A.16.010 *et seq.*, Philip G. McCarthy, LLC (Appellant) submits its appeal of the January 17, 2017, decision of the Salt Lake City Historic Landmark Commission (Commission) to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the Masonic Temple Apartments (the Project) in Case No. PLNHLC 2019-00860.

2. Appellant is the majority owner of the “Walker-McCarthy Mansion,” located at 610 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah.

3. The Walker-McCarthy Mansion was built in 1904 and is a major edifice located in the Salt Lake City Central City and South Temple Historic Overlay Districts and

just south of the Avenues Historic Overlay District. It was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 1980 as part of the South Temple Historic District.

4. The Walker-McCarthy Mansion is located in or within 600 feet of the boundaries of:

- a. Salt Lake City Central City Community Council,
- b. East Central Community Council and
- c. Greater Avenues Community Council.

(Collectively, the Councils.)

5. The south property line of the Walker-McCarthy Mansion constitutes the westernmost portion of the north property line of the Project.

6. Because of the visual historical-character degradation that will be experienced by Appellant if the Project were to go forward as approved, Appellant is, as the term is used in Salt Lake City Code § 21A.16.020, “adversely affected” by the decision of the Commission in this matter and has standing to take this appeal.

7. The Project constitutes new construction of a 125-unit residential building at 63 South 600 East. By any measure of the term, the Project will be a “major” structure within or near the three Overlay Zones cited in ¶ 3 above.

8. For the reasons set for the below, the quiet and peaceful occupancy and use of the Walker-McCarthy Mansion by Applicant will be significantly and negatively affected by the imposing 60-foot-tall north wall of the Project.

### **Basis for Appeal**

9. On information and belief, the municipality of Salt Lake City (the City) did not provide notice of the Project to any of the Councils, as required by City Code § 2.60-.050(C). “The City will send a notice to the applicable recognized community organization Chair(s) for the following types of projects: . . . [n]ew construction of major public facilities and structures; [or p]lanned development.”

10. The Commission arbitrarily and capriciously failed to consider in any way the design objective of the access to light and privacy of adjacent buildings, as set forth in § 12.44 of the Standards and Design Guidelines for Historic Apartment and Multifamily Buildings (the Standards).

11. The presiding chair at the January 17 hearing claimed that consideration of access to light was beyond the scope of issues that the Commission could consider. Although reminded by a Planning Staff member that access to light is a designated element of the Standards, the Commission still did not address the issue.

12. Similarly, the Commission arbitrarily and capriciously ignored or minimized the provisions of § 12.14 of the Standards, which require “location and design to preserve neighboring privacy.”

13. The Commission arbitrarily and capriciously ignored or minimized the massing and scale provisions of §§ 12.4 and 12.5 of the Standards. In particular, the massive north wall of the Project presents to a viewer from the north, including the Appellant, a picture that does not comport with the Standards. It is wholly inconsistent with the requirement to reflect a lower scale when near buildings of a smaller scale.

14. In general, the mass presented by the north face of the Project is inconsistent with the historic landmark nature of the Overlay Zones cited above.

15. The Commission arbitrarily and capriciously ignored or minimized the provisions of §§ 12.48, 12.51 and 12.52 of the Standards. In particular, the Project does not comport with the objectives that, relative to the Walker-McCarthy Mansion and other nearby historic buildings:

- a. The building height should be compatible with the historic setting and context;
- b. There should be step backs where there are adjacent buildings of lower height (the Project’s north face is nearly 20’ higher than the Walker-McCarthy Mansion);
- c. The north wall of the Project should be modified to reduce the impression of greater height and scale.

16. The Commission arbitrarily and capriciously ignored or minimized the “massing” provisions of § 12.54 of the Standards by:

- a. Failing to adequately “modulate where height and scale are greater than the context”—namely, the context of nearby buildings in the Overlay Zones, such as the Walker-McCarthy Mansion; and
- b. Failing to “arrange the massing to step down adjacent to a smaller scale building (e.g., the Walker-McCarthy Mansion).




**Conclusion**

ACCORDINGLY, for the reasons set forth above, Philip G. McCarthy, LLC, seeks review of the Commission’s January 17, 2020, decision and an order requiring the Commission and the Project owners to consider proper mitigating measures to address the issues set forth above by the Appellant.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of January 2020.

JONES, WALDO, HOLBROOK & McDONOUGH, P.C.




Gary G. Sackett  
Gregory L. Cropper  
*Attorneys for Philip G. McCarthy, LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Appeal of Philip G. McCarthy, LLC, in Case No. PLNHLC2019-00860, Masonic Temple Apartments, has been served by U.S. Mail on:

LARRY A. FAIRCLOUGH  
650 East South Temple  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102



Julie Randall, legal assistant

ATTACHMENT D: **CITY ATTORNEY'S BRIEF**

---

**APPEAL FROM DECISION OF HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION**

(Case Nos. PLNHLC2019-00860)  
Hearing: April 30, 2020 at 3:00 p.m.  
(To be held via Webex)

Appellant: Philip G. McCarthy, LLC  
Decision Making Entity: Historic Landmark Commission  
Request: Appeal of the Approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness.  
Brief Prepared By: Samantha Slark, Senior City Attorney

---

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

This case concerns the Historic Landmark Commission’s unanimous approval of an application for a certificate of appropriateness for new construction in a historic district.

Specifically, the Masonic Temple Association, together with development company D.B. Urban Communities (referred to collectively hereafter as the “Applicant”), plan to build a new multifamily residential development of approximately 125 dwelling units at 33 South 600 East, Salt Lake City, Utah. The site of the new construction is currently a parking lot located in the Central City Historic Overlay District.

Over the course of four months, the Applicant worked closely with City planning staff to develop a building design and site plan that meets City standards for new construction in a historic overlay district. During that time the Applicant received comments from planning staff regarding modifications that needed to be made to meet City standards and made several modifications to its plans, including modification to building mass, scale, height, and building materials.

During this time, Planning Staff sent an early notification to property owners and residents within a radius of 300 feet from the proposed project, including Appellant Mr. McCarthy, as well as the chairs of any applicable recognized community organizations, which in this case were the chairs of three community councils.<sup>1</sup> This notice stated the City’s Planning Division had received an application for new construction and some basic information about the project.<sup>2</sup> It also informed these property owners and community councils of an open house to solicit public input regarding the proposal, which was held on November 21, 2019.<sup>3</sup> Neither the early notification nor the open house are required under Salt Lake City Code, but were performed with the consent of the Applicant and as a courtesy to neighboring property owners.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Early Notification and Mailing List, **Attachment I** hereto.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

On reaching a design that City planners believe meets City standards, a work session was scheduled with the Historic Landmark Commission to consider the application.<sup>4</sup> The City sent notice of the work session to property owners and residents within a radius of 300 feet from the proposed project, which again included Appellant Mr. McCarthy, as well as the chairs of the applicable community councils.<sup>5</sup> Only the Applicant, City Planning Staff, and one member of the public attended the work session.<sup>6</sup> Appellant did not.<sup>7</sup>

At the work session, the Commission requested the Applicant provide additional images of how the building would look at street level, which the Applicant subsequently provided.<sup>8</sup> Five weeks later, the Commission held a properly noticed public hearing to consider Applicant's request for issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness.<sup>9</sup>

Prior to the public hearing, planning staff submitted a detailed Staff Report recommending approval of the project and the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness.<sup>10</sup> Specifically, the report examined in detail each of the standards set forth in City ordinance that must be met for issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction in a historic district, together with a consideration of all eighty-six design guidelines that assist and inform determination of whether those standards are met.<sup>11</sup> The report found the project substantially complied with each of the standards and recommended approval of the project and issue of the Certificate of Appropriateness.<sup>12</sup>

On the day of and prior to commencement of the public hearing, the Commission took a field trip to view the site of the proposed new construction.<sup>13</sup> At the public hearing that followed, the Commission heard from planning staff, the Applicant, and members of the public, including appellant Mr. McCarthy.<sup>14</sup> At the conclusion of the meeting, the Historic Landmark Commission voted unanimously to approve the project and issue the Certificate of Appropriateness.<sup>15</sup>

Mr. McCarthy, the property owner of the neighboring Walker-McCune Mansion, which is used primarily as office space, has filed this appeal. He contends the Historic Landmark Commission erred in granting the Certificate of Appropriateness for two reasons: 1) the City did

---

<sup>4</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Work Session, Dec. 5, 2019, which can be viewed at: <http://www.slctv.com/video/Historic-Landmarks-Commission-Meeting-12052019/803aa787f504bdf449877a1c2419a500>, min 57-103.

<sup>5</sup> See Notice of Work Session and mailing list, **Attachment J** hereto

<sup>6</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Work Session.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Work Session, min 91:30-93:00.; see also Attachment F to Staff Report for Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto.

<sup>9</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, January 16, 2020, which can be viewed at: <http://www.slctv.com/video/Historic-Landmarks-Commission-Meeting-01162020/e26c758b6dcade989a99b963ca42d361>, min 7:00- 103:00.

<sup>10</sup> See Staff Planning Report for Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto.

<sup>11</sup> See Attachment H to Staff Report for Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Minutes, Jan. 16, 2020, **Attachment F**, hereto; See also Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, min 0:45-1:00.

<sup>14</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting.

<sup>15</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, min 100:00-101:45; see also Record of Decision, **Attachment E** hereto.

not provide notice of the project to applicable community councils; and 2) the project does not meet eight of the eighty-six design guidelines set forth in the City’s Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments and Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City.

### **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

An aggrieved party may appeal the decision the Historic Landmark Commission to either an appeals hearing officer or the historic preservation appeal authority. Appellant, Mr. McCarthy, has elected an appeal to the appeals hearing officer.

In an appeal from the decision of the Historic Landmark Commission, it is the appellant’s burden to prove the decision was incorrect.<sup>16</sup> The appeal is limited to the record before the Historic Landmark Commission and the appeals hearing officer must uphold the decision of the Commission, unless the decision “is not supported by substantial evidence on the record or it violates a law, statute, or ordinance in effect when the decision was made.”<sup>17</sup>

Appellant cannot meet his burden under either of the arguments raised.

#### **I. No Error Regarding the Noticing of the Project is Shown.**

Appellant incorrectly contends the Historic Landmark Commission erred in granting the Certificate of Appropriateness because the City did not provide the chair of certain community councils notice of the project. Appellant’s argument fails for two reasons. One, the City is not required to provide notice of this project to recognized organizations, including the chairs of community councils. Section 2.60.050(C) of the Salt Lake City Code lists the projects for which the City is required to provide notice to local community councils. New construction in a historic district is not included.<sup>18</sup>

Two, despite having no statutory obligation to provide notice of the project to the chairs of the applicable community councils, notice was in fact provided. Specifically, on November 12, 2019, Salt Lake City mailed notice of this project to the chairpersons of the East Central, Central City and Greater Avenues Community Councils.<sup>19</sup> Notably, no member of a community council attended the public hearing or chose to speak at the public hearing.<sup>20</sup>

In short, no law, statute, or ordinance requires that community councils be provided notice of this project. Moreover, substantial evidence was presented to the Commission that community

---

<sup>16</sup> Salt Lake City Code § 21A.16.030(F).

<sup>17</sup> Salt Lake City Code § 21A.16.030(E)(2).

<sup>18</sup> Appellant contends the provisions of Salt Lake City Code § 2.60.050(C) apply because the construction of the 125 units is “new construction of major public facilities and structures” or a “planned development.” The project is privately owned and does not even start to meet the definition of a “public” facility or structure. Similarly, Applicant sought issue of a certificate of appropriateness under Chapter 21A, Title 34, of the Salt Lake City Code, not approval of a “planned development” under Chapter 21A, Title 55 of the Salt Lake City Code.

<sup>19</sup> See Early Notification and Mailing List, **Attachment I**, hereto.

<sup>20</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting.

councils were in fact provided timely notice of the project.<sup>21</sup> As such, appellant's notice argument lacks merit.

## II. No Error Regarding the Approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness is Shown.

Appellant contends the Commission erred in granting the Certificate of Appropriateness because, according to Appellant, the project will block the Appellant's view from his property and obstruct light to his property. Appellant relies on eight design guidelines from the "Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments and Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City" to support his claim.

First, appellant appears to misunderstand the standard applicable to the issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction in a historic district, which does not include a standard for ensuring views from or light to all neighboring properties. Specifically, Salt Lake City Code § 21A.34.020H identifies the standards the Commission must consider when determining if a Certificate of Appropriateness should issue, which are separated into seven different topic areas. The Historic Landmark Commission is tasked with evaluating whether the project at issue "substantially complies" with these standards. For the convenience of the hearing officer, a copy of section 21A.34.020H is attached hereto. None of the standards set forth in section 21A.34.020H impose a requirement to preserve the light or view of a neighboring property.

Second, appellant appears to misunderstand the role of the City's design guidelines in the issue of Certificates of Appropriateness. As set forth in Salt Lake City Code § 21A.34.020H, a Certificate of Appropriateness issues if the commission finds the project "substantially complies" with each of the standards identified in that provision of City code. To assist the Commission in determining if each of the standards are met, there are eight-six design guidelines. These design guidelines are defined by City code as just that; guidelines:

The design guidelines *provide guidance* in determining the suitability and architectural compatibility of proposed maintenance, repair, alteration or new construction while at the same time, allowing for reasonable changes that meet current needs of properties located within the Historic Preservation Overlay District. For architects, designers, contractors and property owners, they provide guidance in planning and designing future projects. For City staff and the Historic Landmark Commission, they *provide guidance* for the interpretation of the zoning ordinance standards. Design guidelines are officially adopted by City Council.<sup>22</sup>

The design guidelines themselves also make clear that the guidelines are "informational," not "prescriptive."<sup>23</sup> Moreover, because the standard for issuing a Certificate of Appropriateness is a determination that the project at issue "substantially complies" with the standards it is not necessary to show that every single one of the eight-six design guidelines is met to satisfy this standard.<sup>24</sup> This is especially true where up to fourteen different guidelines can relate to any one

---

<sup>21</sup> See e.g. Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, min 10:45-12:45.

<sup>22</sup> Salt Lake City Code § 21A.34.020B.

<sup>23</sup> See e.g. Design Guidelines for Historic Apartment & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City, page 23 & 76. A copy of the guidelines can be found at: <http://www.slcdocs.com/historicpreservation/MFDG/MFDGLR.pdf>

<sup>24</sup> Salt Lake City Code § 21A.34.020H.

standard.<sup>25</sup> Thus, Appellant's argument that the Certificate of Appropriateness was improperly issued because eight of the eighty-six design guidelines were not met, wholly lacks merit.

Third, there is substantial evidence to support the Commission's finding that the project substantially complies with the standards of the ordinance for issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness. For example, the report the City Planner prepared for the Historic Landmark Commission provided a detailed and exhaustive analysis of each of the ordinance standards, together with the corresponding design guidelines.<sup>26</sup> As set forth in detail in that report, the project substantially complied with all applicable standards and the City planner recommended issue of the certificate.<sup>27</sup> The Commission adopted the findings of this report when it unanimously voted to grant the request for the certificate.<sup>28</sup> The contents of this adopted report alone show there is substantial evidence to support the Commission's finding that the project substantially complies with the applicable standards.

But the Commission did not just rely on the report prepared by City Planning Staff, two Commissioners conducted a site visit prior to the public hearing. During that site visit those Commissioners would have observed for themselves the distance the new construction will be from the offices housed in Appellant's South Temple property and the existence of a garage owned by Appellant that is located between Appellant's property and the proposed new construction, which notably already blocks light and views from the south side of Appellant's property. The Commission would also have observed the significant elevation change from South Temple along 600 East, which places Appellant's property at a higher elevation than the property on which Appellant's new construction will be built. From this, the Commissioners could easily have concluded that this is not a situation where the new construction will be within feet of an existing residential property, with windows immediately overlooking bathrooms etc., as Appellant attempts to insinuate with his arguments regarding privacy and light.

In addition to the foregoing, the Commission also had the opportunity to review the extensive materials submitted by the Applicant and presented at the work session and public meeting, which included a detailed report identifying the standards and all eighty-six design guidelines, together with a discussion of how each were met,<sup>29</sup> and drawings that show the building is designed in a stepped fashion, such that the highest elevation of the three stepped building is set back approximately 65 feet from 600 East to address light, views, and massing concerns.<sup>30</sup>

Finally, the Commission also heard from members of the public, including comments regarding concerns that the new construction would block views from and obstruct light to Appellant's property and asked questions specifically related to that issue, which were addressed.<sup>31</sup>

---

<sup>25</sup> See e.g. Attachment H to Staff Report for Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto.

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

<sup>27</sup> *Id.*

<sup>28</sup> See Record of Decision, **Attachment E** hereto.

<sup>29</sup> See Attachment C to Staff Report to Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto.

<sup>30</sup> See Attachments D-F to Staff Report to Historic Landmark Commission, **Attachment G** hereto; see also Historic Landmark Commission Working Session, min 60:00-104:00; Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, mins. 7:00-102:00.

<sup>31</sup> See Historic Landmark Commission Public Meeting, min 48:40-87:00.

In short, there is ample evidence in the record to support the Commission's finding that the project "substantially complies" with the standards for issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness. It is appellant's burden to marshal the evidence in support of his claim that the Commission's conclusion is not supported by substantial evidence, which Appellant has wholly failed to do.

### **Conclusion**

The Commission's issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness should be affirmed. Timely notice of the project was provided to community councils, despite no requirement to do so. Similarly, the Commission's finding that the project "substantially complies" with the standards for issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness is supported by substantial evidence. Appellant has not shown otherwise.



11. Any new sign and any change in the appearance of any existing sign located on a landmark site or within the H Historic Preservation Overlay District, which is visible from any public way or open space shall be consistent with the historic character of the landmark site or H Historic Preservation Overlay District and shall comply with the standards outlined in chapter 21A.46 of this title.

H. Standards For Certificate Of Appropriateness Involving New Construction Or Alteration Of A Noncontributing Structure: In considering an application for a certificate of appropriateness involving new construction, or alterations of noncontributing structures, the Historic Landmark Commission, or Planning Director when the application involves the alteration of a noncontributing structure shall, using the adopted design guidelines as a key basis for evaluation, determine whether the project substantially complies with each of the following standards that pertain to the application to ensure that the proposed project fits into the established context in ways that respect and contribute to the evolution of Salt Lake City's architectural and cultural traditions:

1. Settlement Patterns And Neighborhood Character:

- a. Block And Street Patterns: The design of the project preserves and reflects the historic block, street, and alley patterns that give the district its unique character. Changes to the block and street pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted City plan.
- b. Lot And Site Patterns: The design of the project preserves the pattern of lot and building site sizes that create the urban character of the historic context and the block face. Changes to the lot and site pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted City plan.
- c. The Public Realm: The project relates to adjacent streets and engages with sidewalks in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects should maintain the depth of yard and height of principal elevation of those existing on the block face in order to support consistency in the definition of public and semi-public spaces.
- d. Building Placement: Buildings are placed such that the project maintains and reflects the historic pattern of setbacks and building depth established within the historic context and the block face. Buildings should maintain the setback demonstrated by existing buildings of that type constructed in the district or site's period of significance.
- e. Building Orientation: The building is designed such that principal entrances and pathways are oriented such that they address the street in the pattern established in the historic context and the block face.

2. Site Access, Parking, And Services:

- a. Site Access: The design of the project allows for site access that is similar, in form and function, with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.
  - (1) Pedestrian: Safe pedestrian access is provided through architecturally highlighted entrances and walkways, consistent with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.
  - (2) Vehicular: Vehicular access is located in the least obtrusive manner possible. Where possible, garage doors and parking should be located to the rear or to the side of the building.
- b. Site And Building Services And Utilities: Utilities and site/building services (such as HVAC systems, venting fans, and dumpsters) are located such that they are to the rear of the building or on the roof and screened from public spaces and public properties.

3. Landscape And Lighting:

- a. Grading Of Land: The site's landscape, such as grading and retaining walls, addresses the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.
- b. Landscape Structures: Landscape structures, such as arbors, walls, fences, address the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.
- c. Lighting: Where appropriate lighting is used to enhance significant elements of the design and reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

4. Building Form And Scale:

- a. Character Of The Street Block: The design of the building reflects the historic character of the street facade in terms of scale, composition, and modeling.
  - (1) Height: The height of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects taller than those existing on the block face step back their upper floors to present a base that is in scale with the historic context and the block face.
  - (2) Width: The width of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects wider than those existing on the block face modulate the facade to express a series of volumes in scale with the historic context and the block face.
  - (3) Massing: The shape, form, and proportion of buildings, reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.
  - (4) Roof Forms: The building incorporates roof shapes that reflect forms found in the historic context and the block face.

5. Building Character:

- a. Facade Articulation And Proportion: The design of the project reflects patterns of articulation and proportion established in the historic context and the block face. As appropriate, facade articulations reflect those typical of other buildings on the block face. These articulations are of similar dimension to those found elsewhere in the context, but have a depth of not less than twelve inches (12").
  - (1) Rhythm Of Openings: The facades are designed to reflect the rhythm of openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.
  - (2) Proportion And Scale Of Openings: The facades are designed using openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) of similar proportion and scale to that established in the historic context and the block face.
  - (3) Ratio Of Wall To Openings: Facades are designed to reflect the ratio of wall to openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.
  - (4) Balconies, Porches, And External Stairs: The project, as appropriate, incorporates entrances, balconies, porches, stairways, and other projections that reflect patterns established in the historic context and the block face.

6. Building Materials, Elements And Detailing:

- a. Materials: Building facades, other than windows and doors, incorporate no less than eighty percent (80%) durable material such as, but not limited to, wood, brick, masonry, textured or patterned concrete and/or cut stone. These materials reflect those found elsewhere in the district and/or setting in terms of scale and character.
- b. Materials On Street-Facing Facades: The following materials are not considered to be appropriate and are prohibited for use on facades which face a public street: vinyl siding and aluminum siding.
- c. Windows: Windows and other openings are incorporated in a manner that reflects patterns, materials, and detailing established in the district and/or setting.
- d. Architectural Elements And Details: The design of the building features architectural elements and details that reflect those characteristic of the district and/or setting.

7. Signage Location: Locations for signage are provided such that they are an integral part of the site and architectural design and are complementary to the principal structure.

I. Standards For Certificate Of Appropriateness For Relocation Of Landmark Site Or Contributing Structure: In considering an application for a certificate of appropriateness for relocation of a landmark site or a contributing structure, the Historic Landmark Commission shall find that the project substantially complies with the following standards:

1. The proposed relocation will abate demolition of the structure;

ATTACHMENT E: RECORD OF DECISION

---



ERIN MENDENHALL  
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT of COMMUNITY  
and NEIGHBORHOODS  
PLANNING DIVISION

January 17, 2020

Mr. Dustin Holt  
dbURBAN Communities  
211 E. Broadway #218  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Re: Record of Decision for Petition PLNHLC2019-00860  
Masonic Temple Apartments (Regius Flats) – New Construction  
33 S. 600 East

This letter serves as the Record of Decision (ROD) relative to the above referenced petition. This ROD is provided to you indicating the action taken by the Historic Landmark Commission (HLC), the date of decision, and the pertinent appeal period.

On January 16, 2020, the HLC approved the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for New Construction of the Masonic Temple Apartments. The decision of the HLC was based on the analysis and findings listed in the staff report, and testimony and plans presented during the meeting. The staff report can be found at the following site:

<http://www.slcdocs.com/Planning/HLC/2020/01%20Jan%202020/01.16.2020%20Masonic%20Temple%20Apartments%20HLC%20Staff%20Report.pdf>

The minutes of the HLC meeting are tentatively scheduled to be adopted on February 6, 2020. **Copies of the adopted minutes will be posted on the Planning Division's website the day after they are adopted at:**

<https://www.slc.gov/boards/historic-landmark-commission-agendas-minutes/>

There is a 10-day appeal period in which any party entitled to appeal can appeal the HLC's **decisions to the city's Appeals Hearing Officer**. This appeal period is **required in the City's Zoning Ordinance** and allows time for any affected party to protest the approval, if they so choose. Any appeal, including the filing fee, must be filed by the close of business on January 27, 2020.

**If you have any further questions about the Planning Division's processes, please contact me at (801) 535-6184 or by e-mail at [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com).**

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lex Traughber".

Lex Traughber  
Senior Planner

ATTACHMENT F: HLC MINUTES (1/16/20)

---

**SALT LAKE CITY HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION MEETING**  
**City & County Building**  
**451 South State Street, Room 326, Salt Lake City, Utah**  
**January 16, 2020**

A roll is being kept of all who attended the Historic Landmark Commission Meeting. The meeting was called to order at [5:30:54 PM](#). Audio recordings of the Historic Landmark Commission meetings are retained for a period of time.

Present for the Historic Landmark Commission meeting: Commissioners Victoria Petro–Eschler, Esther Stowell, Paul Svendsen, Stanley Adams, Rocío de María Torres Mora, and Jessica Maw.

Excused from the Historic Landmark Commission meeting: Chairperson Kenton Peters and Vice Chairperson Robert Hyde; Commissioners David Richardson and Michael Vela.

Planning staff present at the meeting were Planning Manager Wayne Mills, Senior Planner Amy Thompson, Senior Planner Lex Traughber, and Administrative Secretary Merili Carter.

Senior City Attorney Paul Nielson was also in attendance.

**Field Trip**

A field trip was held prior to the work session.

Present for the field trip were Commissioners Esther Stowell and Rocío de María Torres Mora; and City Staff: Planning Manager Wayne Mills and Senior Planner Lex Traughber.

- **Masonic Temple Apartments** – Staff summarized the project and existing neighborhood conditions.

**Dinner-** No public business was discussed.

**Commission Meeting Begins** [5:30:54 PM](#)

**Discussion to approve the December 5, 2019, MEETING MINUTES** [5:31:36 PM](#)

**MOTION to approve** [5:31:36 PM](#)

Commissioner Petro–Eschler moved to approve the December 5, 2019 meeting minutes. Commissioner Adams seconded the motion. All Commissioners voted “aye”.

**The motion passed unanimously.** [5:31:55 PM](#)

**REPORT OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR** [5:32:04 PM](#)

Both the Chair and Vice Chair did not attend; no report.

**REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR** [5:32:12 PM](#)

Planning Manager Mills gave an update on the Salt Lake Regional Medical Center signs.

Planning Manager Mills also discussed the following extension request:

**Extension Request for New Construction at approximately 563 E. 600 S.** - Kristen Clifford, the consultant who represents the property owner, is requesting that the Historic Landmark Commission grant a one-year time extension on approval of New Construction of a Mixed-Use building in the Central City Local Historic District. The Commission originally granted approval for this project on December 7, 2017. The subject property is located within Council District 4 which is represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Amy Thompson at (801) 535-7281 or [amy.thompson@slcgov.com](mailto:amy.thompson@slcgov.com). Case number **PLNHLC2017-00555**.

Commission declined to hear from staff and/or the applicant.

Commissioner Petro–Eschler moved to grant the one-year extension. Commissioner Mora second the motion. All Commissioners voted “aye”.

**The motion passed unanimously [5:36:01 PM](#)**

**GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS [5:36:05 PM](#)**

Cindy Cromer commented on the previous extension request. She commented on the main issues have been with loans, fire, and Rocky Mountain Power. Cindy expressed the need to address the issues in a timelier manner.

**PUBLIC HEARING for agenda items [5:37:56 PM](#)**

1. **Masonic Temple Apartments at approximately 33 S. 600 E.** - DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction of a multi-family residential development of approximately 125 dwelling. New construction is required to go the Historic Landmark Commission. Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot and is zoned RO - Residential Office. The subject property is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Lex Traughber at (801) 535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com). Case number **PLNHLC2019-00860**.

[5:38:17 PM](#) Commissioner Petro–Eschler disclosed a potential conflict of interest: she knows one of the architects on the project. The architect’s spouse works for Commissioner Petro-Eschler. Commissioner Petro-Eschler disclosed that she will receive no benefit from this project, and she believes she can be impartial. Senior City Attorney Paul Nielson discussed that he has no concerns about Commissioner Petro-Eschler’s relation to the architect.

[5:39:48 PM](#) Senior Planner Lex Traughber discussed the Masonic Temple Apartments at approximately 33 South 600 East PLNHLC2019-00860. Traughber discussed that several public comments were received for this project. He addressed the public process that was required on this project, and what notifications were sent out. Traughber also discussed that a traffic study is not required for this project.

**The Commission and Staff Discussion [5:45:00 PM](#):**

Commission did not have any questions for staff.

**Applicant Presentation [5:46:03 PM](#):**

Dustin Holt of dbURBAN Communities introduced Jason Woodland, president of the Masonic Temple Association, Meghnad Aubry and David Abraham with Nexus Architects.

Woodland discussed the association's background and forecasted future.

Holt discussed the overall project and their public engagement process on this project and how the design was influenced by public input.

Aubry discussed the historical nods in the design and 600 E street façade.

**The Commission and Applicant Discussion [6:12:00 PM](#):**

Commissioner Petro–Eschler asked the applicant about the shadows this project will cast upon the neighboring mansion.

Aubry discussed the height of the project and the setbacks mitigating the casting of shadows.

Commissioner Maw asked about the location of the project and how that was chosen.

Woodland discussed the need to maintain the parking lot on the east side of their property.

Commissioner Maw asked about access to the project from 700 East.

Holt discussed how the project's parking stalls will be accessed.

Commissioner Svendsen asked how the height of the project was chosen.

Holt discussed their height choice process.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS for Case number PLNHLC2019-00860 [6:19:42 PM](#)**

Philip McCarthy, neighbor to applicant property, expressed that he is opposed to the project. He is concerned about the height of the project, the trees, and the sightlines. He would be okay with the project if it moved to the 700 East side of the Masonic Temple property and if they created green space on the 600 East side of the property.

Joseph Sanders, a local historian, supports the project and supports the need for funding to maintain the historic Masonic Temple.

Cindy Cromer spoke of neighboring properties to the project, expressed support of new development, more housing, and relocating the carriage house.

Todd Brashear opposes project and finds an issue with the requirements for new construction in historic districts. He also finds an issue with the height of the project, movement of sun light to neighboring projects, traffic, and the lot line adjustment.

Max Smith, local architect, spoke of the historical importance of South Temple. He expressed concerns with the north elevation and how it will not compliment the Walker McCarthy Mansion.

Orlando Luna, called upon to speak, but did not come up to speak.

Monique Carlson spoke of historic preservation and expressed concern about the height of the north side of the project.

Michael Shawn Fletcher, General Manager of the Walker McCarthy Mansion, opposes the project and spoke of the height, neighboring properties, and the desire to maintain the sightlines.

Rob Carlson expressed his support of preserving South Temple and supports the Masonic Temple finding a way to fund their preservation but is concerned about the north elevation of the project.

Michelle Turpin finds the City to be hypocritical if they approve this project when she has had smaller projects denied because she is also in a historic district.

Commissioner Paul Svendsen, temporary chair, read the following comments:

Ken Rozema expressed his concern about the community having a voice and believes the project will stick out like a sore thumb and look out of place.

Sandra McCarthy expressed she would rather see the project directly behind the Masonic Temple.

Patrick Egbert is concerned about traffic and safety. He feels that traffic would flow better if the project were built on the 700 East side of the property.

Molly Spain expressed concern about another high-rise ruining the historic character of South Temple.

Seth Spain expressed concern about high-rise buildings ruining the historic buildings on South Temple.

Gary Evershed would like a traffic study to be done and is concerned about the lot consolidation and keeping the historic nature of the area.

M.C. Rivetji expressed his support for the project and spoke about how buildings have been going up that disrupt views for all of history and we cannot halt development for that purpose. He expressed the need for more housing and maintained that this project will not harm the surrounding historic structures. This project helps preserve the historic district; economically and socially.

Patrick Egbert, representing the Cumming family, owner of the Keith Brown Mansion and local block. Patrick discussed the need for a traffic study; would like to see the project on 700 East.



Ray Hulse opposes the project, namely the alley way, and is concerned about crime and sanitation maintenance in the area.

**The Commission and Applicant Discussion [6:58:06 PM](#):**

Applicant was called back up to address the public's concerns.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS closed for Case number PLNHLC2019-00860 [7:03:42 PM](#)**

**Executive Session [7:03:56 PM](#)**

Commission discussed that they can only consider the historic district new construction guidelines, and that other concerns raised by the public do not fall under their purview.

Senior City Attorney Paul Nielson clarified that the commission can only consider the standards of the ordinance.

Commissioner Adams discussed the ordinance and the rights of a property owner.

Planning Manger Mills discussed access to light and the design objective that considers access to light and privacy to adjacent buildings.

Commissioner Petro–Eschler also discussed the ordinance and the HLC purview.

Planning Manger Mills discussed the HLC's responsibilities.

Commissioner Svendsen asked about noticing procedures.

Commissioner Stowell discussed the need to work out their relationships with adjacent property owners and neighbors.

**MOTION to Approve made [7:11:30 PM](#)**

Commissioner Stowell: based on the analysis and findings in the staff report that the standards for approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness involving new construction in a local historic district have been substantially met, testimony and the proposal presented, I move that the Commission approve the request for new construction located at approximately 33 S. 600 East.

Commissioner Adams seconded the motion.

Commissioner Maw, Adams, Petro-Eschler, Stowell, and Torres Mora voted aye.

**The motion to approve passed unanimously [7:12:22 PM](#)**

Senior City Attorney Paul Nielson discussed the appeal process.

**Meeting adjourned [7:13:15 PM](#)**

ATTACHMENT G: HLC STAFF REPORT DATED 1/16/2020



# Staff Report

PLANNING DIVISION  
COMMUNITY & NEIGHBORHOODS

---

To: Salt Lake City Historic Landmark Commission

From: Lex Traughber – Senior Planner  
(801) 535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com)

Date: January 16, 2020

Re: Petition PLNHLC2019-00860, Masonic Temple Apartments (Regius Flats)

---

## NEW CONSTRUCTION IN A HISTORIC DISTRICT

Property Address: 33 S. 600 East  
Parcel IDs: 16-06-227-002, 003, 004, 005 & 013  
Historic District: South Temple & Central City  
Zoning District: RO – Residential/Office  
Master Plan: Central Community Master Plan - Residential/Office Mixed Use  
Design Guidelines: Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City

REQUEST: DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction for a multi-family residential development of approximately 125 units located at 33 S. 600 East in the South Temple & Central City Historic Districts (See attached Vicinity Map and Historic District Maps – Attachments A & B). Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot. The subject property is located in Council District 4 represented by Ana Valdemoros and is zoned RO (Residential/Office District).

RECOMMENDATION: As outlined in the analysis and findings in this staff report, it is Planning **Staff's opinion that the proposed new construction request** substantially meets the applicable standards of approval and the associated multifamily design guidelines and therefore, recommends that the Historic Landmark Commission approve the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA).

### BACKGROUND AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION:


Earlier this year, DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, submitted applications for a Master Plan and a Zoning Map Amendment for a portion of the property located at 650 E. South Temple. The proposals were to amend the Central Community Master Plan Future Land Use Map from Institutional to Residential/Office Mixed Use, and to amend the Salt Lake City Zoning Map from I – Institutional to RO – Residential/Office. These amendments were approved by the City Council on September 3, 2019 (Ordinance 43 of 2019).

The Masonic Temple Apartments project (Regius Flats) is to be a new multifamily residential development of approximately 125 dwelling units. The proposed new structure features four (4) stories of residential units, **not to exceed sixty feet (60') in building height**, above a partially underground two

(2) story parking structure, and includes a leasing office and amenity areas. The property is currently a surface parking lot serving the Masonic Temple. The project has been designed to be pedestrian scaled and oriented along 600 East with dwelling unit entryways, porches, and functional balconies. The front yards along this stretch will be terraced and landscaped to create a pleasing experience for the pedestrian as observed from the sidewalk along the street. Existing mature trees along the 600 East property frontage will be maintained, with the exception of any tree(s) that may impede passage to the drive for the parking garage on the north side of the building. The height of the building along the 600 East frontage will be compatible with adjacent historic structures to the south of the subject property, and the building will maintain the established building setback along said block face. The proposed building has been designed to step up in height from the southwest corner of the property (along 600 East) toward the northeast corner of the property and the Masonic Temple building. This design is proposed to minimize the impact of the bulk of the structure on adjacent properties and buildings. Building materials include dark and light masonry, cementitious siding, light colored smooth hard coat stucco, and structural concrete. Features also include composite windows (Anderson 100 Series) of **historic form and proportion inset into openings approximately four inches (4”), French doors on proposed porches, metal and glass porch railings, and an aluminum storefront system at the main entry and adjacent leasing office/amenity space.**



MASONIC TEMPLE APARTMENTS



HISTORIC DISTRICTS



SITE PLAN



WEST STREETScape PHOTOMONTAGE  
NTS

## STREETScape

The applicant has submitted a detailed narrative for consideration that effectively outlines how the proposed development meets standards and the associated design guidelines (Attachment C). A site plan, elevation drawings, renderings have been submitted for review (Attachments D & F). In addition, streetscape and massing drawings have been submitted to provide a sense of scale between the proposed new construction and surrounding development. The plans before the HLC are a result of a series of three design iterations. Planning Staff **worked closely with the applicant and the applicant's** architect to arrive at a product that meets regulations and guidelines over the course of a couple of months.

The applicant met with the HLC on December 5, 2019 for a work session. The minutes from this meeting are attached for review – Attachment E. The response from the HLC was positive in general. The HLC requested that the applicant prepare a set of drawings to better illustrate how the building would interface with the sidewalk along 600 East from a pedestrian perspective. The applicant provided additional information in response to the HLC request. Please see Attachment F.

### PHOTOS OF SUBJECT SITE:



The Masonic Temple as viewed from South Temple Street.



View of the subject property from the southwest corner of the property along 600 South.



Another view as seen from the southwest corner of the subject property.



View of the driveway approach from South Temple Street looking north.



View of the property to the southwest corner toward 600 East.



View of the property from 600 East.

#### NEXT STEPS:

If the project is approved by the HLC, the applicant would be issued a Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed New Construction and then proceed to the building permit stage. If the Commission **disagrees with Staff's recommendation and the project is denied, the applicant would not be issued a** Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed New Construction and any new proposal would require a new application.

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- A – Vicinity Map
- B – Historic District Map
- C – Applicant Information – Project Narrative (10/30/19)
- D – Development Plan Set (10/30/19)
- E – HLC Work Session Minutes (12/5/19)
- F – Additional Drawings (12/23/19)
- G – Existing Conditions
- H – Analysis of Standards for New Construction/Applicable Design Guidelines




- I – Public Process and Comments
- J – City Department/Division Comments

ATTACHMENT A: VICINITY MAP



# ATTACHMENT B: HISTORIC DISTRICT MAPS



★ *Approximate Project Location*

# ATTACHMENT C: APPLICANT INFORMATION/NARRATIVE



ARCH | NEXUS

ARCHITECTURAL NEXUS, Inc  
archnexus.com

**SALT LAKE CITY**  
2505 East Parleys Way  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84109  
T 801.924.5000

**SACRAMENTO**  
1990 Third Street, Suite 500  
Sacramento, California 95811  
T 916.443.5911

October 30, 2019

## Masonic Temple Apartments Historic Landmark Commission Narrative

### Project Description:

The Masonic Temple Apartments project is to be a new multifamily residential development located within the Central City Historic Overlay District and the South Temple Historic Overlay District at 650 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah. Currently, this site is occupied by a surface parking lot.

This project successfully supports the primary stated goal of the East Downtown neighborhood plan, which is to “develop the East Downtown as a high density residential neighborhood” and “stop the erosion of the residential character of the area”.


The proposed new structure features four stories of residential units above a partially underground two story parking structure, and includes a leasing office and amenity areas.

### Eligible/Contributing Structures

There are no eligible or contributing structures on the site. Eligible/significant buildings on the block face include the residential building just south of the project (53 South 600 East), and the Broadway at the Eccles building to the north (610 East South Temple). The Masonic Temple on the same block along South Temple is also contributing. The other residential buildings south of the project along 600 E are eligible/contributing structures.



Broadway at the Eccles (610 East S Temple) and Masonic Temple (650 East S Temple) are contributing buildings.



Eligible/Significant and contributing buildings along 600 East.

### Non-Contributing/Out of Period Structures

The site is currently used as a surface parking lot. One of the stated goals of the East Downtown neighborhood of the Central City Master Plan is to “target at-grade parking lots for development projects”. This proposal perfectly aligns with this goal.

There is a small non-contributing structure on the site. This is a “carriage house,” approximately 25’x25’ that is in an advanced state of deterioration, as shown in the image in the packet attached.

The project contemplates relocating this building to the southeast corner of the property as indicated on the site plan. With some modifications, this structure could be used for a clubhouse function for the new development, adding some liveliness and a destination along a mid-block connection. Repurposing this structure provides an informal reference to the past. The East Downtown Neighborhood Plan calls for “preserving and enhancing the neighborhood’s unique character,” and this small move does just that.

### Proposed New Construction

#### 1. Settlement Patterns And Neighborhood Character:

- a. Block And Street Patterns: The design of the project preserves and reflects the historic block, street, and alley patterns that give the district its unique character. Changes to the block and street pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted City plan.


*Preserve and promote the historic plan of streets and alleys as essential to the historic character of the district and setting. [12.1]*

The project retains the historic pattern of 600 East as a smaller tree-lined street and strengthens / reinstates the street section by replacing the existing parking lot with a beautiful, appropriately scaled new residential building. (12.1 bullets 1 and 2)

A mid-block connection already exists going north towards South Temple – this will be maintained and enhanced with a westerly connection from 600 E. This new connection also sets up the possibility for a future connection towards 700 E when the lot to the east of the project site is developed. This reinforces the existing pattern of development in the middle of the block (12.1 bullet 4)

*Preserve and reinforce the historic street pattern as a unifying framework for varied lot sizes and orientation. [12.2]*

The proposed project sits at the center of the block and fits well into the scale and size of the historic block and street development patterns. The building is sited such that the building edge defines the adjacent streets/sidewalks along 600 East. Both along South Temple, and along 600 East there are several lots of similar size and scale, as shown in the image below. (12.2 bullet 2)



Additionally, the building retains historic alignments both to the north and the south through a generous 25' setback. The building immediately to the north presents a 140 ft elevation along 600 E, the proposed building almost exactly matches this width. The width and massing of the building is similar to the building to the north. (12.2 bullet 1)

*Retain and reinforce the permeable historic street pattern as a framework for public access. [12.3]*

The proposed mid-block connection previously described helps reinforce the permeable historic street pattern and is a highly desirable feature of the development. According to the Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments and Multi-family buildings in Salt Lake City, "Within the street block itself, the narrow internal streets, lanes and alleys help to create a more intricate pattern

and urban 'grain', as well as providing access to individual lot frontage and the rear of the lot. They also create the opportunity for a greater spectrum of social vitality and interaction, neighborhood experience and alternative walkable routes." (12.3 bullet 1, sub-bullet 4)

The project contemplates relocating the existing non-contributing building to the southeast corner of the property as indicated on the site plan. With some modifications, this structure could be used for a clubhouse function for the new development, therefore creating an attractive focus for community social interactions. (12.3 bullet 1, sub-bullet 3)

One of the defining features of the streetscape are the mature trees that line the median, and both sides of the street (in a double row located in the landscape zone and on the property line side of the sidewalk). This project proposes maintaining and celebrating these mature trees and allowing them to screen the elevations of the new building. They will continue to enhance the walkability of the street, creating a desirable connection to the mid-block paths, as well as clearly aligning with S.3 recommendation of the Design Principles & Guidelines for Sustainable Development in Chapter IV of the Multi-family Design Guidelines.

b. Lot And Site Patterns: The design of the project preserves the pattern of lot and building site sizes that create the urban character of the historic context and the block face. Changes to the lot and site pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted City plan.

*Maintain the historic integrity of the pattern and scale of lots. [12.4]*

The lot size proposed is consistent with the existing lot on the same block face to the north and also with lots on the same block along South Temple and 100 South. As described below in 12.5 and in the building width section, the building is designed in a way that reduces the perceived massing and width of the elevation, and therefore associated lots. This is accomplished by breaking up the dark brick planes with smaller light brick areas. This provides an appropriate transition to the smaller residential buildings to the south.

*Site and design a new building to reinforce and enhance the character of the context and its patterns. [12.5]*

As shown in the series of massing diagrams in the attached packet, the building massing was clearly designed to site the taller building elements away from nearby smaller scale buildings. The massing is reduced to the south, adjacent to the smaller residential buildings, and along 600 East, along the pedestrian route. It was maximized to the north and east of the lot, adjacent to the neighboring parking garage and the taller Masonic Temple. (12.5 bullet 2)

The Broadway at the Eccles building immediately to the north has a lot frontage of over 200 ft on 600 E and about 150 ft along South Temple. The proposed lot frontage is about 180 ft along 600 E. The Masonic Temple to the north and the 6<sup>th</sup> East Office Building immediately across the street at 50/60 South 600 East have similar or larger development patterns. (12.5 bullet 1)

c. The Public Realm: The project relates to adjacent streets and engages with sidewalks in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects should maintain the depth of yard and height of principal elevation of those existing on the block face in order to support consistency in the definition of public and semi-public spaces.

*Contribute to the public, the civic, realm. [12.6]*



The proposed building is beautifully designed with durable materials, well scaled along 600 East and the mid-block connection and creates a drastic improvement to the streetscape compared to the existing parking lot. Semi-private spaces in the form of stoops line the walkable 600 East and the mid-block connection and more public spaces in the form of building amenities and the clubhouse with associated seating offer focal attractions at the ends of these paths.

*Engage the building with the street through a sequence of public to semi-private spaces. [12.7]*

Starting from the pedestrian friendly public sidewalk, the building includes a generous setback with mature trees and no fencing or screening. These spaces transition to a layered, stepped set of stoops associated with the brownstones along 600 East. This pattern is typical of the development along the block face, where similar setbacks with porches and stoops are included.

*Situate and design a building to define and frame the street and spaces in a context-characteristic way. [12.8]*

The building reflects the street edge by matching the generous 25'-0" setback of the other structures on the street front and strengthens this edge by replacing the parking lot with a beautiful residential building. As stated, the building immediately to the north presents a 140 ft uninterrupted elevation along 600 E, the proposed building almost exactly matches this width. Again, the Masonic Temple and the 6<sup>th</sup> East Office Building have similar or larger street elevations. (12.8 bullet 1).

The proposed structure reinforces the historic streetscape by presenting a two story residential elevation with stoops along 600 East. It also frames the street well with the existing brick buildings across the street which are similarly scaled along their frontage. (12.8 bullet 2)

*Design a new building on a corner lot to define, frame and contribute to the public realm of both streets. [12.9]*

Even though the building is not located on a corner, the siting and design are very sensitive to the mid-block connection. The required 15' side yard setback was increase to 20' in order to provide a better public mid-block connection (12.9 bullet 2), as well as to respond to the smaller residential building located to the south. Also, the units facing south have access points that open directly to the mid-block connection to activate and animate this public space.

d. Building Placement: Buildings are placed such that the project maintains and reflects the historic pattern of setbacks and building depth established within the historic context and the block face. Buildings should maintain the setback demonstrated by existing buildings of that type constructed in the district or site's period of significance.

*Respect the historic pattern of setbacks and building depth in siting a new building. [12.10]*

The generous setback matches the 25'-0" foot front yard setback that defines the entire block face. Mature trees will be maintained along 600 East. As previously described, the depth of the building also matches the existing pattern of development on the street face to the north, while the perceived depth will match the smaller residential structures to the south.

e. Building Orientation: The building is designed such that principal entrances and pathways are oriented such that they address the street in the pattern established in the historic context and the block face.

*Orient the front of the building and its entrance to face and engage with the street. [12.11]*

The front of the building and its entrance is oriented to face and engage the street along 600 East. The new building is oriented parallel to lot lines, maintaining the traditional, established development pattern of the block. (12.11 bullet 1)

*Plan and design access arrangements to the site and building as an integral part of the design approach. [12.12]*

Access to the site has been an integral part of the early design. The main building entry has been located along 600 East to match the typical pattern of development along the street face. More specifically, it is located to align with the major grade elevation in order to provide efficient accessibility to the entry and minimize the need for ramps, which are not typical of the building frontage on the block. Vehicular access has been planned for both the back and the side of the building, with a side driveway north of the building matching the pattern of the block face.

*Include well designed common open space when planning the situation and orientation of the building. [12.13]*

Some common open space has been included as a focal point at the east end of the mid-block connection, in association with the reuse of the non-contributing building existing on the site. The location of this space along the pedestrian path, together with interior common space located adjacent to the main entry of the building will encourage casual social interaction. (12.13 bullet 2) These spaces are located to be sheltered from traffic and traffic noise. (12.13 bullet 3) The location south and west facing would ensure solar access, while trees will provide seasonal shade. (12.13 bullet 4).

Even though additional common open space at the ground level is not possible due to the location of parking on this level, semi-private and private open space has been located along 600 East and the mid-block connection in the form of large stoops.

*Plan for additional common open space at terrace and/or roof level. [12.14]*

The main amenity open space will be provided at the third floor terrace. This also helps reduce the bulk and the scale of the building. (12.13 bullet 1) The space is located deep enough within the project (after a 20' setback, and a 27' depth of two stories of screening stacked flats units in order to preserve neighboring privacy. (12.14 bullet 1) The space will be designed to meet sustainability guidelines for shading and landscape of Chapter IV, but its south facing location and natural screening by the building from east and west set up a pleasant quality from the start.

*Design private open space to articulate the design, reduce the scale and create attractive outdoor space. [12.15]*

As previously discussed, private open space is provided in the form of generous stoops on the ground level and balconies on the upper levels. These spaces are contiguous with the units (12.15 bullet 1) and are separated from common open space through a layered grade separation and landscape screening at grade, and by guardrails at upper floors. (12.15 bullet 2)

*Plan and design common internal and external spaces for solar aspect and energy efficiency. [12.16]*

Internal common spaces are located to face west due to the location of the street. However, the

existing mature deciduous trees will provide shade in the cooling months and the sun will provide passive heat in the heating months. The outdoor common external spaces are all south facing to take advantage of solar aspect.

## 2. Site Access, Parking, And Services:

a. Site Access: The design of the project allows for site access that is similar, in form and function, with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.

The current pattern of development of the existing buildings is pedestrian and vehicular access along 600 East. The project proposes the main entry, highlighted architecturally and through the landscape, on 600 East to match this pattern. Based on preliminary feedback from staff, the vehicular entry has been relocated to the north, with drive access from 600 East matching driveway access of other properties on the block.

The building is organized around perimeter pedestrian circulation, with a series of townhome / brownstone units with generous stoops facing the pedestrian-focused 600 East and a series of stack flat units with stoops facing the mid-block connection path. This allows the dominant east and south elevations to present appealing façades as public faces, and conceal the vehicular circulation and parking from most directions.

(1) Pedestrian: Safe pedestrian access is provided through architecturally highlighted entrances and walkways, consistent with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.

As stated above, pedestrian access is provided from 600 East, with an architecturally highlighted main entry. As discussed, accessibility was considered in the location of the main entry to minimize grade change and eliminate the need for extensive ramps. Additionally, generous stoops were added to the brownstone units along 600 East, and include stepped entries, planters and other screening and layering methods to maximize the quality of the spaces lining the public street.

While laying out the site, pedestrian connections were considered heavily. Along the south edge of the building, the minimum required setback of 15' was increased to 20' to provide a generous east-west pedestrian mid-block connection. There is also a pedestrian connection to the north through the site, and all sidewalks will be lined with trees or other landscaping, space permitting. The majestic rows of existing trees along both sidewalks and the median along 600 East are a defining feature of this district and will seamlessly connect with these pedestrian features and assist with the scale transition described below. These mature trees are an invaluable asset and are estimated to soar into the air as tall as or taller than the proposed building.

This pedestrian focused approach and mid-block connection is in direct response to the vision of the East Downtown Plan as a place where “human scale, natural and built features are linked together [by] small parks, historic medians and safe and efficient transportation linkages to give identity and a sense of community”.

*Design a prominent and appropriately scaled public entrance as a focus of the street façade. [12.17]*

The primary entrance of the building is at the northwest corner of the property along 600 East. This is traditionally a pedestrian friendly street and the main entry for the other structures along the street face. It is separate from the vehicular access (12.17 bullet 1) and connects directly to the street (12.17 bullet 2). It announces itself with a larger volume with more height and a deeper

horizontal projection, as well as increased storefront. As the grade more closely matches the street, it was possible to eliminate the handrails and guardrails, further highlighting the main entry from the secondary unit entries. It will also be highlighted through the landscaping. (12.17 bullet 3)



*Retain and use alternative rear public access to the site where this exists or can be reinstated. [12.18]*

The vehicular access is located from the back of the building through an existing curb cut and alley on South Temple. (12.18 bullet 1) An additional vehicular entry is located on the north side of the building (due to grade separation and the need for internal efficiency of the parking, two access points are required). Based on preliminary feedback from staff, this vehicular entry has been relocated from the 600 East elevation to the north elevation, with drive access from 600 East. This approach matches driveway access of other properties on the block.

*Design for accessible bicycle parking. [12.19]*

Bicycle parking is provide both outside the main entry (in the setback) and secured inside the garage.

*Provide convenient storage space for each residential unit. [12.20]*

Storage will be provided inside each unit – with most one or more bedroom units having a walk-in closet and most units having an additional coat closet.

(2) Vehicular: Vehicular access is located in the least obtrusive manner possible. Where possible, garage doors and parking should be located to the rear or to the side of the building.

*Avoid combining a vehicular access with a pedestrian access. [12.21]*

Vehicular access is separated from pedestrian access. There are no commercial uses in the project. (21.21 bullet 1)

*Place a vehicular entrance discreetly to the side or rear of the building. [12.22]*

Vehicular entrances are located to the rear and side of the building. The vehicular access is located from the back of the building through an existing curb cut and alley on South Temple. An additional vehicular entry is located on the north side of the building (due to grade separation and the need for internal parking efficiency, two access points are required). Based on preliminary feedback from staff, this vehicular entry has been relocated from the 600 East elevation to the north elevation, with drive access from 600 East. This approach matches driveway access of other properties on the block.

The ramp is minimized by the location of the entry in reference to existing grading. The ramp is also partly screened by the grading of the adjacent property, and it is located near the existing parking garage to the north. (12.22 bullet 1)

*Restrict a curb cut to the minimum width required. [12.23]*

The site design maintains the singular curb cut along 600 East for vehicular access to parking. The curb cut will be designed to the minimum required. The curb cut is not located near a street corner. It is however located far from the pedestrian mid-block connection. (12.23 bullet 1)

*Consolidate or combine adjacent multifamily driveways wherever possible. [12.24]*

There is no adjacent multi-family driveway. The second entrance from South Temple will minimize the number of vehicles entering/exiting on 600 East.

*Situate parking below or behind the building. [12.25]*

Parking is situated below the building. The parking garage is screened by residential units on both the street face and along the pedestrian connection to the south.

b. Site And Building Services And Utilities: Utilities and site/building services (such as HVAC systems, venting fans, and dumpsters) are located such that they are to the rear of the building or on the roof and screened from public spaces and public properties.

*Site and design service and utility areas away from the frontage and screen from views. [12.26]*

The North elevation abuts the existing parking garage to the north, which provides opportunity to locate the necessary utilitarian functions of the project in this area. Dumpsters will be located in this area towards the rear of the building and screened from view using materials that match the building base design, most likely concrete and brick. (12.26 bullet 1 and 2) Garage fans will also exhaust to this area.

*Site and screen rooftop and higher level mechanical services from street views. [12.27]*

All other mechanical equipment will be located on the rooftop and screened from view (given the massing of the project this will be easy to accomplish). (12.27 bullet 1 and 3)

*Provide acoustic screening for mechanical services adjacent to residential uses. [12.28]*

No mechanical services will be located adjacent to residential units.

*Locate small utilities such as air conditioning away from primary and secondary facades or fully conceal within the design of the facade. [12.29]*

No mechanical units will be located on the primary or secondary facades. No AC units will be located on balconies. (12.29 bullet 1)

*Integrate vents into the design of the building and conceal from view on building facades and roofscape. [12.30]*

All required exterior vents will be painted to match surrounding materials or designed as part of the facade. (12.30 bullet 1 and 2) In recent projects, the design team has been using no

combustion mechanical equipment for sustainability reasons, which result in fewer façade penetrations and fewer meters.

*Site cellular equipment away from street views. [12.31]*

No cellular equipment is planned for this site at this time.

### 3. Landscape And Lighting:

a. Grading Of Land: The site's landscape, such as grading and retaining walls, addresses the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

*Design front yard landscaping to coordinate with historic and/or established patterns. [12.32]*

One of the defining features of the streetscape are the mature trees that line the median, and both sides of the street (in a double row located in the landscape zone and on the property line side of the sidewalk). This project proposes maintaining and celebrating these mature trees as the main feature of the landscaping. (12.32 bullets 1 and 2) The smaller landscape will be designed to complement the existing surrounding conditions and will include layered, shade loving, drought resistant shrubs, ground covers and other plantings.

b. Landscape Structures: Landscape structures, such as arbors, walls, fences, address the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

*Minimize or avoid walls and fencing where they are not characteristic of the historic or topographic context. [12.33]*

There are no landscape structures such as walls or fencing proposed as the building is self-contained for access control purposes. To the north, the existing garage has a zero property line presence and will act as the separation. To the east, the project will be open to the Masonic Temple access alley and parking lot for parking and emergency access. To the south, a pedestrian connection creates the boundary (a wrought iron fence may be considered) if desired by the neighboring owners.

The grading along the site will mostly be maintained in its existing state. The building will meet grade in the northwest corner and will sit about 5 feet above grade in the south west corner. In these conditions, stoops and raised planters along 600 East will help make the grade transition gradual and in layered increments. Stoops are a common feature on the block with many of the historic structures presenting these raised entry features.

This aligns with the Design Guidelines for Historic Apartments and Multi-family buildings in Salt Lake City, which states that “Buildings and site grading accentuate the drama of architecture through terracing and modulation, creating stepping vertical tiers of projecting balconies and varied vistas and views.”

There are no major retaining features visible from the public way. The only retaining should occur to the north at the garage entry and will be screened by the existing parking garage to the north.

*Maintain the levels and continuity of open space and the associated sense of progression from public to private space. [12.34]*

As previously described, starting from the pedestrian friendly public sidewalk, the building

includes a generous setback with mature trees and no fencing or screening. These spaces transition to a layered, stepped set of stoops associated with the brownstones along 600 East. This pattern is typical of the development along the block face, where porches and stoops are included.

*Provide seating as part of the landscape design where a cafe or restaurant is included within the building. [12.35]*

No commercial space is included as part of the project.

c. Lighting: Where appropriate lighting is used to enhance significant elements of the design and reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

Lighting has not yet been fully designed, but will consist of soffit lighting to highlight the main building entry and, more discreetly, the secondary stoop entries. Limited up-lighting will be used to highlight architectural elements like the entry. Soft pedestrian lighting will be provided along the mid-block connection, with full screening to prevent light trespass to neighboring properties. City standards will be met along 600 East.

*Design discreet exterior lighting for specific access and use areas. [12.36]*

See above description, exterior lighting will be discreet and specific to access and use areas. Full fixture screening will prevent light trespass. (12.36 bullet 1)

*Design architectural lighting to provide visual accent and to respect or strengthen the historic context. [12.37]*

See above description, lighting will be focused on entry areas, which correspond to architectural areas of interest. General illumination of façade will be avoided. (12.37 bullet 1) Fixtures will be shielded to avoid view of light source from street or adjacent occupied spaces. (12.37 bullet 3)

*Design lighting to integrate with the architecture. [12.38]*

Lighting will be designed by the electrical engineer for the project, who will be working under the architect's design contract. It will be fully coordinated with the architect to ensure integration with architecture, as described above.

*Design landscape lighting to enhance layout and planting. [12.39]*

Lighting will be used to highlight entry areas and outdoor seating. Minimal up-lighting will be used to further highlight landscape. Lower levels will be used on this site to align with neighborhood feel (generally minimal levels to meet code requirements).

*Conceal supply and switch equipment for exterior lighting. [12.40]*

All supply and switch equipment will be concealed. The electrical engineer will be involved in the design from an early stage. (12.40 bullet 1)

*Conceal utilitarian service lighting from street views and from adjacent properties. [12.41]*

Utilitarian lighting will be limited to the rear and the side/rear of the project and therefore not visible from the street or adjacent properties, except for the Masonic temple and its parking lot. Full fixture “cut-off shields” will prevent light trespass. (12.41 bullet 1)

#### 4. Building Form And Scale:

a. Character Of The Street Block: The design of the building reflects the historic character of the street facade in terms of scale, composition, and modeling.

*Design to reflect the building scale of the context as established by the street facade. [12.42]*

The historic buildings along the 600 East street face are typically two to three stories in height. The proposed building matches this pattern by including a two-story façade elevation along the street (with higher elevations only after stepping back another 40+ feet).

The porches and entries to the brownstone units, as well as the unit balconies above are scaled to match the surrounding structures’ entry porches. The two story entry element also matches the scale of the surrounding area. (12.42 bullet 2)

Furthermore, the larger elevation along 600 East is further broken down into three areas through the use of light masonry against the dark masonry. This creates the visual impression of three separate volumes, providing a transition between the larger scale to the north and the smaller scale residential to the south. (12.42 bullet 1)



The massing and scale of the architecture is consistent with surrounding structures and serves as an appropriate transition from the larger scale along South Temple to the residential scale along 600 East. The Masonic Temple to the northeast is estimated to be over 90’ tall at its tallest point, with most of the building in excess of 75’ and almost 200’ long. There are other large and mid-scale structures to the north and northwest, as well as across the street in the same district (including Broadway at the Eccles and residential multi-family structures). The exterior appearance of the building is designed to complement its neighbors without diluting their individual character. Taking a cue from the surrounding building’s massing and aesthetic, the new building takes on a similar pattern of development with a modern aesthetic. The new building steps back from the second to the third story along both the south and the east facades, thus relating to the two story residential structures to the south and presenting a pedestrian friendly scale along 600 East and the mid-block connection.




As shown in the massing diagrams in the packet, the building is further carved at the third and fourth levels to the south in response to the presence of smaller residential structures in this orientation. Finally, a central courtyard provides further breakdown of the mass, and adds light and views and an active amenity space central to the project. More height is appropriately located towards the northeast corner neighboring the larger Masonic Temple.

*Design to create and reinforce a sense of human scale. [12.43]*

The proposed building includes a two-story façade elevation along 600 East (with higher elevations only after stepping back another 40+ feet). The stoops, together with the layered stepped approach to reach them will provide an additional breakdown of building to human scale, as will the material transition referenced above. (12.43 bullet 1 and 5)

Solid to void ratio, window openings articulation and design of buildings are all similar to those traditionally seen in the neighborhood. (12.43 bullet 2 to 4)



Materials used are traditional dimensions (brick) and express a variation in both color (light and dark brick, dark brick and light stucco) and texture (smooth stucco and textured brick). (12.43 bullet 6 and 7)

*Design to respect access to light and privacy enjoyed by adjacent buildings. [12.44]*

As shown in the series of massing diagrams in the attached packet, the building massing was clearly designed to be sensitive to the existing context. The massing is reduced to the south, adjacent to the smaller residential buildings, and along 600 East, along the pedestrian route. The taller building elements are appropriately sited to the north and east of the lot, adjacent to the neighboring parking garage and the Masonic Temple. (12.5 bullet 2)

*Design the principal elements of a primary façade to reflect the scale of the block and historic context. [12.45]*

The primary planes of the front façade are two stories tall, and therefore align with the typical historic structures on the block face. (12.45 bullet 1)

The portions of the building that are higher than this typical existing block face condition rise up after stepping back another 40+ feet, in addition to the 25' setback. Even at the higher height, the building is lower than the Masonic Temple existing on the same block. (12.45 bullet 2)

The entire façade width matches the building to the north. Individual wall planes/bays of darker brick are separated by light brick to create the impression of smaller volumes, which relate to the dark brick residential buildings to the south. (12.45 bullet 2)

*Design secondary architectural elements, patterns and modeling to reinforce the massing and primary elements of the building. [12.46]*

Regarding fenestration pattern and window scale, there are several patterns of scale and proportions present in the surrounding neighborhood fabric. The residential building to the south includes at least two proportions of windows, one more square, the other vertically elongated with proportions close to 2:1 ratios. The proposed design for the new apartment project also includes vertically oriented windows with a 2:1 ratio (30" wide x 60" tall) in addition to the more square windows - with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal. (12.46 bullet 1)

Another significant building on the block is the Masonic temple. This presents vertically stacked windows for the main mass of the building (on top of the podium) with proportions similar to the ones mentioned above (with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal). Once again, this supports the vertically aligned stacks of 4' wide x 5' tall windows proposed in the project.

Balconies are used throughout to articulate the architecture of both primary and secondary facades. (12.46 bullet 2)

The two lower floors are differentiated in plane and materials from the façade above as the base is clad in dark brick and the façade above is mostly smooth stucco with some light brick accents. (12.46 bullet 2). The base is highlighted through primary architectural elements – main entrance, unit entries and porches, as well as materials - dark brick wrapped in the cementitious panel trim. (12.46 bullets 3 and 4)

*Respect the role of the design characteristics of symmetry or asymmetry in the established context. [12.47]*

Asymmetry is used as an effective tool to create modulation of the wider primary façade, breaking it down into smaller planes and sections. This helps to integrate the larger façade within the smaller scale of the residential buildings to the south. (12.47 bullet 1)

The typical condition of the block is symmetry of the entry element. However, there are precedents on the block of 600 East (across the street), where several historic buildings have the entry located on the corner. This works significantly better in this location with the grading to provide accessibility without the need for a lengthy ramp which is not typical for these building facades. (12.47 bullet 1)

(1) Height: The height of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects taller than those existing on the block face step back their upper floors to present a base that is in scale with the historic context and the block face.

*Design for a building height which is compatible with the historic context. [12.48]*

The building height falls "within the range of heights in the historic structures in the district", as required by the Design Guidelines. As previously stated, the design is contextual with the front

elevation plane matching the surrounding context and the higher elevations stepping back significantly. Immediate and wider historic context were both considered as this project transitions from the smaller scale to the south to the larger scale of the Masonic Temple and South Temple buildings. (12.48 bullet 1) The impact on the adjacent buildings was carefully considered as outlined in the massing diagrams submitted with the package. (12.48 bullet 1)

*Design for a greater stature for the first two stories. [12.49]*

The first two stories receive greater stature through the material treatment (dark brick to match the historic context) and their location significantly proud of the rest of the façade, as well primary architectural elements – main entrance, unit entries and stoops.

*Vary the height across the primary façade and/or limit maximum height to part of the plan footprint in a larger building. [12.50]*

The changes in height across the primary façade are subtle, but the upper floors are significantly stepped back to achieve a street height similar to that historically characteristic of the district. (12.50 bullet 1)

*Step back upper floor/s if a new building would be notably higher than the traditional context. [12.51]*

The upper floors are significantly stepped back where the taller building would approach the established residential neighborhood of typically lower height. The historic buildings along the 600 East street face are typically two to three stories in height. This inspired the proposed building to be consistent with a two-story façade elevation along the street face. As recommended in these guidelines, the upper floors step back another 40+ feet before raising higher.

*Design for modulation and articulation to reduce the perceived height and scale of a taller building. [12.52]*

As previously described, the facades are articulated and detailed to reduce the impression of greater height and scale.

The dark brick and the cementitious trim, together with the step back at the second level, create a strong base that the mass of the building sits atop. This modern interpretation of the traditional plinth is inspired by the heavier lower mass of the context buildings. This use of materials and color maximize visual interest and reduce the apparent height and scale. (12.52 bullet 5)

(2) Width: The width of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects wider than those existing on the block face modulate the facade to express a series of volumes in scale with the historic context and the block face.

*Design for a historically similar facade width. [12.53]*

The building immediately to the north presents a 140 ft uninterrupted elevation along 600 E, the proposed building almost exactly matches this width. The Masonic Temple to the north and the 6<sup>th</sup> East Office Building immediately across the street at 50/60 South 600 East have similar development patterns.

The residential buildings to the south are smaller, which is reflected in the breakdown of color of brick across the lower level of the façade. Additionally, small 2'-6" plane changes in the primary and secondary facades further reduce the scale.

(3) Massing: The shape, form, and proportion of buildings, reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

*Respect the established scale and form of the street block and context in designing the massing of the building. [12.54]*

The general massing of the building reflects the character of the historic context. As described above, the massing at street levels matches the massing of the surround buildings. The proportions of the building reflect the neighboring buildings to the south at the lower levels and the buildings to the north at the upper stepped back levels.

As identified in the massing diagrams provided, the massing is arranged to step down adjacent to the smaller scale buildings to the south. (12.54 bullet 2)

(4) Roof Forms: The building incorporates roof shapes that reflect forms found in the historic context and the block face.

*Respect characteristic proportions, roof forms and massing. [12.55]*

The typical roof of the residential buildings on the block is a hip roof. The building immediately to the north presents a flat roof with a slight projection and minimal detail. Other buildings in the historic context, particularly beautiful examples of mid-century modern architecture, have similar clean roof lines. These excellent examples include the City Home Collective or 505 East South Temple.



Mid-century modern architecture in district.

It was determined that, for this contemporary building, the flat roof approach is more appropriate than replicating the hip roof of the typical residential building to the south. The standard calls for “respecting and reflecting the range of building forms and massing which characterize the district”, which is achieved as explained above (12.55). Particular attention was paid to maintaining a sense of human scale through the height and articulation of the roof form (12.55 bullet 1). The design also respects the adjacent lower buildings by stepping down additional height. (12.55 bullet 4) Furthermore, the lower roof of the proposed building matches the eave height of the existing residential building to the south and a metal coping approximates the depth of the gutter system.

## 5. Building Character:

a. Facade Articulation And Proportion: The design of the project reflects patterns of articulation and proportion established in the historic context and the block face. As appropriate, facade articulations reflect those typical of other buildings on the block face. These articulations are of similar dimension to those found elsewhere in the context, but have a depth of not less than twelve inches (12").

*Design to reflect roof forms that are characteristic of the block and district. [12.56]*

As explained under 12.55 above, it was decided for the roof to reflect the form traditionally seen within the historic district rather than traditionally in the block. (12.56) This was considered more appropriate for the contemporary building design. Particular attention was paid to scale and articulation.

As stated in the Design Guidelines, "flat roof forms, with or without parapet, are an architectural characteristic of particular building types and styles, including many historic apartment buildings." (12.56 bullet 1)

*Design façade proportions to reflect the traditional context and neighborhood. [12.57]*

As previously explained, overall façade proportions match the building to the north, with both the height and width matching these. (12.57 bullet 1) The façade is further broken down by change of material from the dark brick to the light brick and by small plane changes.

*Design for a vertical proportion and emphasis to reduce perceived width. [12.58]*

There are two variations in the planes of the façade – these mostly carry the entire height of the building. (12.58 bullet 1) The height is modulated down toward the street and up towards the rear of the site to match the existing pattern of development. (12.58 bullet 3). It is also modulated through the articulation of balcony form, pattern and design, both as recessed and projecting balcony elements. (12.58 bullet 3) There is also a distinctive form and stature in the primary entrance (12.58 bullet 4). Most windows are vertically proportioned. (12.58 bullet 5)

*Design for a horizontal proportion and emphasis to reduce perceived height. [12.59]*

The primary and secondary facades are relatively small, limited to two floors. For the higher additional facades, the perceived height and scale is reduced through architectural detailing and changes in material to emphasize individual levels (12.59 bullet 5), as well as changes in materials or color for the same reason. (12.59 bullet 7)

*Design a solid to void ratio which is characteristic of the historic setting. [12.60]*

There is a balanced approach to the window to wall ratio as there are no areas of extensive wall or window (12.60 bullet 1). There are no large surfaces of glass (12.60 bullet 2), except for a limited area at the main building entry and amenity space. This glass is used here to emphasize the hierarchy of this entry. Large mullions are used to break up the glass and emphasize horizontality. (12.60 bullet 4)

*Respect the range of window proportion and scale characteristic of the historic context. [12.61]*

There are several patterns of proportions and scale present in the surrounding neighborhood fabric. The residential building to the south includes at least two proportions of windows, one more square, the other vertically elongated with proportions close to 2:1 ratios. The proposed design for the new apartment project also includes vertically oriented windows with a 2:1 ratio (30" wide x 60" tall) in addition to the more square windows - with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal.

Another significant building on the block is the Masonic temple. This presents vertically stacked windows for the main mass of the building (on top of the podium) with proportions similar to the ones mentioned above (with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal). Once again, this supports the vertically aligned stacks of 4' wide x 5' tall windows proposed in the project.



(1) Rhythm Of Openings: The facades are designed to reflect the rhythm of openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.

*Design most public interior spaces to face the street. [12.62]*

The main entry and the amenity space both face the street (12.62 bullet 1)

(2) Proportion And Scale Of Openings: The facades are designed using openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) of similar proportion and scale to that established in the historic context and the block face.

*Design a pattern and proportion of windows and doors which is characteristic of the context. [12.63]*

The ratio of wall to window openings varies between the residential buildings to the south, with the earlier buildings having a smaller ratio and the more recent buildings having a larger ratio – see images above. The commercial buildings along South Temple also have a higher ratio, likely close to the more contemporary residential projects. The proposed building matches this ratio.

(3) Ratio Of Wall To Openings: Facades are designed to reflect the ratio of wall to openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.

The block face along 600E immediately adjacent to the project presents facades with some, but limited, articulation. The windows have different proportions (some horizontal, but most vertical). The openings are top aligned and relatively regular in size and pattern. The proposed building includes a similar pattern of top aligned, vertically oriented, regularly spaced openings. The only larger



glass openings are used to indicate the main building entrance, similar to the Broadway at the Eccles building to the north and the apartment buildings to the south.

Balconies and/or roof elements span the space between windows and balcony doors in some of the residential buildings to the south – same as the proposed building's elements.

(4) Balconies, Porches, And External Stairs: The project, as appropriate, incorporates entrances, balconies, porches, stairways, and other projections that reflect patterns established in the historic context and the block face.

*Design balconies as an integral part of the architectural composition and as semi-public outdoor private space which can engage with the context. [12.64]*

As discussed in the building and street form sections, the project incorporates porches along 600 East and the mid-block connection in a pattern that is consistent with the neighborhood and creates a pedestrian friendly quality of the street. As explained above, balconies and porch/balcony cover elements are incorporated in a manner that is consistent with the neighboring residential projects to the south. Projecting and recessed balcony forms are used to complement and embellish the design composition of the facades. (12.64 bullet 1) The balcony arrangement highlights the vertical arrangement of the fenestration pattern (12.64 bullet 2). The balcony forms are transparent and semitransparent, using glass at the flush balcony conditions, and railings at the projecting conditions. This emphasizes the hierarchy of the balcony conditions. No solid balcony enclosures are used (12.64 bullet 3).

*Design an entrance porch, portico or stoop as a principal focus of the façade. [12.65]*


The main entrance and associated entry stoop provide greater stature through the increased height and the larger depth projection in order to enhance visual focus, presence and emphasis. (12.65 bullet 1). The name of the apartment building is designed into the façade at the entry element and porch. (12.65 bullet 3)

*Design an escape stair to integrate with the building and situate it to the rear. [12.66]*

All the stairs are designed internal to the building.

Additional general notes regarding building form:

The new building is rectilinear in its compositional order, presenting a modern interpretation of the three part elevations of the surrounding buildings. Many of these 1800's and early 1900's structures mark their entry with the main element of the elevation either recessing or stepping forward from the flanking sides. A similar difference in projection, height and material of the different planes is used to establish hierarchy of the façade and highlight the entry moments of the new buildings.



In addition to the immediate Broadway at the Eccles, Masonic Temple and Governor's Mansion, the surrounding blocks have various structures that present raised stoops as a successful entry strategy, which inspired the design of the entry sequences to the brownstones along 600 East.

#### 6. Building Materials, Elements And Detailing:

- a. Materials: Building facades, other than windows and doors, incorporate no less than eighty percent (80%) durable material such as, but not limited to, wood, brick, masonry, textured or patterned concrete and/or cut stone. These materials reflect those found elsewhere in the district and/or setting in terms of scale and character.

*Use building materials that contribute to a traditional sense of human scale. [12.67]*

The building façades facing the street and the pedestrian connection are composed 100% of durable materials and materials representing human scale: majority brick (light and dark for scale and contrast) and cementitious board trim. The rear side and non-accessible north sides, as well as the stepped back building elevations above the third floor are composed of a combination of light colored brick, stucco, and cementitious panels; all hard durable materials.

The brick is typical of this neighborhood and will complement and reinforce the palette of materials of the neighborhood and the sense of visual continuity in the district. (12.67 bullet 1)

- b. Materials On Street-Facing Facades: The following materials are not considered to be appropriate and are prohibited for use on facades which face a public street: vinyl siding and aluminum siding.

*Use building materials for primary and secondary facades to reinforce affinity with the historic setting. [12.68]*

The material expression of the historic context is reflected in the materials of the proposed building. As discussed above, brick will be the highlighted material. Cementitious board and trim accents will highlight the soffits and balcony partitions, reminiscent of the cast stone accents of the surrounding buildings. The dark masonry base complements other masonry structures in the district, while smooth stucco provides clean lines and a contemporary aesthetic. (12.68 bullet 1)

*Design and construct with solid masonry materials. [12.69]*



As described in 12.68, the building materials are mostly masonry or other hard materials, especially at “lower floors and for most public facades of the building”. (12.69 bullet 1) Panel materials (cementitious siding) are limited to upper floors and less public facades. (12.69 bullet 2)

*Choose materials with a proven durability in the context and the climatic region. [12.70]*

There is no vinyl siding or aluminum siding on the project. All materials proposed are true representations of the construction type (12.70 bullet 1) and with known weathering characteristics (12.70 bullet 2). The only new material used is the cementitious board, which is a proven building material (12.70 bullet 3). This is used sparingly, only as an accent.

c. Windows: Windows and other openings are incorporated in a manner that reflects patterns, materials, and detailing established in the district and/or setting.

*Design windows in scale with the setting and the building. [12.71]*

There is no excessive window scale on the project and, as previously stated, the windows fit within the historic context. (12.71 bullet 1)

*Consider windows with a vertical proportion and emphasis. [12.72]*

Windows with mostly vertical proportions are used. (12.72 bullet 1 and 2)

*Design window reveals as a characteristic of masonry and public facades. [12.73]*

In addition to the depth of layering provided by the massing, the windows are set back 3” to 4” with window reveals. (12.73 bullet 1 thru 4)

*Design for a contextual character, scale and proportion of window and door frame. [12.74]*

As shown in the typical details attached, the frame profile projects from the plane of the glass creating a hierarchy of detail. (12.74 bullet 1) Durable materials and integral finishes are proposed in the upgraded composite (Anderson 100 series) single hung windows. (12.74 bullet 2 and 3)

d. Architectural Elements And Details: The design of the building features architectural elements and details that reflect those characteristic of the district and/or setting.

*Design characteristic building elements and details, as expressed in their scale, size, depth and profile. [12.75]*

The elevation presents multiple layers of depth with three different planes offset by as much as 5’ from each other. The cementitious trim elements that enclose the balconies project out 2’-4” from the brick face of the building, which projects 2’-6” further from the balcony recesses for a total of 5’. This is shown in the dimensioned diagram attached with the packet. In addition to the depth of layering provided by the massing, the windows are set back 3” to 4”.

*Design a historically characteristic scale of ornamental elements where these are used. [12.76]*

Little ornamentation is present on this contemporary project design. This matches the relatively unornamented residential buildings on the street front. The details of the cementitious trim hint at the precast stone caps and ledges of the earlier historic buildings.

*Design functional, creative interpretations of elements and details. [12.77]*

Horizontal metal rails project out at the lower level and glass rails are flush mounted at the upper level to emphasize the hierarchy of the elevation. The rail elements are of similar proportions and rhythm to the elements used on one of the residential projects to the south on the same block face.

As stated above the ribbon of cementitious trim and panel cap the field materials. (12.77 bullet 1)

Further west on South Temple there are several historic office buildings using an interplay of brick and stucco. We have incorporated elements of this material palette into our structure. A dark brick creates a durable base at the ground level; a pleasant experience for passing pedestrians. Cementitious trim and lighter brick above the plinth provide a nice vertical contrast to the dark brick. At the upper levels, smooth light plaster complements the darker materials below.



Masonic temple with raised plinth, regular fenestration pattern, and vertical window proportions

7. Signage Location: Locations for signage are provided such that they are an integral part of the site and architectural design and are complementary to the principal structure.

Building signage has not yet been finalized, but it is anticipated to be located in the marquee area above the main building entry. There is also potential for signage on the exposed side area of the stoops at the corner of 600 East and the mid-block connection. These locations are shown in the elevations and renderings.

*Place signs where they traditionally would be found in the context. [12.78]*

See overall signage narrative above - building signage is proposed above the main building entry, where it would traditionally be found in context.

*Design signs to express the identity of a non-residential use. [12.79]*

There is no non-residential use on this property.

*Design signs and lettering to respect traditional scale and forms. [12.80]*

Building signage will be designed to respect traditional scale and form, as preliminarily indicated on the elevations.

*Design signs for primary and secondary facades as an integral part of the architecture. [12.81]*

Building signage will be designed as integral part of architecture on primary and secondary facades, as preliminarily indicated on the elevations.

*Design for individual lettering or graphic motif with no or minimal illumination. [12.82]*

Building signage will be designed with individual lettering, with minimal illumination, as preliminarily indicated on the elevations.

*Design any illumination to be discrete to the lettering or symbol. [12.83]*

Signage lighting will be discrete to the lettering.

*Integrate signs with the architecture through the use of durable, architectural quality, materials. [12.84]*

Signs will be integrated with the architecture through use of quality materials, as preliminarily indicated on the elevations.

*Conceal fixings, power supply and switch gear. [12.85]*

Signage fixings, power supply and switch gear will be concealed.

*Refer to the historic Design Guidelines for Signs for more extensive advice. [12.86]*

The Historic Design Guidelines for Signs will be used.

**In conclusion**, the building's design is intended to express a modern language that, while fitting nicely in its contemporary world, also has a nostalgic reference to high style architecture period of its neighbors. The new building is designed with durable materials and heightened visual interest on all four elevations. It is directly inspired by the significant and contributing residential buildings to the south, as well as the scale and site features of the historic commercial buildings to the north.

This project is a thoughtful reference to the existing historic styles with a contemporary interpretation. The architecture aims to be a complementary statement to the surrounding neighborhood fabric through its scale, materials and details.

ATTACHMENT D: DEVELOPMENT PLAN SET

---




# MASONIC TEMPLE APARTMENTS

Historic Landmark Commission Application

10.30.2019

ARCH | NEXUS |





# HISTORIC DISTRICTS

FOUND SALT LAKE COUNTY 2  
IRON BRASS CAP MONUMENT  
FOR THE INTERSECTION OF 600  
EAST AND SOUTH TEMPLE

FOUND SALT LAKE COUNTY 2  
IRON BRASS CAP MONUMENT  
FOR THE INTERSECTION OF 700  
EAST AND SOUTH TEMPLE

SOUTH TEMPLE

BASIS OF BEARINGS N89° 58' 30"E  
792.06'



LEGEND

- TREE
- TELEPHONE PEDESTAL
- WATER VALVE
- GAS METER/MARKER
- ELECTRICAL METER
- CATCH BASIN
- LIGHT POLE
- POWER JUNCTION BOX
- BOUNDARY LINE
- TITLE LINES
- GAS LINE
- PHONE LINE
- WATER LINE

VERTICAL RELIEF  
EXHIBIT

dbURBAN COMMUNITIES  
650 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE STREET  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102

SECTION 6 AND SECTION 5  
TOWNSHIP 1S, RANGE 1E, SLB&M



3980 South Sandy  
Park Way Suite 200  
Sandy, Utah 84070  
Orc: 365.248.9124  
email: info@sam.biz  
website: www.sam.biz

CIR  
ENGINEERING, L.L.C.  
3032 SOUTH 1030 WEST, SUITE 202  
S.L.C, Utah 84119 - 801-949-6296

SCALE 1"=30'



BROADWAY AT THE ECCLES

PROPOSED BUILDING

RESIDENCES

APARTMENT HOUSING

WEST STREETScape ELEVATION

1/20" = 1' - 0"

STREETScape



# SITE PLAN




dbUrban - Masonic Temple Apartments Statistics September 4, 2019

Area Tabulations	Area Per Level	Total Area
L6 (Type VA)	18,850	
L5 (Type VA)	20,640	
L4 (Type VA)	22,100	
L3 (Type VA)	22,100	
L2 (Type VA)	10,500	
L1 (Type VA)	10,500	
<b>Total Residential</b>	<b>104,690</b>	<b>104,690</b>
Carrriage House	539	
<b>Total Non Parking</b>	<b>105,229</b>	
Parking Tabulations	Area Per Level	Parking Stall Total
Level 1 Parking (Type IA)	24,600	
Level 2 Parking (Type IA)	24,600	
<b>Total Parking</b>	<b>49,200</b>	<b>129</b>

Conceptual Stacking Study			
Construction			Story Height
Level Type	Units	Units	In Feet
6 Type VA	Units	Units	10
5 Type VA	Units	Units	10
4 Type VA	Units	Units	10
3 Type VA	Units	Units	10
2 Type VA/1A	Units	Parking	10
1 Type VA/1A	Units	Parking	10
			60


SOUTH TEMPLE



**SITE SECTION - 3**  
1/20" = 1' - 0"

100 SOUTH


SOUTH TEMPLE



**SITE SECTION - 2**  
1/20" = 1' - 0"

100 SOUTH

SOUTH TEMPLE



**SITE SECTION - 1**  
1/20" = 1' - 0"

100 SOUTH

# SITE SECTIONS



SOUTH TEMPLE



600 EAST



SITE VIEWS FROM 600 EAST

EXISTING CARRIAGE HOUSE



# STREET IMAGES



NORTHWEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



NORTHWEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



SOUTHWEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



SOUTHWEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



FRONT APPROACH STREET VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS





[FRONT APPROACH STREET VIEW](#)

## 3D MODEL VIEWS



NORTHWEST STREET VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS



NORTHWEST STREET VIEW

3D MODEL VIEWS



SOUTHWEST STREET LEVEL VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS



SOUTHWEST STREET LEVEL VIEW

3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

CEMENTITIOUS SIDING

LIGHT MASONRY

DARK MASONRY

LIGHT SMOOTH STUCCO

VINYL WINDOW

GLASS RAILING

METAL RAILING

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

### MATERIAL LEGEND



### WEST ELEVATION 1/8" = 1' - 0"

## ELEVATIONS



**MATERIAL LEGEND**



**SOUTH ELEVATION**  
 1/8" = 1' - 0"

**ELEVATIONS**



STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

CEMENTITIOUS SIDING

LIGHT MASONRY

DARK MASONRY

LIGHT SMOOTH STUCCO


VINYL WINDOW

GLASS RAILING

METAL RAILING

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

### MATERIAL LEGEND



### EAST ELEVATION 1/8" = 1' - 0"

# ELEVATIONS





STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

CEMENTITIOUS SIDING

LIGHT MASONRY

DARK MASONRY

LIGHT SMOOTH STUCCO

VINYL WINDOW

GLASS RAILING

METAL RAILING

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

### MATERIAL LEGEND




**NORTH ELEVATION**  
1/8" = 1' - 0"

## ELEVATIONS




GENERAL DIMENSIONS

3D MODEL VIEWS





Max development potential - extrude buildable lot area


Building massing was created to respond to context, zoning regulations and good design principles.




Reduce buildable area to meet 60% lot coverage.





Reduce building frontage height to 20' to align with area context





### BUILDING FORM




Reduce building height to the south to 20' to align with adjacent buildings.




Reduce building center height to facilitate daylighting to central spaces.



Step back building further on the south to soften edge to adjacent buildings.






TYPICAL WALL DETAIL




VINYL WINDOW



METAL COPING



TYPICAL WINDOW DETAILS



DETAILS

ATTACHMENT E: HLC WORK SESSION MINUTES (12/5/19)

## **Excerpt from 12.05.2019 HLC Meeting Minutes:**

### **Work Session [6:29:35 PM](#)**

**1. Masonic Temple Apartments** – DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, has submitted an application for new construction for a multi-family residential development located at approximately 650 E. South Temple Street. The proposed development fronts on 600 East. Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot. The subject property is located in Council District 4 represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Lex Traughber and can be reached at (801)535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com)  
**Case Number is PLNHLC2019-00860.**

Senior Planner Lex Traughber discussed the Masonic Temple Apartment project (case number PLNHLC2019-00860).

- Rezone Request has been approved to RO (residential office) by City Council previously.
- This is essentially a complex design review; staff and applicant have worked diligently to bring this design forward to the HLC.
  - Staff has not identified any issues with the proposal.
  - Focus is on the seven standards for new construction and the associated multifamily design guidelines.
  - Input is requested from the Historic Landmark Commission to the applicant.

### **The Commission and Staff Discussion [6:34:15 PM](#):**

Commission had no questions for staff.

### **Applicant Presentation [6:30:02 PM](#)**

Dustin Holt of Dusty Baker Communities introduced his colleagues, Meghnad Aubry and David Abraham with Nexus Architects, all here representing the Masonic Temple Association and their project. Holt discussed the history of the project and the current design. He asked that the commission provide guidance for any changes they see needed. Holt thanked previous commissioners and staff that are no longer serving, but thanked them for their time and guidance on this project. He discussed the economic viability of the Masonic Temple and how this project will provide a stream of income to maintain the historic Masonic Temple building, and how this project will serve Salt Lake City's master plan by developing underutilized land. Holt discussed the project's process and the plans to keep the historic carriage house, or parts of it.

### **The Commission and Applicant Discussion [6:51:05 PM](#)**

Commissioner Torres Mora asked Holt for the name of the apartments. He indicated that the project was originally named the Masonic Temple Apartments; however, once built they will have the name of Regis Flats or Regis Square, and 33 South 600 is the address they are working on.

Commissioner Richardson asked for clarification on parking: the entrance will be on the back of the building with ingress/egress from 600 East. The garage face will not be visible from 600 East.

Chairperson Kenton Peters asked for more information about the design approach for the 600 East façade and the historical nods. Architect Meghnad Aubry discussed the project's human

scale, the choice of materials, and how this project will work with the existing surrounding buildings.

Chairperson Kenton Peters and Commissioner Richardson discussed the set back.

Commissioner Richardson discussed the trees on the 600 East and asked for plans to protect them, a mitigation plan if needed, and asked for further explanation on the set back on 600 East and asked about how high the grading is. Architect Meghnad Aubry discussed the yards starting at grade and moving up to being about 5 feet tall, and there is about a 15-foot set back from the sidewalk.

Chairperson Kenton Peters asked for a street scape on the west elevation to show the commission how this project relates to neighboring properties.

Commissioner Maw asked about the relationship to the parking lot to the east. Holt verified that there is not a plan to have any kind of transition between the back of this project and the parking lot to the east that is actively used by the Masonic Temple. He indicated that there is the entrance off South Temple, a hammerhead turnaround for a fire truck, and in the long-run there are hopes that an alley way will be created and possibly a parking structure added to the east parking lot.

Commissioner Richardson spoke about the balconies and appreciates that this project has usable balconies and indicated that HVAC systems should not be placed on any balconies. Holt agreed.

Commissioner Svendsen asked if there was going to be a pool. Holt indicated that there will not be a pool and then further discussed the amenity spaces.

Commissioner Torres Mora asked Holt if there will be any affordable housing units in the project. Holt indicated that this is a market rate project and it will not include any affordable housing units, though they were explored.

Commissioner Maw said she likes the building and likes the design.

Commissioner Petro–Eschler enjoys the way the project will complement the entire block.

Chairperson Kenton Peters wrapped up the conversation by asking the applicant to proceed as planned and indicated that the commission likes the project thus far.

**Meeting adjourned [7:16:22 PM](#)**

ATTACHMENT F: ADDITIONAL DRAWINGS (12/23/19)

---





[FRONT APPROACH STREET VIEW](#)

## 3D MODEL VIEWS

| dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

ARCH | NEXUS |



NORTHWEST STREET VIEW

## 3D MODEL VIEWS



SOUTHWEST STREET LEVEL VIEW

3D MODEL VIEWS



STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

CEMENTITIOUS SIDING

LIGHT MASONRY

DARK MASONRY

LIGHT SMOOTH STUCCO

VINYL WINDOW

GLASS RAILING

METAL RAILING

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

### MATERIAL LEGEND



### WEST ELEVATION 1/8" = 1' - 0"

## ELEVATIONS

3' PLANTER  
SIDEWALK EDGE  
PROPERTY LINE



SOUTHWEST STREET LEVEL VIEW

3D MODEL VIEWS




WEST STREETScape ELEVATION  
NTS



WEST STREETScape PHOTOMONTAGE  
NTS

# STREETScape




**PLANTING LEGEND**

-  *Tilia cordata* 'Greenspire'  
Qty: 7, 4" Cal
-  *Quercus robur* 'Fastigata'  
Qty: 10, 2.5" Cal
-  *Acer x platanoides* 'Columnare'  
Qty: 3, 3" Cal
-  Landscaped Area  
13,850 SF
-  Planters  
275 SF
-  Existing Tree, Protect in Place (PIP)
-  Tree Protection Zone Fencing  
315 LF


**TREE PLANTING PLAN**

**TREE PROTECTION AND PLANTING**

ARCH | NEXUS |



1 TREE MITIGATION PLAN



2 TREE MITIGATION AERIAL


TREE MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS	DBH
EXISTING TREES DBH	111"
TREES PRESERVED	76"
TREES REMOVED	35"
TOTAL REQUIRED MITIGATION INCHES	37
TOTAL CALIPER INCHES PROVIDED	70"
REMAINING MITIGATION INCHES REQ.	8"

\* TREES MAY BE LOST DURING DEVELOPMENT

3 TREE MITIGATION SUMMARY



4 TREE PROTECTION ZONE SIGNAGE



5 6' HIGH CHAIN LINK TREE PROTECTION FENCE

CITY CODE MANDATES THE PROTECTION OF TREES DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE URBAN FOREST CONTRIBUTES GREATLY TO THE ENVIRONMENT, QUALITY OF LIFE AND PROPERTY VALUE. TREE PROTECTION IS DESIGNED TO PRESERVE AND PROTECT TREE HEALTH BY AVOIDING DAMAGE TO TREE ROOTS, TRUNKS AND CROWN. PROTECTING AND PRESERVING TREES WILL REDUCE LONG TERM MAINTENANCE COSTS AND TREE REPLACEMENT COST.

TREE ROOTS SERVE FOUR PRIMARY FUNCTIONS: ABSORPTION, CONDUCTION, STORAGE, AND ANCHORAGE. ALTHOUGH ROOT CUTTING USUALLY DOES NOT RESULT IN IMMEDIATE VISIBLE CHANGES TO THE TREE, CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES CAN CAUSE IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO TREES SUCH AS THE DEATH OF THE ENTIRE TREE OR LARGE PORTIONS OF THE TREE, AND COMPROMISED ANCHORAGE FROM DESTROYED ROOT SYSTEMS, ALL OF WHICH ARE GREAT PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS BECAUSE OF THE INCREASE OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF TREE FAILURE.

TREE ROOTS OFTEN SPREAD TWO TO THREE TIMES WIDER THAN THE DRP LINE OF THE CANOPY. NINETY PERCENT OF A TREE'S ROOTS ARE FOUND IN THE TOP EIGHTEEN INCHES OF SOIL. THESE FACTS ILLUSTRATE WHY IT IS SO IMPORTANT TO USE CARE WHEN WORKING NEAR EXISTING TREES.

TREE PROTECTION GUIDELINES FOR CONSTRUCTION SITES  
PRIOR TO BEGINNING DEMOLITION OR CONSTRUCTION WORK THAT NEAR TREES ON ANY PROPERTY, THE FOLLOWING TREE PROTECTION PLAN SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED:

- TREE PROTECTION PRACTICES WILL INCLUDE ESTABLISHING THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE (HEREIN KNOWN AS TPZ). THE TPZ WILL BE 1 FOOT RADII FROM THE BASE OF THE TREE'S TRUNK FOR EACH 1 INCH OF THE TREE'S DIAMETER MEASURED AT 4.5 FEET ABOVE GRADE (REFERRED TO AS DBH).

TREE PROTECTION ZONE

TREE DIAMETER	TREE PROTECTION ZONE RADIUS	TOTAL PROTECTION ZONE DIAMETER INCLUDING TRUNK
2 INCHES	2 FEET	4 FEET
6 INCHES	6 FEET	12 FEET
20 INCHES	20 FEET	42 FEET
48 INCHES	48 FEET	96 FEET

WHEN TREES ARE ON THE PARKSTRIP, THE TPZ WILL BE THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE PARKSTRIP FROM CURB TO SIDEWALK. THE TPZ WILL BE DEFINED BY 8\"/>

THERE WILL BE NO DIGGING, TRENCHING, GRADING, OR STORING OF MATERIALS OR EQUIPMENT IN THE TPZ.

- ALL TREES ARE TO REMAIN PROTECTED, UNLESS GIVEN APPROVAL AND PERMITTED FOR REMOVAL BY THE URBAN FORESTRY OFFICE. IF TREE REMOVAL IS PERMITTED A FEE WILL BE REQUIRED. IF TREES ARE DAMAGED OR DESTROYED (ABOVE GROUND OR BELOW GROUND) DUE TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE ASSESSED THE APPRAISED VALUE OF THE TREES PAYABLE TO SALT LAKE CITY. A PERMIT IS REQUIRED FOR ALL REMOVAL AND PRUNING OF CITY TREES APPROVED AND ISSUED BY THE SALT LAKE CITY URBAN FORESTRY OFFICE. (801-672-7818). A MINIMUM 36 HOURS' NOTICE MUST BE GIVEN TO THE URBAN FORESTRY PROGRAM PRIOR TO ANY REQUEST FOR ONSITE MEETINGS.

- TREES TO BE PRESERVED DURING ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL HAVE A TPZ AS SHOWN ON ALL PLANS. THE TPZ SHALL BE CLEARLY MARKED ON THE SITE PLAN, DEMO PLAN AND LANDSCAPE PLAN.

- ONLY TUNNELING OR BORING WILL BE ALLOWED IN THE TPZ AT A DEPTH OF 36 INCHES MINIMUM. THE ACCESS PIT MUST BE LOCATED OUTSIDE OF THE TPZ. IF THIS IS NOT FEASIBLE, WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE URBAN FORESTRY OFFICE PRIOR TO ALTERING THE TPZ.

- NO EQUIPMENT (EXCEPT FOR A SOD CUTTER) SHALL BE ALLOWED INSIDE THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE. IF SPECIAL PROVISION FOR EXCAVATION IS APPROVED BY THE URBAN FORESTRY OFFICE, IT SHALL BE DONE BY HAND OR A SOIL VACUUM.

- USE TUNNELING OR BORING FOR IRRIGATION AND UTILITIES. NO ROOTS LARGER THAN 4\"/>

- IF REPLACING THE SIDEWALK, NO ROOTS LARGER THAN 4\"/>

- TREE CARE CONTRACTORS PROVIDING SERVICES TO PUBLIC TREES SHALL BE CERTIFIED ARBORISTS WITH THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF ARBORICULTURE, LICENSED TO DO BUSINESS IN SALT LAKE CITY AND BE REGISTERED WITH THE UTAH DIVISION OF COMMERCIAL CODE, INSURED AGAINST PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE. PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK ON TREE(S) THE TREE CARE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT THE CITY'S URBAN FORESTRY PROGRAM TO OBTAIN APPROPRIATE PUBLIC PROPERTY TREE WORK PERMITS.

- TREES SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPORT ANY SCAFFOLDING, SIGNS, TEMPORARY UTILITY, OR ANY OTHER DEVICE. SIDEWALKS AND PAVING LEVELS SHOULD BE CONTOURED WHENEVER POSSIBLE TO AVOID ROOT CUTTING. IF DAMAGE OCCURS TO A PROTECTED TREE, IMMEDIATE CONTACT SHALL BE MADE WITH THE CITY FORESTER.

- DO NOT CHANGE THE SOIL GRADE BY CUTTING OR FILLING IN THE TPZ.

- DO NOT DO ANY ADDITIONAL PLANTING WITHIN 1' OF THE TRUNK.

- TREES SHALL BE WATERED ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING GUIDELINES:

- ESTABLISHED TREES NEED DEEP WATERING ONCE EVERY TWO WEEKS WITH LOW PRESSURE AT THE DRIP LINE TO ENSURE THAT THE GROUND IS SOAKED TO A DEPTH OF AT LEAST 8 INCHES. GENERALLY 5 GALLONS PER INCH OF TRUNK DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT.
- YOUNG OR NEWLY PLANTED TREES NEED TO BE WATERED EVERY 3-4 DAYS, DEPENDING ON TEMPERATURE.

UNDERGROUND UTILITY WORK  
WHEN GIVEN APPROVAL BY THE URBAN FORESTRY OFFICE TO WORK IN THE TPZ TO REPLACE OR RESTORE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, USE ONLY A SOIL VACUUM OR HAND DIG, LEAVING ROOTS LARGER THAN 4\"/>

- WHERE POSSIBLE WHEN REPLACING EXISTING UTILITIES SUCH AS WATER OR SEWER LINES VERY NEAR TO PRESERVED TREES, ABANDON THE LINES AND REINSTALL FARTHER AWAY FROM THE TREE.
- TUNNELING OR BORING WILL ALWAYS BE DONE AT A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 36\"/>
- WHERE LARGE ANCHORAGE ROOTS ARE ENCOUNTERED, HAND DIGGING AND BRIDGING OF ROOTS SHALL BE DONE, LEAVING ROOTS INTACT.

WHEN ENCOUNTERING ROOTS OVER 4\"/>

TREE PRUNING

- ALL PRUNING ON PUBLIC TREES WILL BE APPROVED BY THE URBAN FORESTRY WITH THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT. NO PRUNING WILL BE ALLOWED THAT WILL COMPROMISE THE AESTHETICS OR STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF A PRESERVED TREE. TREE CARE CONTRACTOR PROVIDING SERVICES TO PUBLIC TREES SHALL BE A CERTIFIED ARBORIST WITH THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF ARBORICULTURE, LICENSED TO DO BUSINESS IN SALT LAKE CITY, AND BE REGISTERED WITH THE UTAH DIVISION OF COMMERCIAL CODE, INSURED AGAINST PERSONAL INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE. PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK ON TREE(S) THE TREE CARE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT THE CITY'S URBAN FORESTRY DIVISION TO RECEIVE AUTHORIZING TREE PERMITS.

TREE PLANTING PLAN

dbURBAN - 650 E SOUTH TEMPLE

TREE PROTECTION AND PLANTING

ARCH | NEXUS |



# ATTACHMENT G: EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site is currently a surface parking lot serving the Masonic Temple.

## RO – Residential/Office District

*The RO Residential/Office District is intended to provide a suitable environment for a combination of residential dwellings and office use. This district is appropriate in areas of the City where the applicable Master Plans support high density mixed use development. The standards encourage the conversion of historic structures to office uses for the purpose of preserving the structure and promote new development that is appropriately scaled and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.*

## Zoning Ordinance Standards for RO – Residential/Office District

Standard	Finding	Rationale
Minimum Lot Area And Lot Width: Multifamily Dwellings – No minimum lot area and a one hundred foot ( <b>100'</b> ) minimum lot width.	Complies	The frontage along 600 East is approximately 191' <b>according to the applicant's site plan.</b>
Maximum Building Height: The maximum building height is sixty feet ( <b>60'</b> ).	Complies	The proposed structure will not exceed this height limit. The building will approach this height at the rear on the interior of the block.
Minimum Yard Requirements: - Front: Twenty-five feet (25') - <b>Interior Side: Fifteen feet (15').</b> - <b>Rear: 25% of lot depth, 30' maximum</b>	Complies	<b>The applicant's site plan indicates</b> that all required yards either meet or exceed these minimums.
Required Landscape Yards: The front yard and one interior side yard shall be maintained as a landscape yard.	Complies	The site plan indicates that the front yard and the interior side yard on the south boundary will be landscaped as required. In addition, existing street trees will be maintained with the exception of any tree(s) that may be removed for the drive approach to the parking garage on the north side of the building.
Maximum Building Coverage: Coverage for principal and accessory structures shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) of the lot area	Complies	The proposed building and carriage house structure will approach the 60% limit, but will not exceed this limit <b>according to the applicant's site plan.</b>

ATTACHMENT H: ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS & GUIDELINES

# STANDARDS & DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION IN A HISTORIC DISTRICT

H Historic Preservation Overlay District – Standards for Certificate of Appropriateness for New Construction (21A.34.020.H)

In considering an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness involving new construction, or alterations of noncontributing structures, the Historic Landmark Commission, or Planning Director when the application involves the alteration of a noncontributing structure shall, using the adopted design guidelines as a key basis for evaluation, determine whether the project substantially complies with each of the following standards that pertain to the application to ensure that the proposed project fits into the established context in ways that respect and contribute to the evolution of Salt Lake City’s architectural and cultural traditions:

Design Guidelines for Historic Apartment & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City, Chapter 12 New Construction, are the relevant historic design guidelines for this design review. The Design Objectives and related design guidelines are referenced in the following review where they relate to the corresponding Historic Design Standards for New Construction (21A.34.020.H), and can be accessed directly via the links below.

[Historic Apartment & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City](#)

[Historic Apartment & Multifamily Buildings in Salt Lake City, Chapter 12 New Construction](#)

Design Standards for New Construction	Design Guidelines for New Construction	Analysis - Complies/Does Not Comply
---------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------

<p><u>1. Settlement Patterns &amp; Neighborhood Character</u>  <u>a. Block and Street Patterns</u>  The design of the project preserves and reflects the historic block, street, and alley patterns that give the district its unique character. Changes to the block and street pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted city plan.</p>	<p>Settlement Patterns &amp; Neighborhood Character  Block, Street &amp; Site Patterns - Design Objective  The urban residential patterns created by the street and alley network, lot and building scale and orientation, are a unique characteristic of every historic setting in the city, and should provide the primary design framework for planning any new multifamily building.</p> <p>12.1 The historic plan of streets and alleys, essential to the historic character of a district and setting, should be preserved and promoted. Consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain the historic pattern of smaller streets and alleys as a particular characteristic of the street block.</li> <li>• Reinstate sections of secondary street and/or alleys where these have been lost.</li> <li>• Design for the particular street patterns of e.g. Capitol Hill.</li> <li>• Respect and retain the distinctive tighter pattern of streets and alleys in The Avenues.</li> <li>• Refer to the specific design guidelines for the historic district for additional details and considerations.</li> </ul> <p>12.2 The historic street pattern, as the unifying framework for a varied range of lot sizes and buildings, should be preserved and reinforced.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain historic alignments and widths wherever possible.</li> <li>• Plan the site to avoid adversely affecting the historic integrity of this pattern.</li> </ul> <p>12.3 The historic street pattern, including the network of public and private ways within the street block, should be retained and reinforced.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secondary streets and alleys maintain the historic permeability within the street block as a means of access and a historic setting for:</li> <li>• Direct and quieter street frontage for smaller buildings.</li> <li>• Rear access to the property and to accessory buildings.</li> <li>• An attractive focus for community social interaction.</li> </ul>	<p>Staff Analysis – Complies  No changes to the historic block, street or alley patterns are proposed. The streets around the block will not change.</p> <p>The project is designed to enhance the historic block and street by reinstating housing in a location where several residential structures were demolished in the past to make way for a surface parking lot that currently is underutilized but serves the Masonic Temple.</p> <p>The proposed project sits at the center of the block and fits well into the scale and size of the historic block and street development pattern. The building is sited such that the building edge defines the adjacent street/sidewalk along 600 East. Additionally, the building retains historic front yard alignments both to the north and south through a <b>twenty-five foot (25')</b> setback. The building immediately adjacent and to the north <b>presents a 140' elevation along 600 East; the</b> proposed building almost matches this width.</p> <p>The applicant is also proposing a mid-block walkway along the southern property line from 600 East to serve the units at grade, and to create a pedestrian passageway toward 700 East should further development take place to the east in the future. A mid-block connection already exists going toward South Temple Street. This will be maintained and enhanced with the pedestrian connection to 600 East.</p> <p>The applicant proposes to maintain the double row of street trees along 600 East to the fullest extent possible.</p>
--	--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An alternative and more intimate choice of routes, helping to reinforce a walkable and livable neighborhood.</li> </ul>	
<p><u>1. Settlement Patterns &amp; Neighborhood Character</u>  b. Lot and Site Patterns The design of the project preserves the pattern of lot and building site sizes that create the urban character of the historic context and the block face. Changes to the lot and site pattern may be considered when advocated by an adopted city plan.</p>	<p>12.4 The pattern and scale of lots in a historic district should be maintained, as the basis of the <b>historic integrity of the intricate ‘fine grain’ of the neighborhood.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Avoid assembling or subdividing lots where this would adversely affect the integrity of the historic settlement pattern.</li> </ul> <p>12.5 A new apartment or multifamily building should be situated and designed to reinforce and enhance the established character, or master plan vision, of the context, recognizing its situation and role in the street block and building patterns.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Respect and reflect the scale of lots and buildings associated with both primary and secondary street frontages.</li> <li>Site a taller building away from nearby small scale buildings.</li> <li>A corner site traditionally might support a larger site and building.</li> <li>A mid-block location may require careful design consideration to integrate a larger building with an established lower building scale.</li> <li>Respect and reflect a lower scale where this is characteristic of the inner block.</li> </ul>	<p>Staff Analysis – Complies  As noted previously, several homes were demolished along the 600 East street front to make way for a surface parking lot. The original parcels still exist but will be consolidated to accommodate the new multifamily development. The lots involved in the new development are all under one ownership. Suffice to say, the pattern and scale of lots in this location became irrelevant when demolition occurred and the integrity of the historic settlement pattern was lost at that time.</p> <p>The new multifamily building will be situated and designed to reinforce and enhance the established character and context in the block. It will fill in a gap, a missing tooth so to speak, on this block face with residential development. Care has been taken in the design to respect the lower scale and height of structures along the sensitive 600 East block face to the south of the subject property.</p>

1. Settlement Patterns & Neighborhood Character

c. The Public Realm

The project relates to adjacent streets and engages with sidewalks in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects should maintain the depth of yard and height of principal elevation of those existing on the block face in order to support consistency in the definition of public and semi-public spaces.

The Public Realm - Design Objective

A new multifamily building should respect the characteristic placement, setbacks, massing and landscape character of the public realm in the immediate context and the surrounding district.

12.6 A new building should contribute in a creative and compatible way to the public and the civic realm.

12.7 A building should engage with the street through a sequence of public to semi-private spaces.

12.8 A new multifamily building should be situated and designed to define and frame adjacent streets, and public and common spaces, in ways that are characteristic of the setting.

- Reflect and/or strengthen adjacent building quality, setbacks, heights and massing.
- Reinforce the historic streetscape patterns of the facing primary and secondary streets and/ or alleys.

12.9 A building on a corner lot should be designed to define, frame and contribute to the historic character of the public realm of both adjacent streets.

- The street character will also depend on the adjacent street blocks and frontage.
- Building setbacks may be different.
- The building scale may also vary between the streets.

Staff Analysis – Complies

The proposed building has been designed to engage with the primary street frontage along 600 East. The proposed structure reinforces the historic streetscape by presenting a two story residential elevation with semi-private stoops/porches. Starting from the public sidewalk, the building includes a setback with mature trees and no fencing or screening. These spaces transition to a layered, stepped set of stoops similar to “brownstones” along 600 East. This pattern is typical of the development along the block face, where similar setbacks with porches and stoops already exist.

The depth of the front yard along this stretch is **approximately 25’ which is in line with adjacent structures both to the north and south as previously noted.**

The height of the brownstone building units along 600 East **will be approximately 22’9’, and** have been designed to respect the height of the adjacent structures particularly to the south. The brownstone building will maintain the same grade with the parking structure to the north, but as the grade changes **from north to south, the “plinth”** upon with the structure sits will increase in height from north to south. At the highest brownstone unit height along this façade, the brownstone unit furthest to the south **will be approximately 27’3”**. This is about 10 feet less than the height of the peak of the roof of the structure directly to the south.

1. Settlement Patterns & Neighborhood Character

d. Building Placement Buildings are placed such that the project maintains and reflects the historic pattern of setbacks and building depth established within the historic context and the block face. Buildings should maintain the setback demonstrated by existing buildings of that type **constructed in the district or site's period of significance.**

Building Placement, Orientation & Use - Design Objective

A new multifamily building should reflect the established development patterns, directly address and engage with the street, and include well planned common and private spaces, and access arrangements.

12.10 The established historic patterns of setbacks and building depth should be respected in the siting of a new multifamily building.

12.11 The front and the entrance of the building should orient to and engage with the street.

- A new building should be oriented parallel to lot lines, maintaining the traditional, established development pattern of the block.
- An exception might be where early settlement has introduced irregular street patterns and building configurations, e.g. parts of Capitol Hill.

12.12 Access arrangements to the site and the building should be an integral part of the planning and design process at the earliest stage.

12.13 The situation, orientation, configuration and design of a new multifamily building should include provision for common exterior open spaces at ground level. Site and design such space/s to address the following:

- Reducing the bulk and the scale of the building.
- Configuration for residential amenity and casual social interaction.
- Shelter from traffic and traffic noise.
- Plan for solar access and seasonal shade.
- Landscape and light to enhance residential relaxation, enjoyment and neighboring environmental quality.

12.14 Consider additional common open space on higher terrace or roof levels to enhance residential amenity and city views.

- Locate and design to preserve neighboring privacy.

Staff Analysis – Complies

**The proposed front yard building setback of 25'** is consistent with the front yard setbacks along the entire block face as previously noted.

The primary entrance to the development is along the 600 East façade at the north end of the building. This main entryway is for access to the leasing office and amenity space.

Additional common open space will be located on upper level terraces as a residential amenity. Private functional balconies are proposed and help articulate the building to create architectural interest. No HVAC equipment is to be located on said balconies.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Plan and design for landscape amenity and best practices in sustainable design. (PART IV)</li></ul> <p>12.15 Private open space for each unit, whether ground level, terrace or balcony space, should be designed to create attractive outdoor space, and to help articulate the design of the building to reduce its bulk and scale.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Private space should be contiguous with the unit.</li><li>• Private space should be clearly distinguished from common open space.</li></ul> <p>12.16 Common internal and external social space should be planned and designed to take advantage of solar aspect and energy efficient design.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• See Guidelines for Sustainable Design (PART IV)</li></ul>	
--	--	--



1. Settlement Patterns & Neighborhood Character

e. Building Orientation

The building is designed such that principal entrances and pathways are oriented such that they address the street in the pattern established in the historic context and the block face.

12.10 The established historic patterns of setbacks and building depth should be respected in the siting of a new multifamily building.

12.11 The front and the entrance of the building should orient to and engage with the street.

- A new building should be oriented parallel to lot lines, maintaining the traditional, established development pattern of the block.
- An exception might be where early settlement has introduced irregular street patterns and building configurations, e.g. parts of Capitol Hill.

12.15 Private open space for each unit, whether ground level, terrace or balcony space, should be designed to create attractive outdoor space, and to help articulate the design of the building to reduce its bulk and scale.

- Private space should be contiguous with the unit.
- Private space should be clearly distinguished from common open space.

12.16 Common internal and external social space should be planned and designed to take advantage of solar aspect and energy efficient design.

- See Guidelines for Sustainable Design (PART IV)

Staff Analysis – Complies

As previously noted, the building is oriented to 600 East, maintaining the traditional, established development pattern on the block. Proposed front yard setback of **25' is typical of the block face.**

The front main entrance is oriented to and will be engaged with the street and pedestrian. The main building entry has been located along 600 East to match the typical pattern of development along the street. More specifically, it is located to align with the major grade elevation in order to provide efficient accessibility to the entry and minimize the need for ramps.

Some common open space has been included as a focal point at the east end of the mid-block connection in association with the reuse of the non-contributing building existing on the site that is to be relocated. The location of this space along the pedestrian path, together with interior common space located adjacent to the main entry of the building will encourage casual social interaction. These spaces are located to be sheltered from traffic and traffic noise. Even though additional common open space at the ground level is not possible due to the location of parking on this level, semi-private and private open space has been located along 600 East and the mid-block connection in the form of large stoops.

The main amenity open space will be provided at the third floor terrace. This feature also helps to reduce the bulk and scale of the building. The space is located deep enough within the project (**after a 20' setback and a 27' depth of two stories** of screening stacked flat unit in order to preserve neighbor privacy).

As previously discussed, private open space is provided in the form of stoops on the ground level and balconies on the upper levels.

2. Site Access, Parking & Services

a. Site Access

The design of the project allows for site access that is similar, in form and function, with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.

(1) Pedestrian

Safe pedestrian access is provided through architecturally highlighted entrances and walkways, consistent with patterns common in the historic context and the block face.

(2) Vehicular

Vehicular access is located in the least obtrusive manner possible. Where possible, garage doors and parking should be located to the rear or to the side of the building.

Site Access, Parking & Services - Design Objective

The site planning and situation of a new multi-family building should prioritize access to the site and building for pedestrians and cyclists, motorized vehicular access and parking should be discreetly situated and designed, and building services and utilities should not detract from the character and appearance of the building, the site and the context.

12.12 Access arrangements to the site and the building should be an integral part of the planning and design process at the earliest stage.

12.17 The primary public entrance to the building should be afforded priority and prominence in access from the street, and appropriately scaled in the design of the street façade/s.

- Avoid combining with any vehicular access or drive.
- Provide direct access to the sidewalk and street.
- Landscape design should reinforce the importance of the public entrance.

12.18 Where the secondary street or alley network is available, rear public access should be retained and used.

- Residential access options to the site and building should be retained and/or maximized.
- Alternative vehicular access from secondary streets and alleys should be retained and reused.

12.19 Bicycle parking should be situated so that it is convenient and readily accessible within or immediately adjacent to the building, including design for secure storage.

12.20 Convenient storage space for each residential unit should be included to obviate the use of personal outdoor balcony space for bicycle and other storage

12.21 A vehicular access and drive should not be combined with a pedestrian access and entrance.

Staff Analysis – Complies

The current pattern of development of the existing buildings is at a pedestrian scale with vehicular access along 600 East. The project proposes the main entry, highlighted architecturally and through the landscape, on 600 East to match this pattern.

The building is organized around perimeter pedestrian circulation, with a series of townhome/brownstone units with stoops facing the pedestrian-focused 600 East and a series of stack flat units with stoops facing the mid-block connection path. This allows the dominant east and south elevations to present appealing façades as public faces, and conceal the vehicular circulation and parking from most directions. As stated above, pedestrian access is provided from 600 East, with an architecturally highlighted main entry, and accessibility was considered in the location of the main entry to minimize grade change and eliminate the need for extensive ramps. Additionally, stoops were added to the brownstone units along 600 East, and include stepped entries, planters and other screening and layering methods to maximize the quality of the spaces lining the public street.

Along the south edge of the building, the **minimum required setback of 15' was increased to 20' to provide a east-west pedestrian mid-block connection.** There is also a pedestrian connection to the north through the site, and all sidewalks will be lined with trees or other landscaping, space permitting. The rows of existing trees along the sidewalk and the median along 600 East are a defining feature of this district and will seamlessly connect with these pedestrian features.

Vehicular entrances are located to the rear and side of the building. Vehicular access is located at the back (east side) of the building through an existing curb cut and alley on South Temple. An additional vehicular entry is located on the north side of the building (due to grade separation and the need for internal parking efficiency, two access points are required). The north side garage ramp is minimized by the location of the entry in reference to existing grading. The ramp is also

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Place vehicle access away from commercial uses such as cafe, restaurant or retail.</li> </ul> <p>12.22 A vehicular access and driveway should be discreetly placed to the side or to the rear of the building.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A vehicular entrance which incorporates a ramp should be screened from street views.</li> <li>Landscape should be designed to minimize visual impact of the access and driveway.</li> </ul> <p>12.23 A single curb cut or driveway should not exceed the minimum width required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Avoid curb cuts and driveways close to street corners.</li> </ul> <p>12.24 Driveways serving groups of similar uses should be consolidated to minimize visual intrusion, and to provide less interruption to the sidewalk, pedestrian character and flow.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Curb cuts should be shared between groups of buildings and uses where possible.</li> <li>Joint driveway access is encouraged.</li> </ul> <p>12.25 Wherever possible, vehicular parking should be situated below the building, or alternatively behind the building in a manner that does not conflict with pedestrian access from the street.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Surface parking areas should be screened from views from the street and adjacent residential properties.</li> </ul>	<p>partly screened by the grading of the adjacent property, and it is located near the existing parking garage to the north. The site design maintains the singular curb cut along 600 East for vehicular access to parking. The curb cut will be designed to the minimum required. The curb cut is not located near a street corner. It is however located far from the pedestrian mid-block connection.</p> <p>Parking is situated below the building. The parking garage is screened by residential units on both the street face and along the pedestrian connection to the south. Bicycle parking is provided both outside the main entry (in the setback) and secured inside the garage.</p> <p>Storage will be provided inside each unit – with most one or more bedroom units having a walk-in closet and most units having an additional coat closet.</p>
--	--	--

2. Site Access, Parking & Services

b. Site and Building Services and Utilities. Utilities and site/building services (such as HVAC systems, venting fans, and dumpsters) are located such that they are to the rear of the building or on the roof and screened from public spaces and public properties.

Site & Building Services & Utilities - Design Objective

The visual impact of common and individual building services and utilities, as perceived from the public realm and nearby buildings, should be avoided or completely integrated into the design of the building.

12.26 Utility areas and other ground level building services should be situated away from the frontage of the building.

- Screen from street views and adjacent buildings.
- Integrate these facilities with the architecture of the building through design, color and the choice of materials.

12.27 Rooftop and other higher level mechanical services and utilities should be situated away from, and also screened from, street views.

- Locate the utility equipment within an architectural screen or dedicated housing.
- Enclose the facility within a roof that is an integral part of the building.
- Select and locate the utility equipment so that it is not seen from adjacent primary and secondary streets.
- Finish to match the building where visibility might occur.

12.28 Mechanical services should be acoustically screened from nearby residential properties.

- Screening should be compatible with and also integrated into the design of the building.

12.29 Small utilities, such as air conditioning units, should be located away from primary and secondary facades of the building, unless integrated and fully concealed as part of the building design.

- Avoid placing AC or other equipment in balcony spaces.

12.30 Exhaust and intake vents and pipes on facades and roofscapes should be avoided through early and coordinated planning of facilities for common utility systems.

Staff Analysis – Complies

The North elevation abuts the existing parking garage to the north. This provides opportunity to locate the necessary utilitarian functions of the project in this area. Dumpsters will be located in this area towards the rear of the building and screened from view using materials that match the building base design, most likely concrete and brick. Garage fans will also exhaust to this area. All other mechanical equipment will be located on the rooftop and screened from view. No mechanical services will be located adjacent to residential units. No mechanical units will be located on the primary or secondary facades. No AC units will be located on balconies. All required exterior vents will be painted to match surrounding materials or designed as part of the facade. No cellular equipment is planned for this site at this time.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Coordinate, group and screen from view where any might penetrate the facade.</li><li>• Finish to match the facade color unless specifically designed as a detailed architectural embellishment.</li></ul> <p>12.31 Cellular phone and other antennae, and associated equipment, should not be visible from the public way.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Plan for common satellite TV equipment, with positioning to avoid or minimize any visual impact.</li></ul>	
--	---	--

3. Landscape and Lighting

a. Grading of Land

**The site's landscape, such as grading and retaining walls, addresses the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.**

Front Yard Landscape - Design Objective

The design of residential and commercial front yard landscapes should contribute to a coherent and creative public realm.

12.32 The front yard landscaping for a new multifamily building should coordinate with historic and/or established patterns.

- Evaluate existing historic patterns and character.
- Design a creative complement to the established historic character.

12.33 Landscape walls and fences perpendicular to the street, which could separate front yards, should be minimized or avoided where this separation is not an inherent part of the established topographic or historic character.

- Retaining walls provide significant opportunity for creative design and natural materials, when they are a characteristic of the setting.
- Where retaining walls are a part of established historic character, avoid excessive retaining wall height by terracing a change in grade.
- Design any fencing to be low and transparent in form.

12.34 Where it is a characteristic of the street, a front yard should be designed and graded to reflect this pattern, retaining the relationship and continuity of open space, and the sense of progression from public to private space.

- Reflect the historic grading and landscaping of the area between the street pavement and the building.
- The building should readily engage with the street and public realm.

Staff Analysis – Complies

One of the defining features of the streetscape is the mature trees that line the median, and both sides of the street (in a double row located in the landscape zone and on the property line side of the sidewalk). This project proposes maintaining these mature trees as the main feature of the landscaping. The smaller landscape will be designed to complement the existing surrounding conditions and will include layered, shade loving, drought resistant shrubs, ground covers and other plantings.

The grading along the site will mostly be maintained in its existing state. The building will meet grade in the northwest corner and will sit about 5 feet above grade in the south west corner. In these conditions, stoops and raised planters along 600 East will help make the grade transition gradual and in layered increments. Stoops are a common feature on the block with many of the historic structures presenting these raised entry features.

There are no landscape structures such as walls or fencing proposed as the building is self-contained for access control purposes. To the north, the existing garage has a zero property line presence and will act as the separation. To the east, the project will be open to the Masonic Temple access alley and parking lot for parking and emergency access. To the south, a pedestrian connection creates the boundary (a wrought iron fence may be considered) if desired by the neighboring owners.

<p><u>3. Landscape and Lighting</u></p> <p>b. Landscape Structures Landscape structures, such as arbors, walls, fences, address the public way in a manner that reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.</p>	<p>Front Yard Landscape - Design Objective The design of residential and commercial front yard landscapes should contribute to a coherent and creative public realm.</p> <p>12.35 Where a new multifamily building includes another use/s, such as restaurant or café, seating should be considered as part of the landscape design for front yard area and/or sidewalk.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Design any seating as a creative element of the landscape design.</li><li>• Low walls in the landscape design can provide the opportunity for integrated informal seating.</li><li>• Use ergonomic and durable materials in the design and choice of seating, e.g. wood &amp; metal.</li></ul>	<p>Staff Analysis – Complies</p> <p>As noted above, there are no landscape structures such as walls or fencing proposed. The project is multifamily residential and does not include any sort of commercial component such as a restaurant or café.</p>
--	---	---

3. Landscape and Lighting

c. Lighting

Where appropriate lighting is used to enhance significant elements of the design and reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

Lighting - Design Objective

External lighting of the building and site should be carefully considered for architectural accent, for basic lighting of access and service areas, and to avoid light trespass.

12.36 Exterior lighting should be discreetly designed to illuminate entrances and exterior spaces such as balconies, terraces or common spaces.

- Design to avoid light trespass beyond the area to be lit.
- Design for creative and discrete task lighting.

12.37 Where architectural lighting is appropriate, it should be designed to strengthen the historic context, providing selective visual accent to specific elements of the primary facades, using discreet and creatively designed light fittings.

- Avoid general illumination of a façade or undue prominence of an individual building, since this will detract from the nighttime character of the historic setting.
- Design building light fixtures for architectural quality and durability.
- Shield architectural illumination at higher levels to avoid a view of any exposed light source from the street or adjacent occupied space.

12.38 Building lighting should be discreetly designed to integrate, in design, location and choice of fittings, with the architecture of the building.

12.39 Landscape lighting should be designed discreetly and creatively to enhance pathways and entrances, while accentuating planting design.

- Light specific design features.
- Avoid light trespass and glare.

12.40 Conduit and electrical supply equipment for both architectural and utility light fittings should be concealed from view from all streets and adjacent properties.

- Plan and design supply runs at an early stage to avoid external surface conduit and equipment.

Staff Analysis – Will comply at the building permit stage.

No lighting has been proposed at this stage. The lighting has not yet been fully designed, but will consist of soffit lighting to highlight the main building entry and, more discreetly, the secondary stoop entries. Limited up-lighting will be used to highlight architectural elements like the entry. Soft pedestrian lighting will be provided along the mid-block connection, with full screening to prevent light trespass to neighboring properties. City standards will be met along 600 East.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Conceal within, or integrate with, the design of the building.</li></ul> <p>12.41 Utilitarian building lighting for service areas should be concealed from view from primary and secondary streets, and from adjacent properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Use effective <b>'cut-off' shields to confine light</b> spread.</li><li>• Position light fittings to reduce public visibility.</li><li>• Choose fittings and finishes that complement the design of the building.</li></ul>	
--	---	--

#### 4. Building Form and Scale

##### a. Character of the Street Block

The design of the building reflects the historic character of the street facade in terms of scale, composition, and modeling.

##### (1) Height

The height of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects taller than those existing on the block face step back their upper floors to present a base that is in scale with the historic context and the block face.

##### (2) Width

The width of the project reflects the character of the historic context and the block face. Projects wider than those existing on the block face modulate the facade to express a series of volumes in scale with the historic context and the block face.

##### (3) Massing

The shape, form, and proportion of buildings, reflects the character of the historic context and the block face.

##### (4) Roof Forms

The building incorporates roof shapes that reflect forms found in the historic context and the block face.

#### Building Form & Scale - Design Objective

The form, scale and design of a new multifamily building in a historic district should equate with and complement the established patterns of human scale characteristics of the immediate setting and/or broader context.

12.42 A new multifamily building should appear similar in scale to the scale established by the buildings comprising the current street block facade.

- Subdivide a larger mass into smaller **“modules” which are similar in size to buildings seen traditionally.**
- The scale of principal elements, such as entrances, porches, balconies and window bays, are critical to creating and maintaining a compatible building scale.

12.43 A new multifamily building should be designed to create and reinforce a sense of human scale. In doing so consider the following:

- Design building massing and modulation to reflect traditional forms, e.g. projecting wings and balcony bays.
- Design a solid-to-void (wall to window/door ratio that is similar to that seen traditionally.
- Design window openings that are similar in scale to those seen traditionally.
- Articulate and design balconies that reflect traditional form and scale.
- Design an entrance, porch or stoop that reflects the scale characteristic of similar traditional building types.
- Use building materials of traditional dimensions, e.g. brick, stone, terracotta.
- Choose materials that express a variation in color and/or texture, either individually or communally.

12.44 A new multifamily building should be designed to respect the access to light and the privacy of adjacent buildings.

12.45 The principal elements of the front facade should reflect the scale of the buildings comprising the block face and historic context.

#### Staff Analysis – Complies

The historic buildings along the 600 East street face are typically two to three stories in height. The proposed building matches this pattern by including a two-story facade elevation along the street (with higher elevations only after stepping back another 40+ feet).

The porches and entries to the brownstone units, as well as the unit balconies above are scaled to **match the surrounding structures’ entry porches.** The two story entry element also matches the scale of the surrounding area.

The larger elevation along 600 East is further broken down into three areas through the use of light masonry against the dark masonry. This creates the visual impression of three separate volumes, providing a transition between the larger scale to the north and the smaller scale residential to the south.

The massing and scale of the architecture is consistent with surrounding structures and serves as an appropriate transition from the larger scale along South Temple to the residential scale along 600 East. The Masonic Temple to the northeast is estimated to **be over 90’ tall at its tallest point, with most of the building in excess of 75’ and almost 200’ long. There are other large and mid-scale structures to the north and northwest, as well as across the street in the same district (including Broadway at the Eccles and residential multi-family structures).** The exterior appearance of the building is designed to complement its neighbors without diluting their individual character. Taking a cue from the surrounding **building’s massing and aesthetic, the new building** takes on a similar pattern of development with a modern aesthetic. The new building steps back from the second to the third story along both the south and the east facades, thus relating to the two story residential structures to the south and presenting a pedestrian friendly scale along 600 East and the mid-block connection.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The primary plane/s of the front facade should not appear to be more than a story higher than those of typical historic structures in the block and context.</li> <li>• Where the proposed building would be taller than those in the historic context, the upper floor/s should step back from the plane of the façade below.</li> <li>• A single wall plane or bay of the primary or secondary facades should reflect the typical maximum facade width in the district.</li> </ul> <p>12.46 The secondary elements, patterns and modeling of the facade composition should reinforce the massing and scale established by the primary elements of the facade/s.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design a fenestration pattern and a window scale that reflect those of the context and historic district.</li> <li>• Arrange and design balconies to articulate the architecture of both the primary and secondary facades.</li> <li>• In a taller structure, design the ground floor/s to differentiate in stature, plane, detailing and/ or materials from the façade above.</li> <li>• <b>Express the 'base' for the front facade/s of the building</b> through primary architectural elements and patterns, e.g. entrance/porch/portico, fenestration.</li> <li>• Reinforce this definition through detailing and materials.</li> <li>• <b>Design a distinct 'foundation' course for the primary and secondary facades</b>, employing a combination of wall plane, materials, texture and/or color.</li> <li>• In a taller structure, consider defining a top floor by a distinct variation in design treatment as part of an architectural hierarchy in the design of the facade.</li> </ul> <p>12.47 Respect the role that architectural symmetry can play in the form of the established historic street frontage and wider setting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This can be effective in composing the modulation of a wider façade, helping to integrate this within a smaller scale setting.</li> </ul>	<p>As shown in the massing diagrams in the packet, the building is further carved at the third and fourth levels to the south in response to the presence of smaller residential structures in this orientation. Finally, a central courtyard provides further breakdown of the mass, and adds light and views and an active amenity space central to the project. More height is appropriately located towards the northeast corner neighboring the larger Masonic Temple.</p> <p>As noted above, the proposed building includes a two-story façade elevation along 600 East (with higher elevations only after stepping back another 40+ feet). The stoops, together with the layered stepped approach to reach them will provide an additional breakdown of building to human scale, as will the material transition referenced above.</p> <p>Materials used are traditional dimensions (brick) and express a variation in both color (light and dark brick, dark brick and light stucco) and texture (smooth stucco and textured brick).</p> <p>As shown in the series of massing diagrams in the plans, the building massing was clearly designed to be sensitive to the existing context. The massing is reduced to the south, adjacent to the smaller residential buildings, and along 600 East, along the pedestrian route. The taller building elements are appropriately sited to the north and east of the lot, adjacent to the neighboring parking garage and the Masonic Temple.</p> <p>The primary planes of the front façade are two stories tall, and therefore align with the typical historic structures on the block face. The portions of the building that are higher than this typical existing block face condition rise up after stepping <b>back another 40+ feet, in addition to the 25'</b> setback. Even at the higher height, the building is lower than the Masonic Temple existing on the same block.</p> <p>The entire façade width matches the building to the north. Individual wall planes/bays of darker brick are separated by light brick to create the impression of smaller volumes, which relate to the dark brick residential buildings to the south.</p>
--	--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluation of historic apartment façade symmetry, or asymmetry, will provide valuable direction and inspiration.</li> </ul> <p>Height - Design Objective The maximum height of a new multifamily building should not exceed the general height and scale of its historic context, or be designed to reduce the perceived height where a taller building might be appropriate to the context.</p> <p>12.48 The building height should be compatible with the historic setting and context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The immediate and wider historic contexts are both of importance.</li> <li>• The impact upon adjacent historic buildings will be paramount in terms of scale and form.</li> </ul> <p>12.49 Characteristic of traditional buildings types and context, the first two floors should be designed with greater stature.</p> <p>12.50 Where there is a significant difference in scale with the immediate context, the building height should vary across the primary façade, and/or the maximum height should be limited to part of the plan footprint of the building.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Step back the upper floor/s of a taller building to achieve a height similar to that historically characteristic of the district.</li> <li>• Restrict maximum building height to particular sections of the depth and length of the building.</li> </ul> <p>12.51 The upper floor/s should step back where a taller building will approach established neighborhoods, streets or adjacent buildings of typically lower height.</p> <p>12.52 The primary and secondary facades should be articulated and modulated to reduce an impression of greater height and scale, and to enhance a sense of human scale.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design a distinctive and a taller first floor for the primary and secondary facades.</li> <li>• Design a distinct top floor to help terminate the façade, and to complement the architectural hierarchy and visual interest.</li> </ul>	<p>The two lower floors are differentiated in plane and materials from the façade above as the base is clad in dark brick and the façade above is mostly smooth stucco with some light brick accents. The base is highlighted through primary architectural elements – main entrance, unit entries and porches, as well as materials - dark brick wrapped in the cementitious panel trim.</p> <p>Asymmetry is used as an effective tool to create modulation of the wider primary façade, breaking it down into smaller planes and sections. This helps to integrate the larger façade within the smaller scale of the residential buildings to the south.</p> <p>The typical condition of the block is symmetry of the entry element. However, there are precedents on the block of 600 East (across the street), where several historic buildings have the entry located on the corner. This works significantly better in this location with the grading to provide accessibility without the need for a lengthy ramp which is not typical for these building facades.</p> <p>In terms of the Solid to Void ratio, window openings in the proposed building are all similar to those traditionally seen in the neighborhood. Further, the window and door styles on the various exterior units are similar to those seen in the neighborhood ie. Single/double hung windows, unit main entrance doors, French balcony doors, etc.</p> <p>Regarding fenestration pattern and window scale, there are several patterns of scale and proportions present in the surrounding neighborhood fabric. The residential building to the south includes at least two proportions of windows, one more square, the other vertically elongated with proportions close to 2:1 ratios. The proposed design for the new apartment project also includes vertically oriented windows <b>with a 2:1 ratio (30” wide x 60” tall) in addition to the more square windows</b> - with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal.</p> <p>Another significant building on the block is the Masonic temple. This presents vertically stacked</p>
--	---	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design a hierarchy of window height and/or width, when defining the fenestration pattern.</li> <li>• Consider designing for a distinctive projecting balcony arrangement and hierarchy.</li> <li>• Use materials and color creatively to reduce apparent height and scale, and maximize visual interest.</li> </ul> <p>Width - Design Objective The design of a new multifamily building should articulate the patterns established by the buildings in the historic context to reduce the perceived width of a wider building and maintain a sense of human scale.</p> <p>12.53 A new multifamily building should appear similar to the width established by the combination of single and multifamily historic buildings in the context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reflect the modulation width of larger historic apartment buildings.</li> <li>• If a building would be wider overall than structures seen historically, the facade should be subdivided into significantly subordinate planes which are similar in width to the building facades of the context.</li> <li>• Step back sections of the wall plane to create the impression of similar façade widths to those of the historic setting.</li> </ul> <p>Massing 12.54 The overall massing of a new multifamily building should respect and reflect the established scale, form and footprint of buildings comprising the street block and historic context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modulate the building where height and scale are greater than the context.</li> <li>• Arrange the massing to step down adjacent to a smaller scale building.</li> <li>• Respect, and/or equate with the more modest scale of center block buildings and residences where they provide the immediate context.</li> </ul> <p>Roof Forms 12.55 The proportions and roof forms of a new multifamily building should be designed to</p>	<p>windows for the main mass of the building (on top of the podium) with proportions similar to the ones mentioned above (with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal). Once again, this supports the <b>vertically aligned stacks of 4' wide x 5' tall</b> windows proposed in the project.</p> <p>Balconies are used throughout to articulate the architecture of both primary and secondary facades.</p> <p>(1) Height: The building height falls within the range of heights in the historic structures in the district. As previously stated, the design is contextual with the front elevation plane matching the surrounding context and the higher elevations stepping back significantly. Immediate and wider historic context were both considered as this project transitions from the smaller scale to the south to the larger scale of the Masonic Temple and South Temple buildings. It is clearly evident that the impact on the adjacent buildings was carefully considered as outlined in the massing diagrams submitted with the application package.</p> <p>The first two stories receive greater stature through the material treatment (dark brick to match the historic context).</p> <p>The changes in height across the primary façade are subtle, but the upper floors are significantly stepped back to achieve a street height similar to the historic characteristic of the district.</p> <p>The upper floors are significantly stepped back where the taller building would approach the established residential neighborhood of typically lower height. The historic buildings along the 600 East street face are typically two to three stories in height. This inspired the proposed building to be consistent with a two-story façade elevation along the street front. The upper floors step back another 40+ feet before raising higher.</p> <p>As previously described, the facades are articulated and detailed to reduce the impression of greater height and scale. The dark brick and</p>
--	---	--

	<p>respect and reflect the range of building forms and massing which characterize the district.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus on maintaining a sense of human scale.</li> <li>• The variety often inherent in the context can provide a range of design options for compatible new roof forms.</li> <li>• Vary the massing across the street façade/s and along the length of the building on the side facades.</li> <li>• Respect adjacent lower buildings by stepping down additional height in the design of a new building.</li> </ul> <p>12.56 Roof forms should reflect those seen traditionally in the block and within the historic district.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flat roof forms, with or without parapet, are an architectural characteristic of particular building types and styles, including many historic apartment buildings.</li> <li>• Gable and hip roofs are characteristic of the roof forms of smaller scale buildings in most residential historic areas, and in specific styles of historic apartment buildings.</li> <li>• Where it is expressed, roof pitch and form should be designed to relate to the context.</li> <li>• In commercial areas, a wider variety of roof forms and building profiles may be evident, providing a more eclectic architectural context, and wider range of potential design solutions.</li> <li>• Consider roof profiles when planning the location and screening of rooftop utilities.</li> </ul>	<p>the cementitious trim, together with the step back at the second level, create a strong base upon which the mass of the building sits. This use of materials and color maximize visual interest and reduce the apparent height and scale.</p> <p>(2) Width: The building immediately to the north presents a 140' uninterrupted elevation along 600 East, the proposed building almost exactly matches this width. The Masonic Temple to the north and the 6<sup>th</sup> East Office Building immediately across the street at 50/60 South 600 East have similar development patterns.</p> <p>The residential buildings to the south are smaller, which is reflected in the breakdown of the color of brick across the lower level of the façade.</p> <p>(3) Massing: The general massing of the building reflects the character of the historic context. As described above, the massing at street levels matches the massing of the surround buildings. The proportions of the building reflect the neighboring buildings to the south at the lower levels and the buildings to the north at the upper stepped back levels.</p> <p>As identified in the massing diagrams provided, the massing is arranged to step down adjacent to the smaller scale buildings to the south.</p> <p>(4) Roof Forms: The typical roof form of the residential buildings on the block is a hip roof. The building immediately to the north presents a flat roof with a slight projection and minimal detail. Other buildings in the historic context, particularly examples of mid-century modern architecture, have similar clean roof lines. These excellent examples include the City Home Collective or 505 East South Temple.</p> <p><b>The standard calls for</b> “roof shapes that reflect forms found in the historic context and the block face”. Based on flat roof shapes in the general vicinity, the applicant has designed a flat roof. This roof form lends itself well in this particular case in terms of maintaining a sense of human/pedestrian scale. The design also respects the adjacent lower buildings by stepping down</p>
--	---	---

		<p>additional height. Furthermore, the lower roof of the proposed building matches the eave height of the existing residential building to the south and a metal coping approximates the depth of the gutter system.</p>
--	--	--

## 5. Building Character

### a. Façade Articulation and Proportion

The design of the project reflects patterns of articulation and proportion established in the historic context and the block face. As appropriate, facade articulations reflect those typical of other buildings on the block face. These articulations are of similar dimension to those found elsewhere in the context, but have a depth of not less than 12 inches.

#### (1) Rhythm of Openings

The facades are designed to reflect the rhythm of openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.

#### (2) Proportion and Scale of Openings

The facades are designed using openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) of similar proportion and scale to that established in the historic context and the block face.

#### (3) Ratio of Wall to Openings

Facades are designed to reflect the ratio of wall to openings (doors, windows, recessed balconies, etc.) established in the historic context and the block face.

#### (4) Balconies, Porches, and External Stairs

The project, as appropriate, incorporates entrances, balconies, porches, stairways, and other projections that reflect patterns established in the historic context and the block face.

### Façade Articulation, Proportion & Visual Emphasis - Design Objective

The design of a new multifamily building should relate sensitively to the established historic context through a thorough evaluation of the scale, modulation and emphasis, and attention to these characteristics in the composition of the facades.

12.57 Overall facade proportions should be designed to reflect those of historic buildings in the context and neighborhood.

- The **“overall proportion” is the ratio of the width to the height of the building, especially the front facade.**
- The modulation and articulation of principal elements of a facade, e.g. projecting wings, balcony sequence and porches, can provide an alternative and a balancing visual emphasis.
- With townhouse development, the individual houses should be articulated to identify the individual unit sequence and rhythm.
- See the discussion of individual historic districts (PART III) and the review of typical historic building styles (PART I) for more information on district character and façade proportions.

12.58 To reduce the perceived width and scale of a larger primary or secondary façade, a vertical proportion and emphasis should be employed. Consider the following:

- Vary the planes of the façade for all or part of the height of the building.
- Subdivide the primary façade into projecting wings with recessed central entrance section in character with the architectural composition of many early apartment buildings.
- Modulate the height down toward the street, and/or the interior of the block, if this is the pattern established by the immediate context and the neighborhood.
- Modulate the façade through the articulation of balcony form, pattern and design, either as recessed and/or projecting elements.

### Staff Analysis – Complies

As previously explained, overall façade proportions match the building to the north, with both the height and width matching these. The façade is further broken down by change of material from the dark brick to the light brick and by small plane changes.

There are two variations in the planes of the façade – these mostly carry the entire height of the building. The height is modulated down toward the street and up towards the rear of the site to match the existing pattern of development. It is also modulated through the articulation of balcony form, pattern and design, both as recessed and projecting balcony elements. There is also a distinctive form and stature in the primary entrance. Most windows are vertically proportioned.

The primary and secondary facades are relatively small, limited to two floors. For the higher additional facades, the perceived height and scale is reduced through architectural detailing and changes in material to emphasize individual levels, as well as changes in materials or color for the same reason.

There is a balanced approach to the window to wall ratio as there are no areas of extensive wall or window. There are no large surfaces of glass, except for a limited area at the main building entry and amenity space. This glass is used here to emphasize the hierarchy of this entry. Large mullions are used to break up the glass and emphasize horizontality.

There are several patterns of proportions and scale present in the surrounding neighborhood fabric. The residential building to the south includes at least two proportions of windows, one more square, the other vertically elongated with proportions close to 2:1 ratios. The proposed design for the new apartment project also includes vertically oriented windows with a **2:1 ratio (30” wide x 60” tall) in addition to the more square windows** - with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vary the planes of the primary and secondary facades to articulate further modeling of the composition.</li> <li>• Design for a distinctive form and stature of primary entrance.</li> <li>• Compose the fenestration in the form of vertically proportioned windows.</li> <li>• Subdivide horizontally proportioned windows using strong mullion elements to enhance a sense of vertical proportion and emphasis.</li> </ul> <p>12.59 A horizontal proportion and emphasis should be designed to reduce the perceived height and scale of a larger primary or secondary façade. Consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The interplay of horizontal and vertical emphasis can create an effective visual balance, helping to reduce the sense of building scale.</li> <li>• Step back the top or upper floors where a building might be higher than the context along primary and/or secondary facades as appropriate.</li> <li>• Design for a distinctive stature and expression of the first floor of the primary, and if important in public views, the secondary facades.</li> <li>• Design a distinct foundation course.</li> <li>• Employ architectural detailing and/or a change in materials and plane to emphasize individual levels in the composition of the facade.</li> <li>• Design the fenestration to create and/or reflect the hierarchy of the façade composition.</li> <li>• Change the materials and/or color to distinguish the design of specific levels.</li> </ul> <p><b>Solid to Void Ratio, Window Scale &amp; Proportion - Design Objective</b> The design of a new multifamily building in a historic context should reflect the scale established by the solid to void ratio traditionally associated with the setting and with a sense of human scale.</p> <p>12.60 The ratio of solid to void (wall to window) should reflect that found across the established</p>	<p>Another significant building on the block is the Masonic Temple. This presents vertically stacked windows for the main mass of the building (on top of the podium) with proportions similar to the ones mentioned above (with the vertical dimension being about 25% larger than the horizontal). Once again, this supports the <b>vertically aligned stacks of 4' wide x 5' tall</b> windows proposed in the project.</p> <p>(1) Rhythm Of Openings: The facades are composed of a consistent rhythm of windows and door openings along all four facades. The exception is the main entrance to the building facing 600 South. This area breaks the consistent rhythm pattern of emphasize the location of this important feature. The proposed rhythm of openings is reflective of the block face and the larger historic context.</p> <p>(2) Proportion And Scale Of Openings: The ratio of wall to window openings varies between the residential buildings to the south, with the earlier buildings having a smaller ratio and the more recent buildings having a larger ratio – see images above. The commercial buildings along South Temple also have a higher ratio, likely close to the more contemporary residential projects. The proposed building matches this ratio.</p> <p>(3) Ratio Of Wall To Openings: The block face along 600 East immediately adjacent to the project presents facades with some, but limited, articulation. The windows have different proportions (some horizontal, but most vertical). The openings are top aligned and relatively regular in size and pattern. The proposed building includes a similar pattern of top aligned, vertically oriented, regularly spaced openings. The only larger glass openings are used to indicate the main building entrance, similar to the Broadway at the Eccles building to the north and the apartment buildings to the south.</p> <p>Balconies and/or roof elements span the space between windows and balcony doors in some of the residential buildings to the south – same as <b>the proposed building's elements.</b></p>
--	---	--

	<p>character created by the historic structures in the district. Consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Achieve a balance, avoiding areas of too much wall or too much window.</li> <li>• Large surfaces of glass can be inappropriate in a context of smaller residential buildings.</li> <li>• Design a larger window area with framing profiles and subdivision which reflect the scale of the windows in the established context.</li> <li>• Window mullions can reduce the apparent scale of a larger window.</li> <li>• Window frame and mullion scale and profiles should be designed to equate with the composition.</li> </ul> <p>12.61 Window scale and proportion should be designed to reflect those characteristic of this traditional building type and setting.</p> <p>Fenestration - Design Objective The window pattern, the window proportion and the proportion of the wall spaces between, should be a central consideration in the architectural composition of the facades, to achieve a coherence and an affinity with the established historic context.</p> <p>12.62 Public and more important interior spaces should be planned and designed to face the street.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Their fenestration pattern consequently becomes a significant design element of the primary facade/s.</li> <li>• Avoid the need to fenestrate small private functional spaces on primary facades, e.g. bathrooms, kitchens, bedrooms.</li> </ul> <p>12.63 The fenestration pattern, including the proportions of window and door openings, should reflect the range associated with the buildings creating the established character of the historic context and area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design for a similar scale of window and window spacing.</li> <li>• Reflect characteristic window proportions, spacing and patterns.</li> <li>• Design for a hierarchy within the fenestration pattern to relieve the apparent scale of a</li> </ul>	<p>(4) Balconies, Porches, And External Stairs: As discussed in the building and street form sections, the project incorporates porches along 600 East and the mid-block connection in a pattern that is consistent with the neighborhood and creates a pedestrian friendly quality of the street. As explained above, balconies and porch/balcony cover elements are incorporated in a manner that is consistent with the neighboring residential projects to the south. Projecting and recessed balcony forms are used to complement and embellish the design composition of the facades. The balcony arrangement highlights the vertical arrangement of the fenestration pattern. The balcony forms are transparent and semitransparent, using glass at the flush balcony conditions, and railings at the projecting conditions. This emphasizes the hierarchy of the balcony conditions. No solid balcony enclosures are used.</p> <p>The main entrance and associated entry stoop provide greater stature through the increased height and the larger depth projection in order to enhance visual focus, presence and emphasis. The name of the apartment building will be designed into the façade at the entry element and porch. No details of this sign were included with the new construction materials, therefore this sign will need to be reviewed at a later time. The applicant will need to apply for a COA for signage.</p> <p>All the stairs are designed internal to the building.</p> <p>The new building is rectilinear in its compositional order, presenting a modern interpretation of the three part elevations of the <b>surrounding buildings. Many of these 1800's and early 1900's structures mark their entry with the</b> main element of the elevation either recessing or stepping forward from the flanking sides. A similar difference in projection, height and material of the different planes is used to establish hierarchy of the façade and highlight the entry moments of the new buildings.</p> <p>In addition to the immediate Broadway at the <b>Eccles, Masonic Temple and Governor's Mansion</b>, the surrounding blocks have various structures</p>
--	---	---

	<p>larger facade, and especially if this is a characteristic of the context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Arrange and/or group windows to complement the symmetry or proportions of the architectural composition.</li> <li>• Emphasize the fenestration pattern by distinct windows reveals.</li> <li>• Consider providing emphasis through the detailing of window casing, trim, materials, and subdivision, using mullions and transoms, as well as the profiles provided by operable/ opening windows. See also guideline 12.71-74 on window detailing.</li> </ul> <p>Balconies &amp; Entrance - Design Objective The design of a new multifamily building in a historic context should recognize the importance of balcony and primary entrance features in achieving a compatible scale and character.</p> <p>12.64 Balconies, encouraged as individual semipublic outdoor spaces, should be designed as an integral part of the architectural composition and language of the building.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use projecting and/or recessed balcony forms to complement and embellish the design composition of the facades, and to establish visual emphasis and architectural accent.</li> <li>• Use a balcony or a balcony arrangement to echo and accentuate the fenestration pattern of the building.</li> <li>• Design balcony forms to be transparent or semi-transparent, using railings and/or glass to avoid solid balcony enclosures.</li> <li>• Select and design balcony materials and details as a distinct enrichment of the building facade/s.</li> </ul> <p>12.65 An entrance porch, stoop or portico should be designed as a principal design focus of the composition of the facade.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design for greater stature to enhance visual focus, presence and emphasis.</li> <li>• Design for a distinct identity, using different wall planes, materials, details, texture and color.</li> </ul>	<p>that present raised stoops as a successful entry strategy, which inspired the design of the entry sequences to the brownstones along 600 East.</p>
--	--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Consider designing the name of the apartment building into the facade or the porch/stoop.</li></ul> <p>12.66 A secondary or escape stairway should be planned and designed as an integral part of the overall architecture of the building, and positioned at or towards the rear of the building.</p>	
--	--	--

6. Building Materials, Elements and Detailing

a. Materials

Building facades, other than windows and doors, incorporate no less than 80% durable material such as, but not limited to, wood, brick, masonry, textured or patterned concrete and/or cut stone. These materials reflect those found elsewhere in the district and/or setting in terms of scale and character.

b. Materials on Street-facing Facades

The following materials are not considered to be appropriate and are prohibited for use on facades which face a public street: vinyl siding and aluminum siding.

Materials - Design Objective

The design of a new multifamily building should recognize and reflect the palette of building materials which characterize the historic district, and should help to enrich the visual character of the setting, in creating a sense of human scale and historical sequence.

12.67 Building materials that contribute to the traditional sense of human scale and the visual interest of the historic setting and neighborhood should be used.

- This helps to complement and reinforce the palette of materials of the neighborhood and the sense of visual continuity in the district.
- The choice of materials, their texture and color, their pattern or bond, joint profile and color, will be important characteristics of the design.
- Creative design, based on analysis of the context, will be invaluable in these respects.

12.68 Building materials that will help to reinforce the sense of visual affinity and continuity between old and new in the historic setting should be used.

- Use external materials of the quality, durability and character found within the historic district.

12.69 Design with materials which provide a solid masonry character for lower floors and for the most public facades of the building. Consider the following:

- Use brick and/or natural stone, in preference to less proven alternatives for these areas.
- Limit panel materials to upper levels and less public facades.
- Where panel materials are considered, use high quality architectural paneling with a proven record of durability in the regional climate.
- Synthetic materials, including synthetic stucco, should be avoided on grounds of limited durability and longevity, and weathering characteristics.

Staff Analysis – Complies

Materials: The building façades facing the street and the pedestrian connection are composed 100% of durable materials and materials representing human scale: majority brick (light and dark for scale and contrast) and cementitious board trim. The rear side and non-accessible north sides, as well as the stepped back building elevations above the third floor are composed of a combination of light colored brick, stucco, and cementitious panels; all hard durable materials.

The brick is typical of this neighborhood and will complement and reinforce the palette of materials of the neighborhood and the sense of visual continuity in the district.

Materials on Street-facing Facades: The material expression of the historic context is reflected in the materials of the proposed building. As discussed above, brick will be the highlighted material. Cementitious board and trim accents will highlight the soffits and balcony partitions, reminiscent of the cast stone accents of the surrounding buildings. The dark masonry base complements other masonry structures in the district, while smooth stucco provides clean lines and a contemporary aesthetic.

The building materials are mostly masonry or other hard materials, especially at lower floors and for most public facades of the building. Panel material (cementitious siding) is limited to upper floors and less public facades. This is used sparingly, only as an accent. There is no vinyl siding or aluminum siding on the project.

	<p>12.70 Materials should have a proven durability for the regional climate, as well as the situation and aspect of the building.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Avoid materials which merely create the superficial appearance of authentic, durable materials.</li><li>• The weathering characteristics of materials become important as the building ages, in that they should compliment rather than detract from the building and historic setting as they weather and mature.</li><li>• New materials, which have a proven track record of durability in the regional climatic conditions, may be considered.</li></ul>	
--	--	--

6. Building Materials, Elements and Detailing

c. Windows

Windows and other openings are incorporated in a manner that reflects patterns, materials, and detailing established in the district and/or setting.

Windows - Design Objective

The design of a new multifamily building should include window design subdivision, profiles, materials, finishes and details which ensure that the windows play their characteristic positive role in defining the proportion and character of the building and its contribution to the historic context.

12.71 Windows should be designed to be in scale with those characteristic of the building and the historic setting.

- Excessive window scale in a new building, whether vertical or horizontal, will adversely affect the sense of human scale and affinity with buildings in the district.
- Subdivide a larger window area to form a group or pattern of windows creating more appropriate proportions, dimensions and scale.

12.72 Windows with vertical proportion and emphasis are encouraged.

- A vertical proportion is likely to have greater design affinity with the historic context.
- It helps to create a stronger vertical emphasis which can be valuable integrating the design of a larger scale building within its context.
- See also the discussion of the character of the relevant historic district and architectural styles. (PART I)

12.73 Window reveals should be a characteristic of masonry and most public facades.

- These help to express the character of the facade modeling and materials.
- Window reveals will enhance the degree to which the building integrates with its historic setting.
- A reveal should be recessed into the primary plane of the wall, and not achieved by applying window trim to the façade.
- This helps to avoid the impression of superficiality which can be inherent in some more recent construction, e.g. with applied details like window trim and surrounds.

Staff Analysis – Complies

There is no excessive window scale on the project and, as previously stated, the windows fit within the historic context. Windows with mostly vertical proportions are used. In addition to the depth of layering provided by the massing, the windows are set back **3” to 4” with window reveals**.

Although the plans show vinyl windows, a composite window (Anderson 100 Series) will be used throughout the project. As shown in the typical details attached to this staff report, the frame profile projects from the plane of the glass creating a hierarchy of detail. Durable materials and integral finishes are proposed in the upgraded composite (Anderson 100 series) single-hung windows.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A hierarchy of window reveals can effectively complement the composition of the fenestration and facades.</li></ul> <p>12.74 Windows and doors should be framed in materials that appear similar in scale, proportion and character to those used traditionally in the neighborhood.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Frame profiles should project from the plane of the glass creating a distinct hierarchy of secondary modeling and detail for the window opening and the composition of the facade.</li><li>• Durable frame construction and materials should be used.</li><li>• Frame finish should be of durable architectural quality, chosen to compliment the building design.</li><li>• Vinyl should be avoided as a non-durable material in the regional climate.</li><li>• Dark or reflective glass should be avoided.</li><li>• See also the rehabilitation section on windows (PART II, Ch.3) as well as the discussions of specific historic districts (PART III) and relevant architectural styles (PART I).</li></ul>	
--	--	--



<p><u>6. Building Materials, Elements and Detailing</u>  d. Architectural Elements and Details  The design of the building features architectural elements and details that reflect those characteristic of the district and/or setting.</p>	<p>Details - Design Objective  The design of a new multifamily building should reflect the rich architectural character and visual qualities of buildings of this type within the district.</p> <p>12.75 Building elements and details should reflect the scale, size, depth and profiles of those found historically within the district.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These include windows, doors, porches, balconies, eaves, and their associated decorative composition, supports and/or details.</li> </ul> <p>12.76 Where used, ornamental elements, ranging from brackets to porches, should be in scale with similar historic features.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scale, proportion and profiles of elements, such as brackets or window trim, should be functional as well as decorative.</li> </ul> <p>12.77 Creative interpretations of traditional details are encouraged.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New designs for window moldings and door surrounds, for example, can create visual interest and affinity with the context, while conveying the relative age of the building.</li> <li>• The traditional and characteristic use of awnings and canopies should be considered as an opportunity for creative design which can reinforce the fenestration pattern and architectural detail, while being a sustainable shading asset in reducing energy consumption. See also PART IV on Sustainable Design.</li> </ul>	<p>Staff Analysis – Complies  The elevation presents multiple layers of depth <b>with three different planes offset by as much as 5’</b> from each other. The cementitious trim elements <b>that enclose the balconies project out 2’-4” from the brick face of the building, which projects 2’-6” further from the balcony recesses for a total of 5’.</b> This is shown in the dimensioned diagram attached with the packet. In addition to the depth of layering provided by the massing, the windows are recessed <b>3” to 4”.</b></p> <p>Little ornamentation is present on this contemporary project design. This matches the relatively unornamented residential buildings on the street front. The details of the cementitious trim hint at the precast stone caps and ledges of the earlier historic buildings.</p> <p>Horizontal metal rails project out at the lower level and glass rails are flush mounted at the upper level to emphasize the hierarchy of the elevation. The rail elements are of similar proportions and rhythm to the elements used on one of the residential projects to the south on the same block face.</p> <p>Further west on South Temple there are several historic office buildings using an interplay of brick and stucco. Elements of this material palette have been incorporated into the proposed structure. A dark brick creates a durable base at the ground level; a pleasant experience for passing pedestrians. Cementitious trim and lighter brick above the plinth provide a vertical contrast to the dark brick. At the upper levels, smooth light plaster complements the darker materials below.</p>
--	---	--

7. Signage Location

Locations for signage are provided such that they are an integral part of the site and architectural design and are complimentary to the principal structure.

Signs - Design Objective

Signs for a new multifamily building, and for any non-residential use associated with it, should compliment the building and setting in a subtle and creative way, as a further architectural detail.

12.78 Signs should be placed on the building or the site where they are traditionally located in the historic context.

12.79 Identify a non-residential use with a sign location, placement, form and design, which **relates directly to the 'storefront' and window design.**

- See also the Design Guidelines for Signs in Historic Districts in Salt Lake City.
- See the Design Guidelines for Historic Commercial Buildings and Districts in Salt Lake City.

12.80 Signs and lettering should be creatively designed to respect traditional sign scales and forms.

12.81 Signs for the primary and any secondary use should be designed as an integral part of the architecture of the façade.

- Lettering or graphic motif dimensions should be limited to the maximum required to identify the building and any other use/s.
- Creativity and subtlety are objectives of the design of any sign for a new multifamily building in a historic setting.

12.82 Signs should take the form of individual lettering or graphic motif with no, or minimal, illumination.

12.83 Any form of illumination should relate discretely to the sign lettering, and avoid any over-stated visual impact upon any residential use or historic setting.

- The light source should not be visible.
- Internally illuminated lettering and sign boxes should be avoided.
- Internally illuminated lettering using a transparent or translucent letter face or returns should be avoided.

Staff Analysis – Will Comply in the Future

A proposal for any signage for the development has yet to be received and is not part of the analysis for new construction. Prior to any sign installation, an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness will need to be submitted, reviewed and approved for the development.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Where illumination might be appropriate, it should be external <b>and concealed, or in 'halo'</b> form.</li><li>• Banner or canopy signs are not characteristic and will not be appropriate.</li></ul> <p>12.84 Sign materials should be durable and of architectural quality to integrate with the building design.</p> <p>12.85 Power supply services and associated fittings should be concealed and not be readily visible on the exterior of the building.</p> <p>12.86 <b>Refer to the City's Design Guidelines for Signs in Historic Districts</b> for more detailed and extensive advice.</p>	
--	---	--

# ATTACHMENT I: PUBLIC PROCESS AND COMMENTS

---

## Meetings

The following is a list of meetings that have been held, and other public input opportunities, related to the proposed project.

November 21, 2019 – An Open House was held at the main branch of the Salt Lake City Public Library. **Planning Staff and members of the applicant's team were present to entertain questions and** comments from the general public. Three members of the public attended the open house and one provided written comments which are included with this staff report. In general, the comments received from the public are favorable toward the proposal.

December 5, 2019 – A Work Session was held with the Historic Landmark Commission. **Planning Staff and the applicant's team presented the proposal.** In general, comments received from the Historic Landmark Commission were favorable. The minutes from the HLC work session are included for review – Attachment E). The HLC asked that the applicant provide drawings from a pedestrian level at the sidewalk to show what the units and the front yards of the units would look like along 600 East. **The applicant provided additional information in response to the HLC's request** (Attachment F).

## Public Notice

Open House Notification – A notification was mailed on November 8, 2019, to all property owners within 300 feet of the subject property with information regarding the Open House on November 21, 2019. Three people attended the open house and one written comment was received (attached). Approximately 314 notices were sent.

Early Notification of a Proposal Received by the City – An early notification letter was mailed on November 12, 2019, to all property owners within 300 feet of the subject property, with information on how to **obtain the project narrative and plans on the Citizen's Access Portal** and/or how to contact Planning Staff for information. Also included was a reminder of the scheduled open house. Approximately 314 notices were sent.


Notice of HLC Work Session – A notification was mailed on November 22, 2019, to all property owners within 300 feet of the subject property, with information regarding the Work Session on December 5, 2019. Approximately 314 notices were sent.

Notice of the Historic Landmark Commission public hearing for the proposal include:

- Notices mailed on January 3, 2020.
- Property posted on December 23, 2019.
- Agenda posted on the Planning Division and Utah Public Meeting Notice websites on .

# OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

November 21, 2019



Planning and Zoning Division  
Department of Community &  
Neighborhoods

## Masonic Temple Apartments – PLNHLC2019-00860

Name: James Alfandre

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Zip Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail: james@urbanalfandre.com

Comments: This is exactly what we need in our city. The design is also very thoughtful and contextually sensitive.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Please provide your contact information so we can send notification of other meetings or hearings on this issue. You may submit this sheet before the end of the Open House, or you can provide your comments via e-mail at [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com) or via mail at the following address: Lex Traughber, Salt Lake City Planning Division, 451 S. State Street, P.O. Box 145480, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5480.

ATTACHMENT J: CITY COMMENTS

---



# Work Flow History Report

## 25 S 600 E

### DRT2019-00281

*Project: Regius Flats*

*Project Description: Regius Flats is a proposed multi-family housing project on the excess surface level parking lot owned by the Masonic Temple Association. The building will include anywhere between 90-160 residential along with a 130 stall podium parking garage. Amenities such as a fitness center, clubhouse, leasing office, and roof-top terraces will also be included into the project for resident use and leisure. The project will also include a path along the southern property line creating a mid-block connection for pedestrians to more easily access the block.*

*The Development Review Team (DRT) is designed to provide PRELIMINARY review to assist in the design of the complete site plan. A complete review of the site plan will take place upon submittal of the completed site plan to the Permits Counter.*

Date	Task/Inspection	Status/Result	Action By
10/4/2019	Application Acceptance	Accepted	Hamilton, Kevin
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
10/9/2019	Public Utilities Review	Comments	Beitel, Kristeen

**COMMENTS**

Permit, connection, survey, and inspection fees will apply. All utility design and construction must comply with APWA Standards and SLCPU Standard Practices. All utilities must meet horizontal and vertical clearance requirements. Water and sewer lines require 10 ft minimum separation and 18" minimum vertical separation. Sewer must maintain 5 ft minimum separation and 12" vertical separation from any non-water utilities. Water must maintain 3 ft minimum separation and 12" vertical separation from any non-sewer utilities. Contact SLCPU Street Light Program Manager, 801-483-6738, for information regarding street lights. Property is served by a 4" water main in 600 East. There are two water services for adjacent properties that run through the subject property. If in conflict, these will need relocated. Additionally, any private water lines that cross property lines will need easements in place prior to permitting. One culinary water meter, one irrigation meter, and fire services, as required, will be permitted for this property. Each service must have a separate tap to the main. Applicant must provide fire flow and culinary water demands for review. The public water system will be modeled with these demands. If the demand is not adequately delivered by the 4" main, then a water main upsizing will be required at the property owner's expense. If a new fire hydrant connection is required, then an upsize will also be required. Required improvements on the public water system will be determined by the Development Review Engineer. New water mains must cross the entire frontage of the property. A plan and profile and cost estimate must be submitted for review. The property owner is required to bond for the amount of the approved estimate. Property is served by an 8" sewer main in 600 East. There is a sewer lateral to the property from 600 East at the far south end of the proposed development. This will need located and if in conflict, it will need relocated. A minimum of one sewer lateral is required per building. Covered parking area drains are required to be treated to remove solids and oils prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer. These drains cannot be discharged to the storm drain. Use a sand/oil separator or similar device. A 4ft diameter sampling manhole must be located downstream of the device and upstream of any other connections. Applicant must provide sewer demand calculations to SLCPU for review. The expected maximum daily flow (gpd) from the development will be modeled to determine the impacts on the public sewer system. If one or more reaches of the sewer system reach capacity as a result of the development, sewer main upsizing will be required at the property owner's expense. Required improvements on the public sewer system will be determined by the Development Review Engineer. A plan and profile and cost estimate must be submitted for review. The property owner is required to bond for the amount of the approved estimate. Site stormwater must be collected on site and routed to the public storm drain system. Stormwater cannot discharge across property lines or public sidewalks. There is public storm drain in South Temple, but storm drain would need extended in 600 East for a piped connection. Stormwater treatment is required prior to discharge to the public storm drain. Utilize stormwater BMPs to remove solids and oils. Green infrastructure should be used whenever possible. Stormwater detention is required for this project. The allowable release rate is 0.2 cfs per acre. Detention must be sized using the 100 year 3 hour design storm using the farmer Fletcher rainfall distribution. Provide a complete Technical Drainage Study including all calculations, figures, model output, certification, summary and discussion. Projects larger than one acre require that a SWPPP is submitted for review.

<b>10/9/2019</b>	<b>Zoning Review</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Hardman, Alan</b>
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
<p>3:00 PM – 650 E South Temple St – Dustin Holt RO zoning district / historic district. The proposal is to combine several parcels into one parcel and build an apartment building with 90-130 apartments with a 130-stall podium parking garage. Amenities will include a fitness center, clubhouse and rooftop terraces. The building will have public street frontage on 600 East Street. • The project has master plan amendment, zoning amendment and historic approval from Planning. The project will require additional approval from the Planning Division for the Lot Consolidation and Lot Line Adjustment processes. Applications are to be initiated with the Planning Desk in the Building Permits Office or from the planning website. • Maximum height in the zone is 60 feet. Additional height may be approved by the Planning Division per 21A.26.010.J. • Relocation of the carriage house will be part of the regular building permit. • This proposal will need to be discussed with the building code personnel in Room #215. • A Certified Address is to be obtained from the Engineering Division for use in the plan review and permit issuance process. • See 21A.24.180 for the general and specific regulations of the RO zoning district, including maximum lot size, setbacks, height, etc. • A completed Impact Fee Assessment Worksheet will be required. • See 21A.36.250 for a permanent recycling collection station. • See 21A.36.250 for construction waste management plan requirements. The Waste Management Plans shall be filed by email to the Streets and Sanitation Division at constructionrecycling@slcgov.com at the time of application for permit. Questions regarding the waste management plans may be directed to 801-535-6984. • Any new drive approaches must maintain 5 feet of clearance to any existing or proposed public way improvements. • See 21A.40 for Accessory Uses, Buildings and Structures, and including ground mounted utility boxes, fences and gates. • See 21A.44 for parking and maneuvering, with parking calculations provided that address the minimum parking required, maximum parking allowed, number provided, bicycle parking required/provided outside of the building and within 50' of the principle entry and any method of reducing or increasing the parking requirement. • Any park strip tree removal/protection/planting will need to be evaluated and approved by Urban Forestry. • See 21A.48 for landscaping requirements, including park strip trees. • Signage requires a separate sign permit and approval. Alan Hardman Senior Development Review Planner 801-535-7742 email: alan.hardman@slcgov.com</p>			
<b>10/10/2019</b>	<b>Engineering Review</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Hwang, Chien</b>
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
<p>Certified address required prior to building permit issuance. See Rebecca Thomas at 801-535-7794. Public Way Permit is required for project completion. Licensed, bonded and insured Contractor to obtain permit to install or repair required street improvements. Site Plan Review – Required</p>			
<b>10/10/2019</b>	<b>Fire Review</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Bateman, Douglas</b>
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
<p>Buildings 30-feet or greater shall have an aerial apparatus access to one entire side of the building that is no closer than 15-feet and no greater than 30-feet away. The dimensions of this road shall be 26-feet clear width. No over head obstructions are permitted for the entire length of the building Fire hydrants to be located within 400-feet of all exterior portions on the building measured as the hose would be pulled. Fire Department Connection shall be located on street address side of the building and have a hydrant located within 100-feet as the hose would be pulled. Fire department access roads shall be placed so that all exterior portions of the first floor of the building are within 150-feet Fire department access roads shall be a minimum of 26-feet in clear width and clear unobstructed clear height of 13-feet 6-inches if fire hydrants are located on them Dead end access roads shall be provided with an emergency vehicle turn a round. The dimensions for a hammerhead are increased to 80-feet to meet current SLC apparatus.</p>			
<b>10/11/2019</b>	<b>Transportation Review</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Barry, Michael</b>
<b>COMMENTS</b>			
<p>Transportation did not attend. For additional information related to transportation review items, please contact: Mike Barry, PE Transportation Engineer SLC Transportation Division (801) 535-7147 michael.barry@slcgov.com</p>			
<b>10/16/2019</b>	<b>Closure</b>	<b>Emailed Notes to Applicant</b>	<b>Grange, Lilian</b>
<b>COMMENTS</b>			





# Work Flow History Report

## 31 S 600 E

### PLNHLC2019-00860

Date	Task/Inspection	Status/Result	Action By	Comments
9/11/2019	Staff Assignment	Assigned	Traughber, Lex	
9/13/2019	Staff Assignment	Incomplete	Traughber, Lex	
11/7/2019	Planning Dept Review	In Progress	Traughber, Lex	
11/7/2019	Staff Assignment	Complete	Traughber, Lex	
11/7/2019	Staff Assignment	Routed	Traughber, Lex	
11/18/2019	Building Review	Complete	Collett, Steven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>The type of construction per IBC Chapter 6</b> will dictate the allowable heights, areas, and occupancies limitations per IBC Chapter 5.</li> <li>● <b>Fire protection and life safety systems per IBC &amp; IFC Chapter 9</b></li> <li>● <b>Means of egress design per IBC Chapter 10</b></li> <li>● <b>Provisions of IBC Section 420 as applicable</b></li> </ul>
11/18/2019	Engineering Review	Complete	Weiler, Scott	No objections. Prior to performing work in the public way, a Permit to Work in the Public Way must be obtained from SLC Engineering by a licensed contractor who has a bond and insurance on file with SLC Engineering.
11/18/2019	Transportation Review	Complete	Barry, Michael	Parking requirements per 21A.44 must be complied with. It would be preferable for the driveway onto 600 East be straight instead of angled.
11/21/2019	Police Review	Complete	Traughber, Lex	<p>1. The north side of the building will need even lighting especially near the utility trash area. If this area is going to be fenced off, care should be taken to make sure the fence runs from the building to the property edge itself, eliminating narrow areas where people can hide or homeless can sleep. It is recommended that the fence allow people to see through it to observe the areas inside. Driveways should be well lit as well, especially if the doors to the parking area do not have transparent vinyl windows. Landscaping in this area should avoid trees with a large canopy, that would obstruct the view of the windows from the apartments, and remove the natural surveillance they provide.</p> <p>2. If a fence will be erected around the property line, it is recommended that a six foot rod iron type fence be use, as this will help with territorial reinforcement, but also allow residents to see through the fence and report any issues that may arise on the other side. This type of fence would be especially important on the south east corner of the lot that borders the empty lot in the middle of the block. Transient camps are often found there and the transients have cut holes in the chain link fence that exists there in order to gain access. This is a common occurrence with chain link fences as they can be easily breached. The rod iron style</p>

				<p>fences are the hardest to breach or climb over.</p> <p>3. It is recommended that attention to the lighting on the south end of the building along the walkway be made. Hopefully there will be even lighting that will provide people using the path appropriate vision of the area at night. Landscaping in this area should allow the user a clear line of sight from one end of this area to the other. Light in that area is limited and should use LED lighting that provides adequate color rendering.</p> <p>4. It would also be recommended that some of the space on the first tier from the ground be utilized as an activity generator (roof deck, open air gas fire pit, lounging area). The cut back removes some of the natural surveillance of the apartment windows. By using this space as a positive activity generator, residents can use these spaces and provide more natural surveillance for the property.</p>
11/27/2019	Zoning Review	Complete	Stonick, Patricia Anika	<p>PLNHLC2019-00860 Zoning Review for New Construction, for multifamily residential structure proposal, in Residential Office (RO) zoning district and is within a local historic district; application address is 650 E. South Temple, and part of that parcel does land within extent of project proposal, however, project is oriented to 600 East Street and would not have frontage on South Temple Street;</p> <p>required front yard of 25 feet shown in site plan to have stoops for ground level residential units, so will have to include enough planter boxes to accommodate required minimum 1/3 of front yard to be installed and maintained with live vegetation, or, need to seek Planned Development to modify where that required landscaping may be provided elsewhere upon the property (see 21A.55.100.B.4);</p> <p>to combine parcels, move property boundary lines to accomplish development as shown on site plan; however, there is difference between extent of project shown in narrative document compared to those shown in plan sheets- to propose lot with size, width and depth that will accommodate building that meets required yards, parking, loading berth, etc. that are required to be located on the same parcel as the development requiring them;</p> <p>current use of parcels is surface parking- should those parking lots provide required parking for any off-site uses, must address minimum required parking for uses using the parking lots to check that the parking being provided is indeed required, and, if the stalls are required for uses, to propose replacement locations of parking for uses needing the parking currently being provided;</p> <p>to address minimum required parking per 21A.44.030.G.1; to address maximum parking per 21A.44.030.H.1; to propose increase from maximum by making proposal per 21A.44.050.C.3.b; if would use Transportation Demand Strategies per 21A.44.050.C.3.a to propose decrease from minimum required parking stall count, must do that first before other reductions available in the zoning ordinance; to also address required bicycle parking and electric vehicle charging station of 21A.44.050 and address any loading berth requirements of 21A.44.070 and 21A.44.080;</p>

				<p>building height outside FR, FP, R-1, R-2 AND SR districts means the vertical distance, measured from the average elevation of the finished lot grade at each face of the building, to the highest point of the coping of a flat roof or to the deck line of a mansard roof or to the average height of the highest gable of a pitch or hip roof; to document compliance to this requirement, by identifying the finished lot grade elevation at each corner on each face of the building and the average height of each face on the elevation drawings;</p> <p>to obtain required Certificate of Address from SLC Engineering Department; to pay required impact fees for uses proposed; to propose accessory uses and structures per 21A.40, including ground mounted utility boxes (often, power transformers) per 2A.40.160; to address construction waste recycling per 21A.36.250; to propose landscaping per 21A.48, including proposing minimum required park strip landscaping per 21A.48.060; to arrange revocable leases with Real Estate Services Department for any elements of project that would be located in the public way.</p>
12/12/2019	Fire Code Review	Complete	Traughber, Lex	See DRT comments 10/10/2019
12/12/2019	Planning Dept Review	Complete	Traughber, Lex	
12/12/2019	Public Utility Review	Complete	Traughber, Lex	See DRT Notes 10/9/19
12/12/2019	Staff Review and Report	Management Review	Traughber, Lex	
1/13/2020	Historic Landmark Commission Hearing	Scheduled	Traughber, Lex	
1/13/2020	Staff Review and Report	HLC Hearing	Traughber, Lex	

From: [Teerlink, Scott](#)  
To: [Traughber, Lex](#)  
Subject: FW: Petition PLNHLC2019-00860 - Masonic Temple Apartments  
Date: Thursday, November 21, 2019 8:24:51 AM

---

**From:** Landvatter, Cooper  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 20, 2019 2:39 PM  
**To:** Wolf, Samuel <[Samuel.Wolf@slcgov.com](mailto:Samuel.Wolf@slcgov.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Petition PLNHLC2019-00860 - Masonic Temple Apartments

I have reviewed the plans and here are my recommendations:

1. The north side of the building will need even lighting especially near the utility trash area. If this area is going to be fenced off, care should be taken to make sure the fence runs from the building to the property edge itself, eliminating narrow areas where people can hide or homeless can sleep. It is recommended that the fence allow people to see through it to observe the areas inside. Driveways should be well lit as well, especially if the doors to the parking area do not have transparent vinyl windows. Landscaping in this area should avoid trees with a large canopy, that would obstruct the view of the windows from the apartments, and remove the natural surveillance they provide.
2. If a fence will be erected around the property line, it is recommended that a six foot rod iron type fence be use, as this will help with territorial reinforcement, but also allow residents to see through the fence and report any issues that may arise on the other side. This type of fence would be especially important on the south east corner of the lot that borders the empty lot in the middle of the block. Transient camps are often found there and the transients have cut holes in the chain link fence that exists there in order to gain access. This is a common occurrence with chain link fences as they can be easily breached. The rod iron style fences are the hardest to breach or climb over.
3. It is recommended that attention to the lighting on the south end of the building along the walkway be made. Hopefully there will be even lighting that will provide people using the path appropriate vision of the area at night. Landscaping in this area should allow the user a clear line of sight from one end of this area to the other. Light in that area is limited and should use LED lighting that provides adequate color rendering.
4. It would also be recommended that some of the space on the first tier from the ground be utilized as an activity generator (roof deck, open air gas fire pit, lounging area). The cut back removes some of the natural surveillance of the apartment windows. By using this space as a positive activity generator, residents can use these spaces and provide more natural surveillance for the property.

Those are my initial thoughts on the property.

Let me know if they have any questions or want to discuss anything in more detail.

Cooper

---

**From:** Wolf, Samuel

ATTACHMENT H: AGENDA, NOTICE, AND MAILING LIST FOR  
THE HLC HEARING 1/16/20

---

**SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING DIVISION  
HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA  
January 16, 2020 at 5:30 p.m.  
City & County Building  
451 South State Street, Room 326  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

*(The order of the items may change at the Commission's discretion.)*

**FIELD TRIP** – The field trip is scheduled to leave at 4:00 p.m.

**DINNER** – Will be served to the Historic Landmark Commissioners and Staff at 5:00 p.m. in Room 126 of the City and County Building.

**HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION MEETING WILL BEGIN AT 5:30 PM IN ROOM 326**

**Approval of Minutes for December 5, 2019  
Report of the Chair and Vice Chair  
Director's Report**

**Extension Request for New Construction at approximately 563 E. 600 S.** - Kristen Clifford, the consultant who represents the property owner, is requesting that the Historic Landmark Commission grant a one-year time extension on approval of New Construction of a Mixed-Use building in the Central City Local Historic District. The Commission originally granted approval for this project on December 7, 2017. The subject property is located within Council District 4 which is represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Amy Thompson at (801) 535-7281 or [amy.thompson@slcgov.com](mailto:amy.thompson@slcgov.com). Case number **PLNHLC2017-00555**.

**Public Comments**

The Commission will hear public comments not pertaining to items listed on the agenda.

**Public Hearings**

1. **Masonic Temple Apartments at approximately 33 S. 600 E.** - DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction of a multi-family residential development of approximately 125 dwelling. New construction is required to go the Historic Landmark Commission. Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot and is zoned RO - Residential Office. The subject property is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Lex Traughber at (801) 535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com). Case number **PLNHLC2019-00860**.

***The next regular meeting of the Commission is scheduled for Thursday, February 6, 2020, unless a special meeting is scheduled prior to that date.***

*Appeal of Historic Landmark Commission Decision: Anyone aggrieved by the Historic Landmark Commission's decision, may object to the decision by filing a written appeal with the appeals hearing officer within ten (10) calendar days following the date on which a record of decision is issued.*

*The applicant may object to the decision of the Historic Landmark Commission by filing a written appeal with the appeals hearing officer or the mayor within thirty (30) calendar days following the date on which a record of decision is issued.*

*Files for agenda items are available in the Planning Division Offices, Room 406 of the City and County Building. **Please contact the staff planner for more information.** Visit the Historic Landmark Commission's website <https://www.slc.gov/boards/historic-landmark-commission-agendas-minutes/> to obtain copies of the Historic Landmark Commission's agendas, staff reports, and minutes. Staff reports will be posted by the end of the business day on the Friday prior to the meeting and minutes will be posted by the end of the business day two days after they are ratified, which usually occurs at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Historic Landmark Commission.*

*The City & County Building is an accessible facility. People with disabilities may make requests for reasonable accommodation, which may include alternate formats, interpreters, and other auxiliary aids and services. Please make requests at least two business days in advance. To make a request, please contact the Planning Office at (801)535-7757, or relay service 711.*



SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING DIVISION  
451 S STATE STREET ROOM 406  
PO BOX 145480  
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84114-5480

FIRST CLASS



U.S. POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 84116 \$ 000.50<sup>0</sup>  
02 1W  
0001403432 JAN 03 2020

STATE MAIL 01/06/2020

Salt Lake City Planning Division Lex Traughber  
PO BOX 145480  
Salt Lake City UT 84114

8411465480 8900





**Salt Lake City Historic Landmark Commission Thursday, January 16, 2020, 5:30 p.m.  
City and County Building 451 S State Street, Room 326**

**A public hearing will be held on the following matter. Comments from the Applicant, City Staff and the public will be taken.**

**Masonic Temple Apartments at approximately 33 S. 600 E.** - DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction of a multi-family residential development of approximately 125 dwelling. New construction is required to go the Historic Landmark Commission. Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot and is zoned RO - Residential Office. The subject property is located within Council District 4, represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Lex Traughber at (801) 535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com). Case number **PLNHLC2019-00860**.

Salt Lake City Corporation complies with all ADA guidelines. People with disabilities may make requests for reasonable accommodations no later than 48 hours in advance in order to attend this meeting. Accommodations may include: alternative formats, interpreters, and other auxiliary aids. This is an accessible facility. For additional meeting information, please see [www.slcgov.com](http://www.slcgov.com) or call 801-535-7757; TDD 535-6220.



Name	Address1	City	State	Zip
STATE OF UTAH	450 N STATE OFFICE BLDG	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114
STATE OF UTAH	450 N STATE OFFICE BLDG	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114
STATE OF UTAH	450 N STATE OFFICE BLDG	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114
DAVID T JONES	22 N H ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84103
649 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE LLC	370 E 500 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
649 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE LLC	370 E 500 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
COCO HOLDINGS LLC	633 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
CITYHOMECOLLECTIVE BLACK,	645 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
UTAH ALCOHOLISM FOUNDATION	857 E 200 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
649 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE LLC	370 E 500 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
UTAH ALCOHOLISM FOUNDATION	857 E 200 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
SECRET O LIFE LLC	701 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF U	709 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
PETER PAN APARTMENTS INC	1952 MAPLE HOLLOW WY	BOUNTIFUL	UT	84010
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSOCIATION	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
AI SLC SOUTH TEMPLE PROPCO	PO BOX 17227	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84117
AGL IRRV TRST	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS	NV	89135
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	435 S 660 W	OREM	UT	84058
AGL IRRV TRST	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS	NV	89135
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
ROMNEY LUMBER CO	PO BOX 71373	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84171
ROMNEY LUMBER CO	PO BOX 71373	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84171
IAIN CAMERON	1395 E 4600 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84117
THE OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LL	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
THE OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LL	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
SALT LAKE COUNTY	PO BOX 144575	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
ROMNEY LUMBER CO	PO BOX 71373	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84171
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF ED	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
JOHN H NEWTON	4514 S BUTTERNUT RD	HOLLADAY	UT	84117
JOHN H NEWTON	4514 S BUTTERNUT RD	HOLLADAY	UT	84117
JOHN H NEWTON	4514 S BUTTERNUT RD	HOLLADAY	UT	84117
JOHN H NEWTON	4514 S BUTTERNUT RD	HOLLADAY	UT	84117
SALT LAKE CITY SCHOOL DIST	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF S L	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
UTNV PARKLANE LLC	1422 CLARKVIEW ROAD	BALTIMORE	MD	21209
DWIGHT H BUTLER; CHARLES C	702 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
RICHARD & JANICE WRIGHT LI	634 E 4630 S	MURRAY	UT	84107
BOTT PROPERTIES, LLC	717 S 5600 W	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84104
LP MONARK	2030 W ROSECRANS AVE	GARDENA	CA	90249
SHEILA FARID	6 KATY ROSE LN	LADERA RANCH	CA	92694
LOTUS HQ, LLC	338 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111

HAWKER INVESTMENTS LLC	40 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
WESTERN ODYSSEY INC	68 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
JOACHIM DREIER	72 S 600 E # 3	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
NINTH EAST INVESTMENTS LLC	PO BOX 2160	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84110
SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION	PO BOX 30825	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84130
RAB TRUST	24 S 600 E # 7	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
LOTUS HQ, LLC	338 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84111
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
LAW 600 LLC	413 N VIRGINIA ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84103
ENTERPRISE 600 LLC	413 N VIRGINIA ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84103
GAF PROPERTIES II LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS	UT	84121
GAF PROPERTIES I LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS	UT	84121
GAF PROPERTIES III LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS	UT	84121
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN. MASON	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
AGL IRREV TRUST	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS	NV	89135
CITYMOD 100, LLC	1025 E MANSFIELD AVE	MILLCREEK	UT	84106
FAMILY TRUST OF THE ESTATE	93 LAKEVIEW	STANSBURY PARK	UT	84074
HM3 LLC	1914 E 9400 S	SANDY	UT	84093
ENTRPRISES INC	2439 E 900 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84108
AGL IRRV TRST	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS	NV	89135
TRUST NOT IDENTIFIED; PHIL	610 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #200	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
SK HART ST LLC	630 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MA BO, INC	1556 E EMERSON AVE	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84105
DAVID SKOUFOS	1707 12TH STREET	OAKLAND	CA	94607
CUMMINGS HOLDINGS, LLC	8836 S GREENWICH LN	SANDY	UT	84093
HAPPY HOME SALES, LLC	620 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
12TH CORP.	50 E NORTHTEMPLE # FL-22	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84150
PWD INVESTMENT COMPANY LLC	648 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
OTHER SIDE HOLDING LLC	435 S 660 W	OREM	UT	84058
GOVERNOR'S PLAZA CONDO COM	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
SIXTH EAST OFFICE BUILDING	60 S 600 E # 200	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
QUAJJA PROPERTIES LLC	50 S 600 E # 100	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
UTAH LEAGUE OF CITIES & TO	50 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
QUAJJA PROPERTIES LLC	50 S 600 E # 100	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
TESE LLC	60 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
TESE LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E # 150	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
UTAH LEAGUE OF CITIES & TO	50 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
REGINALD HYPPOLITE	101 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MARIA D BLEVINS	101 S 600 E # 2	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
ANNABELLE L WERLING	101 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
MIN MENG	4257 S DIANA WY	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84124
ALAN MAGNUSON; THOMAS MAGN	101 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
LAUREN SPANBAUER; NICHOLAS	101 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
LINDON J ROBISON; BONNIE R	2579 DUSTIN RD	OKEMOS	MI	48864
TRUST NOT IDENTIFIED	111 S 600 E # 8	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
TRUST NOT IDENTIFIED	3626 RYAN DR	ESCONDIDO	CA	92025
WINTERS FAM TR	4106 S CUMBERLAND RD	HOLLADAY	UT	84124
ANNABELLE L WERLING; MELAN	101 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102

JAMES LOGUE	111 S 600 E # 12	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
CORNELL CONDOMINIUMSHOMEOW	101 S 600 E # 2	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84102
ARMISTA CONDOMINIUMS LLC	187 W MAIN ST	LEHI	UT	84043
Current Occupant	603 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	41 N H ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	617 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	22 N H ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	562 E 1ST AVE	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	566 E 1ST AVE	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	633 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	645 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	21 N I ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	649 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	667 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	701 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	709 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84103
Current Occupant	699 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	660 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	664 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	678 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	40 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	667 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	653 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	661 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	702 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	15 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	25 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	31 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	35 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	39 S 700 E #NFF1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	37 S 700 E # NFF1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	39 S 700 E # NFF2	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	15 S 700 E # NFF1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	722 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST # NFF1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	722 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST # NFF2	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	722 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST # NFF3	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	722 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST # NFF4	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	45 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	51 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	57 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	61 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	77 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	717 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	40 S 800 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	680 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	682 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	684 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	688 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	114 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	118 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	122 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	702 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	113 S 700 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	576 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	561 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102

Current Occupant	569 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	34 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	40 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	68 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	72 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	575 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	550 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	24 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	32 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	25 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	31 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	35 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	43 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	53 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	57 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	61 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	71 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	607 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	650 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	635 E 100 S # REAR	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	613 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	623 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	627 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	635 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	647 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	610 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	630 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	574 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	117 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	121 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	620 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	630 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	648 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	650 E 100 S # NFF1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 600 E # 100	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 600 E # 150	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 600 E # 200	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 100	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 150	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 200	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 250	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 100	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 100	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	60 S 600 E # 100	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	50 S 600 E # 150	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant		Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #1	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #2	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #3	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #4	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #5	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #6	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #7	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #8	Salt Lake City	UT	84102

Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #9	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #10	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #11	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E #12	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	101 S 600 E	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Current Occupant	555 E 100 S	Salt Lake City	UT	84102
Salt Lake City Planning Division Lex Traughb	PO BOX 145480	Salt Lake City	UT	84114

ATTACHMENT I: EARLY NOTIFICATION LETTER/OPEN  
HOUSE DATE AND MAILING LIST 11/12/2019

---



## Early Notification of a Proposal in Your Neighborhood (11/12/2019)

### Petition PLNHLC2019-00860 – Masonic Temple Apartments

DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, has submitted an application for “New Construction” in a local historic district for a multifamily residential development of 200+ units on property located at approximately 650 E. South Temple Street. The subject development site actually fronts on 600 East as shown on the map below.



The purpose of this notice is to make you aware of the proposed development and to let you know how you may obtain more information about the project early in the review process. The applicant’s project description and plans can be found on the Salt Lake City Citizen Access Portal at the following:

<https://citizenportal.slcgov.com/Citizen/Default.aspx>

Once you reach this page **click on “Planning – Check/Research Petitions” and type in Petition number PLNHLC2019-00860.** Then **click on the drop down menu “Record Info” and click on “Attachments”.** **The applicant’s narrative and plans can be found by clicking on the links in blue entitled “Masonic Temple Apts Narrative 10 30 19” and “Masonic Temple Apts Plans 10 30 19”.** Please be aware that these are relatively large files.

If you would like additional information, or have questions, please contact the project planner Lex Traughber at (801) 535-6184 or [Lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:Lex.traughber@slcgov.com) (Refer to case number PLNHLC2019-00860 – Masonic Temple Apartments).

An Open House will be held at the main branch of the Salt Lake City Library (210 E. 400 South) – Conference Room B on Thursday, November 21, 2019, from 5-7pm. The applicant and Planning Staff will be in attendance at the Open House to discuss the project and address any questions or comments that you may have.

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1101  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1102  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1103  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1104  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1105  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1106  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1107  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1108  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

661 E 100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1112

Resident

610 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1140

Resident

630 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1116

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #704  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

699 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1142

Salt Lake City Planning Division Lex  
Traughber  
PO BOX 145480  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

BEXVA CARLSON  
665 E 400 S  
SLC, UT 84102

ESTHER HUNTER  
606 TROLEY SQUARE  
SLC, UT 84102

JILL VAN LANGHEUW  
807 NORTHCLIFFE DR  
SLC, UT 84104

DUSTIN HOLT  
211 E. BROADWAY #218  
SLC, UT 84111

307



JOAN DRAPER POWERS REVOCABLE  
POWERS, JOAN DRAPER TR  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1107  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

PEACOCK, KAY L & WANDA T; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1108  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

WEE, SUNG H & JOANNE Y; JT  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #C104  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102

DAVID KELBY JOHNSON MEMORIAL  
FOUNDATION  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #PL101  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1178

OLIVEIRA, JOSE M & PATRICIA W; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #C-101  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102

LOTUS HQ, LLC  
338 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1202

MARJORIE LUCELE HILL TR HILL,  
MARJORIE L; TR  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

GOVERNOR'S PLAZA CONDO COMMON  
AREA MASTER CARD  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

DANSIE, SCOTT D & LIU, ALLAN; JT  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #801  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167

WENTWORTH, ROSS L & DIXINE H; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

STRANSKY FAM TR STRANSKY, MICHAEL  
J; TR  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

LECHTENBERG, TERRI F  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #901  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1146

KARSTEN, SIEGFRIED G & ELLEN G; JT  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #902  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1146

GUARD, ROGER & MONTGOMERY,  
PATRICIA A; JT  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #905  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1166

JONES, CARY D  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

WALDAN & COLETTE LLOYD TR ET AL  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

BAGLEY FAM TR ET AL  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

HALVERSEN, BRENT S; TRS ET AL  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #502  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171

WALTERS, DHYAN M  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #503  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171

BARTON, F REID  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #504  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171

HESLINGTON, DAVID C & LINDA; TRS (H  
FAM LIV TR)  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #505  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171

KELLEHER, MARY F  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #506  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171

CHURCHILL, WANDA C (JIMI); TR  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

GOVENORS PLAZA CONDMN OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

HOFFMAN, ANITA P & COLLARD, DON  
Q; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #204  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1175

CUCINOTTA, ELSE-MARY  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #304  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1174

CHUNG, BENJAMIN B & CHRISTINE S; JT  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #401  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144

DARLING, ANN L  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #402  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144

GRUNDFOSSEN, PETER D & COLLIS,  
CATHRYN G; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #403  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144

UTAH LEAGUE OF CITIES & TOWNS  
50 S 600 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007

UTAH MUNICIPAL FINANCE  
COOPERATIVE  
50 S 600 E #150  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1016

D FRESH17 LLC  
60 S 600 E #150  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1027

TESE LLC  
60 S 600 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007

TESE LLC  
60 S 600 E #150  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1027

SIXTH EAST OFFICE BUILDING AMD  
COMMON AREA MASTER CARD  
60 S 600 E #200  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1066

WESTERN ODYSSEY INC  
68 S 600 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007

DREIER, JOACHIM  
72 S 600 E #3  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1049

ENTRPRISES INC  
2439 E 900 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84108-1440

WENTWORTH, ROSS L & DIXINE H; TRS  
(RLW&DHWFT)  
1174 S BLAIR ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-4740

JONES, DAVID T  
22 N H ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-2959

SALT LAKE COUNTY  
PO BOX 144575  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-4575

AI SLC SOUTH TEMPLE PROPCO, LLC  
PO BOX 17227  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84117-0227

NINTH EAST INVESTMENTS LLC  
PO BOX 2160  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110-2160

SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION  
PO BOX 30825  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84130-0825

YOUNG, NATHANIEL P  
PO BOX 521628  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84152-1628

ROMNEY LUMBER CO  
PO BOX 71373  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84171-0373

CISNEROS, TYLER  
PO BOX 9438  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109-0438

APPLEBY, NANCY F; TR (NFA LIV TRUST)  
560 E SOTEMPLE ST #906  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102

GIOVALE, JOSEPH J & KAREN K; TRS  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1002  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164

JENSON, SONIA E; TR (SEJRTR)  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1003  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164

1004 GOVERNOR'S PLAZA LLC  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1004  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164

HICKEN, MARVA  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #P104  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102

PITT, RICHARD S  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1006  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164

SABOUR, MAX T  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1101  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

AIRD, RICHARD L & NANCY Y; TRS  
(R&NAJP TR)  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1102  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

GASKILL, DOUGLAS C; TR (DCG LIV  
TRUST)  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1103  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

KIMBALL, RICHARD A; TR  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1104  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

MCKEOWN, RICHARD  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1105  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

MATINKHAH, ANGELICA  
560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1106  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

UJIFUSA, JEAN

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #706  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168

DANSIE, SCOTT & LIU, ALLAN; JT

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #801  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167

CHARNEY, LINDA A; TR

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #806  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167

GARDNER, PAUL R & ROSALEE E; TRS

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #604  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

CLAWSON, ROBERT K; TR

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #607  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

RUSHING, GAIL T; TR

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #704  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168

COCO HOLDINGS LLC

633 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153

MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.

650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102

SECRET O LIFE LLC

701 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1205

DISARIO, JAMES A, MD & DONA R; TRS

430 E TENTH AVE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-2848

HATSIS, GRETHE M

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #304  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1174

SCHATZ, JASON A & TERA L; JT

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #802  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167

MOONEY, JOHN M; TR (JMM SR &  
BMM REV TRUST)

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #602  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

KANTH, PRIYANKA

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #605  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

BLACK, RONA S & SCOTT A; JT

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #608  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

MCCARTHEY, SARAH J; TR ET AL

610 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #200  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1208

CITYHOMECOLLECTIVE BLACK, LLC

645 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF UTAH

709 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1205

MCENTIRE, KENNETH

42 W VAN BUREN AVE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84115-5322

TED & SANDRA LOVATO FAM TR ET AL

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

JOHN & EILEEN DUNN TR ET AL

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005

MATINKHAH, ALEX

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #603  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

ALLRED, JEFFRY A & SILVIA ; TRS

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #606  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145

BROOKE, TAMRA; TR (J&TB LIV TRUST)

560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #701  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168

SK HART ST LLC

630 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102

CRS INVESTMENTS LLC

649 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153

STATE OF UTAH

450 N STATE OFFICE BLDG  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114

RUNNOE, DENNIS H & ROSA S; JT

413 N VIRGINIA ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-4231

MONARK, LP  
2030 W ROSECRANS AVE  
GARDENA, CA 90249

FARID, SHEILA  
6 KATY ROSE LN  
LADERA RANCH, CA 92694

HOOPES, DAVID C & DIANE T; TRS  
45 MOTT PL  
OAKLAND, CA 94619-3114

DWP LLC  
250 FILLMORE ST #600  
DENVER, CO 80206-5049

LEVENTHAL, AUDIE G; TR (AGL IRREV  
TRUST)  
25 CROSS RIDGE ST  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89135  
HOWARD, TED H  
4067 GRASMERE AVE  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89121-4832

SUZANNE MEYERS-TANBAKUCHI TR ET  
AL  
2182 W OAKFIELD DR  
GRAND ISLAND, NY 14072  
RONALD L ALLEN TR ET AL  
13120 SE RIDGECREST RD  
HAPPY VALLEY, OR 97086

JUDKINS, ANN B  
142 W BUFFALO ST  
WARSAW, NY 14569-1211

GABERINO, JOHN A, JR. & DIAMOND, H.  
JEFFREY; TRS  
PO BOX 336  
SHAWNEE, OK 74802  
PETER PAN APARTMENTS INC  
1952 MAPLE HOLLOW WY  
BOUNTIFUL, UT 84010-

GAF PROPERTIES III LLC  
8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV  
COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-5984

MINJAREZ, JORGE R & MITZI; JT  
3236 E VISTA GRANDE CIR  
COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-3572

NEWTON, JOHN H  
4514 S BUTTERNUT RD  
HOLLADAY, UT 84117-4530

ARMISTA CONDOMINIUMS LLC  
187 W MAIN ST  
LEHI, UT 84043

JARMACCC-GOVERNOR LLC  
4110 S HIGHLAND DR  
MILLCREEK, UT 84124-2602

OLYMPUS DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
1025 E MANSFIELD AVE  
MILLCREEK, UT 84106-2123

LYMAN, NICOLE A  
4176 S 620 E  
MURRAY, UT 84107-2960

MADLANG, FRANCES J & RODOLFO G;  
TRS (FJM REV TR)  
5945 SPRING CANYON RD  
OGDEN, UT 84403-5477

STERRETT, MORRIS R; TR  
3650 TYLER AVE  
OGDEN, UT 84403

OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC  
435 S 660 W  
OREM, UT 84058

SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION  
440 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1898

POEUT, SINOEUN  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

YOUNG, JACOB F  
555 E 100 S #105  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1947

MARCEK, CAROLLYN J; TR (CJMR  
TRUST)  
555 E 100 S #106  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1993

MEDINA, MISTY M  
555 E 100 S #207  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1951

HALE, JENNIFER  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

CLARK, ERIN  
555 E 100 S #301  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1994

SCHURMAN, WILLIAM  
555 E 100 S #302  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911

GODWIN, ANDREW W  
555 E 100 S #303  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911

BARTH, NEAL  
555 E 100 S #102  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1947

YOUNG, SARA K  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

TORONTO, DAVID A  
555 E 100 S #204  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-2065

SULLIVAN, CHRISTOPHER D  
555 E 100 S #305  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911

CALL, AARON L  
555 E 100 S #306  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911

NEMANIC, FRANKIE J  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

FLATLEY, CAIRISTIONA; JT ET AL  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

DENNEY, LAUREN NILSON, KARLA  
555 E 100 S #401  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995

LEO, JILL C  
555 E 100 S #402  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995

HAMMOND, CORDELL D  
555 E 100 S #403  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995

CASTILLO, ERIC; JT CASTILLO, YAMIL; JT  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

NEMESCHY, ALYSHA & MARTIN; TC  
555 E 100 S #405  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1912

STEINER, MATTHEW V  
555 E 100 S #407  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1912

SPRACKLEN, SAM  
555 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904

OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC  
667 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1103

THE OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC  
667 E 100 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1103

UTAH ALCOHOLISM FOUNDATION  
857 E 200 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-2317

CAMERON, IAIN  
1395 E 4600 S  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84117

BOTT PROPERTIES, LLC  
717 S 5600 W  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84104-5301

BROWN, RICHARD A; TR (RAB TRUST)  
24 S 600 E #7  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-4201

HAWKER INVESTMENTS LLC  
40 S 600 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007

QUAJA PROPERTIES LLC  
50 S 600 E #100  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1029

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #701  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #702  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #703  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #706  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #707  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #708  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #801  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #802  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #803  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #804  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #805  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #806  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #807  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #808  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #901  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #902  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #903  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #904  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #905  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #906  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #907  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #908  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1001  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1002  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1003  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1004  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1005  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1006  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1007  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident

560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #1008  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #P103  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #P104  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #201  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #202  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #203  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #204  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #301  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #302  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #303  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #304  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #401  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #402  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #403  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #404  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #501  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #502  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #503  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #504  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #505  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #506  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #507  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #508  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #601  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #602  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #603  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #604  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #605  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #606  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #607  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #608  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
627 E 100 S #A  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216

Resident  
627 E 100 S #B  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216

Resident  
627 E 100 S #C  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216

Resident  
627 E 100 S #D  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216

Resident  
635 E 100 S #1  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215

Resident  
635 E 100 S #2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215

Resident  
635 E 100 S #3  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215

Resident  
635 E 100 S #4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215

Resident  
647 E 100 S #1  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151

Resident  
647 E 100 S #3  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151

Resident  
647 E 100 S #5  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151

Resident  
647 E 100 S #7  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151

Resident  
647 E 100 S #9  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151

Resident  
649 E 100 S #A  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
649 E 100 S #B  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
649 E 100 S #C  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
649 E 100 S #D  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
649 E 100 S #E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
649 E 100 S #F  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1152

Resident  
651 E 100 S #10  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1130

Resident  
651 E 100 S #2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1130

Resident  
651 E 100 S #4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1130

Resident  
651 E 100 S #6  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1130

Resident  
651 E 100 S #8  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1130

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #C101  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #C102  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #C103  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #C104  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #P101  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Resident  
560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #P102  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



Resident  
561 E 100 S #4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #5  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #6  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #7  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #8  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #9  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
569 E 100 S #1  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #3  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #5  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #6  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #7  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
569 E 100 S #8  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945

Resident  
34 S 600 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1007

Resident  
74 S 600 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1007

Resident  
571 E 100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904

Resident  
575 E 100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904

Resident  
579 E 100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904

Resident  
550 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1005

Resident  
53 S 600 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1006

Resident  
57 S 600 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1006

Resident  
607 E 100 S #1  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
607 E 100 S #2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
607 E 100 S #3  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
607 E 100 S #4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
607 E 100 S #5  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
607 E 100 S #6  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217

Resident  
650 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1141

Resident  
623 E 100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1103

RUNNOE, ROSA & DENNIS; TC  
413 N VIRGINIA ST  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-4231

BARLOW, ELIZABETH  
500 GRANBY ST #5F  
NORFOLK, VA 23510-1945

BERTELSON, MARK  
PO BOX 2359  
SEQUIM, WA 98382

Resident  
603 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101

Resident  
633 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153

Resident  
649 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153

Resident  
701 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #BLDG 2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205

Resident  
5 S 700 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1135

Resident  
40 S 800 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4114

Resident  
561 E 100 S #10  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

FAMILY TRUST OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN  
B ANDERSON, THE  
93 LAKEVIEW  
STANSBURY PARK, UT 84074

PILMER, BRADLEY M  
13306 NE 256TH CIR  
BATTLE GROUND, WA 98604-5647

YEN, CHI-GANG; ET AL  
2501 NW 126TH CIR  
VANCOUVER, WA 98685-2437

Resident  
603 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #REAR  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101

Resident  
645 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153

Resident  
667 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153

Resident  
709 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205

Resident  
702 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1204

Resident  
576 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1005

Resident  
561 E 100 S #2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

HALL, RACHEL  
4500 S FOUR MILE RUN DR  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-3538

GREAT NORTHERN ADVENTURE LLC  
PO BOX 120  
RENTON, WA 98057-0120

JOHNSON, HARRIET W; TR  
502 AGATE ST  
ROCK SPRINGS, WY 82901-6707

Resident  
617 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101

Resident  
21 N I ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-3413

Resident  
701 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205

Resident  
678 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1102

Resident  
77 S 700 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1138

Resident  
561 E 100 S #1  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

Resident  
561 E 100 S #3  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946

ATTACHMENT J: WORK SESSION NOTICE AND MAILING  
LIST 12/5/20219

---



SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING DIVISION  
451 S STATE STREET ROOM 406  
PO BOX 145480  
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84114-5480

FIRST CLASS



U.S. POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES




ZIP 84116 \$ 000.50<sup>0</sup>  
02 1W  
0001403342 NOV 22 2019

STATE MAIL 11/26/2019

Salt Lake City Planning Division Lex Traugher  
PO BOX 145480  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

8411435480 B900





Salt Lake City Planning Division  
451 S State Street, Room 406, PO Box 145480, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5480

**Salt Lake City Historic Landmark Commission Thursday, December 5, 2019, 5:30 p.m.  
City and County Building 451 S State Street, Room 326**

**This item is scheduled for a work session only; it is not a public hearing. No public comment will be taken.**

**Masonic Temple Apartments** – DB Urban Communities, representing the property owner, the Masonic Temple Association, has submitted an application for new construction for a multi-family residential development located at approximately 650 E. South Temple Street. The proposed development fronts on 600 East. Currently, the site is occupied by a surface parking lot. The subject property is located in Council District 4 represented by Ana Valdemoros. Staff contact is Lex Traughber and can be reached at (801)535-6184 or [lex.traughber@slcgov.com](mailto:lex.traughber@slcgov.com). **Case Number is PLNHLC2019-00860.**

Salt Lake City Corporation complies with all ADA guidelines. People with disabilities may make requests for reasonable accommodations no later than 48 hours in advance in order to attend this meeting. Accommodations may include: alternative formats, interpreters, and other auxiliary aids. This is an accessible facility. For additional meeting information, please see [www.slcgov.com](http://www.slcgov.com) or call 801-535-7757; TDD 535-6220.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Address1</b>	<b>Address2</b>
MONARK, LP	2030 W ROSECRANS AVE	GARDENA, CA 90249
FARID, SHEILA	6 KATY ROSE LN	LADERA RANCH, CA 92694
HOOPEES, DAVID C & DIANE T; TRS	45 MOTT PL	OAKLAND, CA 94619-3114
HOOPEES, DAVID C & DIANE T; TRS	45 MOTT PL	OAKLAND, CA 94619
DWP LLC	250 FILLMORE ST #600	DENVER, CO 80206-5049
LEVENTHAL, AUDIE G; TR (AGL IRREV TRUST)	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS, NV 89135
LEVENTHAL, AUDIE G; TR (AGL IRRV TRST)	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS, NV 89135
LEVENTHAL, AUDIE G; TR ( AGL IRRV TRST )	25 CROSS RIDGE ST	LAS VEGAS, NV 89135
HOWARD, TED H	4067 GRASMERE AVE	LAS VEGAS, NV 89121-4832
SUZANNE MEYERS-TANBAKUCHI TR ET AL	2182 W OAKFIELD DR	GRAND ISLAND, NY 14072
JUDKINS, ANN B	142 W BUFFALO ST	WARSAW, NY 14569-1211
GABERINO, JOHN A, JR. & DIAMOND, H. JEFFREY; TRS	PO BOX 336	SHAWNEE, OK 74802
RONALD L ALLEN TR ET AL	13120 SE RIDGECREST RD	HAPPY VALLEY, OR 97086
PEDERSON, BETTY J	686 N HILLSIDE CIRCLE	ALPINE, UT 84004
PETER PAN APARTMENTS INC	1952 MAPLE HOLLOW WY	BOUNTIFUL, UT 84010-
GAF PROPERTIES II LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-5984
GAF PROPERTIES I LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-5984
GAF PROPERTIES III LLC	8098 S COTTAGE PINES CV	COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-5984
MINJAREZ, JORGE R & MITZI; JT	3236 E VISTA GRANDE CIR	COTTONWOOD HTS, UT 84121-3572
NEWTON, JOHN H	4514 S BUTTERNUT RD	HOLLADAY, UT 84117-4530
ARMISTA CONDOMINIUMS LLC	187 W MAIN ST	LEHI, UT 84043
JARMACCC-GOVERNOR LLC	4110 S HIGHLAND DR	MILLCREEK, UT 84124-2602
OLYMPUS DEVELOPMENT, LLC	1025 E MANSFIELD AVE	MILLCREEK, UT 84106-2123
LYMAN, NICOLE A	4176 S 620 E	MURRAY, UT 84107-2960
MADLANG, FRANCES J & RODOLFO G; TRS (FJM REV TR)	5945 SPRING CANYON RD	OGDEN, UT 84403-5477
STERRETT, MORRIS R; TR	3650 TYLER AVE	OGDEN, UT 84403
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	435 S 660 W	OREM, UT 84058
SALT LAKE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION OF S L CITY	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1898
SALT LAKE CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION	440 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1898
POEUT, SINOEUN	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
YOUNG, JACOB F	555 E 100 S #105	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1947
MARCEK, CAROLLYN J; TR (CJMR TRUST)	555 E 100 S #106	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1993
MEDINA, MISTY M	555 E 100 S #207	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1951
HALE, JENNIFER	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
CLARK, ERIN	555 E 100 S #301	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1994
SCHURMAN, WILLIAM	555 E 100 S #302	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911
GODWIN, ANDREW W	555 E 100 S #303	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911
BARTH, NEAL	555 E 100 S #102	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1947
YOUNG, SARA K	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
TORONTO, DAVID A	555 E 100 S #204	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-2065
SULLIVAN, CHRISTOPHER D	555 E 100 S #305	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911
CALL, AARON L	555 E 100 S #306	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1911

NEMANIC, FRANKIE J	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
FLATLEY, CAIRISTIONA; JT ET AL	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
DENNEY, LAUREN NILSON, KARLA	555 E 100 S #401	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995
LEO, JILL C	555 E 100 S #402	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995
HAMMOND, CORDELL D	555 E 100 S #403	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1995
CASTILLO, ERIC; JT CASTILLO, YAMIL; JT	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
NEMESCHY, ALYSHA & MARTIN; TC	555 E 100 S #405	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1912
STEINER, MATTHEW V	555 E 100 S #407	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1912
SPRACKLEN, SAM	555 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1904
OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1103
THE OTHER SIDE HOLDINGS LLC	667 E 100 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1103
UTAH ALCOHOLISM FOUNDATION	857 E 200 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-2317
CAMERON, IAIN	1395 E 4600 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84117
BOTT PROPERTIES, LLC	717 S 5600 W	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84104-5301
BROWN, RICHARD A; TR (RAB TRUST)	24 S 600 E #7	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-4201
HAWKER INVESTMENTS LLC	40 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007
QUAJJA PROPERTIES LLC	50 S 600 E #100	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1029
UTAH LEAGUE OF CITIES & TOWNS	50 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007
UTAH MUNICIPAL FINANCE COOPERATIVE	50 S 600 E #150	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1016
D FRESH17 LLC	60 S 600 E #150	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1027
TESE LLC	60 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007
TESE LLC	60 S 600 E #150	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1027
SIXTH EAST OFFICE BUILDING AMD COMMON AREA MASTER CARD	60 S 600 E #200	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1066
WESTERN ODYSSEY INC	68 S 600 E	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1007
DREIER, JOACHIM	72 S 600 E #3	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1049
ENTRPRISES INC	2439 E 900 S	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84108-1440
WENTWORTH, ROSS L & DIXINE H; TRS (RLW&DHWFT)	1174 S BLAIR ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-4740
JONES, DAVID T	22 N H ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-2959
SALT LAKE COUNTY	PO BOX 144575	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-4575
AI SLC SOUTH TEMPLE PROPCO, LLC	PO BOX 17227	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84117-0227
NINTH EAST INVESTMENTS LLC	PO BOX 2160	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110-2160
SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION	PO BOX 30825	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84130-0825
YOUNG, NATHANIEL P	PO BOX 521628	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84152-1628
ROMNEY LUMBER CO	PO BOX 71373	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84171-0373
CISNEROS, TYLER	PO BOX 9438	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109-0438
APPLEBY, NANCY F; TR (NFA LIV TRUST)	560 E SOTEMPLE ST #906	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102
GIOVALE, JOSEPH J & KAREN K; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1002	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164
JENSON, SONIA E; TR (SEJRTR)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1003	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164
1004 GOVERNOR'S PLAZA LLC	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1004	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164
HICKEN, MARVA	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #P104	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102
PITT, RICHARD S	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1006	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1164
SABOUR, MAX T	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1101	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
AIRD, RICHARD L & NANCY Y; TRS (R&NAJP TR)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1102	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
GASKILL, DOUGLAS C; TR (DCG LIV TRUST)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1103	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147

KIMBALL, RICHARD A; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1104	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
MCKEOWN, RICHARD	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1105	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
MATINKHAH, ANGELICA	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1106	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
JOAN DRAPER POWERS REVOCABLE POWERS, JOAN DRAPER TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1107	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
PEACOCK, KAY L & WANDA T; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #1108	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1147
WEE, SUNG H & JOANNE Y; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #C104	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102
DAVID KELBY JOHNSON MEMORIAL FOUNDATION	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #PL101	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1178
OLIVEIRA, JOSE M & PATRICIA W; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE #C-101	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102
LOTUS HQ, LLC	338 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1202
MARJORIE LUCELE HILL TR HILL, MARJORIE L; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
GOVERNOR'S PLAZA CONDO COMMON AREA MASTER CARD	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
DANSIE, SCOTT D & LIU, ALLAN; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #801	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167
WENTWORTH, ROSS L & DIXINE H; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
STRANSKY FAM TR STRANSKY, MICHAEL J; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
LECHTENBERG, TERRI F	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #901	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1146
KARSTEN, SIEGFRIED G & ELLEN G; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #902	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1146
WENTWORTH, ROSS L; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
WENTWORTH, DIXINE H; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
GUARD, ROGER & MONTGOMERY, PATRICIA A; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #905	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1166
JONES, CARY D	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
WALDAN & COLETTE LLOYD TR ET AL	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
BAGLEY FAM TR ET AL	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
HALVERSEN, BRENT S; TRS ET AL	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #502	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171
WALTERS, DHYAN M	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #503	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171
BARTON, F REID	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #504	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171
HESLINGTON, DAVID C & LINDA; TRS (H FAM LIV TR)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #505	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171
KELLEHER, MARY F	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #506	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1171
CHURCHILL, WANDA C (JIMI); TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
GOVENORS PLAZA CONDMN OWNERS ASSOCIATION	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
HOFFMAN, ANITA P & COLLARD, DON Q; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #204	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1175
CUCINOTTA, ELSE-MARY	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #304	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1174
CHUNG, BENJAMIN B & CHRISTINE S; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #401	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144
DARLING, ANN L	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #402	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144
GRUNDFOSSEN, PETER D & COLLIS, CATHRYN G; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #403	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1144
UJIFUSA, JEAN	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #706	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168
HATSIS, GRETHE M	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #304	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1174
TED & SANDRA LOVATO FAM TR ET AL	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
DANSIE, SCOTT & LIU, ALLAN; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #801	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167



SCHATZ, JASON A & TERA L; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #802	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167
JOHN & EILEEN DUNN TR ET AL	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1005
CHARNEY, LINDA A; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #806	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1167
MOONEY, JOHN M; TR (JMM SR & BMM REV TRUST)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #602	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
MATINKHAH, ALEX	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #603	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
GARDNER, PAUL R & ROSALEE E; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #604	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
KANTH, PRIYANKA	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #605	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
ALLRED, JEFFRY A & SILVIA ; TRS	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #606	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
CLAWSON, ROBERT K; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #607	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
BLACK, RONA S & SCOTT A; JT	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #608	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1145
BROOKE, TAMRA; TR (J&TB LIV TRUST)	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #701	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168
RUSHING, GAIL T; TR	560 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #704	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1168
MCCARTHEY, SARAH J; TR ET AL	610 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST #200	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1208
SK HART ST LLC	630 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102
COCO HOLDINGS LLC	633 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153
CITYHOMECOLLECTIVE BLACK, LLC	645 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153
CRS INVESTMENTS LLC	649 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1153
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSOCIATION	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN. MASONIC TEMPLE	650 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1102
SECRET O LIFE LLC	701 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1205
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF UTAH	709 E SOUTHTEMPLE ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102-1205
STATE OF UTAH	450 N STATE OFFICE BLDG	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114
DISARIO, JAMES A, MD & DONA R; TRS	430 E TENTH AVE	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-2848
MCENTIRE, KENNETH	42 W VAN BUREN AVE	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84115-5322
RUNNOE, DENNIS H & ROSA S; JT	413 N VIRGINIA ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-4231
RUNNOE, ROSA & DENNIS; TC	413 N VIRGINIA ST	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103-4231
FAMILY TRUST OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN B ANDERSON, THE	93 LAKEVIEW	STANSBURY PARK, UT 84074
HALL, RACHEL	4500 S FOUR MILE RUN DR	ARLINGTON, VA 22204-3538
BARLOW, ELIZABETH	500 GRANBY ST #5F	NORFOLK, VA 23510-1945
PILMER, BRADLEY M	13306 NE 256TH CIR	BATTLE GROUND, WA 98604-5647
GREAT NORTHERN ADVENTURE LLC	PO BOX 120	RENTON, WA 98057-0120
BERTELSON, MARK	PO BOX 2359	SEQUIM, WA 98382
YEN, CHI-GANG; ET AL	2501 NW 126TH CIR	VANCOUVER, WA 98685-2437
JOHNSON, HARRIET W; TR	502 AGATE ST	ROCK SPRINGS, WY 82901-6707
Resident	603 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101
Resident	603 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST #REAR	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101
Resident	617 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1101
Resident	633 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153
Resident	645 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153
Resident	21 N I ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-3413
Resident	649 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153
Resident	667 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1153
Resident	701 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205

Resident	701 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#BLDG 2	Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205
Resident	709 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1205
Resident	678 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1102
Resident	5 S 700 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1135
Resident	702 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1204
Resident	77 S 700 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1138
Resident	40 S 800 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4114
Resident	576 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1005
Resident	561 E 100 S	#1	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#10	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#2	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#3	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#4	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#5	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#6	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#7	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#8	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	561 E 100 S	#9	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1946
Resident	569 E 100 S	#1	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#2	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#3	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#4	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#5	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#6	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#7	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	569 E 100 S	#8	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1945
Resident	34 S 600 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1007
Resident	74 S 600 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1007
Resident	571 E 100 S		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904
Resident	575 E 100 S		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904
Resident	579 E 100 S		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1904
Resident	550 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1005
Resident	53 S 600 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1006
Resident	57 S 600 E		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1006
Resident	607 E 100 S	#1	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	607 E 100 S	#2	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	607 E 100 S	#3	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	607 E 100 S	#4	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	607 E 100 S	#5	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	607 E 100 S	#6	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4217
Resident	650 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1141
Resident	623 E 100 S		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1103
Resident	627 E 100 S	#A	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216
Resident	627 E 100 S	#B	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216
Resident	627 E 100 S	#C	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216
Resident	627 E 100 S	#D	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4216
Resident	635 E 100 S	#1	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215
Resident	635 E 100 S	#2	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215
Resident	635 E 100 S	#3	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215
Resident	635 E 100 S	#4	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-4215
Resident	647 E 100 S	#1	Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1151



Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#701	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#702	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#703	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#706	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#707	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#708	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#801	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#802	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#803	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#804	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#805	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#806	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#807	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#808	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#901	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#902	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#903	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#904	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#905	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#906	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#907	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#908	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1001	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1002	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1003	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1004	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1005	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1006	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1007	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1008	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1101	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1102	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1103	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1104	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1105	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1106	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1107	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#1108	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	661 E 100 S		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1112
Resident	610 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1140
Resident	630 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84102-1116
Resident	560 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST	#704	Salt Lake City, UT 84102
Resident	699 E SOUTH TEMPLE ST		Salt Lake City, UT 84103-1142

Salt Lake City Planning Division PO BOX 145480

Lex Traugher

Salt Lake City, UT 84114